

proposing be carried forward to alleviate the problems in this area. Wallace MacDonald recommended that a stream be picked up in between residence 68 and 72 and carried in the storm system. Staff is recommending against that, because the stream that is in that area does not create a problem generally. It floods its banks occasionally but when it does so, there is no general problem. To accommodate that stream in the storm system there is considerable up sizing required.

So what the Wallace MacDonald people are requiring is that in order to service the RCDD lands, they need to do work in specific locations, obviously they need to bring a storm system down onto Union and they're suggesting that it be carried through to the channel which lays on the back of the residents on Union. They recognize there would have to be some upgrading. They recommended that in order to keep flooding from the Sackville River out of this channel that this berm, this area be raised and that generally the water level in this channel be lowered by installing a storm pipe in the very end of the system and carrying it to a point further downstream which gives some benefit in terms of grade. So this half pipe would have to be carried back to the same point that Wallace MacDonald are referring to drop this storm system into the back of the residents where the channel is, and further the Wallace MacDonald work would end the pipe would have to be extended along Union Street. Staff is recommending that it be carried as far as the problem houses, which is in the area of about #77. And that's the staff report on Union Street.

Mayor Kelly, Deputy Mayor Peter Christie questioned Mr. Haysom on the difference between scenario one and two. Mr. Haysom explained. \$380,000 included figure included a fairly large contingency to have to deal with the laterals. And that Mr. Haysom was recommending alternative two. Mr. Haysom hoped that we would be able to do most of the storm pipe work off the side of the road in the areas where there is a channel at this point, road side ditch. RCDD and Redden lands, and their requirement, this is going to exceed that. BWAC put that question to Wallace MacDonald people and they said, they're design was at a critical point in terms of pipe sizing and being at that boundary for them to increase the size of the pipe was a very negligible increase in cost to them. They were suggested that in fact the pipe that they would be placing in the ground would look after 1-20 year flood event.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie request to council is to go forward with this plan.

Julian Haysom stated the expectation would be to go ahead to lower the ground water generally and then as the residents so chose it would be available - the pipe would be in the ground and they could hook at their own discretion and in their own time.

There was an exchange of discussions between Council members and Mr. Haysom on this matter.

Mayor Kelly suggested over and above 30,000 we all understand there will be the need to spent a further 3,000 per resident when and if they hook up to the main storm sewer. Mr. Haysom confirmed.

Mayor Kelly and Anne Cosgrove suggested the expenditure of 280,000, 300,000 needs approval of Mr. Hayward or the Regional Municipality. The 30,000 the Council may want to do that

Ann Cosgrove and that's the interim. But the total solution will be through the process of Hayward, and/or HRM.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie that Bedford Town Council adopt as an interim solution the \$30,000.00 solution as outlined in the report from Julian Haysom, dated 25th March 1996 that we identify and advise the administration of the Halifax Regional Municipality of this intent and tell him clearly that this is only a interim solution that we feel we should move forward quickly with thew secondary solution which would be the 280,000 minus 100,000 from the Redden Brothers, including the \$15,000 for laterals. That we include that in our Transitional Report and that we ask for his indulgence in having that brought forward as expeditiously as possible but that we do move forward as the Town to attempt to adopt and resolve that 30,000 solution in the next couple of months. Seconded by Anne Cosgrove.

Discussion: Marvin Silver asked 15,000 for sump pumps - Mr. Haysom stated the 15,000 was for laterals. Total costs \$45,000.00. Asked about guarantees that this solution would fix the problem. Also six figure amount is not guaranteed.

Councillor Silver asked whether the motion as read - is for - to Peter Kelly - Mayor Kelly responded to Mr. Silver's questions. Mayor Kelly suggested one motion would be strictly interim at this time, to put in sump pump, to put in laterals to put in the manholes. Above that it is to give direction to HRM to do this project in its entirety expeditiously.

Councillor Silver through Mayor Kelly to Donna Lohnes - there is a requirement for the RCDD to provide an adequate storm water system and we knew at the time of entering into the agreement that there was probably a couple of different approaches. There is no obligation to fix the existing problem in the area, however, we talked about it and if there's an opportunity to work in partnership for us pigging back on that process then so be it. But it's not stipulation. They are obliged to have an adequate

storm water system to severe there project and not further impact negatively on the existing situation.

Len Goucher, positive motion authorizing the expenditure of the 280,000 of which, sorry, 295,000 of which 195,000 would be Bedford expenditure. That we are authorizing it subject to approval of because you remember in prior meetings and minus the authorization of Mr. Hayward.

Mayor Kelly suggested moving the project in its entirety subject to the approval of Mr. Hayward and HRM, with the provision that in the interim we will do, we will conduct, or we will undergo the interim solution which is the laterals, sorry, is the sump pumps and the bulkheads and trenches as indicated \$30,000. Plus to drill holes in the manholes so.

Deputy Mayor Christie to adjust the motion to say that Council go forth with the first part of the interim solution and that we say the Council put the words in the motion that we approve this project to go forward and subject to the assistance of the Administration and HRM in completing this project as expeditiously as possible.

**AUDIENCE:**

**Mrs. Towell - Union Street.**

Spoke regarding the \$30,000 - five houses - what houses - what numbers. Mr. Haysom 63,65,67,69,, and 77. Cost referring to sump pumps or sump pump PK one per household. PK Its an upgraded of existing sump pumps. Houses that have had sump pumps.

**Mr. Fraser 67 Union Street**

The water that comes from behind the houses? How is the connection going to made to not interfere with that flow of water that brook, the ditch now flows very slowly. And if we eliminate the problem we don't want another one to start from the back. Mayor Kelly mentioned putting in to allow that flow to occur, is that the process to Julian Haysom. The work they're proposing at that end of the project is to cover that very problem. The rains we're getting on a normal days, not heavy rain causes the pumps to come on and run steady. Mr. Fraser mentioned Mr. Hiltz of 87 Union. He's having problems and pump goes steady. Something has drastically changed form the time construction started and time it stopped. And the time you put the pipe in we have no idea what you did to the water table we have no idea, but it's definitely affected the houses on Union Street. Mayor. Kelly assured Mr. Fraser that this



solution would provide a further degree of comfort. He would try to bring these point forth and do understand.

All in favour - **MOTION IS CARRIED**

**COUNCIL RECESSED FIVE MINUTES.**

6.2 **Final Draft Transitional Report**

Mayor Peter Kelly asked Council to bring this report back on Friday morning subject to our conversations here tonight. It looks like there would be a few changes and asked that Council approve it on Friday morning.

Peter Christie questions on Transitional Report under 7C - Transportation Planning there was a line we agreed to remove which deals with the extension of Basinview Drive and the other question light on Union Street and Fish Hatchery Lane.

It was decided to bring this matter forward Friday morning.

6.3 **Non-Substantial Amendment - M-1 Commercial Site**

Mayor Peter Kelly advised that this matter would be dealt with on Friday morning as the report is not complete.

7. **PUBLIC HEARINGS AND MOTIONS ARISING THEREFROM**

7.1 **Public Hearings #96-01 and 96-02- MPS/LUB**

Mayor Kelly advised that this matter would be dealt with thew last thing at tonight's session. He also advised that himself and Councillor Oickle could not participate in that event.

7.2 **Consideration - Disposal of Service Right-of-Way - Peregrine Crescent/Armoyan Court**

Mayor Kelly advised that this matter would also be dealt with this evening after the Public Hearing which is at 6:30 p.m.

10. **NEW BUSINESS**

10.1 **Stipend - Mayor and Councillors**

Deputy Mayor Christie addressed this issue. It is my intent tonight to withdraw the Motion, subject to my seconder. In I would like Your Worship to have recorded in the minutes that I do not wish to receive any compensation and I will give you this note indicating I'd like to be recorded in the minutes and that I would ask yourself and to advise Halifax Regional Municipality and ask them that my name be struck from any pay out list. If you would do that then I will be happy to withdraw the Motion. Mayor Kelly stated that with that being said the Motion is withdrawn.

10.2 **Volunteer of the Year - Mayor Peter Kelly**

Council I am pleased to advise you that Eldridge Densmore has been selected as Volunteer of the Year and the basis of that decision will be disclosed on the Volunteer Appreciation Night. I would ask that Council concur through Motion that he be named Volunteer of the Year.

**ON MOTION** of Len Goucher and Anne Cosgrove it was moved that Eldridge Densmore be named Volunteer of the Year. **MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

10.3 **Consideration - Unsightly and Dangerous Property - Civic #'s 72 and 76 Rocky Lake Drive.**

Mayor Kelly asked that Donna Davis-Lohnes bring this matter forward.

Donna Davis-Lohnes addressed Council that the report had been submitted from their Chief Building Inspector and what was required this evening is a motion from Council. She advised that the properties were still unsightly at both 72 and 76 Rocky Lake Drive and so if Council wished the Town to proceed further, with action on that, it will necessitate a motion which Mr. McInroy included in correspondence dated February 6th, 1996 to Mr. Robertson. What we are requesting is action relative to unsightly premises. It is not dangerous and unsightly, but it is unsightly.

Mayor Peter Kelly asked exact location of properties. Donna could not confirm the exact location.

Mayor Kelly addressed the Council - the request is before you, I would ask you to bring the Motion to the floor if you wish to proceed.

**ON MOTION** of Deputy Mayor Peter Christie and Councillor Anne Cosgrove it was so moved that whereas it appears the subject property 72 Rocky Lake Drive in Bedford is unsightly, therefore, Council move that number one an order be issued pursuant to Section 1-54 a of the Town Act, directing the owners of the subject property to take action to remedy the condition as outlined. Second, the Clerk is hereby authorize to such Order may serve by being posted at a conspicuous place upon the offending structure and may be personally served upon the person herein named herein, or both the service shall be affected by anyone on Town of Bedford staff or by professional Counsel or server or through the Solicitor or Solicitor's servant. Third, remedial action is to be accompanied by 30 days notice to thew date of service of the Order. Four, if the Order is not complied with the Town's Solicitor in this matter, is hereby authorized to make an application to the Court pursuant to Section 154B of the Town's Act for declaration of the above property is both dangerous and unsightly and is dangerous and unsightly and seek and Order specifying the work required to remedy condition. I would so move that we include number 76 with the same motion.

Mayor Kelly referring to item four - we do not have a Town Solicitor, is it the intent for Peter McInroy to handle the matter. Donna Davis-Lohnes advised the Mayor that Mr. McInroy has handled the case to date and staffs recommendation would be to continue with him if Council approves. Mayor Kelly requested that Town's Solicitor be struck and that Peter McInroy's name be put in to handle this situation.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie stated that he would adjust his Motion to say that the order if not complied with that Mr. McInroy in this matter, is hereby authorized to make an application to the Court pursuant to Section 154B of the Town's Act. Seconded by Anne Cosgrove. **MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

#### 10.4 **Ratification - Fire Department Annual Report**

Mayor Peter Kelly advised as report was not brought forth it will be added to the Agenda for Friday.

#### 10.5 **Amendment - Sobey's Mill Cove Development Agreement.**

Mayor Peter Kelly again advised that the report on this matter is due Friday morning, and this issue would be dealt with at that time.

#### 10.6 **Discussion pertaining to Private Street issue**

Mayor Kelly addressed Council regarding this matter. As you know Council this was brought forward before, I am concerned that the new HRM may not treat our old



private streets the same way that we have and I would like us to give some reassurance to persons on the street and I'm basically requesting that we take over those streets indicated. Staff has some problems with this and I don't know if they are able to speak on it tonight and if they are I would ask Wayne Legere to come forward please for discussion.

**Wayne Legere** addressed Mayor and Council. I have sat on a sub-committees for the Halifax Regional Municipality for several months, a number of sub-committees one on private streets. The concern that Council is expressing here is the same concern that has occurred in the Council Chambers of Halifax-Dartmouth, and, of course, Halifax County. A list has been prepared of all these streets, we broke down a number of classifications, we're calling a strict private street as something that has existed under private ownership and the Municipality performs no maintenance on it. We're calling them today unaccepted streets where there is work performed by the Municipality

however, the Municipality does not own the street. We have a number of streets that fall within that classification. I'll read the pertinent section of Bill 3 that has everybody concerned:

"Section 146-2 of Bill 3 - The Council shall expend any monies of the Regional Municipality on a street that is less than 15 metres in width except the street dedicated to the use of the public or owned by a Town or City before April 1, 1995".

Mr. Legere was asked by Council if 1995 was a typographical error. He was assured that had been checked out. To set the Council's mind at ease there is a report being prepared by HRM staff which will go to the Regional Municipality addressing this issue and it will ask for Councils authorizations of the Municipality to proceed with the level of maintenance consistent with the maintenance performed on these streets prior to incorporation. Bear in mind the listing that we're dealing with is over 200 streets. Regarding the clause the question of "dedicated" came up in discussion, we had legal response opinions to that, I guess it's very clear in case law that this whole question of dedication of a street by the public or to the public and all that means is that a number of our streets here in Bedford were never officially accepted and we received a deed, however, those streets were used by the public so there is the public acceptance. As far as the owner of the street there has never been an owner of a street right-of-way come back to the Town to say, I do not wish that to used as a public street. So that dedication is implied and as far as the legal representation for HRM are concerned that is sufficient. So the streets that we have listed for convenience through HRM in this upcoming report are the ones that we perform

maintenance services on now, and it was suggested that at least the same level of maintenance that Bedford performed on those streets will continue.

Mayor Kelly asked that Mr. Legere to confirm that all the services performed on the streets would continue, like snow ploughing, salting, garbage pickup will be maintained. Mr. Legere stated that would all be done. He also stated that what they were suggesting in the report will be okay acceptance for continuation of maintenance practices at this time. However, they want to initiate a practice to deal with all those streets, because again you have over 200 streets, they would like to start a process whereby they research and go through the process of acceptance.

#### 10.7 Coat of Arms

Mayor Kelly introduced this item our coat of arms and flag. Councillor Joan Pryde suggested that the words "Chain of Office" should be added. Mayor Kelly stated we were working on item 10.7 which has been added to the Agenda which is the Towns' Coat of Arms and the Town's Flag. We have had an offer from the Heritage Eight to act as the Trustee of our crest and flag pending the determination of our community at some point in time down the road what they wish to see happen to those artifacts. I'm bringing this request forward in the form of a Motion - it itemizes the process to give them the power and ability to do so. Again, it is a Trustee aspect that they will hold them in trust for the community. In the interim we will be seeking further clarification from the Coat of Arms, I believe the terminology is the - Lyon Court in Scotland to clarify other aspects and also with respect to the flag which is registered under The Heritage of the Canadian Government. But in the interim until we as a community decide what they wish to do with these artifacts I'd ask Council to give that authority or to entrust that ability to the Heritage Eight.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Peter Christie and Councillor Len Goucher it is moved that we entrust our Coat of Arms, our Flag to Heritage Eight or their successors for the period of time, or until such time as the people of Bedford decided what they might wish to do with it in the form of another type of unit or in terms of another type of representation for the community.

Question by Len Goucher - does that also, as a trustee they would also govern usage of the crest and handle any usage of the crest as well. Mayor Kelly confirmed that as is accorded to under our current policy with the Coat of Arms. Other point is Councillor Pryde's with regard to the chain of office. Councillor Pryde suggested that it could be separate. Mayor Kelly pointed out the policy that this Council had approved back when they were brought forth and that will guide the usage of the artifacts with Heritage Eight. Some discussion followed regarding this motion by Councillor Anne



Cosgrove and the policy. Mr. Goucher asked if they would have to approach Heritage Eighty for use ie, Bedford Days, etc. Mayor Kelly confirmed this as the Town will no longer be and Heritage Eighty will guide their care and usage.

Mr. Nelson Kennedy had a question that he believed it was possible when we deal with the Lyon in Scotland it may be recommended or suggested or we may be told that the Coat of Arms is to be decommissioned. Mayor Kelly responded that until we know the overall status and that Court is hard to track down, we will work through that process and once we have that then that may be their instruction but until then you will have or Heritage Eighty will have the Trustee aspect of that item. Mr. Kennedy also suggested that Heritage Eighty will need a copy of the regulation that has been mentioned. Mayor Kelly ensured him that he will be given a copy. There being no further discussion the **MOTION WAS CARRIED.**

To deal with the Chain of Office Mayor Kelly introduced Councillor J. Pryde. There was a brief discussion regarding the Chain of Office. Mayor Kelly stated the contained which holds the Chain is at Fort, at Scott Manor House as we speak, he is open to suggestions, but because that is going to be the Town Museum or the Town Historic Site, or whatever terminology is going to apply to me it had some merit that it be held there. Councillor Pryde felt some secure method should be made. Len Goucher suggested making or having a small safe of some type installed to keep items like that in it. Councillor Cosgrove advised that there was a security system in the Manor House. Mayor Kelly suggested there is a safe in our current operations that is 3 feet by 18, we could give that to them to hold these items in. Councillor Silver asked if it would be possible for the Councillor to wear this Chain at all, or is it effectively retired. Mayor Kelly stated that it would be retired.

**ON MOTION** by Councillor J. Pryde that Mayor Kelly and future Councillor Peter Kelly be asked to look after the placement of the Chain of Office in the Fort Sackville building preferable in a safe if that can be arranged, when not on display.. Seconded by Councillor Cosgrove. **MOTION UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.**

#### 10.8 Amalgamation Terms of Essential Services

**Councillor Silver** - Well we've been told for the last year and a half that no matter what happens the level of our service will not decline, especially the levels of our essential services. And I'm afraid the decline has already started. As of 3:30 p.m. 21st March 1996, the Bedford Volunteer Fire Department is no longer able to provide advance life saving service. The department has two ERMT - Emergency Response Medical Technicians to respond to medical calls. The ability of the volunteers to use advance life services has been withdrawn. Mayor Kelly mentioned that because of this

concern and other concern of the volunteers as of this morning there is much frustration and who may be looking to depart the volunteer aspect and I have added this item to the agenda for tomorrow night to have a full discussion about what is going on. Councillor Silver stated that the doctor who has to certify the volunteers has withdrawn his certification this was due to the initiative of the paid members. Mayor Kelly asked for any further input that would help him clarify or rectify the situation I need to know the details. Also Councillor Silver mentioned that in recent medical calls the Fire Department has not been paged. Mayor Kelly asked Councillor Silver to give him a detailed report and he would go back to the Chief for further details for discussion tomorrow night at Council. Mayor Kelly also stated he would like those details by the morning.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie suggested that perhaps Mayor Kelly could obtain a copy of one of the standing operational orders that have been issued by the new Fire Chief to take effect on April 1st.

Len Goucher took the time to thank the staff of the Town of Bedford for their hard work and co-operation. I want to tell the staff how much we appreciate their dedication. I want to thank everyone I served with and the people of the Town, the staff of the Town for being there for us when we needed them and everybody sitting here at the table.

Council recessed 5:32 p.m.

REGULAR SESSION OF COUNCIL RESUMED AT 7:07 P.M.

Mayor Kelly resumed proceedings by introducing Mr. Don Houle of Bedford Junior High grade seven students have requested that we open ourselves to some questions. Mr. Houle introduced his class to the Council and gave a brief background. For approximately a half hour the students asked questions to Bedford Council. Mr. Houle at end of session thanked Deputy Mayor Peter Christie, Mayor Kelly and Councillors for making the class welcome.

COUNCIL RECESSED

COUNCIL RESUMED AT 7:43 P.M.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie brought the session to order with item 7.2 which was the topic of a Public Hearing earlier this evening at 6:30..

**7.2 Consideration - Disposal of Service Right-of-Way - Peregrine Crescent/Armoyan Court**

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie asked Council what they're wishes were in regard to this matter. Deputy Mayor Peter Christie advised Councillor Silver there was a motion on the books and the motion was to turn it over to Public Hearing. The motion said that Council agreed in principle to move ahead with the sale of the land.

Councillor Marvin Silver advised he would vote against the motion.

Councillor Oickle had a concern and asked Donna Davis-Lohnes if it is in fact a public right-of-way, and that was the intent for it to be, a public walkway. Deputy Mayor Peter Christie advised Mr. Oickle that during the public hearing from the Director of Planning was that it was a service easement not a right-of-way, I'm sorry service right-of-way. Mr. Legere advised that it was a right-of-way. Some further discussions were held on this matter. Mr. Goucher advised that he would support the disposal of the property at issue.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie advised Council that the motion is clear and it says: " it was moved in principle the sale of the existing right-of-way between Peregrine Crescent and Armoyan Court to those of us property owners who have expressed and interest in the same for costs of legal and survey costs. Approximately \$600-\$800 dollars per property subject to this matter going to public hearing".



Question is to re-affirm the motion that we have. This is reaffirming the motion of July 11, 1995 the motion has been made by Councillor Goucher to reaffirm. To reaffirm is there a second for the Motion. Third and final call. **MOTION IS LOST.**

Mayor Peter Kelly to Deputy Mayor Peter Christie stating that if there is no action taken tonight then this will proceed onward. Deputy Mayor Christie confirmed. He also stated that it was approved in principle and if Council had taken no action it goes forward.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie upon hearing Councillor Goucher's comments stated that Councillor Goucher has agreed with withdrawn that Motion of July 11th, so the floor is open for action on this particular issue.

**ON MOTION** of Marvin Silver and Councillor Oickle that Bedford retain that piece of property. **THE MOTION IS CARRIED** four to two.

#### **MUNICIPAL PLANNING STRATEGY/LAND USE BY-LAW**

Mayor Peter Kelly apologized for having to leave the meeting at this time, and that the last item on the Agenda is the Municipal Planning Strategy and Land Use By-Law. He reminded the group that he and Councillor Oickle cannot participate in the debate or discussion of the issues because they did not attend the Public Hearing on these matters. Mayor Peter Kelly turned the Chair over to the Deputy Mayor and left the meeting.

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie suggested to Council the following method for addressing the MPS/LUB amendments. He noted that in the Supplementary Report #3 from the Planning & Development Control Department dated March 25, 1996 there are four steps recommended for Council to follow. He suggested that Council approve the amendments that are italicised in the attached draft MPS/LUB that had been circulated to Council. Following that, Council will go through each item in the report, make motions on each item, and conclude by making a final motion on all the MPS/LUB amendments.

**ON MOTION** of Deputy Mayor Peter Christie and Councillor Silver on a Point of Order. **MOTION WAS CARRIED.**

**ON MOTION** of Councillor Silver that the draft Municipal Planning Strategy be approved. **MOTION WAS CARRIED.**

Deputy Mayor Christie asked the Director of Planning, Donna Davis-Lohnes to brief Council on the draft MPS and then address the particular individual items that need to be addressed and then move on to the LUB.

Donna Davis-Lohnes wanted to clarify that the motion deals with the draft MPS by itself and does not include the draft Land Use By-law. Deputy Mayor Christie confirmed that was the case. Donna Davis-Lohnes asked that Council ignore the spelling mistakes and typo errors in the two draft documents. She then informed Council that an addendum to both documents dated March 26, 1996 has been circulated to Council. Items numbered 1,2,3,4 of the addendum deal with the draft MPS. She suggested that the motion should be amended to include reference to the four items. Deputy Mayor Christie said Council would deal with each of the items in the Addendum as individual amendments to the main motion and then Council will adopt the final amended motion at the end of the meeting.

Donna Davis-Lohnes said that she didn't intend to go through the draft MPS as it has been in the possession of Council and the changes that are proposed are shown in italics and underlined. She said she would entertain any questions of Council pertaining to the draft MPS document.

Deputy Mayor Christie asked Donna Davis-Lohnes if there were any items outlined in the Supplementary Report #3 dated March 25th that she wished Council to address as part of this process. She recommended that Council address each of the items separately.

Councillor Goucher suggested on a point of clarification that since there are a lot of LUB items mixed in with the MPS items in the Supplementary Report #3 that both draft documents be considered at the same time.

**ON MOTION** by Councillor Goucher and Councillor Silver, that the main motion be amended to include the approval of the draft LUB. **MOTION CARRIED.**

#### **Bed & Breakfast Establishments**

Councillor Pryde stated that she wanted to discuss item #19 of Supplementary Report #3 that pertains to the number of rooms for a Bed and Breakfast. She stated that she would like to support an increase of the number of rentabel rooms from two to three. Councillor Goucher stated that he supports BPAC's recommendation that the number of rooms remain at two. Councillor Anne Cosgrove stated that she is in favor of the change from two bedrooms to three.

**ON MOTION** by Councillor Joan Pryde and seconded by Marvin Silver that the main motion be amended to include an amendment to increase the number of rooms for a

Bed and Breakfast establishment from two rooms to three rooms, for sleeping rooms for overnight guests. MOTION WAS CARRIED

Deputy Mayor Peter Christie turned the chair over to Councillor Goucher so he could raise some issues.

**MPS Policy R-12A**

Deputy Mayor Christie had a question regarding architectural design of RCDD projects. He asked Donna Davis-Lohnes if there is a standard for architectural design. She pointed out that Policy R-12A states that "Consideration shall be given to" various guidelines to articulate what the Town is looking for regarding architectural design.

**Policy T-13**

Deputy Mayor Christie asked about the Transportation Chapter preamble referred to on page 30 of the draft MPS, under Future Collector Roads regarding **Policy T-13**.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie and Councillor Silver that the preamble under Future Collector Roads, be amended to include the words as discussed in #9 of the Supplementary Report #3. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**POLICY T-19 page 34 MPS**

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie it was moved that we remove that "one side of new local streets and put in "requiring sidewalks on both sides of the new arterial and collector roads and where necessary on one side of local streets".

Donna Davis-Lohnes stated that this matter will be looked at later in the meeting when reviewing the Supplementary Report #3. Deputy Mayor Christie agreed to let this go for now.

**Commercial Comprehensive Development Districts**

Deputy Mayor Christie asked Donna Davis-Lohnes about **Policy C-7** and where the 25% residential housing came from. Donna explained the point to Council, that CCDD properties could have a maximum 25% of their area developed as multiple residential housing. She stated there has been a concern by one of the CCDD property owners that is located adjacent to lands zoned WFCDD. She said their concern is addressed in number 5 of the Supplementary Report #3 which states that the CCDD parcel could be re-designated and re-zoned to WFCDD and developed in conjunction with the adjacent waterfront property. If this were to occur, the CCDD mix of housing types



would not be applicable on that site.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie and Councillor Joan Pryde, It was moved to amend the MPS as discussed in number 5 of Supplementary Report #3. **MOTION CARRIED.**

### PARKS AND RECREATION

Deputy Mayor Christie asked about the last sentence of the preamble for the Parks and Recreation Chapter, shown in italics and underline on page 73 of the draft MPS. He suggested that the reference to the time frame for the Sandy Lake development be changed.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie and Councillor J. Pryde, It was moved that the words "3 to 5 years" be changed to "several years". **MOTION CARRIED.**

### APPENDIX B TABLE III, PAGE 112

Deputy Mayor Christie directed his comments to Donna regarding items Special Care (4-10 residents) and Special Care (greater than 10 residents) and asked what status they have on Table III. Donna explained the special care for greater than 10 residents would be permitted through a development agreement in several designations and the special care for 4-10 residents would be permitted as-of-right within the residential and the RR designation. Some discussion followed. Donna stated that there are some "housekeeping" amendments which could be made to the Table in this regard, such as indicating that special care for greater than 10 residents are allowed in the Institutional and CCDD designation.

**ON MOTION** by Deputy Mayor Christie and Len Goucher it is so moved that we change the Table III Appendix B to show special care greater than 10 to be as of right in institutional and CCDD.

Donna brought the point out that the draft LUB already lists these uses with no limit on them in the Institutional Zone and the CCDD Zone, therefore Table III does not need to be amended.

Deputy Mayor Christie withdrew the **MOTION.**

Councillor Silver requested that the page number for Table III be corrected to say 111. Donna agreed that it would be addressed when correcting other typing errors.

Councillor Len Goucher turned the Chair back to Deputy Mayor Christie.

Policy R-12A

Councillor Cosgrove asked a question regarding Policy R-12A about whether or not garage entrances should have a setback requirement. Donna briefed the Council on this issue, also a discussion regarding underground wires took place.

**ON MOTION** by Councillor Cosgrove and Len Goucher it was moved that Item 2 of the staff Addendum dated March 6, 1996 be approved as follows: "cables shall be installed underground and metres" should be replaced with: "consideration shall be given for installation of underground electrical secondary services and electrical metres". **MOTION CARRIED.**

Addendum dated March 6, 1996

Donna directed Council's attention to the staff Addendum to Draft MPS/LUB documents dated March 26, 1996 and suggested that Council address each item.

Item No. 1 - **ON MOTION** to approve, moved by Councillors Cosgrove and Silver. **MOTION IS CARRIED.**

Item No. 3 - **ON MOTION** to approve, moved by Councillors Silver and Councillor Goucher. **MOTION IS CARRIED.**

Item No. 4 **ON MOTION** to approve moved by Councillors Silver and Goucher. **MOTION IS CARRIED.**

Item No. 5 - **ON MOTION** to approve moved by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item No. 6 - **ON MOTION** to approve moved by Councillors Silver and Councillor Goucher. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item No. 7 - **ON MOTION** to approve moved by Councillors Goucher and Silver. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item No. 8 - **ON MOTION** to approve moved by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove. **MOTION CARRIED.**

D. Davis-Lohnes further pointed out that the last item, number 8, addresses Appendix B, page 70. There is no dispute about existing uses, staff are just recommending that the business names and the type of land use be identified. She said the list needs to be revised.

Supplementary Report #3 dated March 25, 1996

Deputy Mayor Christie asked the Director of Planning if she would like to go on to the Supplementary Report dated March 25, 1996 items. She agreed.

**Item 1** - Donna explained that staff are recommending that the Atlantic Gardens property remain CGB zoned.

**ON MOTION** of Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it was moved that Atlantic Gardens remain CGB. **MOTION IS CARRIED.**

Len Goucher brought up a comparison between the Video Difference and Atlantic Gardens properties. He said it should be emphasized for the record that due to property size, parking, location, and type of business, the Video Difference property is in a different situation than Atlantic Gardens.

**Item 2 - ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Silver it was moved that recommendation number 2 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 3 - ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it was so moved that recommendation number 3 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 4** - D. Davis-Lohnes recommended that for item 4 the Town respond to Mr. Sarty in writing. She explained that there is nothing Council can do relative to his concerns through the MPS. Deputy Mayor Christie duly noted that Council would ask that staff respond to Mr. Sarty in writing.

**Item 5**- Mr. Hustins. - Council already dealt with this item.

**Item 6 - ON MOTION** by Councillor Silver and Councillor Cosgrove it was moved that recommendation number 6 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 7** - D. Davis-Lohnes pointed out that item number 7 will be dealt with when Council goes through the map approvals.

**Item 8** - D. Davis Lohnes stated that the recommendation is that Council discourage the development of cul-de-sacs in preference to through streets. Recommended changes to Policy T-19 and the second paragraph of Policy R-12C.

Discussion followed by Len Goucher to Donna Davis-Lohnes. Donna suggested that it could be clarified that this recommendation pertains to new development.

**ON MOTION** by Councillor Len Goucher and Councillor Cosgrove it was moved that



recommendation number 8 be approved with the amendment to add the following words to Policy T-19: "in new developments" between the words "cul-de-sacs" and "where". **MOTION IS CARRIED.**

**Item 9** - Donna Davis-Lohnes pointed out that this has already been approved.

**Item 10- ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Pryde it was so moved that recommendation number 10 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 11** - D. Davis-Lohnes explained that this is a point of clarification. No motion of Council is necessary.

**Item 12 - ON MOTION** by Councillors Pryde and Cosgrove it was moved that item number 12 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 13** - Deputy Mayor Christie mentioned that Council does not need a motion on this item because there is no new recommendation, this item is included in the draft documents and will be addressed in the final adoption of the documents.

**Item 14** - D. Davis-Lohnes briefed Council on this item. **ON MOTION** by Councillors Silver and Pryde it is so moved that a new policy be added to the Transportaion Chapter of the MPS to state that Council will work towards the new sidewalk standards, as described in Item 14, within the developed portions of the Town.

A discussion followed by Councillor Goucher that he will not support this motion. Deputy Mayor Christie will not support this motion.

**MOTION IS LOST.** M. Silver, J. Pryde voted in favour. P. Christie, L. Goucher, A. Cosgrove voted against.

Deputy Mayor Christie coming back to item 14, is there recommendations for new development we add sidewalks to local streets exceeding 500 ft in length

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Silver and Pryde it was so moved that item number 14 be approved as documented. **MOTION IS LOST.**

**Item No. 15 - ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it is so moved that Item number 15 be approved as documented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 16** - Regarding mobile canteen at 191 Rocky Lake Drive.

Staff recommended that the request not be considered. A discussion regarding this

took place. Councillor Len Goucher said that he will speak for Mayor Kelly's concerns. He said that Mayor Kelly would like to support this request.

**ON MOTION** by Len Goucher it was so moved that the request regarding 191 Rocky Lake Drive be approved by Council. Third call for a seconder. **MOTION LOST.**

**Item 17** - D. Davis-Lohnes gave a brief background on this item. **ON MOTION** by Councillors Cosgrove and Silver it is moved that item number 17 be approved as documented. Deputy Mayor Christie asked Councillor Cosgrove is she would amend her Motion to include a change that the word "vehicles" replace the words "goods and people" in the Transportation Objective. She agreed. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 18** - Mr. Michael re-zoning.

A discussion followed. Councillor Pryde expressed some concern in this matter. Councillor Silver said he would not support a motion to re-zone. Donna explained the staff recommendations and background of the request.

Councillor Silver stated he would not support Councillor Pryde's motion. She stated she had not made a motion.

Deputy Mayor Christie said to Councillor Pryde that if she wished to leave the recommendation that the property be re-zoned from CGB to CMC, there is no need for a motion at this time, it can be addressed at the time of approving the map amendments.

**Item 19** - Deputy Mayor Christie advised that this item had already been dealt with.

**Item 20** - CCDD Map issue. Donna suggested we would deal with this item at the time of map approvals. Councillor Silver suggested we deal with it at this time.

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Silver and Pryde it was so moved that the Cushing Hill CCDD properties be left as CCDD.

Councillor Len Goucher had a few comments and advised that he would not support this motion.

**MOTION CARRIED.**

**Item 21** - Dakin Company to rezone from RR to RSU.

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Silver and Cosgrove it was so moved that Item number 19 be approved as documented, in that the rezoning to RSU by Dakin Company be denied.

**MOTION CARRIED.**

**MAPS**

**Bedford South Properties**

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Cosgrove and Goucher it was so moved that the redesignation and re-zoning of the Bedford South properties be approved, as presented on the Generalized Future Land Use Map and Zoning Map. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Mainstreet Commercial Map**

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it was so moved that the re-zoning of properties on the Bedford Highway from CGB to CMC be approved, as presented, with the exception of the Atlantic Gardens property which will remain CGB. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Expansion of the ITR Designation and Zone**

Donna Davis-Lohnes brought the Council up to date on this issue. The balance of the lands that we had designated as industrial, Annapolis Basin has requested that that land be converted back to residential reserve and staff supports that. Donna showed Council members the outline on a large map on the wall.

**ON MOTION** of Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it is so moved that the Expansion of the ITR Designation on the Generalized Future Land Use Map and expansion of the ITR Zone on the Zoning map be approved as presented. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher Cosgrove It was so moved that the Generalized Future Land Use Map and the Zoning Map be adopted with the amended changes as discussed. **MOTION CARRIED.**

COUNCIL RECESSED 9:37

RESUMED 9:45

**SUB-DIVISION BY-LAW AMENDMENTS**

**ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it is moved to approve the Sub-



division By-Law Amendments. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Director of Planning directed Council to Supplementary Report #3 page 7. She said that the Subdivision By-law amendments should be addressed separately from the Municipal Services Standards and Specifications. She suggested that the sections under item number 22 of the Supplementary report be addressed individually.

Item 11.2.3 i, ii, iii: **ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Pryde it was so moved to approve this item as recommended, with any additional housekeeping change necessary to make the wording consistent with the equivalent section in the Land Use By-law. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item 11.2.3 iv: **ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Pryde it was so moved to approve this item as recommended. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item 11.2.3 v: **ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Pryde it was so moved to approve this item as recommended. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item: **Secondary Service- ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it was so moved that this item be approved as recommended. **MOTION CARRIED.**

**Section (2.12) in Part 2 Street specifications- ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Pryde It was so moved that this item be approved with the change that the line "Local Roadways (including cul-de-sacs) -- One Side" be excluded. **MOTION CARRIED.**

Item 3.12 primary service- **ON MOTION** by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove it was so moved to approve this item as recommended. **MOTION CARRIED.**

### **MUNICIPAL SERVICES STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.**

Deputy Mayor Christie asked Mr. Haysom about the issues were addressed in his report.

Mr. Haysom wanted to bring a few things to Council's attention. First at the Public Information Session that we had there was good discussion with the two people that showed up and as a result of that staff wanted to make some changes to the document. Particularly page 4 of the document item (7.4).

The second item he brought to Council's attention was the standard drawings that are

included with the document. There are a number of drawings that need to be revised. They relate to the fact that there was alterations made to the wording of the document and are mainly housekeeping changes. He added that these changes are incorporated in the draft specification document that has been circulated to Council.

Deputy Mayor reiterated that there was just the two changes mentioned by Mr. Haysom that are being recommended for change to the draft document. Councillor Goucher mentioned that page 6 would have to be changed as well, which addresses sidewalks.

Deputy Mayor Christie suggested that Council just go through Mr. Haysom's report and tick off those items where he's identified and then Council can deal with those as amendments as we did with the Planning Staff reports. Councillor Goucher expressed concern with the technical aspects of the report and that staff are the ones knowledgeable in these matters.

Councillor Silver wished to discuss lighting of walkways on page 9 Item (8.11). He wanted to be sure that this requirement would not apply to trails. Mr. Haysom said that it does not apply to trails.

**ON MOTION by Councillors Goucher and Cosgrove It was moved to accept the Municipal Services Standards and Specifications as part of the Subdivision By-law. MOTION CARRIED.**

**ON MOTION by Councillors Silver and Cosgrove It was moved to approve the amendments to the Subdivision By-law and all revisions as discussed at this meeting.**

The Motion was voted on and approved unanimously.

**ON MOTION by of Deputy Mayor Peter Christie and Councillor Silver, It was moved to adopt the Municipal Planning Strategy and Land Use By-law.**

**ON MOTION by councillors Goucher and Cosgrove, It was moved to approve all revisions to the Municipal Planning Strategy, Land Use By-law and Maps as discussed at this meeting, as part of the main motion. MOTION CARRIED.**

The motion was voted on and approved unanimously.

COUNCIL STANDS RECESSED AT 10:00 P.M. UNTIL FRIDAY MARCH 29, 1996 AT 7:30 A.M.

---

MAYOR PETER KELLY



**TOWN OF BEDFORD**

**Town Council**

**Friday, March 29, 1996**

A continued Session of Town Council of the Town of Bedford was held on Friday, March 29, 1996 at 7:30 a.m. in the Council Chambers, Mezzanine Level, 1595 Bedford Highway, Bedford, N.S.

ATTENDANCE: All Councillors were present excepting Councillor Stephen Oickle, Staff members in attendance included Rick Paynter, Acting Chief Administrative Officer, Donna Davis-Lohnes, Director of Planning and Development, and Fire Chief Neville Wheaton.

1. **CAMDEN STREET STORM DRAINAGE**

On the approval of Town Council, Jessie Sanford gave a brief overview of the storm water situation in the Camden Street area and presented Town Council with a draft of a petition being circulated in the neighbourhood for improvements to be undertaken to address the problems.

2. **EXPROPRIATION - TOLSON LANDS**

A brief overview of the expropriation process was given by Solicitor Michael Kennedy and upon the conclusion of that overview, the following resolution was moved by Councillor Goucher and seconded by Councillor Silver to proceed with the expropriation of five lots situated adjacent to the Scott Manor House in the Fort Sackville site.

**WHEREAS** the Town Council of the Town of Bedford has attached hereto a plan and description of certain land in the Town of Bedford, which land is required for the purposes of the Town of Bedford;

**AND WHEREAS** the Town Council of the Town of Bedford desires to expropriate the land hereinafter described and any and all interest and rights therein, for the said purpose;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the Town Council of the Town of Bedford that, pursuant to the provisions of the Expropriation Act R.S.N.S. 1989, as amended, the aforesaid land and any and all estate and interest therein be and the same is hereby expropriated for the purposes of the Town of Bedford, including, without limitation, so as to improve the lands presently

owned by the Town of Bedford adjacent to the lands being expropriated.

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Town Council of the Town of Bedford, that pursuant to the provisions of the Expropriation Act, aforesaid, the clerk in and for the Town of Bedford file with the Registrar of Deeds in and for the County of Halifax, a copy of the plan, a copy of the description, and a copy of this Resolution under the Seal of the Town of Bedford.

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the land hereby expropriated is described in Schedule C attached.

The resolution was approved unanimously.

It was indicated this matter had been ongoing for some years and this was seen as an appropriate and final step to be taken to bring this matter to a conclusion.

A second resolution was moved by Councillor Goucher and seconded by Councillor Pryde, that an expropriation offer be made in the amount of \$225,000.

**WHEREAS** Town Council of the Town of Bedford, by Resolution dated March 29, 1996, did expropriate certain land located in the Town of Bedford, as more particularly described in Schedule "A" (the "land") annexed to this Resolution;

**AND WHEREAS** the Town Council of the Town of Bedford desires to make an offer pursuant to the Expropriation Act, R.S.N.S. 1989, as amended, as full compensation for this expropriation;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** by the Town Council of the Town of Bedford, pursuant to the Expropriation Act, R.S.N.S. 1989, as amended, that the Town of Bedford offers the amount of Two Hundred Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$225,000.00) as full compensation for any and all interests in the land.

The second resolution was approved unanimously.

3. **AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT #94-04**

Donna Davis-Lohnes advised Council that all outstanding items relative to the Amendment to Development Agreements approved in principle on February 27th have been addressed.

ON MOTION of Councillor Len Goucher and Deputy Mayor Peter Christie, it was moved to approve the Planning staff report dated March 28, 1996 which addresses the outstanding items identified on February 27, 1996.

On a point of clarification, it was clarified that the 20' project identification sign is not approved and that the site disturbance line and retaining structures shown on the second site plan must be moved to the edge of the retail parking lot.

The motion was carried unanimously.

4. **FRED HALL - UNION STREET - CONCERNS REGARDING SOUND BARRIER**

Donna Davis-Lohnes gave a brief overview of her discussions with Mr. Fred Hall relative to his concerns over the wooden fence to be constructed along the back of his property in addition to the 14' concrete block wall enclosure for the loading bays and trash compactor, as part of the expansion works to the Bedford Place Mall. Mr. Hall had requested whether the wooden fence could be substituted with a block wall barrier.

Donna Davis-Lohnes presented this request to the contractors for the expansion and the answer was no. Consequently, the fence will remain a seven foot tall wooden structure.

5. **LEASE AGREEMENT WITH THE TORRINGTON RESIDENTS ASSOCIATION**

Donna Davis-Lohnes circulated a revised copy of a lease agreement prepared by Jack Innes relative to the central open space area in the Torrington development project. Previous concern relative to Torrington Residents' Association members possibly restricting the use of the property to area residents only was reviewed with the Town's Solicitor however it was recommended by the Solicitor that no further amendments be attempted to the lease agreement to address the situation.

ON MOTION of Councillor Goucher and seconded by Deputy Mayor Christie, it was moved that the lease agreement, as presented, be approved. Motion approved unanimously.

6. **SCOTT MANOR HOUSE LEASE AGREEMENT**



Donna Davis-Lohnes circulated to Council a lease agreement prepared by Solicitor Jack Innes giving management rights to the Fort Sackville Foundation. The lease pertains to both the Manor House and the Fort Sackville site. The annual rent for the property to the Fort Sackville Foundation would be \$1.00.

It was moved by Councillor Pryde that the Town of Bedford enter into this agreement subject to adding the Ordinance Grounds Properties. Councillor Goucher asked for clarification as to whether or not the present agreement covered the Ordinance Grounds Properties expropriated by Town Council. It was indicated that these could be added to the lease.

Councillor's Pryde motion was seconded by Deputy Mayor Peter Christie. Motion approved unanimously.

**7. AMENDMENT TO SOBEY'S DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT**

A presentation by Donna Davis-Lohnes and developer representative, Barry Zwicker, served the purpose of giving Council a brief overview of the proposed changes to the Development Agreement for the lands owned by Sobey's in the Mill Cove area of Bedford.

Following this presentation, Council gave complements regarding the quality of the revised proposal.

ON MOTION of Councillor Len Goucher and Councillor Joan Pryde, it was moved that Town Council approve the request of Sobey's Leased Properties Limited regarding the multiple unit site within the Sobey's Mill Cove development as described in the staff report dated March 25, 1996 and illustrated by the detailed site and architectural plans prepared by Paul Skerry Architects Limited dated March 1996, as a non-substantial amendment to Development Agreement #95-03.

The motion was carried unanimously.

Barry Zwicker thanked Town Council at the conclusion of this discussion for the cooperation and respect in dealing with various development issues over the past three years.

8. **M.P.S. REVIEW - CLARIFICATION ON POLICY C10  
AS IT PERTAINS TO CUSHING HILL**

On a point of clarification, Donna Davis-Lohnes advised Town Council that Policy C-10, which restricts residential development within the Cushing Hill CCDD, will not be included in the newly adopted MPS as a result of Council's Motion to adopt the document as presented to them on March 26th. Town Council acknowledged the clarification.

9. **POLICE CONTRACT**

It was moved by Councillor Silver and seconded by Councillor Cosgrove, that despite the disapproval by Amalgamation Co-ordinator, Bill Hayward, the Police Agreement as negotiated between the Town of Bedford and the Bedford Police Personnel be approved and signed. Motion approved unanimously.

10. **CONSTRUCTION ARRANGEMENTS - NEW FIRE HALL**

Following a brief review and discussion pertaining to a construction management proposal to cost \$44,000 relative to the new Fire Hall construction project, it was moved by Marvin Silver and seconded by Councillor Cosgrove that this construction management proposal be accepted.

In reviewing the details of this situation, Rick Paynter, Acting Chief Administrative Officer, expressed reservations about the need and its necessity for entering into this type of contract arrangement on a project of this size. It was felt that the contract management arrangements were to be provided by the architect, Noel Fowler in his agreement with Town Council. Motion approved unanimously.

11. **UNION STREET WORKS**

Following a continuation of ongoing discussions with respect to Union Street (Tuesday, March 26, 1996), it was agreed to by Town Council, because of the problems created by Contract 95-21 that Council would extend Contract #95-21 - Union Street Upgrading to address the storm drainage problems that are being experienced by the residents in this area. This contract extension would result in an increase to the overall contract by some \$280,000.

It was moved by Councillor Goucher, seconded by Councillor Silver that this contract extension be approved. Motion approved unanimously.

12. TRANSITIONAL REPORT

It was agreed that some further additions and updates would be required to the Transitional Report and these were referred to Mayor Kelly for his action prior to submission to the Halifax Regional Council.

13. EMERGENCY SERVICES - FIRE DEPARTMENT OPERATIONS

A brief overview as presented by Chief Neville Wheaton regarding concerns associated with the Fire Department's continued certification to perform certain emergency medical services as well as concerns over the apparent restructuring of the volunteer organization in Bedford.

Following this discussion it was moved by Councillor Silver and seconded by Deputy Mayor Christie, that Council request that the rank structure within the volunteer organization in Bedford remain as part of the Fire Department structure for Bedford. Motion approved unanimously.

14. CORRESPONDENCE - MAYOR KELLY

Mayor Kelly read into the record correspondence received from the Armoyan Group and from Ted and Connie Cunningham with regards to the loss of the Town of Bedford by amalgamation.

As a final note prior to adjournment, Mayor Kelly thanked all Council for their service and cooperation to the Town of Bedford and wished everyone well in the coming future.

Meeting adjourned approximately 9:55 a.m.

---

MAYOR PETER KELLY