



**NORTH WEST COMMUNITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES  
February 29, 2016**

**REVISED**

**PRESENT:** Councillor Steve Craig, Chair  
Councillor Tim Outhit, Vice-Chair  
Councillor Barry Dalrymple  
Councillor Brad Johns

**REGRETS:** Deputy Mayor Matt Whitman

**STAFF:** Mr. Randy Kinghorne, Solicitor  
Mr. Liam MacSween, Legislative Assistant

*The following does not represent a verbatim record of the proceedings of this meeting.*

*The agenda, supporting documents, and information items circulated to Community Council are available online: <http://www.halifax.ca/Commcoun/central/160229nwcc-agenda.php>*

*The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m., and recessed at 8:17 p.m. Community Council reconvened in at 8:28 p.m. Community Council moved into an In Camera (In Private) session at 11:04 p.m. and reconvened at 11:09 p.m. Community Council adjourned at 11:10 p.m.*

**1. CALL TO ORDER**

Councillor Craig, Chair called the meeting to order in the Multipurpose Room of the BMO Centre, 61 Gary Martin Drive, Bedford.

**2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – December 14, 2015 & January 11, 2016**

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT the minutes of December 14, 2015 & January 11, 2016 be approved as circulated.**

**3. APPROVAL OF THE ORDER OF BUSINESS AND APPROVAL OF ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS**

Additions:

16.1 Case 19836: Telecommunications Tower - Damascus Road, Bedford

Councillor Johns requested that Information Item No. 1 be brought forward for discussion.

16.2 Information Item No. 1 – Memorandum from the Director of Transportation and Public Works dated January 5, 2016 re: Signalization at Windgate Drive and Beaverbank Road.

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT the agenda be approved as amended.**

Two-third majority vote required.

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**4. BUSINESS ARISING OUT OF THE MINUTES**

**5. CALL FOR DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

**6. MOTIONS OF RECONSIDERATION – NONE**

**7. MOTIONS OF RESCISSION – NONE**

**8. CONSIDERATION OF DEFERRED BUSINESS – NONE**

**9. NOTICES OF TABLED MATTERS – NONE**

**10. HEARINGS**

**10.1 PUBLIC HEARINGS**

**10.1.1 Case 18781: Development agreement for Bedford West Sub Areas 7 and 8, Hammonds Plains**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated December 29, 2015*

- *A staff presentation dated February 29, 2016*
- *Correspondence dated February 5, 2016 from George Borovan*
- *Correspondence dated February 9, 2016 from Hany El Naggat & Norhan Elgammal*
- *Correspondence dated February 12, 2016 from Allan and Hazel Pettipas*
- *Correspondence dated February 21, 2016 from Kirby Grant*
- *Correspondence dated February 27, 2016 from Krystyna and Roman Panek*

Councillor Craig invited Mr. Andrew Bone, Planner to provide a presentation on Case 18781. Mr. Bone gave a presentation with respect to Case 18781.

Councillor Dalrymple entered the meeting at 7:55 p.m. Councillor Craig clarified that under Administrative Order One, Councillor Dalrymple will be unavailable to vote on the matter given that he was not present for the staff presentation.

Councillor Craig thanked Mr. Bone for his presentation and requested questions of clarification from members of North West Community Council.

In response to questions from Members of North West Community Council, Mr. Bone clarification and commentary on the following:

- The ability under the Municipal Planning Strategy that lands designated for institutional uses can be redeveloped for other purposes after a designated period of time.
- The status of the provincial Highway 113 project is currently unknown and advised that there is a required phasing plan as part of the development which is in place to accommodate safe accesses to the subject area.
- A series of planned intersection improvements as part of the work taking place to widen Larry Uteck Boulevard
- The role of the Traffic Authority and its involvement in the development of assessing safe accesses to the subject area as part of the development process

Councillor Craig read the rules of procedure with respect to public hearings and noted that the applicant will provide a presentation to North West Community Council after a short break.

North West Community Council took a break at 8:17 p.m. North West Community Council reconvened at 8:28 p.m.

**Mr. Kevin Neat** of West Bedford Holdings Limited, on behalf of the Applicant introduced Mr. Mike Hanusiak, of Clayton Developments and West Bedford Holdings Limited and provided a presentation with respect to Case 18781. He advised that the designated parkland within the proposed development is a natural and usable area for residents.

**Mr. Mike Hanusiak**, provided background information with respect to the proposed Highway 113 project and advised that all of the modeling of the new traffic infrastructure on Larry Uteck Boulevard was designed from a Broad Regional Traffic perspective and to accommodate the totality of the Bedford West development. He stated that the province has claimed control jurisdiction of access points along the Broad Street area as part of future potential planning for the Highway 113 project. He advised the plan for the development was designed in 2003 and approved in 2006 and noted that the Developer is actively promoting institutional uses in the area due to the belief that institutional uses help round out a community.

Councillor Craig thanked Mr. Neat and Mr. Hanusiak for their presentation and opened the public hearing.

**Mr. Wayne Shanra** of Kingswood noted that he is on the Executive of the Kingswood Ratepayer association and raised concerns on behalf of the association about the proposed extension of Terradore

Way and Arbour Way and the impact it could have on traffic. He further noted that the installation of a turning lane on the east side of Larry Uteck Boulevard to the existing developments in that area.

**Mr. George Boravan**, of 32 Belle Street, Kearney Lake Estates and raised concerns with respect to the proposed density of the development and noted concern that it will negatively impact the existing neighbourhood of Kearney Lake Estates. He further advised of traffic issues and the impact of construction as quality of life issues for existing residents. He commented that an alternative solution should be explored particularly in the area of stormwater management and the potential impact that construction will have on the water quality of the lake. He concluded by raising concerns about potential blasting in the area and the impact that it will have on those with wells.

**Mr. Frank Chalmers**, of Arbour Way raised concerns with the proposed density and scale of the development, particularly on Arbour Way. He inquired if the areas designated for institutional development could potentially be rezoned to allow for commercial developments.

**Mr. Paul Grundy**, of Arbour Way reiterated earlier points with respect to the proposed density of the development and the potential impact of increased traffic for existing residential neighbourhoods.

**Mr. David Pylon**, of Kearney Lake Estates, raised concerns in relation to the integration of the proposed development with the existing community. He noted his opinion that the extension of Belle Street and noted concern that there could potentially be six or seven properties in the same span where previously there had only been one. He concluded by asking Community Council to not accept the development plan as it is currently written.

**Mrs. Pettipas**, of Kearney Lake noted concern that her property will be negatively impacted by the proposed development in terms of quality of life. She raised further concern with the potential blasting in the area and the impact that it could have on well water. She inquired if compensation will be offered if there is the loss of quality or quantity of water for existing citizens.

**Mr. Pettipas**, of Kearney Lake, expressed concern with respect to potential traffic issues on Belle Street as a result of the proposed development.

**Mr. Bob Jolliffe**, of 39 Lakeshore Drive raised concerns with respect to stormwater management and the impact that further construction and development will have on the lake. He expressed concern with light and noise pollution, and the impact on the local environment as well as the welfare of wildlife within the area.

**Mr. Paul Potier** of 15 Arbour Way, raised concerns with respect to the proposed density of the development.

**Mr. Hany Naugar**, 31 Arbour Way, expressed concern with the proposed density and scale of the development and noted the potential negative impact it could potentially have on traffic within the area.

**Ms. Nahaa Ghammel**, of Collins Road expressed concern with respect to the propose scale and density of the development. She noted that she has three children with health issues and chose to live in the area because of the clean environment, and large lot sizes. She expressed further concern with respect to the impact that the proposed development will have on her property, quality of life and existing neighbourhood.

**Ms. Cheryl Cane**, of Collins Road just echoed the comments of her neighbours, and expressed concern with respect to the impact of construction on the existing neighborhood and residents. She reiterated further points raised by previous speakers regarding the impact of construction on the lake and the amount of garbage that will be left behind because of it.

**Ms. Sandy Albert**, of Belle Street, reiterated earlier points in relation to the proposed scale and density of the development, the environmental impact on the flora and fauna, increase pollution in the lake, quality of well water due to blasting and increased traffic levels. She inquired if there will be compensation available to individuals if there are issues with the quality of her well water and whether or not appropriate buffering will take place.

**Mr. Ron Albert**, of Belle Street inquired if his taxes will decrease if the development is approved. He expressed concern with the proposed density in the area.

**Ms. Maryann McGrath**, Chair of Kearney Lake Resident's Association, noted that her principle concern is around water quality and water monitoring. She expressed concern that construction run off will negatively affect the lake. She noted concern with the Regional Access Plan as part of the development and noted that it is at the back end of the development and will only be implemented if economically viable to do so.

**Ms. Wendy McDonald**, of Clayton Park raised concerns with respect to parkland accesses and adherence to regional active transportation policies. She noted that particular attention needs to be paid to wetland protection and stormwater management.

**Mr. Carl Hubley**, of Kearney Lake Estates, would like clarification on accesses to the subject area and expressed concern with the proposed density of the development.

**M. David Rutherford**, 58 Terradore Lane, reiterated earlier points raised by speakers and noted concern with respect to traffic management in the subject area.

**Mr. Dave MacDonald** concerned about the density and the height of the proposed buildings and advised that development should accommodate the existing residents.

**Mr. Bob McDonald** of Clayton Park West provided commentary with respect to water quality at Kearney Lake and inquired if wetlands within the development will be infilled.

**Mr. Pavnic**, of Kearney Lake expressed concern with traffic management within the subject area.

**Mr. Troy Benoit**, of Lewis Lake, suggested that the developers consider maintain the existing acreage prevalent in the area, as it would be large draw to the area, would maintain profits and would have less of an impact on traffic.

**Mr. Brian Murray** of Hammonds Plains inquired about the allowable height for institutional development within the development.

**Mr. Ross Evans** of Hammonds Plains advised that the municipality should consider right to light legislation and advised that shadow effect of new buildings should only impact the Developers property as opposed to that of the existing residents.

Councillor Craig called three times for further speakers. There were no further speakers present. Councillor Craig invited the applicant to briefly respond to the points raised by the public.

**Mr. Kevin Neat**, on behalf of Clayton Developments and West Bedford Holdings provided commentary on the following:

- The parcel of land dedicated for parkland is a relatively flat which includes 2.6 kilometers of trails.
- Height proposals can be appropriately rewritten to reduce height requirements abutting existing properties on Broad Street to six story structures as placing higher density dwellings closer to the interior of the proposed development.

- Provisions within the development agreement to widen the lots to sixty feet in the Belle Street area to match compatibility with existing single family homes.
- The ability of the Developer to incorporate a ten metre buffer around existing dwellings on Arbour Way and place a height limit on the proposed townhouses to be a maximum of two storeys to mitigate impacts

**Mr. Mike Hanusiak**, of West Bedford Holdings and Clayton Developments provided the following commentary:

- Noted that the subject area is designated as a principle growth area approved by Regional Council in 2003 and embedded in the 2006 Regional Plan and advised that Clayton Developments would like to incorporate larger type single family homes if permitted.
- Noted a comprehensive stormwater management plan approved by the Regional Watershed Advisory Board which speaks to wetland retention and water treatment and that Lake testing is done at arms-length with approval by HRM staff and Community Council.
- Stated that the Developer is open to working with staff to improve and enhance traffic safety in accordance with traffic standards.
- Provided commentary regarding the need for the Developer to undertake comprehensive investigation on the impact on well water/septic systems as a result of blasting and construction work. Mr. Hanusiak advised of a very robust blasting by law which is in place and noted that the Developer will monitor wells as part of the project. He further advised that the company which conducts the blasting is required to carry insurance.

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, second by Councillor Johns

**That the public hearing close.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

Mr. Andrew Bone, Planner, provided commentary with respect to following matters raised by the public:

- Noted that a typical local road, under current policy can handle approximately 300 dwellings, and noted that Belle Street is well within the capacity for the proposed development
- The development agreement allows a maximum of 50 feet in height for the institutional uses.
- There is no intent or policy which would allow for additional industrial uses to be applied to the subject area.
- There is a challenge faced by staff to move density to other areas of the proposed development given that the current planning policy in this regard only allows for moving small quantities of density (one unit per acre) out of the proposed development.
- Staff would strongly encourage residents to allow well testing/monitoring to take place.
- Noted that there is an extensive stormwater management plan involved with the development noting that stormwater retention and treatment is a requirement of the Bedford West Plan
- Noted the existence of provisions within the development agreement in relation to "light creep" which strives to rectify light pollution.
- Noted that the policy requires comprehensive water quality studies which are included in the development agreement.
- Noted a small infill of a wetland location and advised that planning policies strive to mitigate impact in this regard.
- Provided commentary with respect to the phasing of planned upgrades to Larry Uteck Boulevard and noted that the upgrades are based on needs within the community and tend to coincide with phased development as Capital Cost Contributions assist with the funding for the work. He further advised that any proposed widening of Larry Uteck Boulevard will be approved by traffic and right of way staff and will take place on municipally owned land.

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Dalrymple

**THAT North West Community Council extend the meeting past 10:00 p.m.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

Mr. Randy Kinghorne, Solicitor advised that neither Deputy Mayor Whitman nor Councillor Dalrymple could vote on the application as they had not been present for the staff presentation.

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Johns

**THAT North West Community Council defer consideration on Case 18781 pending receipt of a supplementary staff report which provides further information and commentary on the following matters:**

1. **The proposed accesses to the subject property**
2. **Further options regarding buffering, intensity and density changes to the proposed development**
3. **A timeline respecting the proposed enhancements to Larry Uteck Boulevard**
4. **An update from the Province of Nova Scotia respecting the status of Highway 113**
5. **A response from staff regarding questions raised by the public during the public hearing**
6. **Documentation of the proposed changes to the development agreement offered by the applicant during the public hearing.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**10.2 VARIANCE APPEAL HEARING – NONE**

**11. CORRESPONDENCE, PETITIONS & DELEGATIONS**

**11.1 Correspondence**

The Legislative Assistant noted correspondence received in relation to Item No. 10.1.1 which was previously distributed to members of North West Community Council.

**11.2 Petitions – NONE**

**11.3 Presentation – NONE**

**12. INFORMATION ITEMS BROUGHT FORWARD – NONE**

**13. REPORTS**

**13.1 STAFF**

**13.1.1 Case 19965: Amendment to Sackville Land Use Bylaw, C2-A (Minor Commercial) Zone, Middle Sackville**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated January 4, 2016*
- *A memorandum from the North West Planning Advisory Committee dated September 9, 2015*

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. **Give First Reading to the proposed amendments to the Sackville Land Use By-law, as contained in Attachment A of the staff report dated January 4, 2016 to permit service stations, excluding automotive repair and washing, within the C-2A (Minor Commercial) Zone, and schedule a public hearing**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.2 Case 19260: Development Agreement, Southeast Corner of Stokil Drive and Beaver Bank Road, Lower Sackville**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated January 15, 2016*

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. **Give Notice of Motion to consider the proposed development agreement, as contained in Attachment A of the staff report dated January 15, 2016 to allow for a 51 unit multiple unit dwelling at the southeast corner of the intersection of Stokil Drive and Beaver Bank Road, Lower Sackville, and schedule a public hearing;**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.3 Case 19452: Amending Development Agreement for 67 Metropolitan Avenue, Lower Sackville**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation/information report dated January 15, 2016*

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. **Give Notice of Motion to consider the proposed amending development agreement, as contained in Attachment A of the staff report dated January 15, 2016, to permit 3 additional units to an existing 36 unit multiple unit dwelling and implement non-disturbance requirements at 67 Metropolitan Avenue, Lower Sackville and schedule a public hearing.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.4 Case 19627: Amendment to the Hammonds Plains, Beaver Bank and Upper Sackville MPS and LUB, 1345 & 1355 Hammonds Plains Road, Hammonds Plains**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated January 15, 2016*
- *A memorandum from the North West Planning Advisory Committee dated November 5, 2015*

MOVED by Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT North West Community Council recommend that Halifax Regional Council:**

1. **Give First Reading to consider the proposed amendments to the Beaver Bank, Hammonds Plains and Hammonds Plains Municipal Planning Strategy (MPS) and Land Use By-law (LUB), as contained in Attachments A and B of the staff report dated January 15, 2016, to**



permit commercial entertainment uses in conjunction with full service restaurants at 1355 & 1345 Hammonds Plains Road, and schedule a public hearing; and

2. Approve the proposed amendments to the Sackville MPS and LUB, as contained in Attachments A and B of the staff report dated January 15, 2016, to permit commercial entertainment uses in conjunction with full service restaurants at 1355 & 1345 Hammonds Plains Road, as contained in Attachments A and B of the staff report dated January 15, 2016.

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.5 Case 20082: Amendments to Bedford Land Use By-law – Light Industrial and Heavy Industrial Zones**

The following was before Community Council:

- A staff recommendation/information report dated January 15, 2016
- A memorandum from the North West Planning Advisory Committee dated October 13, 2015

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Dalrymple

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. Give First Reading to the proposed amendments to the Bedford Land Use By-law (LUB) to amend the ILI and IHI Zones as shown in Attachment A of the staff report dated January 15, 2016, and schedule a Public Hearing.

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.6 Case 18004: Rezoning – Highland Park Subdivision, Hammonds Plains**

The following was before Community Council:

- A staff recommendation report dated January 15, 2016

MOVED by Councillor Johns, seconded by Councillor Outhit

**THAT further consideration of Case 18004 be deferred to the March 21, 2016 meeting of North West Community Council.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.7 Case 20239: Non-Substantive Amendment to Development Agreement for 91 and 97 Dartmouth Road, Bedford**

The following was before Community Council:

- A staff recommendation report dated January 18, 2016
- A memorandum from the North West Planning Advisory Committee dated December 3, 2015

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Dalrymple

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. Approve the proposed amending development agreement, in substantially the same form as set out in Attachment A of the staff report dated January 18, 2016, to extend the date of completion for the existing development agreement at 91 and 97 Dartmouth Road, Bedford; and

2. **Require that the proposed amending development agreement be signed by the property owner within 120 days, or any extension thereof granted by Council on request of the property owner, from the date of final approval by Council and any other bodies as necessary, including applicable appeal periods, whichever is later; otherwise this approval will be void and obligations arising hereunder shall be at an end.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**13.1.8 Case 1992: Telecommunications Tower- 65 Beaver Bank Road, Lower Sackville**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated January 25, 2016*

MOVED by Councillor Dalrymple, seconded by Councillor Johns

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. **Inform Industry Canada that they have no objection to the proposal by SJSB Network Consulting Group Inc. on behalf of Bell Mobility to erect a new 20 metre (65.64 ft.) monopole telecommunication tower at 65 Beaver Bank Road, Lower Sackville, as shown on Attachment A of the staff report dated January 25, 2016.**
2. **Forward a copy of the staff report dated January 25, 2016 to Industry Canada for background purposes and recommend the fencing at the base of the tower be constructed of opaque materials to further reduce the visual impact.**

Ms. Thea Langille, Major Projects Planner, gave a brief overview of the staff report and noted that staff did not receive comments from the public with respect to the proposed location of the telecommunications tower. She further clarified that public consultation process for proposed telecommunications towers does not include the provision of a public hearing.

Several members of North West Community Council expressed concern with the proposed location of the

**MOTION PUT AND DEFEATED.**

Councillor Outhit assumed the position of Chair.

Councillor Craig suggested the following alternative motion:

MOVED by Councillor Craig, seconded by Councillor Johns

**THAT North West Community Council:**

1. **Inform Industry Canada that they have an objection to the proposal by SJSB Network Consulting Group Inc. on behalf of Bell Mobility to erect a new 20 metre (65.64 ft.) monopole telecommunication tower at 65 Beaver Bank Road, Lower Sackville, as shown on Attachment A of the staff report dated January 25, 2016.**
2. **Forward a copy of the staff report dated January 25, 2016 to Industry Canada for background purposes and recommend the fencing at the base of the tower be constructed of opaque materials to further reduce the visual impact.**

Several Councillors expressed concern with respect to the proximity of the proposed monopole to the residential community located on Glendale Drive and Beaverbank Road and the impact of its construction/operation on the current surrounding land uses.

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

Councillor Craig reassumed the position of Chair.

**13.1.9 Case 19625: Non-substantive amendments to the Bedford West Sub Area 5 development agreement, Bedford – Supplementary Report**

The following was before Community Council:

- *A staff recommendation report dated February 17, 2016*

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Dalrymple

**THAT North West Community Council:**

- 1. Approve the corrected amending development agreement document for execution, as contained in Attachment A of the staff report dated February 17, 2016; and**
- 2. Require that the development agreement be signed by the property owner within 120 days, or any extension thereof granted by Council on request of the property owner, from the date of final approval of said agreement by Council and any other bodies as necessary, including applicable appeal periods whichever is later; otherwise this approval shall be void and any obligations arising hereunder shall be at an end.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**14. MOTIONS**

**15. IN CAMERA (IN PRIVATE)**

**15.1 15.1 Personnel Matter**

**15.1.1 Citizen Appointment to North West Planning Advisory Committee**

This matter was dealt with later in the meeting please see page 12.

**16. ADDED ITEMS**

**16.1 Case 19836: Telecommunications Tower - Damascus Road, Bedford**

The following documentation was before Community Council:

- A staff report dated February 19, 2016

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Johns

**THAT North West Community Council:**

- 1. Inform Industry Canada that they have no objection to the proposal by Rogers Communications Inc. to erect a new 48 metre (157 ft.) monopole telecommunication tower at PID# 41214370, Damascus Road, Bedford, as shown on Attachment A of the staff report dated February 19, 2016; and**

2. **Forward a copy of the staff report dated February 19, 2016 to Industry Canada for background purposes.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

- 16.2 **Councillor Johns – Information Item No. 1 – Memorandum from the Director of Transportation and Public Works dated January 5, 2016 re: Signalization at Windgate Drive and Beaverbank Road**

Councillor Johns advised that staff has committed to place funds in the 2016/17 budget to conduct a safety audit at the intersection of Windgate Drive and Beaverbank Road.

**17. NOTICES OF MOTION**

**18. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

**Ms. Kathleen O'Donovan**, of Shore Drive requested that North West Community Council examine the viability of removing the lands located at 65 and 79 Shore Drive, Bedford from the Bedford Waterfront Planning Area and rezoning the lands to accommodate single family residential housing.

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Dalrymple

**THAT North West Community Council wave the rules of order with respect to Notice of Motion and request a staff report examining the viability of the removal of lands located at 65 and 79 Shore Drive, Bedford from the Bedford Waterfront Planning Area and rezoning the lands to accommodate single family residential housing.**

Two-third Majority Vote Required.

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**Mr. Ross Evans**, of Hammonds Plains provided commentary with respect to water lot rights in HRM.

**Mr. Robin Godfrey**, of Atlantic Gardens requested further information regarding the installation of a turning lane for Case 19965. Councillor Johns noted that Mr. Godfrey bring the matter up with staff and attend the public hearing on the matter.

**Mr. Brain Murray**, of Hammonds Plains provided commentary with respect to allowing Planning Advisory Committees to view traffic impact studies and proposed development agreements for review by the Planning Advisory Committee prior to forwarding a recommendation to North West Community Council.

**Mr. Walter Reagan**, of the Sackville Rivers Association advised of the upcoming Rubber Ducky race in Sackville. He inquired if there is an update on Pinehill Brook and Cushing Hill.

Mr. Andrew Bone advised that the Cushing Hill development is a priority but advised that it is still in the draft policy process.

**Mr. Reg Jones**, of Voyager Lakes, requested further information with respect to the role of Regional Watershed Advisory Board and provided commentary with respect to the condition of lakes within the municipality.

**15. IN CAMERA (IN PRIVATE)**

**15.1 15.1 Personnel Matter**

**15.1.1 Citizen Appointment to North West Planning Advisory Committee**

This matter was dealt with In Camera. The following motion was passed in public session:

MOVED by Councillor Outhit, seconded by Councillor Johns

**THAT North West Community Council:**

- 1. Appoint one citizen to the North West Planning Advisory Committee for a term to November 2017 as outlined in attachment 1 of the private and confidential staff report dated January 28, 2016.**
- 2. It is further recommended that the citizen appointment be released to the public following ratification and notification of the successful candidate.**

**MOTION PUT AND PASSED.**

**19. DATE OF NEXT MEETING – March 21, 2016**

**20. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 11:10 p.m.

Liam MacSween  
Legislative Assistant