

### INVENTORY OF FUEL, GAS & OILS ON HAND, DECEMBER 31, 1952

ARTICLES	PRICE	VALUE
55 Gals. Marvelube.....	@ \$ .96	\$ 52.80
1,000 " Furnace Oil.....	@ 16.90 per 100	169.00
262 " Esso Gas.....	@ 26.30 per 100	68.90
7,000 " Bunker Oil.....	@ 9.30 per 100	651.00
		<u>\$ 941.70</u>

### INVENTORY OF MILL FEEDS ON HAND DECEMBER 31ST, 1952

26 Bags Pig Starter.....	@ \$4.50	\$ 126.00
85 " Dairy Ration.....	@ 3.45	293.25
14 " Bran.....	@ 2.75	38.50
73 " Laying Mash.....	@ 4.25	310.25
86 " Hog Grower.....	@ 3.80	326.80
25 " Scratch.....	@ 3.55	88.75
16 " Growing Mash.....	@ 3.60	57.60
		<u>\$1,241.15</u>

### INVENTORY OF PIGS AND CHICKENS ON HAND DECEMBER 31st., 1952

73 Pigs.....	@ \$12.00	\$ 876.00
600 Hens.....	@ 1.75	1,050.00
		<u>\$1,926.00</u>

### INVENTORY OF CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR ON HAND DECEMBER 31st., 1952

53 Pr. Sneakers.....	@ \$ 3.00	\$ 159.00
14 Sweaters.....	@ 5.00	70.00
21 Suits.....(3 pc.).....	@ 24.00	504.00
17 Jackets.....	@ 10.00	170.00
5 Pr. Rubber Boots.....	@ 5.00	25.00
33 " Braces.....	@ 1.00	33.00
62 " Trousers.....	@ 4.75	294.50
20 " Pyjamas.....	@ 3.75	75.00
196 " Socks.....	@ .85	166.60
60 Suits Underwear.....	@ 3.75	225.00
20 Pr. Leather Boots.....	@ 7.00	140.00
128 Shirts.....	@ 3.00	384.00
23 Dresses.....	@ 4.25	97.75
40 Nightgowns.....	@ 2.50	100.00
42 Pr. Ladies Shoes.....	@ 3.98	167.16
15 " Golf Hose.....	@ .85	12.75
		<u>\$2,623.76</u>

INVENTORY OF PROVISIONS AND GROCERIES ON HAND, DECEMBER  
31st., 1952

ARTICLES	PRICE	VALUE
8 Lbs. Coconut.....	@ \$0.23.....	\$ 1.84
82 Btls. Catsup.....	@ 0.22.....	18.04
29 Lbs. Baking Soda.....	@ 0.09 $\frac{3}{4}$ .....	2.83
21 Lbs. Dry Mustard.....	@ 5.50 case 24-4 oz. Pk.....	19.17
2 Gals. Prepared Mustard.....	@ 1.18 Gal.....	2.36
3 Cases Tinned Peas.....	@ 4.75 Case.....	14.25
9 Cases Fruit Juice.....	@ 2.59 Case 24-20 oz.....	23.31
32 Pkg. Soda Biscuits.....	@ 6.63 Bx. 24-16 oz. Pkg.....	8.64
7 Cases Soup.....	@ 5.46 Case.....	38.22
10 Tins Corn.....	@ 2.00 Dozen.....	1.67
1 Case Cornstarch.....	@ 6.40 case.....	6.40
15 Cases Tomatoes.....	@ 6.00 case.....	90.00
27 Tins Salmon.....	@ 19.80 Case of 4 doz.....	11.14
112 Lbs. Coffee.....	@ 0.90 Lb.....	100.80
385 Lbs. Jelly Powder.....	@ 0.41 Lb.-8 Lb. case.....	157.85
54 Pkg. Icing Sugar.....	@ 0.11 Pkg.....	5.94
4 Cases Prunes...(30 Lbs. ea.).....	@ 0.22 $\frac{1}{2}$ Lb.....	27.00
4 Cases Evaporated Peaches.....	@ 0.31 $\frac{1}{2}$ Pk. (Cs. 24-12 oz. Pk)	30.24
200 Lbs. Brown Sugar.....	@ 8.60 - 100 Lb. Bag.....	17.20
8 Bags Coarse Salt.....	@ 1.85 - 100 Lb. Bag.....	14.80
2 Bags Fine Salt.....	@ 1.05 Bag.....	2.10
500 Lbs. Onions.....	@ 2.80 per 50 Lb. Bag.....	28.00
130 Lbs. Tea.....	@ .50 Lb.....	65.00
70 Doz. Eggs.....	@ .50 Dozen.....	35.00
3 Bags Rolled Oats.....	@ 19.75 Bag.....	59.25
1 Bag Cornmeal.....	@ 8.10 Bag.....	8.10
30 Lbs. Evaporated Apples.....	@ 0.29 Lb. (Bx. of 50 Lb.).....	8.70
1 Case Evaporated Milk.....	@ 6.95 Case.....	6.95
1 Bag Barley.....	@ 5.85.....	5.85
50 Lbs. Rice.....	@ 13.85 per 100 Lb. Bag.....	6.92
150 Bags Flour.....	@ 5.70 per Bag.....	855.00
150 Lbs. Weiners.....	@ 0.40 Lb.....	60.00
200 Lbs. Bologna.....	@ 0.28 Lb.....	56.00
600 Lbs. Beef.....	@ 0.40 Lb.....	240.00
500 Lbs. Pork.....	@ 0.28 Lb.....	140.00
540 Lbs. Margarine.....	@ 0.30 Lb.....	162.00
150 Lbs. Butter.....	@ 0.59 $\frac{1}{2}$ Lb.....	89.25
9 Gals. Vinegar.....	@ 0.44 Gal. (10 Gal. Keg.).....	3.96
		<u>\$2,423.78</u>

**DETAIL OF HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL INVESTMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 1952**

One	(1) Dominion of Canada 4th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due May 1, 1957, Bearer, No. H0067456.....	\$ 50.00
Three	(3) Dominion of Canada 4th Victory Loan Bonds, 3%, due May 1, 1957, Bearer, Nos. A317735-36-37 @ \$100.00 each	300.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 4th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due May 1, 1957, Fully Registered, No. L4-V02601.....	5,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 4th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due May 1, 1957, Fully Registered, No. L4-Z31749.....	500.00
Four	(4) Dominion of Canada 5th Victory Loan Bonds, 3%, due January 1, 1959, Fully Registered, Nos. L7-M31054-55-56-57 @ \$1,000.00 each.....	4,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 7th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due February 1, 1962, Fully Registered, No. P3-V04664 ..	5,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 8th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due October 1, 1963, Fully Registered, No. P5-V03063.....	5,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 8th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due October 1, 1963, Fully Registered, No. P5-Z30920.....	500.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 8th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due October 1, 1963, Fully Registered, No. P5-V03064.....	5,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 8th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due October 1, 1963, Fully Registered, No. P5-M34559.....	1,000.00
One	(1) Dominion of Canada 9th Victory Loan Bond, 3%, due September 1, 1966, Fully Registered, No. P7-V07950	5,000.00
		<b>\$ 31,350.00</b>

**REPORT OF FINANCE COMMITTEE RE SINKING FUNDS**

To His Honor the Warden and County Council.

Gentlemen:—

Your Committee on Finance beg leave to submit herewith a statement of Municipal Sinking Funds on December 31, 1952, and how invested.

Court House Loan 1931 authorized by Chapter 123, Acts 1931 for \$67,000.00.

1951		
Dec. 31	Amount of Fund.....	\$ 42,149.12
1952		
Dec. 31	Interest on Bonds Received.....	1,450.01
	Interest on Bank Account.....	.92
	Annual Installment.....	1,300.00
		\$ 44,900.05

Invested as follows:

Three	(3)	Dominion of Canada Bonds, 3%, due March 1, 1954, Bearer, Nos. K8-A387225-387226-387227, at \$100.00 each	300.00
Two	(2)	Dominion of Canada Bonds, 3%, due June 1, 1960, Fully Registered, Nos. M14653-14654, \$1,000.00 each	2,000.00
Two	(2)	Dominion of Canada Bonds, 3%, due February 1, 1962, Bearer, Nos. A170793-170794, at \$100.00 each.....	200.00
Five	(5)	City of Halifax Bonds, 3%, due November 1, 1961, Bearer, Nos. N1785-1786-1787-1788-1789, at \$1,000.00 each.....	5,000.00
Three	(3)	City of Halifax Bonds, 3%, due November 1, 1962, Bearer, Nos. N1938-1939-1940, at \$1,000.00 each.....	3,000.00
Five	(5)	City of Halifax Bonds, 3%, due November 1, 1963, Bearer, Nos. 2072-2073-2074-2075-2076, at \$1,000.00 each.....	5,000.00
One	(1)	City of Halifax Bond, 3 ¼%, due November 1, 1961, Bearer, No. 01398.....	995.00
One	(1)	City of Halifax Bond, 3 ¼%, due November 1, 1962, Bearer, No. 01551.....	992.50
Two	(2)	Town of Wolfville Bonds, 4%, due July 15, 1960, Bearer, Nos. L67-68, at \$500.00 each.....	1,000.00
Four	(4)	Town of New Glasgow Bonds, 5%, due November 1, 1958, Bearer, Nos. W177-181-197-198, at \$500.00 each.	2,020.00
One	(1)	Town of Amherst Bond, 3 ¼%, due December 1, 1961, Bearer, No. 49A-23.....	1,006.25
Three	(3)	Public Service Commission of Bridgewater, 3 ¼%, due June 1, 1960, Bearer, Nos. W36-37-38, at \$1,000.00 each.	3,007.50
One	(1)	Municipality of the County of Halifax Bond, 5%, due June 1, 1961, Bearer, No. 71.....	566.92
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 ¼%, due June 1, 1961, Fully Registered, No. 5468.....	765.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 ½%, due January 2, 1955, Fully Registered, No. 5046.....	730.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 ½%, due June 1, 1955, Fully Registered, No. 5113.....	1,700.00
		December 31, 1955, Fully Registered, No. 5177.....	530.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 ¼%, due December 31, 1956, Fully Registered, No. 5379.....	625.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 ¼%, due June 1, 1961, Fully Registered, No. 5631.....	550.00

One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3 —%, due June 1, 1961, Fully Registered, No. 5859.....	1,000.00
One	(1)	Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Debenture, 3¼%, due June 1, 1956, Fully Registered, No. B4006.....	1,700.00
One	(1)	Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Debenture, 3¼%, due July 1, 1957, Fully Registered, No. B4267.....	1,800.00
One	(1)	Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Debenture, 3.6%, due June 1, 1961, Fully Registered, No. B5216.....	2,965.00
One	(1)	Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Debenture, 3 —%, due June 1, 1961, Fully Registered, No. B5655.....	2,050.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Debenture, Fully Registered, 4 —%, due June 1, 1961, No. 7131.....	2,600.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Debenture, Fully Registered, 4 —%, due June 1, 1961, No. 7691.....	2,700.00
		Savings Account Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company, No. 12127.....	96.88
			<u>\$ 44,900.05</u>

Court House Loan 1933, authorized by Chapter 113, Acts 1943, for \$15,000.00.

1951			
Dec. 31		Amount of Fund.....	\$ 14,455.84
1952			
Dec. 31		Interest on Bonds Received.....	531.52
		Interest on Bank Account.....	.10
			<u>\$ 14,987.46</u>

		Invested as follows:	
One	(1)	Dominion of Canada Bond, 3%, due March 1, 1954, Bearer, No. K8-B054357.....	500.00
Two	(2)	City of Halifax Bonds, 5¼%, due January 1, 1953, Bearer, Nos. 4622-4623, at \$1,000.00 each.....	2,010.60
Four	(4)	Town of Wolfville Bonds, 4%, due July 15, 1953, Bearer, Nos. L37-38-39-40, at \$500.00 each.....	2,000.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5469.....	235.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3¼%, due May 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5713.....	750.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3¼%, due December 31, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5860.....	890.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5047.....	940.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5112.....	600.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3½%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5178.....	180.00
One	(1)	Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Bond, 3¼%, Fully Registered, due April 1, 1953, No. B4007.....	600.00
One	(1)	Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5380.....	250.00

One	(1) Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5467.....	760.00
One	(1) Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Company Bond, 3%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 5632.....	1,120.00
One	(1) Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Bond, 3¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. B5217..	935.00
One	(1) Nova Scotia Savings, Loan and Building Society Bond, 3¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. B5656..	1,475.00
One	(1) Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 3¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 7130.....	1,200.00
One	(1) Eastern Canada Savings and Loan Bond, 2¼%, due April 1, 1953, Fully Registered, No. 7689.....	500.00
	Savings Account Royal Bank of Canada, Spring Garden Road, No. 1596.....	41.86
		<u>\$ 14,987.46</u>

We have examined the above securities and found them as above stated.

W. J. DOWELL,  
Warden

F. G. H. LEVERMAN,  
Chairman Finance Committee.

We, the undersigned, hereby certify that we have examined the Sinking Funds and find same to be as above stated.

We have also examined the securities held for Sinking Fund Purposes and certify that total amounts to \$59,887.51. These Sinking Funds are in connection with Bonds issued for Joint Purposes for Capital Expenditures on the Court House.

FRANK E. SMITH, C.A.  
WALTER A. STECH, C.A.,  
Auditors.

Adopted, March 11, 1953.

**MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX**  
**WATER UTILITY**  
**PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**REVENUE FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**ASSETS**

Cash in Royal Bank of Canada.....	\$ 714.23
Rates Receivable.....	17,704.45
Accounts Receivable Customers Services Installed.....	10,738.75
Sundry Accounts Receivable.....	160.00
	\$ 29,317.43

**REVENUE FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**LIABILITIES**

Due to Municipality of Halifax —	
General Revenue Fund.....	\$19,353.77
Due to Water Utility — Capital Fund.....	8,446.08
Surplus.....	1,517.58
	\$29,317.43

**REVENUE**

Water and Fire Protection Rates.....	\$25,888.56
Deficit.....	1,747.61
	\$ 27,636.17

**EXPENDITURE**

Water Purchased.....	\$ 6,955.62	
Salaries.....	2,600.00	
Maintenance of Services.....	600.96	
Maintenance of Distribution Mains.....	1,469.33	
Maintenance of Meters.....	2.80	
Maintenance of Hydrants.....	484.61	
Transportation Expenses.....	938.49	
Supplies.....	862.60	
Miscellaneous Operating Expense.....	95.63	
Office Expense.....	230.72	
Unemployment Insurance.....	72.91	
Total Operating Expense.....	\$14,313.67	
Debt Charges — Serial Debentures		
Redeemed.....	6,000.00	
Debenture Interest.....	7,322.50	
	\$13,322.50	
		\$27,636.17

**MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX  
WATER UTILITY  
PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**ASSETS**

Utility Plant in Service.....	\$209,500.66
Construction Work in Progress.....	5,253.57
Due to/from Utility Revenue Fund.....	8,446.08
	<u>\$223,200.31</u>

**CAPITAL FUND BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 1952**

**LIABILITIES**

Royal Bank of Canada — Capital Account..	\$ 6,253.57
Debenture Debt.....	192,000.00
Due to Municipality of Halifax General Revenue Fund.....	14,493.66
Reserve for Depreciation of Utility Plant, etc.....	2,453.08
Capital Fund Surplus.....	8,000.00
	<u>\$223,200.31</u>

**CONTINUITY OF INVESTMENTS IN CAPITAL ASSETS (CAPITAL  
SURPLUS)**

Surplus December 31, 1951.....	\$ 2,000.00
Bonds Redeemed — North Woodside.....	2,000.00
Bonds Redeemed — Tufts Cove.....	4,000.00
	<u>\$ 6,000.00</u>
	<u>\$ 8,000.00</u>

**CONTINUITY OF CURRENT SURPLUS**

Surplus December 31, 1951.....	\$ 3,265.19
Less — Deficit for year.....	1,747.61
	<u>\$ 1,517.58</u>

Adopted, March 16, 1953.

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE JAILOR OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX FOR  
THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1952**

To His Honor the Warden and the Councillors  
of the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

I beg herewith to submit my report of the County Jail for the year ending December 31, 1952.

During the year there were committed to the Jail 651 criminals and a decrease of 3 debtors; the total being 43 more than the previous year.

On December 31st there were 22 male prisoners and 2 female prisoners in the Jail.

The largest number of prisoners in the Jail at one time during the year was on November 27th, there being 30 male and 2 female prisoners. The total number of prisoners committed during the year was 668.

The sanitary conditions of the Jail are very good and the walls are kept white-washed and free from vermin and there is plenty of heat and fresh air.

Your Welfare Committee has visited the Jail regularly and inspected the same, seeing all the inmates and enquiring for complaints.

The health of the inmates during the year has been very good, except for minor ailments; all of which were looked after by the Jail Physician.

The conduct of the prisoners during the year has been very good with but a few exceptions and they have been getting their usual hour in the yard — weather permitting.

During the year 35 prisoners were sent to Dorchester; 32 to the City Prison and 135 served sentences in the Jail.

The amount of money received during the year for board of prisoners under the Liquor Commission Act, and Debtors, was \$1,292.70; all of which has been paid over to the Municipal Clerk.

One section of the fence will need repairing this spring as it is in very bad condition. The building is in very good repair considering the age.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) **GEORGE MITCHELL,**  
Jailor.

Received and Filed, February 26, 1953.

## AN ACT RELATING TO THE MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

Be it enacted by the Governor and Assembly as follows:

1. In this Act (a) "Council" means the Council of the Municipality;

(b) "Judge" means Judge of the County Court for the District to which the land or property or any part thereof, entered upon, taken or expropriated under the provisions of this Act, is situate, or a Judge of the Supreme Court;

(c) "land" includes:

(i) any land, whether held in fee simple or for any lesser interest; any stream, water course or land covered with water, or the right to dam up or stop any flow of water and thereby to overflow any land; and

(iii) any easement, right or privilege, in, under, upon, over or relating to any land or land covered with water;

(d) "Municipality" means the Municipality of the County of Halifax;

(e) "owner" includes trustees, executor, guardian, curator, agent or other person having the charge for control of land.

2. Whenever the Council is of the opinion that any land or interest therein, whether situate within the Municipality or elsewhere, is required by the Municipality for any purpose connected with the water supply or sewer service of the Municipality, or, for the purpose of widening or extending any existing street or road or the opening of any new street or road or in connection with any municipal building, or for public parks, recreational fields or cemeteries, or for any other public work or service of the Municipality, the Council may acquire the land by contract at a price not deemed excessive.

3. (1) Whenever the Council requires land for the aforementioned purposes or any of them and in the opinion of Council no agreement can be made for the purchase thereof, the Council may expropriate the land.

(2) When the Council decides to expropriate any land, it shall cause to be prepared a plan and description of such land, and for any purposes connected with any such expropriation the Municipality or any of its officers, servants or agents may enter upon any land in respect to which the expropriation is contemplated, and survey or examine the same, and if necessary in its or his judgment may make borings or other excavations therein, and if such expropriation is not made, any damage to the land shall be paid for by the Municipality.

(3) Upon the passage of a resolution by the Council for expropriation of any land at a compensation which shall be named in said resolution, the Municipality may pay to the Prothonotary of the Supreme Court at Halifax or to the Clerk of the County Court for the district in which the land is situate the amount named in such resolution as the compensation to be paid for the land.

(4) Notice of any such resolution for expropriation and payment into Court shall be given in writing to the owner of the land so expropriated if he resides in the City or the County of Halifax, and is known to the Assessor of the City or the Clerk of the Municipality, or to any agent or representative of the owner so residing and known, and also by publication of a copy of such resolution with a general description of the land expropriated and a statement of any amount so paid into Court and a notice that a detailed description of such land may be inspected at the office of the Clerk of the Municipality for a period of one month from the date of the first insertion thereof in a newspaper published in the City for two weeks by at least two insertions in each week.

(5) The plan and description caused to be prepared by the Council as aforesaid shall on the passage of such resolution for expropriation be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Municipality, where it may be inspected by any person

interested, and a copy of such plan and description shall also be lodged in the office of the Registrar of Deeds for the County or registration district in which such land is situated.

(6) In any case where any omission, misstatement or erroneous description has been made in any plan or description so lodged, a correct plan or description may be lodged in the office of the said Registrar of Deeds, which shall refer to the plan or plans already filed by the registered number thereof, and the lodging of such correct plan and description shall, from the date of its lodging, have the same effect as the lodging of the original or first plan or description. The Registrar of Deeds shall enter upon such original or first plan or description and any subsequent plans or descriptions previously lodged a memorandum stating that a corrected plan or description has been lodged and the date of such lodging.

(7) In any case where a plan and description purporting to have been signed by an officer of the Municipality is so lodged, the same shall be deemed to have been so lodged by the direction and under the authority of the Council and as indicating that in the judgment of the Council the land therein described is necessary for a purpose hereinbefore authorized and the lodging of such plan and description shall not be called in question except by the Municipality or by some person acting for it.

(8) Upon the lodging in the office of the Registrar of Deeds of the copy of such plan, the title to the land or interest therein declared to be expropriated shall be absolutely vested in the Municipality in fee simple, free from any encumbrance or lien of any description whatsoever.

(9) Where the land is required for a limited time only, or where an interest therein less than a fee simple interest is required, the plan and description so filed and lodged shall indicate by appropriate words, written or printed thereon, that the land is taken for such limited time only, or that an interest less than a fee simple interest is taken, and by such lodging, in such case, the right of possession for such limited time or for such lesser interest shall become and be vested in the Municipality.

4. If any resistance or opposition is made by any person to the Municipality or any of its officials, servants or agents entering upon and taking possession of any lands on behalf of the Municipality, a Judge of the Supreme Court, on proof of the passing of such resolution, the lodging of such plan in the office of the Clerk of the Municipality, and a copy thereof in said Registry of Deeds, and after notice to show cause given in such manner as he directs, may issue his warrant to the Sheriff of the County within which such lands are situated, directing him to put down such resistance or opposition, and to put the Municipality in possession thereof; and the Sheriff shall take with him sufficient assistance for such purpose, and shall put down such resistance and opposition, and shall put the Municipality in possession thereof; and shall forthwith make return to the Supreme Court of such warrant and of the manner in which he executed the same.

5. (1) If the owner considers the amount of such compensation insufficient or if no compensation has been paid into Court he may, within six months from the service upon him of the notice referred to in subsection (4) of Section 3, or the first publication thereof, whichever is the later, give notice in writing to the Clerk of the Municipality that he requires the amount of compensation to be determined as hereinafter provided.

(2) The amount of compensation shall thereupon be determined by a Judge, who upon application of the Municipality or the owner, may appoint in writing a time and place at which he will determine the amount of such compensation and may give such directions for the service of the appointment and as to the persons to be served as he shall deem proper.

(3) Where at any time before the compensation has been actually ascertained or determined, or prior to the payment out of Court of any money paid into Court by the Municipality in respect of the expropriation of any land, the land or any part of it is found to be unnecessary for the purpose for which it was expropriated, or if it is found that a more limited estate or interest therein only is

required, the Council may by resolution, a certified copy of which shall be registered in the office of the Registrar of Deeds of the County or District where the land is situated, declare that the land or such part thereof is not required and is abandoned by the Municipality or that it is intended to retain only such limited estate or interest as is stated in such resolution, and thereupon —

- (a) the land declared to be abandoned shall revert in the person from whom it was taken or in those entitled to claim under him, or
- (b) in the event of a limited estate, or interest therein being retained by the Municipality, the land shall so revert subject to the estate or interest so retained;
- (c) in either case the compensation to be paid to the owner shall be determined as hereinbefore provided.

(4) Where the amount of the claim exceeds Five Hundred Dollars, the Municipality or the owner may by leave of the Supreme Court en banco appeal to that Court from any determination or order of the Judge under this Act as to compensation, and the leave may be granted on such terms as to the appellant giving security for costs and otherwise as the Court may deem just.

(5) The practice and procedure as to appeal and incidental thereto shall be the same mutatis mutandis as upon an appeal from a County Court.

(6) The decision of the Supreme Court en banco shall be final.

(7) Except where clearly inapplicable, the Rules and Practice of the Supreme Court shall apply to all proceedings under this Act.

(8) The costs of and incident to any proceedings taken under this Act shall be in the discretion of the Judge, except costs of and incident to any appeal taken under this Act to the Supreme Court en banco, in which case such costs shall be in the discretion of that Court.

Such costs shall be those prescribed by the Costs and Fees Act.

(9) If the owner does not within six months from notice of the passage of the resolution for expropriation give to the Clerk of the Municipality notice that he requires the amount of compensation to be determined as aforesaid he shall be deemed to have accepted the amount of compensation paid into Court as sufficient, but in such case any holder of any charge or incumbrance on the land expropriated, or otherwise interested therein, may give such notice within one month from the expiry of the six months and a hearing shall proceed accordingly.

(10) In determining the amount of compensation to be paid for any land expropriated the Judge shall take into consideration the relative benefit and injury occasioned to any remaining portion of the owner's land, adding thereto an estimate for the cost of fencing such portion, if rendered necessary. The amount of such estimate for fencing need not be paid into Court, but may be paid to the owner on such fencing being completed.

(11) If the Municipality has not made payment into Court, or if the amount of compensation determined by the Judge exceeds the amount paid into Court, the Municipality shall pay the amount determined or the amount of the excess into Court. If such amount is less than the amount paid into Court, the difference may be paid out to the Municipality.

(12) Any money paid into Court on account of any expropriation shall be subject to every lien, incumbrance or other charge to which the land or interest therein expropriated was subject.

(13) Any money paid into Court may be paid out to the person or persons entitled thereto on the order of a Judge of the Supreme Court and, on any application the Judge shall make such orders and direct such notices to be given as he deems necessary to protect all persons interested in such money.

(6) (1) Any sums now or hereafter owing to the Municipality by the owner of real property in the Municipality for work done and services and materials supplied by the Municipality in the construction and maintenance of sewer and water pipes connecting the owner's property to a public sewer or a public water main shall be a lien upon the real property in respect of which such sums arose of the same nature

and quality as a lien for rates and taxes which may be rated or assessed on that property under the Assessment Act.

(2) The amount of any such lien may be added by the Clerk of the Municipality to the amount of the rates and taxes assessed on any such property for the year next following the year in which such lien first arises, and the provisions of the Assessment Act for the enforcing of liens against real property for rates and taxes shall apply, mutatis mutandis, for the enforcing of liens under this Section and the realizing by the Municipality of the amounts secured by those liens.

7. (1) The amount to be rated and levied for fire protection in respect of the water supply and distribution system operated in the Municipality since January 1st, A. D., 1949, either by the Municipality or the Public Service Commission of Halifax, shall be a sum determined by the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities of the Province of Nova Scotia, as being a sum sufficient to cover charges for interest, debt retirement, depreciation, maintenance and operation on that part of the water supply and distribution system, that is attributable to fire protection of the area concerned.

(2) To the above sum shall be added, with the consent of the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for the Province of Nova Scotia, twenty-five percent of the estimated cost and charges for interest, debt retirement, depreciation, maintenance and operation of all extensions of and additions to, the water supply and distribution system after the initial sum has been determined by the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities for the Province of Nova Scotia.

(3) The estimate of costs and charges referred to in this Section shall be made by the Public Services Committee of the Council and shall be approved by the Council before becoming an amount to be assessed and levied for fire protection.

(4) After such estimate of costs and charges has been approved by Council and the amount to be rated and levied for fire protection has been ascertained in the manner hereinbefore prescribed the amount approved by Council under subsection (3) shall be rated and levied as a fire protection rate upon the value of all assessable real and personal property in an area served by the water supply and distribution system in the Municipality within twelve hundred feet from a fire hydrant of the system measured continuously along roads or rights of way leading from the hydrant to the property.

(5) The amount of such fire protection rate shall be a lien of the same nature and quality as a lien for general municipal rates and taxes and shall be collected in the same way in all respects as general municipal rates and taxes are collected.

(6) Notwithstanding any Act other than the Assessment Act no property in an area served by the water supply and distribution system of the Municipality in any part of the Municipality within twelve hundred feet from a fire hydrant of the system measured continuously along roads or rights of way leading from the hydrant to the property shall be exempt from a fire protection rate.

(7) This Section shall be read and construed and given effect as if it had been enacted on the first day of January, 1949.

8. (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 80 of the Revised Statutes, 1923, "Of Ferries" or of the provisions of any other Act, the Council shall have power and jurisdiction with respect to the following Ferries:—

The Ferry from Oakland Road in the City of Halifax to Jollimore in the Municipality of the County of Halifax;

The Ferry from Point Pleasant Park in the City of Halifax to Purcell's Cove in the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

9. (1) No person shall permit property in the Municipality, owned or occupied by him, to become partly demolished, decayed or deteriorated so as to be in a dangerous, or unsightly, or unhealthful condition, or shall permit to remain upon any part of the said property any ashes, junk, cleanings of yards, bodies, or parts of automobiles or other vehicles or machinery, or other rubbish or encumbrance so as to give offence to the public dignity, peace, health or well-being or so as to cause such place to become unsightly or offensive to the public; and no other person shall in any way aid, assist, or cause such conditions in respect of any such real property.

(2) Should such a condition arise or exist (whether it arose before or after the passing of this Act) the Sanitary Inspector for the District shall bring the matter to the attention of the Halifax County Planning Board who may, after full investigation, issue instructions to the Clerk of the Municipality to serve notice upon the owner or occupier requiring him to remedy the same and signifying in such notice what the Halifax County Planning Board requires to be done; such notice may be served by being posted in a conspicuous place upon the property, or may be personally served upon the person therein named.

(3) In event of the failure of the person so notified to comply with the requirements of such notice within ten days after service of the same, the Sanitary Inspector or any person authorized by the Halifax County Planning Board may enter upon the said property without writ, warrant or other legal process and remedy the condition which the Halifax County Planning Board has required to be remedied and charge the actual cost of so doing against the owner or occupant, and may recover the same in an action in any Court of competent jurisdiction as for debt regardless of the amount thereof; provided suit be taken within sixty days after the cost is incurred.

(4) Should the notice have been served upon the owner in the manner above provided, the cost of remedying such condition, shall, unless suit be taken within sixty days after the cost is incurred, become a first charge upon the lands and may be collected and recovered in the same manner as are ordinary municipal rates and taxes.

(5) After the Halifax County Planning Board serves notice as aforesaid upon the owner or occupant, the owner, occupant or other person aiding, assisting or causing a condition referred to in this Section, shall in addition be liable upon summary conviction to a penalty of Twenty Dollars and in default of payment to imprisonment for a term of thirty days.

Approved for presentation for legislation, February 27, 1953.

### REPORT OF THE TRADE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

The Trade Committee of the Municipality of the County of Halifax, respectfully submits its report for the year 1952.

During the year ended December 31, 1952, Licenses were issued by the Clerk of Licenses as follows:—

	Total
43 Taxis.....	\$ 354.00
12 Peddlers.....	400.00
2 Auctioneers.....	26.00
5 Dance Halls.....	50.00
44 Pin Ball Machines.....	1,100.00
32 Nickelodians.....	320.00
138 Licenses.....	\$ 2,250.00

The net result of the above, shows an increase of \$2,020.00 in License Fees over the year 1951. This was due, of course, to the new Licensing By-laws being in effect for the first calendar year, and as a result of the above operations during the year 1952, one or two things have become apparent, which your Committee feels require further regulation.

One thing that became most apparent from the licensing of taxis during the year, was the fact that ratepayers of the Municipality were often transported in

vehicles that carried absolutely no protection for the passenger, which means that in the event of any bad accident, the only recourse a person would have, would be suing the driver of the taxi through the Civil Courts.

Your Committee does not feel that this is giving adequate protection to those people who use taxis and who, in the best of faith, expect that they would be covered to some extent, at any rate, as they would be in other common carriers, in the event of an accident occurring.

Your Committee, therefore, recommends that the By-laws with respect to licensing be so amended to provide the following regulations with respect to the licensing of taxis:—

1. No license shall be issued to any person who operates a taxi in the Municipality of the County of Halifax, unless that person can produce evidence to show that he carries Passenger Hazard Insurance to a minimum coverage of \$5,000.00 for any one person or a total of \$10,000.00 coverage for two or more persons, with a limit of \$5,000.00 per person, in the event of accident.

The Insurance Premium for this type of insurance runs somewhere in the vicinity of \$50.00 per year and an additional \$5.00 would also give the taxi driver coverage for damage to Personal Property to an extent of \$1,000.00, should the vehicle become involved in an accident.

The above minimum limits stated are not high but your Committee feels that this is the absolute minimum that taxi drivers should be required to carry if they are to convey, upon call, persons who are ratepayers of the Municipality.

2. The By-laws should request all Insurance Companies to advise the Municipality when a Passenger Hazard Insurance Policy covering any taxi in the Municipality is cancelled or lapses for any other reason.

3. Your Committee feels that when a Passenger Hazard Insurance Policy is cancelled by any Company on any taxi, operating in the Municipality, that the Municipality itself should cancel the taxi license on that particular vehicle.

It is only through regulations, similar to the above (which, by the way are common in most cities and towns where any number of taxis operate) that the ratepayers of our Municipality can have any protection when they are driving in a taxi licensed by the Municipality, and we strongly recommend to Council the adoption of the above recommendations.

It is too early in the year to give a conclusive report on licenses for 1953, but up to the date of February 16th, there were 26 taxis licensed; 12 store-to-door trucks licensed; 4 Pin Ball Machines licensed and 7 Peddlers had been licensed, which indicates licensing is proceeding in a satisfactory manner again this year.

In closing the Committee wishes to express its thanks to Mr. J. F. R. McMahon, the Clerk of Licenses, for the manner in which he has followed up the licensing of taxis, pin ball machines, etc., that were never before licensed within the Municipality. The Clerk of Licenses has never been paid any stipend in the Municipality before, but it must also be remembered that before the new By-laws went into effect, six to eight licenses to Peddlers, were the maximum that were handled by the Clerk of Licenses. This year considerable correspondence, many telephone enquiries and many personal interviews were required in order to deal with the 138 licenses that were issued during the year 1952, and it would appear, unless there is a falling off in the number of Pin Ball Machines licensed, that there will be even more licenses issued during the current year. All this has added extra work to our Clerk of Licenses, who, as you know, is also the Accountant in the Municipal Office, and it is your Committee's recommendation that something more substantial than their thanks be accorded the Clerk of Licenses and that a sum of \$100.00 be paid to him for his work in this connection for the year 1953, in addition to his regular salary in the Municipal Office.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Sgd.) By the Committee.

Adopted, with last paragraph referred  
to Finance Committee, February 26, 1953.

## REPORT OF ARBITRATION COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

We beg to submit herewith the report of the Arbitration Committee for the year ending December 31st., 1952.

This Committee of Council fortunately has not had to meet very frequently for the past few years but this year on September 16th a meeting was called by the Mayor of the City of Halifax as a result of action of the Halifax City Council at its meeting of September 11th., 1952 requesting the Mayor and individuals of his selection to see if Halifax County and the Town of Dartmouth could be persuaded to join the City in requesting the proclamation of the Joint Expenditure Act that was passed by the Legislature at the 1952 Session of the Legislature but had not been proclaimed.

The City of Halifax had previously requested the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities to request the Legislature to have this Act proclaimed but the matter had been turned down by the Union.

Neither the Town of Dartmouth or representatives from this County agreed to having this Act proclaimed because they felt that the matter of fixed assessments was not dealt with adequately and because the Act called for the setting up of a Commission to investigate thoroughly all angles in connection with expenditures for the joint benefit of the City, Town and Municipality and it would be a long and expensive undertaking. At this same meeting it was determined that the apportionment of expenditures could be arranged by agreement of the Arbitration Committee of the three bodies concerned without having an independent commission set up and representatives from the City then requested that meetings of the Arbitration Committees be held so that this matter could be fully dealt with, the City claiming that since there has been no adjustment in the apportionment of Joint Expenditures between the City, the Town and the County since 1913 that the City was being asked to bear an unfair proportion of these expenditures at the present time.

Since 1913 when the last agreement was reached between the three Municipal Corporations, the City of Halifax has been paying 422/520's, or approximately 80% of the Joint Expenditure, the Town of Dartmouth has been paying 35/520's or roughly 8% of the Joint Expenditures, while the Municipality of the County of Halifax has been paying 63/520's, or roughly 12% of the Joint Expenditures. The City's claim was that although all three Municipal Corporations had expanded greatly since that date that the County particularly in recent years had expanded at a much greater rate than had the City and perhaps the Town.

Accordingly meetings of the Arbitration Committees of the three Municipal Corporations were held on October 27th., 1952; November 17th., 1952 and December 2nd., 1952 to further discuss the matter of Joint Expenditures to see if some satisfactory solution could not be found that would be acceptable to the three Municipal Corporations and at the same time would be a fairer distribution than the present percentages.

The City and the Town of Dartmouth were both in favour of apportionment on the basis of population which would give percentages of approximately 9% for the Town of Dartmouth, 38% for the County of Halifax and 53% for the City of Halifax.

The Municipality felt that this was not right and approached the situation with the idea of assessment having been the time-honoured basis of figuring Joint Expenditures that the Arbitration Committees should not deviate too far from this method. The County at the same time stated that any apportionment on the basis of population would be definitely not acceptable to the Municipality.

This led to a review of the assessment figures for the year 1952 of the three Corporations which showed that approximately the assessment for Dartmouth was

Twenty Millions, the County was Forty Millions and the City of Halifax Ninety-six millions. It was also pointed out, however, that the County was on the basis of 1939/40 values; the Town of Dartmouth claimed that they were on the 1951 basis of assessment and the City claimed that their basis of valuation was on 1945. Adjusting the 1952 assessments and taking into consideration the basis of valuation of each of the three Municipal Corporations the Committees suggested an apportionment of 10.9% for the Town of Dartmouth, 28% for the Municipality and 61.1% for the City of Halifax.

The Municipality felt that this was still not a realistic picture of the situation and objected on the grounds that revenue to the City from Federal sources, etc. should be considered. The City, on the other hand, felt that where they maintained their own streets and received very little from the Government towards the cost of Education that if outside sources of revenue of the City were to be taken into account then also monies expended by the Department of Highways and the Department of Education in the Municipality should be taken into account. This led to further debate which is all recorded in the Minutes of the meetings of the Arbitration Committee which is too lengthy to deal with in a single report but after considerable jockeying back and forth the City of Halifax finally proposed that they would be willing to bear 66% of the Joint Expenditures if the Town of Dartmouth would contribute 9% and if the Municipality would contribute 25%. Our Arbitration Committee again met to discuss this proposal before going back to meetings of the combined Arbitration Committees and taking all factors into consideration including use made of the Court House Building insofar as it was known, together with assessment and other factors, it was finally agreed that the Municipality's proportion should be 25% provided that the City and the Town should enter into an agreement that these percentages should not be changed for a period of five years. Therefore the Joint Expenditures for the years 1953 to 1957 inclusive will be borne as above stated — by the City of Halifax 66%, the Town of Dartmouth 9% and the County of Halifax 25%.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, February 27, 1953.

#### REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF ASSESSMENT

Warden W. J. Dowell and  
Members of the Municipal Council of the  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

February 25, 1953

Sirs:—

It is with pleasure that I am able to Report that in the past year the Municipality continued to show substantial growth and development. The result from an assessment stand point is that nearly \$2,000,000 of assessment was added to the assessment rolls during 1952, making the total assessment for 1953 near \$42,000,000.

The most notable gain was the \$591,925 assessment of the Public Service Commission water shed property and plant. There was no substantial change in the assessment figures of the rural districts of the County, particularly the Eastern Shore and the Musquodoboit Valley. But very marked increases were shown in the fringe area districts, principally District 12, 8, 27, 14 and 13. We may reasonably expect in the next few years a development on the Eastern Shore districts 15 - 22 due to the Halifax-Dartmouth bridge and improved paved highways.

The Fairey Aviation Co. Ltd. in 1952 completed a new addition to its plant at Eastern Passage. Coupled with the automatic increase of the assessment of the original plant under the Bonus Act and the new addition the Fairey Aviation assessment jumped from \$93,850 in 1952 to \$267,100 in 1953.

While on the subject of industrial assessment I should mention at this time that the fixed assessment on the Acadia Sugar plant at Woodside expires this year and the property must be reassessed for the 1954 tax year. I have discussed this matter in detail with the Revenue Committee and it was the opinion of the Committee that this assessment was very substantial and that I should without delay take necessary steps to secure all necessary information and to appraise the property from a tax assessment stand point. The assessment of the plant at present totals \$1,225,100 as the Company agreed in 1950 to this adjustment from its \$300,000 fixed assessment due to the reassessment of the whole County and the drop in the tax rate from \$4.50 to \$1.14 in 1951.

For the information of the Council and the individual Councillors I am attaching to this Report a detailed report showing assessment figures for all Districts and all School Sections. Also attached is a separate Report on Tax Exempt Property. In addition there is a comparative report on Poll Tax revenue for the years 1951 - 1953.

### 18 DISTRICTS INCREASE

Eighteen districts showed increases in assessment this year. Four districts show very minor losses in net taxable assessment despite the fact that widows exemptions were raised in the past year from \$400 to \$1000. The four Districts showing small losses were Districts 17, 20, 22 and 28. All others increased and the fringe area Districts as you will note from the detailed report increased very substantially.

Widows exemptions, when the exemption was \$400. in 1952, totalled \$386,050. But on the 1953 roll with exemptions allowed up to \$1000. the widow's exemptions total \$670,375. Therefore if we are to make comparisons with 1952 we must remember that if the widows exemption in this present year was the same as previous years (\$400) there would be an additional \$284,325 showing for the County assessment total. Three of the four mentioned Districts would also have recorded gains over 1952 if the widows exemption this year had been the same as 1952.

In total net taxable assessment District 12 showed the largest increase over last year increasing from \$7,959,025 to \$8,748,000, a gain of \$788,975. Included of course in this gain is the Public Service Commission.

District 27 showed the greatest normal increase, a gain of \$298,850 over 1952. This District is the fastest growing District in the Municipality.

District 13 was third in assessment gain, \$233,175 over last year, but here the Fairey Aviation Company increase is reflected. An increase of \$165,950 in District 14D is almost completely due to the building of new houses. The \$123,300 increase in District 14G is almost completely due to the personal property assessment on naval personnel in the new Tufts Cove "Shannon Park" housing development.

### EXEMPT PROPERTY

Tax exempt property also showed a very large gain over 1952 from \$24,289,025 to \$27,621,175. This increase of \$3,332,150 was due principally to the development in North Beaver Bank and further development at Eastern Passage by the Government of Canada. Increased widows exemption also contributed substantially to the exempt property increase.

During the year following an application by the Warden to Ottawa for a grant in lieu of taxes for Government of Canada property Mr. Hattie and myself spent nearly a week with Mr. Douglas Clark of the Municipal Grants Division, Ottawa. Mr. Clark viewed federally owned property concerned within 25 miles of Halifax and has expressed the opinion that the Municipality cannot qualify for a grant under the terms of the Municipal Grants Act. He did however hold out a very definite hope that the Municipality might qualify for a special grant under an Order in Council. Mr. Clark has assured us that he will be back in the early Spring to consider the matter further and in greater detail.

### APPEALS IN 1953

The Appeal Court meeting the last week in January considered 38 appeals and filed a Report with the Warden and Councillors on January 30, 1953. You will hear this report in detail. The Appeal Court this year confirmed 26 assessments and reduced the assessments in 12 other cases. In six of the 12 cases that were lowered the assessors recommended to the Court that reductions be made due to changes that had occurred in the properties since the assessments were made.

The most important case before the Appeal Court this year was the appeal of the Public Service Commission on a \$591,925 assessment of its water shed property and plant located in Districts 8, 10, 11 and 12. The Appeal Court dismissed the appeal and within 10 days the Public Service Commission filed an appeal to the County Court. The case is expected to be heard sometime after the month of April.

The Public Service Commission assessment is made up as follows; Land \$210,425, Plant, etc., \$381,500, Total \$591,925.

The Solicitor for the Commission did not appeal the \$381,500 on plant but claimed the \$210,425 on land was excessive. It would appear that the Municipality is certain of at the very least the \$381,500 assessment plus whatever valuation the Court may determine on the 5000 acres of land.

The case is a most important one from the stand point of the County and its ratepayers as four districts and seven school sections stand to gain besides the Municipality from this assessment.

Four road building and construction companies filed appeals against the assessment of road building equipment this year. Three of these assessments were confirmed, the fourth company was reduced \$4,900. You will recall that a year ago we were very much concerned over an appeal by the Atlantic Construction Company on construction equipment. The case was a very important one as it concerned over \$400,000 of equipment on the assessment roll of the County. The Company contended before the County Court that this equipment was not taxable under the Motor Vehicle Act. Mr. R. Graham Murray, the County Solicitor at that time, successfully represented the Municipality in the County Court and Mr. Justice Pottier has since ruled that the equipment concerned was not exempt. Mr. Murray not only won an important case for this Municipality but for every municipality in Nova Scotia, as they were all concerned with the general principal.

The total assessment loss through appeals this year was \$12,025.

### POLL TAX INCREASE

At the last meeting of the Municipal Council poll taxes in the County were raised to the following amounts,

- (1) \$10.00 poll tax for male persons not assessed.
- (2) \$8.00 poll tax for male persons assessed less than \$500.
- (3) \$6.00 for male persons assessed from \$500 to \$800.
- (4) \$2.00 poll tax for male persons assessed over \$800.

If you will examine the Report on Poll Taxes contained at the end of the Report you will note that persons eligible for a poll tax in 1952 was to an amount of \$30,645. In 1953 this total jumps to \$81,622, an increase of over \$50,000 over last year. The Report referred to shows the comparisons by all 22 districts for the years 1951, 1952 and 1953.

The number of persons eligible in the County for straight poll tax (\$10) has remained about the same as in 1952. There has been, as you will note from the Report a very substantial increase in the numbers of male persons liable for the \$2, \$6, and \$8. poll taxes who are assessed on real or personal property or both.

You may note that the grand totals of poll taxes for the whole Municipality \$81,622 is made up as follows:—

- (1) Straight Poll tax for persons not assessed on real or personal property \$28,434.
- (2) Poll taxes for persons assessed real or personal property or both \$53,188.

## GROWTH DURING YEAR

Despite increased costs of construction and a higher real estate market in 1952 there was continued growth and development in the County Districts, especially in the fringe areas of the City of Halifax and the Town of Dartmouth. People building homes, improving properties, subdividing lands and buying and selling properties has of course increased the work of the Assessment Department during the year. The staff has been able to cope with this increase and I am confident can meet the problem this coming year. In 1952 there were 2,192 property transfers in the County compared to 2,137 in 1951. Town of Dartmouth and City of Halifax transfers combined did not exceed 1600. To keep completely up to date on property transfers the Assessment Department continues to obtain copies of all recorded deeds, leases and grants from the Registry Office at Halifax.

The Department also continues to receive a copy of all plans approved by the County Planning Board. These subdivision plans are of great assistance to the assessors and I fully appreciate the assistance and cooperation of the Planning Board.

## MAP WORK

One of the most worthwhile and most valuable pieces of work completed in the Assessment Department since 1950 was the completion by Mr. Walter M. Purcell of a detailed plan of Bedford and Rockingham. This plan shows in great detail and perfect clarity all streets and lots in that area stretching from Moirs plant at Mill Cove to the property owned by the Municipal Spraying and Contracting Co. on the Bedford - Waverley Road. It is my hope that the Assessment Department as well as the Engineering Department will continue with the production of such plans and maps. We now have good plans of the Fairview, Woodside, Bedford and Rockingham areas. Before another year is past it is hoped that map starts will be made on Springfield, Armdale, Tufts Cove and Port Wallis - Westphal.

## ASSESSORS SCHOOL

For three days in October members of the Assessment Department attended an assessors course sponsored by the Department of Municipal Affairs. Mr. Aldro Jenks, a recognized authority on assessment conducted the course. The course was held in Halifax and therefore the only expense involved was a \$5.00 registration fee. The course was well conducted and I am sure all members of the Department gained something from the School and future courses will certainly be of great benefit. I am advised that the Department will again sponsor a school in September and it is my hope that the assessors will be able to attend.

It may be of interest to the Council to know that a former member of the staff, Mr. George Leslie has just completed a reassessment project for the Town of Yarmouth. Mr. Russell Atkinson, who resigned last year, now has a responsible tax position with the City of Edmonton.

I wish to acknowledge the assistance and co-operation of the Warden, Councillors and the Revenue Committee as well as the Municipal Clerk and his staff. I also express my appreciation for assistance to the Deputy Minister of Municipal Affairs, Mr. W. E. Moseley, Q.C., Mr. Allan Turner and the staff of the Registry of Deeds, the Solicitor, Mr. Blenus Morton and the County Engineer, Mr. Fraser.

At this first session of the 31st Municipal Council there are several new councillors and I hope that they will visit the Assessment Department at the earliest possible moment to inspect in some detail the work of the Department. I assure them that their inspection and interest will be appreciated by all members of the Department.

Respectfully submitted,

H. S. MACGLASHEN,  
Director of Assessment.

Received and Filed, February 27, 1953.

## REPORT OF THE BOARD OF APPEAL

Halifax, Nova Scotia,  
30th January, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Councillors of  
The Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

We, the Members of the Appeal Board, met and were sworn into office on January 28th., 1953. We present to the Warden and Councillors the following report based on our findings:

- No. 1. **EVERETT COLE c/o HARRY BRYSON** — Lands assessed to Everett Cole c/o Harry Bryson — one woodlot assessed in District No. 24-9 at \$400.00 reduced to \$200.00 — land being over half burned last summer. Remainder of assessment confirmed.
- No. 2. **CHARLES E. MacCULLOCH** — District No. 27-89. Mr. MacCulloch did not appear but by recommendation of the Assessor woodland at Pockwock reduced from \$4,350.00 to \$4,050.00 along with assessment in District 8-38A \$1,450.00 making a total of \$5,500.00.  
**OAKWOOD REALTIES LIMITED** — District No. 27-35. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 3. **GEORGE J. SEARLE** — District No. 28-82. Mr. Searle did not appear and assessment of \$2,625.00 was confirmed.
- No. 4. **FRANK C. ELLIS** — District No. 27-35. Assessment \$2,625.00. Did not appeal the valuation of his property but complained of squatters on an adjoining lot who were causing both a fire hazard and a health menace. They have no Building Permit, yet erected a pig pen as close to his lot as possible. He hoped the County might do something about this as he got no action from the District Officers. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 5. **SUSSEX GINGER ALE CO.** — District No. 28-52. Assessment \$100.00. This is a new manager looking for information on how the Assessors arrived at values. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 6. **FUNDY CONSTRUCTION COMPANY** — District Nos. 27-35 and 13-55. No representatives appeared on behalf of this appeal. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 7. **SAMUEL KINNEY** — District No. 27-35. Assessments of \$2,550.00 and \$425.00. A general complaint. Assessments confirmed.
- No. 8. **LUCY A. BONN** — District No. 16-65. Assessments of \$2,925.00 and \$250.00 (Exempt \$1,000.00). This lady's knowledge of her property seems to be at fault, she thinking that she had sold most of her land. These sales comprising approximately 15 acres from a property of 100 acres. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 9. **CHARLOTTE A. BROWN** — District No. 27-46. Assessment \$375.00 and \$75.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 10. **MRS. OLIVE BOUTILIER** — District No. 14D-84. Assessment \$450.00 and \$50.00. Assessment on Real Estate confirmed. Personal Property assessment deducted as she claims there is no Personal Property there.
- No. 11. **MRS. CHARLES BARNSTEAD** — District No. 14D-84. Assessment \$450.00 and \$50.00. Assessment on Real Estate confirmed. Personal Property Assessment deducted as she claims there is no Personal Property there.

- No. 12. **DOUGLAS CHAPMAN** — District No. 27-49. Assessment \$1,700.00 and \$250.00. House under construction and not as much work done as Assessor anticipated so the Assessment on Real Estate has been reduced from \$1,700.00 to \$1,200.00.
- No. 13. **W. C. DOWELL** — District No. 8-35. Assessment \$4,950.00 and \$800.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 14. **MUNICIPAL SPRAYING AND CONTRACTING CO.,** — Districts 21-22; 21-22 and 21-23. Assessments \$34,325.00; \$12,000.00 and \$15,600.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessments confirmed.
- No. 15. **CAPT. E. LOWE** — District No. 9-5. Assessment \$1,200.00 and \$175.00. After hearing evidence, assessment confirmed.
- No. 16. **M. H. McMANUS LTD.** — District No. 9-10. Assessments \$3,000.00; \$13,000.00 and \$12,000.00. Mr. McManus appealed the assessment on the grounds that this equipment is licensed under the Motor Vehicle Act and therefore exempt. Because of a recent decision of Mr. Justice Pothier in the appeal of Atlantic Construction Co., we confirm the assessment.
- No. 17. **CANADIAN OIL COMPANIES LTD.** — District No. 12-33. Assessment \$30,100.00. After hearing evidence we reduced the Personal Property (carried stock) from \$11,400.00 to \$7,700.00, making a total assessment of \$26,400.00.
- No. 18. **PIERCEY INVESTORS LIMITED** — District No. 7-4. Assessment \$2,825.00. After evidence given, assessment was confirmed.
- No. 19. **MACAULAY BANKS** — District No. 14D-84. Assessment \$4,325.00. A building was removed from this property so we lower this assessment by \$275.00 making a total assessment of \$4,050.00.
- No. 20. **R. K. MACDONALD CONSTRUCTION CO.** — District 21-22. Assessment \$13,400.00. After hearing Mr. MacDonald we have reduced the assessment on this old equipment (which he claims to be only junk) to the following: Compressors \$1,000.00; Tractors \$3,500.00; Shovels \$4,000.00, a total assessment of \$8,500.00.
- No. 21. **MRS. MILDRED HILL** — District No. 14D-84. Assessment \$4,200.00. After hearing evidence, assessment was confirmed.
- No. 22. **CITY OF HALIFAX** — District No. 12-33. Assessment \$3,200.00. We confirm this assessment. The basis of this appeal was on legal grounds with which we cannot deal.
- No. 23. **JOHN ARDENNE** — District No. 9-8. Assessment \$4,625.00. This man bought an old hotel in which to live and much of the space is more a liability than an asset. We have reduced the Real Estate by \$800.00.
- No. 24. **MRS. JENNIE F. ERNST** — District No. 14D-50. Assessment \$775.00. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 25. **GEORGE B. YEADON** — District No. 12-27. Assessment \$3,275.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 26. **GEORGE H. FADER** — District No. 10-15. Assessment \$725.00. Assessment confirmed after hearing evidence.
- No. 27. **MRS. GERTRUDE HAYES** — District No. 11-30. Assessment \$1,175.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed.
- No. 28. **S. CHITTICK & SONS** — District No. 14D-84. Assessment \$9,000.00. After hearing evidence the Board of Appeal confirmed this assessment.
- No. 29. **MAXWELL PARKS** — District No. 12-33. Assessment \$500.00. Personal Property. This appeal was on Personal Property. After hearing the evidence the Board of Appeal confirmed this assessment.
- No. 30. **QUEENIE G. GOURLEY** — District No. 12-27. Assessment \$2,725.00 and \$500.00. The appellant, having moved to Ottawa taking

- her furniture with her, the Board of Appeal deducted the assessment of \$500.00 re Personal Property.
- No. 31. **CHARLES V. GOURLEY — District No. 12-27.** Assessment \$1,375.00. This was an appeal from Poll Tax assessment. The Board of Appeal deducted the Poll Tax as this gentleman has moved to Ottawa.
- No. 32. **CHARLES O. SCARGILL — District No. 10-23.** Assessment \$775.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed by Board of Appeal.
- No. 33. **MRS. IDA DUGGAN — District No. 10-16.** Assessments \$1,125.00 and \$500.00. After hearing evidence these assessments were confirmed by the Board of Appeal.
- No. 34. **CAPT. JOHN T. CRUIKSHANK — District No. 13-52.** Assessment on Gravel Scows \$750.00. This assessment was deducted by the Board of Appeal as the title for property has been transferred to Atlantic Trawlers Ltd., which puts this assessment in the City of Halifax.
- No. 35. **MRS. MARGARET WHEELER — District No. 12-33.** Assessment \$1,200.00. Appellant did not appear. Assessment confirmed by the Board of Appeal.
- No. 36. **BLAKE NAUGLE — District No. 13-53.** Assessment \$1,500.00. After hearing evidence this assessment was confirmed by the Board of Appeal.
- No. 37. **PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION — Districts 8-34; 10-0; 10-21; 11-26; 11-27; 12-20; 12-19; 12-21; 12-27; 12-33** with their respective assessments — \$575.00; \$1,600.00; \$725.00; \$17,100.00; \$22,425.00; \$425.00; \$23,500.00; \$263,575.00; \$41,550.00; \$220,450.00. After hearing the evidence these assessments were confirmed by the Board of Appeal.

Respectfully submitted,

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF APPEAL

(Signed) ROY A. HUTCHINSON

GEORGE L. MONK

JAMES P. McDONALD.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

**REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF THE COURT HOUSE**

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

You are all familiar with the long talked of plans of expanding the Court House facilities. At the Council Session of 1950 the policy of providing for these additions as quickly as possible was approved by this Council. There have been delays on all sides; the major objection coming from the City of Halifax, who felt that they were being dealt with unfairly with respect to Joint Expenditures.

As your Arbitration Committee has reported earlier in the Session, the matter of Joint Expenditures has been settled between the three Corporate Bodies concerned for the period 1953 to 1957, and it would appear to your Representatives on the Court House Commission that there is more likelihood of the expansion programme being proceeded with now than there had been for the past few years.

Accordingly, your Committee recommends that this Council approve an expenditure not exceeding \$600,000 for additions to and improvements in the Court House Building at Halifax;

AND THAT WHEREAS the Province of Nova Scotia is contributing one-third of the cost of the proposed improvements that this Council also approve and we will introduce later a Temporary Borrowing Resolution to an amount of \$400,000.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Representatives of the  
Commissioners of the Court House)

Received and filed, March 17, 1953.

**REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 7**

Black Point,  
Halifax County, N. S.  
January 20, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

The following is my report as Building Inspector of District No. 7, Halifax County, and I take pleasure in submitting this report for your approval.

There have been 37 Permits issued for the year 1952 and total estimate of work taken from Permits amounts to \$43,500.00. The following is a summary of the Permits:—

Houses.....	\$22,000.00
Cottages.....	14,000.00
Garages.....	500.00
Repairs.....	2,550.00
Canteen.....	150.00
Hen House.....	500.00
Boat House.....	200.00
Additions.....	3,600.00
	<hr/>
	\$43,500.00
Permit to Nova Scotia Power Commission.....	\$50,000.00

Yours truly,  
(Sgd.) MAYNARD MISNER.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

## REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 8

February 23, 1953.

To the Honourable Warden and Councillors,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

I have the honour to present the seventh annual report for the year 1952 on the building activities within District No. 8 of the Municipality of Halifax County, N. S.

The following were buildings not completed in 1951 and carried forward and completed in 1952,—

4 Bungalows.....	\$25,500.00
3 Dwellings.....	22,750.00
1 Academy — Mount St. Vincent.....	175,000.00
Alterations.....	2,200.00

\$225,450.00

Building Permits applied for and issued and buildings completed within 1952,—	
6 Bungalows.....	\$ 32,500.00
9 Dwellings.....	60,300.00
8 Garages.....	3,800.00
Repairs and Alterations.....	26,390.00
School addition — Rockingham.....	28,723.00
Telephone Exchange — Bedford.....	25,400.00

\$177,113.00

Year 1952 — Grand Total..... \$402,563.00

I respectfully wish to advise that I have issued Building Permits for the following Buildings, which not being completed within the year 1952 are being carried forward to the Year 1953,—

5 Bungalows.....	\$ 33,000.00
2 Dwellings.....	17,500.00
Alterations.....	3,500.00

\$ 54,000.00

The above report respectfully submitted by,

(Sgd.) W. M. WALKER,

Building Inspector District No. 8.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

**REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 9**

January 14, 1953.

To His Honour the Warden and Councillors of  
the Municipality of the County of Halifax:—

Gentlemen:—

The following is the report of the Building Inspector of District No. 9 from  
January 1952 to December 1952.

Issued during this period sixty permits for various types of work as follows:—

17 bungalows and cottages.....	\$ 28,275.00
10 dwellings.....	42,000.00
3 garages.....	750.00
1 barn.....	400.00
1 smoke-house.....	100.00
1 boat-house.....	100.00
24 repairs.....	7,380.00
<b>GRAND TOTAL.....</b>	<b>\$719,005.00</b>

There was also 1 building moved during the above specified period for which  
permit was issued.

R. D. McCALL,  
Building Inspector.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

Hatchett Lake P. O.,  
March 6, 1953.

**REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 10.**

To His Honour the Warden and  
Councillors of the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

The following is the Annual Report of Building Operations in the Section of  
District No. 10 coming under the Building Act — a total of 38 Permits No. 1 - 38.

During the year there were quite a number of houses moved from the water-  
shed area into this Section. These have been placed under Additions and Repairs.

1 Addition to Brookside School.....	\$ 6,000.00
4 Garages.....	5,200.00
25 New Buildings.....	53,800.00
8 Additions and Repairs.....	7,150.00
	<b>\$ 72,150.00</b>

Yours respectfully,  
LESTER E. UMLAH,  
Building Inspector for  
District No. 10.

Received and Filed, March 9, 1953.

### REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 11

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the  
Halifax County Council.

Gentlemen:—

I wish to present my report as Building Inspector for Ketch Harbour, Sambro, Pennant and Portugese Cove, District No. 11.

During the year 13 Permits with a value of \$8,925.00 were issued, this being a considerable drop from the previous year. Largest percentage of Permits were for repairs with very little new building taking place. Approximately 70% of work has been completed.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) J. GERALD SPEARS,  
Building Inspector, District No. 11.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

### REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 11

Herring Cove,  
Halifax County, N. S.,  
February 10, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Members of Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

Building Permits herein summarized, have been issued by me during the period from March 17, 1952 until February 10, 1953:—

40 Additions & Repairs.....	\$ 9,674.00
3 Dwellings.....	14,000.00
3 Bungalows.....	1,500.00
4 Hen Houses.....	585.00
3 Out Houses.....	525.00
1 Garage.....	150.00
1 Woodshed.....	100.00
1 Cesspool.....	125.00
1 Chimney.....	110.00
Total.....	\$ 26,769.00

I am pleased to report that about 95% of this work has been completed. I had occasion to refuse two applicants — one of these was presented to the Building Board.

As Sanitary Inspector I had occasion to investigate some minor incidents and have the water from the Long Pond, Herring Cove Road, analyzed, which was found highly contaminated.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) WM. A. SULLIVAN,  
Building Inspector & Sanitary  
Inspector, School District 11B.

Received and Filed, March 2nd., 1953.

## REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 11

January 14, 1953

To His Honour the Warden and Councillors of the  
Municipality of the County of Halifax:—

Gentlemen:—

The following is the report of the Building Inspector of District No. 11 from  
January 1952 to December 1952.

I issued during this period fifty-four permits for various types of work as follows:

16 Bungalows.....	\$ 61,500.00
20 Additions.....	42,480.00
5 Garages.....	2,100.00
6 Cottages.....	20,000.00
2 Hen Houses.....	4,050.00
2 Houses.....	13,000.00
1 set flats.....	7,500.00
1 Shop.....	2,000.00
1 Canteen.....	600.00

GRAND TOTAL..... \$153,230.00

This includes Ferguson's Cove and Purcell's Cove. — 80 per cent finished work.

GUY. H. NICKERSON,  
Building Inspector.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

## REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 12

To His Honour the Warden and Members of the Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax,  
Halifax, N. S.

Gentlemen:—

I hereby submit the following Report of Building operations in District No. 12  
for the year ended December 31, 1952.

	Number	Cost
<b>Sub-Sistrict, ARMDALE</b>		
Permits Issued for Repairs.....	21	\$ 17,750.00
“ “ “ Additions.....	4	6,700.00
“ “ “ New Buildings.....	42	208,893.00
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>\$233,343.00</b>
<b>Sub-District, JOLLIMORE</b>		
Permits Issued for Repairs.....	4	\$ 14,300.00
“ “ “ New Buildings.....	4	28,000.00
<b>Total.....</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>\$ 42,300.00</b>

**Report of Building Inspector District 12 (continued)**

**Sub-District, FAIRVIEW**

Permits Issued for	Repairs.....	18	\$ 8,775.00
"	"	9	31,800.00
"	"	48	184,825.00
	<b>Total.....</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>\$225,400.00</b>

**Sub-District, SPRYFIELD**

Permits Issued for	Repairs.....	8	\$ 3,250.00
"	"	6	2,950.00
"	"	20	46,425.00
	<b>Total.....</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>\$ 52,625.00</b>

**Sub-District, LAKESIDE & GOODWOOD**

Permits Issued for	Repairs.....	6	\$ 3,300.00
"	"	2	3,000.00
"	"	21	70,450.00
	<b>Total.....</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>\$ 76,750.00</b>

<b>Total District No. 12</b>	<b>Permits 213</b>	<b>Cost \$630,418.00</b>		
Total Repairs	Permits Issued.....	57	Cost	\$ 47,375.00
" Additions	"	21	"	44,450.00
" New Buildings	"	135	"	538,593.00
		<b>213</b>		<b>\$630,418.00</b>

Of the 213 building permits issued during the year these are represented by 165 dwellings, 1 shed, 44 garages, and 2 school additions. I would estimate that approximately 75 per cent of the construction work has been completed; the balance being undertaken mainly by the owners themselves thus slowing down the work to a considerable extent.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

Yours very truly,

(Sgd.) JOHN P. HOLLAHAN,

Building Inspector,

District No. 12, Armdale, N. S.

Received and Filed, March 2, 1953.

**REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 14**

February 23, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Halifax  
County Council.

Gentlemen:—

I wish to make the following report on building in District No. 14 for the year  
1953. My report is as below:—

Dwellings.....	\$353,850.00
Private Garages.....	5,075.00
Comm. Garages.....	Nil.
Churches.....	15,000.00
Additions and Repairs.....	17,275.00
Schools.....	92,500.00
Work Shops.....	19,445.00
	<hr/>
	\$503,145.00

Hoping this report meets with your approval, I remain,

Yours very truly,

(Sgd.) L. V. HOOPER,  
Building Inspector, District No. 14,  
Halifax County, N. S.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

**REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 18**

Musquodoboit Harbor,  
District No. 18,  
February 2, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Councillors of  
the Municipality of Halifax County.

Gentlemen:—

I wish to submit the following report of building operations in District No. 18  
for the year ending December, 1952:—

1 Repairs to Dwelling.....	\$ 2,000.00
1 Garage.....	500.00
1 Bungalow.....	1,000.00
1 Moving and Repairing.....	1,500.00
	<hr/>
	\$ 5,000.00

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) EDWARD GREENOUGH,  
Building Inspector,  
District No. 18.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

### REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 27

February 11, 1953.

To His Honour the Warden and  
Members of the Council of the  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

This is my second Annual Report as Building Inspector for District No. 27, Halifax County.

You will please find enclosed copies of permits that were issued and work completed, from April 1st, 1952, to December 31st, 1952, as per the following summary:

10 Dwellings (completed).....	\$ 46,000.00
23 Bungalows (completed).....	141,100.00
6 Garages (completed).....	2,900.00
1 Canteen (completed).....	450.00
3 Stores (completed).....	17,000.00
1 Service Station (completed).....	15,000.00
2 Hen Houses (completed).....	375.00
4 Work Shops (completed).....	700.00
3 Schools (completed).....	68,500.00
8 Summer Camps (completed).....	10,900.00
1 Factory (completed).....	15,000.00
Repairs & Additions.....	25,775.00

    Making a total of..... \$343,700.00

    9 Bungalows (not completed)..... 57,500.00

Building permits carried forward from 1951 to the best of my knowledge have now been completed.

6 Dwellings.....	\$ 21,828.00
4 Bungalows.....	19,000.00
2 Stores.....	6,000.00
1 Canteen.....	20,000.00
1 Drive-in-Theatre.....	30,000.00
1 Service Station.....	4,000.00

    Making a total of..... \$100,828.00

    All of which is respectfully submitted by,

(Sgd.) VERNE WYATT,

Building Inspector.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

### REPORT OF BUILDING INSPECTOR DISTRICT No. 28

To His Honor the Warden and the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

The following is a yearly report of building operations in the District of No. 28, Woodside, Halifax County, ending December 30, 1952:—

5 Houses.....	\$ 20,800.00
9 Garages.....	3,270.00
2 Bungalows.....	750.00
Repairs.....	740.00

    \$ 25,560.00

    All of which is respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) A. C. MacNEILL,

Building Inspector, District No. 28.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

**REPORT OF COUNTY JAIL PHYSICIAN, MARCH, 1953**

March 2, 1953

His Honour the Warden and Councillors,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

During the past year, the health of the prisoners generally, was good. No serious out-breaks occurred.

The existing sanitary facilities were kept clean, as was the jail in general.

If and when a new jail is built, I believe provisions for a medical dispensary should be included in the plans. Also included should be a stock of the more commonly used drugs and facilities for examining patients.

In the meantime, I feel the purchase of an examining table and a supply of linen would be beneficial — as, at the present time, there is no means by which a patient can be properly examined. In order to perform an abdominal examination, it is necessary to use a large table in the office — one never designed for this purpose.

The sanitary facilities could be considerably improved. This, no doubt, has been looked into by the committee appointed to determine future plans for the building.

This report is submitted for your consideration and recommendation.

Yours Respectfully,

(Sgd.) J. H. CHARMAN, M.D.  
County Jail Physician.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

Suggestions referred to Welfare Committee.

**REPORT OF HEALTH OFFICER, MARCH 1953**

His Honour the Warden and Councillors,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:

In the past year, there were no major epidemics in the County of Halifax. The incidence of Poliomyelitis was much reduced over that of the previous year. Several outbreaks of measles did occur, but did not assume large proportions.

In the thickly populated suburbs, the great problem is still that of contaminated water supply in individual wells. This is due to the general small size of the building lots and to the very thin layer of top soil above the rock base which exists all over this area. As a result, drainage cannot sink into the ground but runs along this rocky layer. Wells, which in other areas would be far enough removed to prevent contamination, here, thus become contaminated. Any extension of the situation will be prevented by rules now in force. As to what can be done to remedy existing conditions, I do not know. The cost of installing sewage or water systems would be very high, due again, to the nature of the ground in this area.

Innoculations and vaccinations were carried out, as usual, in the county schools.

I suggest to Council a division of the County into two Districts. An Eastern one with the Health Officer in Dartmouth and a Western one with the Health Officer in Halifax. This, I am sure would be a beneficial arrangement as it would greatly improve the handling of problems which arise. The greater number of

problems in the Eastern Section occur in the suburbs of Dartmouth, an area of which no Halifax Doctor knows a great deal. The transportation problem makes a trip to the area a half day's undertaking. As you may realize, one-half day is a difficult thing to manage for one in active practice.

For these reasons, and because I feel a man with an intimate knowledge of the area could vastly improve the service to the people, I would offer this as a recommendation to the council.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) J. H. CHARMAN, M.D.

Received and filed, March 2, 1953.

### REPORT OF COUNTY PLANNING BOARD Year Ending December 31, 1952

To His Honour the Warden,  
and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:

Once again it is with pleasure that your Board submits its annual report. As you are aware, the work of the Planning Board is concerned mainly with the physical development of Halifax County and, consequently, is of the utmost importance. There has been a considerable increase in this type of development in the County and the trend indicates a greater activity in the future. This is all to the good, and every effort should be made and continued to encourage such development, in accordance with accepted principles of good community planning.

The County Planning Board of the Municipality of the County of Halifax met regularly once every two weeks during 1952. About 80% of the time available was spent in considering subdivision plans submitted for approval, the remainder being spent on inquiries into problems arising out of previous subdivisions and on the more general features of community planning. In addition to the regular meetings, three special meetings were held to consider the proposed Zoning By-law which is now completed and appended to this report.

**Subdivisions:**— A steady increase in subdivision activity is apparent in the County. The total number of items submitted at the regular meeting was 396. Of these, 28 were in one of the special categories described above and did not involve the submission of a plan for approval. Of the 368 plans submitted, 295 were approved. This brings the total number of plans approved by the Board since its inception to 1063.

**County Roads:**— An agreement was made with the Department of Highways as of March 1, 1951 whereby lots on new streets would receive tentative approval only until the highway was accepted by the Department. A total of 8 such roads were accepted as public roads by the Department of Highways in 1952, the number of lots served by them numbering 137.

Up to 1952, the length of suburban roads maintained by the Department of Highways in Group I (those created prior to the Planning Board) totalled 38.9 miles, and in 1952 another 4.6 miles were taken over, the total of 43.5 miles being sufficient to serve approximately 7,000 lots.

**Zoning:**— Considerable work in connection with zoning had been done prior to 1952 and this year the Board retained Mr. George T. Bates, Planning Consultant, to prepare a proposed Zoning By-law for the Municipality of the County of Halifax. The by-law proposes that the County be divided into zoning areas, the boundaries of which shall be the same as those of School Sections within the County. This will

enable the ratepayers in any part of the County to proceed with zoning if they so desire. It must be made clear that the by-law does not become effective in any School Section without the consent and approval of the ratepayers of that area.

The proposed by-law is intended as a guide for those areas where zoning is felt to be long overdue, both as a protection for present investments and a guide for future development. Your Board unanimously recommends the approval of the proposed by-law by Council.

**Consolidated Plans:**— Consolidated plans for North Woodside, South Woodside and Fairview have been completed. These were compiled almost entirely from plans in the Registry of Deeds Office. In the Westphal-Port Wallis area, however, it is not possible to compile the plans in this manner, a survey being required to tie the various subdivisions together. Mr. W. S. Crooker, P.L.S., was retained to prepare a plan of the Preston Road area of Westphal and this plan has been completed and delivered. Mr. Crooker has also been commissioned by this Board to prepare a similar plan for the Woodlawn area. A consolidated plan of Bedford is in the course of preparation in the Assessment Department. It is being done by Mr. Walter Purcell, Assessor for District No. 8.

**Suburban Postal Delivery:**— The Fairview Ratepayers Ratepayers Association held several meetings at which the Planning Board was represented by the Engineer, and the Post Office Department by Mr. Michael O'Brien and Mr. P. R. Keizer. The Postal authorities have signified that they will institute a postal delivery service in Fairview, provided the houses are properly numbered and the streets renamed where necessary to prevent confusion with names of streets in Halifax, Dartmouth and their suburbs. The renaming was carried out by the Ratepayers Association, and the new names have been approved by both the Planning Board and the Post Office Department. When the people are notified of their new numbers and have satisfied the requirements of Postal authorities, the postal delivery service can begin. The allocation of house numbers is presently in progress by the Engineer, working in conjunction with Mr. William Anderson, Assessor for District No. 12. Street signs are being supplied and erected by the ratepayers.

**Building Permits:**— In a building district, at the present time a prospective builder must obtain a building permit from the Building Inspector and a location permit from the Resident Engineer, Department of Highways for any building to be located within 133 ft. of the centre line of the highway. We find that many people are still unaware of the proper procedure in this regard and proceed to build after having obtained only a location permit from the Department of Highways. Your Board recommends closer co-operation between the Municipality and the Department of Highways in the issuance of permits and between the Building Inspectors and the Planning Board.

**General:**— Your Board is deeply concerned that little or no land is being set aside for future cemeteries, parks, schools and playgrounds in large subdivisions. It is recommended that some method of providing for these be considered in the near future.

We recommend continuance of the Board's membership in the Community Planning Association of Canada, and that the sum of \$3,000.00 be allocated in this year's estimates for the operation of the Board for the coming year.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by Committee)

Adopted March 3, 1953.

**SUB-DIVISION REGULATIONS**  
**MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX**

Prepared under Part III, Chapter 8, of the Statutes of Nova Scotia, 1939,  
"The Town Planning Act".

- I. Procedure to be adopted for the approval of TENTATIVE PLANS:**
- (a) Tentative plans may at the discretion of the developer be submitted in duplicate to the Board for approval, subject to submission of final plan for approval and registration as noted hereinafter.
  - (b) Tentative plans shall be drawn to scale of not more than 200' to 1", may be based upon deed description of the property to be sub-divided, but not necessarily as surveyed, and shall show the following:—
    - 1. Proposed name of the subdivision.
    - 2. Name of the owner of the subdivision, and the names of owners of all abutting properties.
    - 3. Proposed size and shape of lots and blocks.
    - 4. Proposed width and location of streets.
    - 5. Access to existing streets or highways.
    - 6. Proposed building lines.
    - 7. North point, scale and date.
    - 8. Areas to be reserved for public purposes if any.
  - (c) Approval of such tentative plans shall be conditional upon eventual survey by a certified land surveyor of the Province of Nova Scotia, and submission of a final plan as noted hereinafter.
  - (d) One copy of such tentative plans shall be returned to the submitter, with such comments superimposed thereon as the Board deems advisable.
- II. Procedure to be adopted for the approval of FINAL PLANS:**
- Five copies of final plans shall be submitted.
- (b) Final plans of subdivision submitted for the approval of the Board shall show the following:—
    - 1. Name of the subdivision.
    - 2. Name of the owner and the signature of the Nova Scotia Land Surveyor who made the survey.
    - 3. The boundaries of the survey, with accurate distances and bearings (as determined by survey in the field, and which must balance and close).
    - 4. Street or Road boundaries on all streets or roads, existing or proposed, and the widths thereof.
    - 5. The accurate location and description of all monuments (permanent monuments of natural stone, concrete, iron or steel should be set at such points as will enable any land surveyor to correctly lay out any lot in the subdivision).
    - 6. The length and bearing of the lines of all lots, roads, rights of way and easements as laid out, length of arc, points of curvature, radii, and tangent bearings in the case of curved lines.
    - 7. All lots shall be numbered or lettered and all streets should bear tentative names, (which may be confirmed if no other streets or roads in district bear the same or similar names).
    - 8. The accurate outline of any or all property which is offered or dedicated to or for public use, with the purpose indicated thereon, and of all property that may be reserved by covenant for the common use of the property owners in the subdivision.
    - 9. Private restrictions, if any.
    - 10. North point, scale and date (whether the north point is true or magnetic shall be so indicated). Scale shall be not more than 100' to 1".

11. Names of owners of all abutting properties.
12. Such contours or elevations as are necessary to determine the contours of the roads and the drainage of the land. Where grades on roads exceed 7 per cent this shall also be shown.
13. The plan shall have a clear space or binding margin of at least 1" in width along the edge first mentioned in each enumeration of Plan Sizes in Paragraph III.
14. The plan shall have a clear space of at least 18 square inches for memorials and other necessary endorsements.
15. The plan shall be certified by a Provincial Land Surveyor of Nova Scotia in the manner following or to effect:

"I certify that this Plan accurately shows the manner in which the land included therein has been surveyed (and subdivided) by me and that the said Plan is prepared in accordance with the provisions of The Registry Act.

Dated the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 19 \_\_\_\_\_

.....  
Provincial Land Surveyor."

**III. Plan Sizes:**

Plan Size	A	11 inches by	13 inches
	B	11 "	16 "
	C	17 "	21 "
	D	22 "	27 "
	E	24 "	33 "
	F	28 "	38 "

**IV. Size and shape of lots:**

- (a) Any lot having an area of less than 6,000 square feet, width of less than 60 feet may not be approved by the Board, in any subdivision planned for year-round residential use.
- (b) In subdivisions planned for summer or seasonal residence only, lots having an area of less than 10,000 square feet or a frontage of less than 100 feet may not be approved by the Board.
- (c) In subdivisions where piped water is available, the regulations in IV(a) and IV(b) above regarding lot sizes may be relaxed at the discretion of the Board, but subject to the approval of the Municipal Council and the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

**V. Size and shape of blocks:**

Blocks of lots shall be designed so as to give right angled access at intersecting streets so far as possible. In all blocks over 800 feet long, there shall be provided a pedestrian walk-way at least 7 feet wide, through and near the centre of the block. Blocks longer than 1,200 feet shall not, in general, be approved.

**VI. Streets, Roads and Rights of Way.**

- (a) Width.
  - (1) Any new street, or extension of an existing street or road, having a width of less than 66 feet, in any subdivision submitted to the Board, shall not be approved.
  - (2) Any private right-of-way, serving 10 or less lots, and having a width of less than 25 feet, shall not be approved.
  - (3) Any private right-of-way serving 10 or more lots shall have a building line imposed on each side of such private right-of-way, such building lines to be at least 85 feet apart when measured at right angles to such building lines.
- (b) Grades.

When the grade on any proposed street in any sub-division plan submitted to the Board exceeds 7 per cent, the plan shall be accompanied by a letter of approval of same from the Department

of Highways for the Province of Nova Scotia attached to same. The Board reserves the right to consult with the Department of Highways on all grades over 7 per cent before approving such plans.

(c) General.

(1) Cul-de-sacs.

Where cul-de-sacs are used to develop odd-shaped remnants of the subdivision or to fit the street pattern to the topography of the tract, they shall be terminated by a turn-around having a minimum radius of 45 feet from the centre of the cul-de-sac. Any cul-de-sac having a length in excess of 500 feet shall not be approved unless the reasons therefore are explained to the satisfaction of the Board.

(2) Intersections.

Streets shall intersect one another at, or as nearly at right angles as possible. The number of streets converging at one point shall be kept to a minimum. The number of minor streets intersecting a major thoroughfare shall be kept to a minimum.

(3) Curves.

Where street lines deflect from each other at any given point more than 10 degrees there shall be a connecting curve. The radius of the curve for the inner street line shall not be less than 350 feet for major thoroughfares, 250 feet for local major streets and 100 feet for local minor streets.

(4) Continuation of adjoining streets.

Where streets in adjoining subdivisions abut the boundaries of subdivisions submitted to the Board, such streets shall be continued into such subdivisions.

## MODEL ZONING BY-LAW

### FOR THE SCHOOL SECTIONS OR PARTS OF SCHOOL SECTIONS MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

#### SECTION 1 — GENERAL:

1.1 **Scope of this By-Law.**

No dwelling, business, trade or industry shall be located, nor shall any building or structure be erected, altered or used, nor shall any land be used, except in conformity with the regulations of this By-Law.

1.2 **Zoning Areas.**

For the purposes of this By-Law the County is hereby divided into Zoning Areas. The boundaries of the Zoning Areas shall be the same as those of School Sections within Halifax County. This By-Law shall not be effective in any School Section, hereinafter called Zoning Area, unless and until a plan of such Zoning Area has been prepared and approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and in accordance with the provisions and requirements of the Nova Scotia Town Planning Act.

1.3 **Use Districts.**

For the purposes of this By-Law, the Zoning Area is hereby divided into "Use Districts". The boundaries of these districts are more particularly shown on the Zoning Plans annexed hereto and which are hereby declared to form part of this By-Law.

1.4 **Classification of Use Districts.**

Use Districts are classified into:

- (a) Residential District
- (b) Commercial District
- (c) Industrial District

The regulations of this By-Law define the principles governing the occupancies permitted in any use district. Exceptions to these regulations may be permitted at the discretion of the Minister of Municipal Affairs when it can be shown that in any instance an exception is in the public interest.

## SECTION 2 — RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT:

### 2.1 General.

Except as hereinafter provided, all structures and parts thereof erected or altered in Residential Districts shall conform to the regulations of this Section.

### 2.2a Permissible Uses R-1 Zone.

No building or part thereof and no land shall be used for purposes other than:

- (a) Single family dwellings.
- (b) An accessory building.
- (c) An office or a consulting room for use by a doctor, dentist, lawyer or a similar professional person, but not including a hairdressing establishment, when located in his or her residence.
- (d) A private garage.

### 2.2b Permissible Uses R-2 Zone.

No building or part thereof and no land shall be used for purposes other than:

- (a) Dwelling purposes, including:
  1. Single family dwellings.
  2. Multiple dwellings.
  3. Apartment houses.
  4. Rooming and Boarding houses and tourist homes.
- (b) An accessory building.
- (c) A private garage.
- (d) A library, an art gallery or a museum.
- (e) A public or a private school.
- (f) A church, community hall or a parish hall.
- (g) A hospital, a nursing home, a sanatorium or an institution for philanthropic or charitable uses, other than correctional uses, and other than for the treatment of inebriates or persons suffering from insanity, other mental diseases, cancer or communicable disease, but not including hospitals for the treatment of animals.
- (h) A lay or religious fraternity house or boarding house, where occupied by students, used exclusively for habitation or congregational meetings and supervised by the authorities of a public educational institution.
- (i) A park, including open air swimming pools and usual park buildings, or a playground, used for non-commercial sports.
- (j) A bowling green or a tennis court, including buildings appurtenant to same.
- (k) A farm, a truck garden, a nursery or a greenhouse.
- (l) An office or a consulting room for use by a doctor, dentist, lawyer or a similar professional person.

### 2.3 Lot Sizes: Single Family Dwellings.

- (a) In sections of any Zoning area where water mains are provided, and where the dwelling is supplied with water from such water mains, the minimum lot size for such dwellings shall be 6,000 square feet with a minimum frontage on the street of not less than 60 feet.
- (b) In sections of the Zoning area where water mains are not now provided, but where the Public Services Committee considers that such water mains will ultimately be provided, the minimum lot size for such dwellings shall be 12,000 square feet with a minimum frontage on the street of not less than 120 feet.

(c) In sections of the Zoning Area where no water mains are provided or proposed, the minimum lot size for such dwellings shall be 10,000 square feet with a minimum frontage on the street of not less than 100 feet.

**2.4 Percentage of Lot Occupancy.**

No building or buildings in a Residential District shall occupy more than 33 per cent of the total area of the lot on which it (or they) are situated.

**2.5 Line of Set Back.**

No building or part thereof shall be erected on any lot or parcel of land in a Residential District which does not provide at least eight feet on each side of said building and at least 30 feet from any street, road or highway boundary in front of such building, or in any case where such boundaries are not established at least 63 feet from the centre of the travelled way of said street, road or highway.

**SECTION 3 — COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS:**

**3.1 General.**

Except as hereinafter provided, all structures and parts thereof erected or altered in a Commercial District shall conform to the regulations of this Section.

**3.2 Permissible Uses.**

No building or part thereof and no land shall be used for the purposes other than:

- (a) Those permissible within Residential Districts.
- (b) A retail shop or store.
- (c) An office for or in connection with a business or profession.
- (d) A hotel or a cabin colony or a trailer camp.
- (e) An establishment for the printing or publishing of a newspaper.
- (f) A motor service station.
- (g) A public garage.
- (h) An electric sub-station.
- (i) A bank.
- (j) A salesroom for motor vehicles.
- (k) An undertakers establishment.
- (l) A Young Men's Christian Association or a Young Women's Christian Association or other institution of a similar semi-public character.
- (m) A telephone exchange or telephone office.
- (n) An auditorium.
- (o) A fire hall, a police station or municipal or government building.
- (p) An approved sign for advertising purposes.
- (q) A theatre, a motion picture theatre, a dance hall, a skating rink or other like places of amusement, but not any including any occupancy constituting a public nuisance.
- (r) A club or fraternal society.
- (s) An accessory use, limited to the purpose to which the main building, or part thereof, is devoted, and where such use is one permitted only in buildings classified in Section 4 of this By-Law and is an industry ancillary to a use permitted within this Section, provided that it shall not occupy in excess of one quarter of the floor space of the building, or part thereof, occupied by the use to which it is ancillary, and provided further, that no machinery required for its operative power in excess of Ten (10) horsepower shall be installed or operated therein for industrial purposes, and that wholesaling is not conducted therein
- (t) A warehouse for storage of merchandise for retail.

**3.3 Percentage of Lot Occupancy.**

No building or buildings in a Commercial District shall occupy more than 33 per cent of the total area of the lot on which it (or they) is situated.

**3.4 Line of Setback.**

Line of setback required in business or Commercial Sections or districts shall be the same as those required in Residential districts. (See Sec. 2.5).

**SECTION 4 — INDUSTRIAL DISTRICTS:****4.1 General.**

Except as hereinafter provided, all structures and parts thereof erected or altered in an Industrial District shall conform to the regulations of this Section.

**4.2 Permissible Uses.**

No building or part thereof and no land shall be used for purposes other than:

- (a) Those permissible within Residential Districts, a Commercial District, and
- (b) Any industry which is not an offensive trade within the meaning of the Public Health Act.
- (b) Any industry which is not an offensive trade within the meaning of the Public Health Act.

**4.3 Percentage of Lot Occupancy.**

No building or buildings in an Industrial District shall occupy a total of more than 50 per cent of the area of the lot on which they are situated.

**4.4 Line of Set-back.**

No building or part thereof shall be erected on any lot or parcel of land in an Industrial District which does not provide at least eight (8) feet on each side of said building and at least thirty (30) feet from any street, road, or highway boundary in front of such buildings; or in any case where such boundaries are not established, at least 63 feet from the centre of the travelled way of said street, road or highway.

**SECTION 5 — NON CONFORMING USES:****5.1 Existing Structures.**

A building which, at the date of enactment of this By-law, is used for a purpose not permissible within the district in which it is located, shall not be enlarged, extended, reconstructed or altered structurally, unless such building is thereafter to be used for a purpose permitted within such district, provided that the interior of such building may be reconstructed or altered in order to render the same more convenient or commodious for the purpose for which, at the date of enactment of this By-law, such building is used.

**5.2 Partial Destruction of Existing Buildings.**

When damaged to the extent of one half of the whole, exclusive of foundations, no building which does not conform with the requirements of this By-law in respect of use, shall be restored, except in conformity with the regulations for the use district in which such building is located.

**5.3 Extension of Non-Conforming Uses.**

Any use made of buildings or lands at the date of enactment of this By-law may be continued, although not conforming with the regulations of the use district in which they are located, or such use may be extended throughout the building, provided, in either case, that no structural alterations, other than those provided for in Section 5.1, or as may be required by existing law or by-law, are made therein, and that no new building or extension to such building is erected.

**SECTION 6 — BILLBOARD, ADVERTISING SIGNS AND POSTERS:****6.1 Permits.**

A building permit shall not be issued for the erection of any billboard, advertising sign or poster, or for any advertising device to be located either upon private or public property unless or until the location and nature of such sign or advertising device has been approved by the County Council or its Agent and subject to the regulations governing the use district in which it is proposed to erect it.

**6.2 Residential Districts and Public Parks.**

No billboard, advertising sign or poster and no structure for advertising purposes shall be erected, placed or made use of in any Residential District or on any property or premise bordering on a public park or playground forming part of a public park, provided that such prohibition shall not apply to the erection or use of any sign which sets forth the name only of any structure, or the name and business of any occupant of any trade premises occupied by them, or to any notices exhibited on a public building, church or park, setting forth the purposes for which such building or park is intended.

**SECTION 7 — BUILDING PERMITS & CERTIFICATES OF OCCUPANCY:****7.1 Building Permit.**

Every person proposing to erect or alter or repair any building shall within ten days before beginning any work thereon, notify the inspector of his intention and shall complete the form "Application for Building Permit" as approved by the County Council, to the satisfaction of the inspector. Plans and specifications of any proposed building shall be furnished if required by the inspector, where plans and specifications have been submitted to the inspector and approved by him they shall not be altered without the approval of the inspector who shall certify such approval in writing thereon. The inspector may require any plans or specifications approved by him to be produced to him at any time subsequent to such approval and failure to produce them when so required shall be an offence under the Building Act, punishable as therein provided.

**7.2 Certificates of Occupancy.**

It shall be unlawful to use any building or premises or part thereof hereafter erected or altered except as provided in Section 5.1, until there has been issued by the County Council or its Agent an Official certificate of occupancy certifying that the building or premises or part thereof so erected or altered and the use thereof conform to the provisions of the By-law.

**7.3 Building Permits Issued prior to By-Law.**

Nothing in this By-law shall require any change in the plans, construction or designated use of a building for which a building permit has been issued or the plans for which are on file with the building department at the date of enactment of this by-law.

**7.4 Inspection of Buildings.**

The County Council or its deputy is hereby authorized to enter at all reasonable hours upon any property or premises in which they have reason to believe that the provisions of this By-law are not being obeyed and for the purpose of subsequently carrying out the duties authorized by this By-law.

**SECTION 8 — GENERAL:**

**8.1 Vacant Building.**

Any building, vacant at the time of the enactment of this By-law, shall be classified according to its previous use, and shall be so designated in the records and use charts.

**8.2 Fire Escapes, Stairways, Balconies.**

Non-enclosed fire escapes, in which the steps and floors are latticed in such a manner that the proportion of voids to solids is not less than two to one, and in which the guards consist only of handrails and the structural members necessary to their support, are permissible provided that such escape shall not project more than four (4) feet.

**8.3 Structure on Unsubdivided Land.**

When it is proposed to erect a building upon any unsubdivided block or parcel of land there shall be indicated upon the block plan submitted with the application for a building permit such areas shall be preserved for the use of such building in the event of building occupancy of the immediately adjoining area.

Adopted, March 3, 1953.

**REPORT OF THE SAFETY COMMITTEE**

To His Honor the Warden and Members  
of the Municipal Council,

Gentlemen:—

We, the members of the Safety Committee, present herewith our report for the year ending December 31, 1952.

Tax Warrants have been issued in Goodwood School Section No. 20, Spryfield School Section No. 27, Cunard School Section No. 32, Armdale School Section No. 33, Port Wallis School Section No. 84, Woodside School Section No. 82, Rockingham School Section No. 34, Partridge River School Section No. 60, Tufts Cove School Section No. 88, Sheet Harbour School Section No. 23, Head Chezzetcook School Section No. 70, Cow Bay School Section No. 55, plus two individual warrants.

Tax Warrants for County Taxes have been issued in District No. 8, 10 (four separate warrants for different parts of the District), District 11, District 12 (five warrants for different parts of the District), District 14G, 14D, District 20 (six warrants for separate parts of the District), District 28, together with six individual warrants.

In addition to the above, Poll Tax Warrants were issued for the second consecutive year in all Districts.

To summarize the above — total tax warrants issued during the year were issued as follows:—

School Section Tax Warrants.....	14
County Tax Warrants.....	28
Poll Tax Warrants.....	22
	—
	64

As a result of the above warrants being issued \$18,016.61 was turned into the Municipal Office personally by the Constables concerned, and, of course, a considerable amount of money has come into the Municipal Office as a result of the Constables working in the field, and warning people to pay their arrears or otherwise the warrant would be executed.

This is not as much as was turned in personally by the Constables in 1951 when a total of \$28,824.62 was turned in on tax warrant collections — but on the other hand it must be pointed out that in 1951 \$12,547.79 was collected on Warrants in Districts 23, 24, 25 and 26, where large amounts were outstanding by relatively few people who had ample personal property that could have been sold under the Tax Warrant. In 1952 all warrants have been on the poorer types of residential properties where people often have not sufficient personal property to seize — which makes collection a slow and tedious process of continual follow-up to get relatively small amounts, usually on a regular or installment basis.

Collection of Dog Licenses for the year 1952 amounted to \$6,673.00 as compared with \$7,236.50 in the year 1951. These amounts were collected as follows:—

District Number	Year 1951	Year 1952
7.....	\$ 153.00	\$ 153.00
8.....	392.00	376.25
9.....	242.00	175.00
10.....	301.00	191.00
11.....	536.25	532.25
12.....	1,535.50	1,370.00
13.....	342.00	305.00
14.....	813.25	794.00
15.....	85.00	88.00
16.....	244.00	216.00
17.....	176.00	176.00
18.....	120.00	117.00
19.....	227.00	191.00
20.....	148.00	131.00
21.....	145.00	128.00
22.....	156.00	144.00
23.....	147.00	139.50
24.....	120.00	120.00
25.....	95.00	81.00
26.....	147.00	129.00
27.....	802.25	827.00
28.....	309.25	289.00

The same routine that has been followed during the past few years with respect to the selling of Dog Tags was continued this year with most of the actual licensing being handled by local constables in the various Districts under the supervision of the Constables working out of the Municipal Office. This was accomplished

with a minimum of disruption of routine and was handled expeditiously by most of the local constables, only one or two lagging to any appreciable extent in licensing the Dogs in their particular District. All licenses and license tags issued to the local constables have been accounted for satisfactorily to the Municipal Office.

You will recall that last year this Committee reported that expenses were only being paid on the presentation of vouchers on the part of Constables working out of the Municipal Office for actual expenses incurred while on the road. You will also recall that this Committee recommended last year, and Council approved, that with respect to the supply of uniforms to Constables working out of the Municipal Office, the Municipality would pay 50% of the cost of such uniforms and the Constable concerned pay the remaining 50% of such cost through the monthly deductions not exceeding \$5.00 per month from his monthly pay cheque.

Also last year your Committee recommended that Constables working out of the Municipal Office should turn in as part of tax collections, the \$2.00 Warrant Fee so that the Committee would know the actual amount collected in this manner, and at the same time Council approved the paying of a bonus to the Constable out of the Warrant Fees collected not exceeding 50% of the Warrant Fees collected — the remainder to be shown as revenue to the Municipality, which would reduce the costs of the Constables' operations to the Municipality.

All the above have been carried out and the expenses, uniform costs and warrant fees collected by both Constable Longard and Constable Cameron are shown below, compared with the costs for the year 1951:—

Expenses:	1951	1952
Salary— Const. Longard.....	\$1,200.00	\$1,800.68
Const. Cameron.....		1,227.82
Const. Umlah.....	2,125.00	
	<u>\$3,325.00</u>	<u>\$3,028.50</u>
Mileage.....	691.70	698.50
Expenses supported by vouchers.....	\$ 175.00	\$ 178.55
Uniform Costs.....	256.55	38.75 (50%)
	<u>1,123.25</u>	<u>915.80</u>
Total Costs.....	<u>\$4,448.25</u>	<u>\$3,944.30</u>

Warrant Fees collected and turned into Municipal Office:

	1951	1952
Const. Longard.....		\$ 272.00
Const. Cameron.....		1,019.50
Const. Umlah.....		
		<u>\$1,291.50</u>

In fairness to Constable Longard, it must be pointed out that he took over warrants in Armdale School Section No. 33 and in District 12, that had already been worked by another Constable, who had collected warrant fees from many of those listed in the year 1951, and thus Constable Longard was unable to collect a second warrant fee on these large warrants.

In accordance with Council's wishes with respect to the payment of a bonus to the Constables working out of the Municipal Office, out of warrant fees collected, the Committee wish to report that they have studied the work of the Constables very carefully and after taking all things into consideration, including the fact that Constable Longard could not collect warrant fees on the largest warrants he was working on, as is explained above, your Committee decided that for this year approximately 40% of the \$1,291.50 turned in from warrant fees should be paid out to the Constables, and taking the individual work of the men concerned into consideration have paid this out as follows:—

To Constable Longard.....	\$ 225.00
To Constable Cameron.....	300.00

This leaves a total of \$766.50 to be applied against expenses of Constables, which will nearly cover the expenses, mileage and uniform cost of the Constables working out of the Municipal Office for the year 1952. This will mean that total paid to the Constables working out of the Municipal Office during the year 1952 is as follows:

	Longard	Cameron
Salary.....	\$1,800.68	\$1,227.82
Mileage.....	375.70	322.80
Expenses.....	80.65	97.90
Uniforms.....		38.75
Bonus out of Warrant Fees.....	225.00	300.00
	<u>\$2,482.03</u>	<u>\$1,987.27</u>

Constable Cameron's earnings are lower than they would be for the full year, as he was only employed during the year 1952 when Council was in session.

Both Constables have done some work on the collection of Hospital Accounts at the same time they have been working on Tax Warrants. The results, however, have been very disappointing. Hospital Accounts are difficult to collect because of the attitude that has been built up through the medical profession — and then there is no immediate remedy that can be put into effect by the Constable. With a tax warrant he can distrain and sell personal property or place the party concerned in jail — with Hospital accounts, however, the Municipality can only sue through the Courts, which is a slow and inadequate method in the opinion of your Committee.

Last year some Councillors raised objection to some of the methods used by a Collection Agency with whom some of the old outstanding Hospital accounts had been placed. However, your Committee feels that with indigent Hospital accounts increasing each year as our population grows, together with the general attitude of the patient that the County should pay, that Council should not be timid about the vigorous methods sometimes used by Collection Agencies.

It is your recommendation that the Hospital accounts should be gone over carefully by the Constable, the Councillor of the District (or the Overseers of the Poor) and the Municipal Clerk and any accounts that in the opinion of the above could be reduced by even a part of the total Hospital Bill, should be passed over to a Collection Agency except for the current year and the year before. This would give any ratepayer about a year and a half to deal with the matter through the Municipal Office — and in your Committee's opinion if the patient has not done anything to settle the account in that length of time, and he is in a position to pay even some, if not all, of the Hospital account, then Council should have no hesitation in turning the old accounts over to a Collection Agency — with one stipulation, that letters sent out by the appointed Agency should be approved by the Safety Committee before being released to the ratepayers concerned, and also, that the Committee should review the collection procedure generally with the Collection Agency concerned every second month, or oftener if necessary.

In accordance with the above, your Committee recommends that after screening by the Councillor, the Constable and the Clerk, that Hospital accounts that are not active (i.e. nothing being paid thereon) from 1951 back, be placed in the hands of a Collection Agency for action. It is felt, by your Committee, that if this procedure is followed year by year, people will become more conscious of their responsibility with respect to payment of Hospital accounts, and annual costs to the Municipality will eventually be reduced.

During the year one of the Constables working out of the Municipal Office was unfortunate in that he lost a considerable sum of money after making a good

day's collection. This amount was made good by the Constable concerned, but to circumvent this in future, Constables working out of the Office have been ordered not to keep any sums of money overnight, but to send in temporary receipts and moneys collected by purchasing a Post Office Money Order and mailing it direct to the Municipal Office each day when they are in the field. Also in keeping with our thoughts on making improvements where possible, all local Constables are being instructed to turn in remittances in a similar fashion and all Municipal Employees are being warned not to use the name of the Municipality for obtaining credit, as this could make for bad public relations for the Municipality.

Applications for re-employment have been received from both Constable Longard and Constable Cameron. Copies of these applications are filed with the signed copy of this report, and in this connection we recommend as follows:—

1. Constable Cameron to be re-engaged as County Constable at a salary of \$1800.00. His performance, although newly appointed, has been excellent and we believe, if encouraged, will improve.

2. Constable Longard to be re-engaged for a further period of one year at a salary of \$1800.00, providing Mr. Longard's health will permit him to give satisfactory service to the Municipality. Unfortunately his health has not been of the best at times during the past year and the Committee also recommends that in the event of a recurrence of this man's disability, that the Safety Committee be given authority to deal with the matter after a full report on the Medical condition of Mr. Longard has been supplied to the Committee by the Medical Health Officer of the Municipality, and if it becomes necessary to dispense with Mr. Longard's services that the Committee be authorized to call for applications and make a new appointment until the next regular or special meeting of the Council.

Other recommendations of the Committee are as follows:—

3. We recommend that the Constables working out of the Municipal Office again turn in all warrant fees collected, as a part of tax collections, and the Committee be empowered to pay up to 50% of the warrant fees collected to the Constable as a bonus stipend, the actual amount to be paid to each to be dependent on the collection record during the year. The remaining 50% or more of the Warrant Fees collected to be revenue to the Municipality, to offset at least part of the expenses of the Constables.

4. The system with respect to Uniforms to be continued, whereby the Municipality will purchase all uniforms necessary, but pay only 50% of the cost — the other 50% to be paid by the Constable concerned at a rate of \$5.00 per month, to be deducted from his monthly pay cheque.

5. Mileage at the rate of ten cents (.10) per mile to be continued, while the Constables working out of the Municipal Office are actually engaged on Tax Collection work, or other essential work for the Municipality, provided that the daily report forms that have been instituted are properly kept and the Committee is satisfied that mileage claimed on the daily report sheet is justified.

6. Expenses while travelling on Municipal work, such as overnight expenses of hotels or boarding places, should be paid by the Municipality for the Constables working out of the Municipal Office, providing the amount claimed is supported by actual receipts or vouchers. We recommend that an amount of \$500.00 be placed in the estimates for this purpose, to cover such expenses for both Constables.

7. We recommend that the Constables working out of the Municipal Office be under the direction of the Municipal Clerk and this Committee, as was the case last year, and that two weeks vacation with pay be granted to them each year, at a time agreeable to the Clerk and Committee.

8. The Committee also recommends, as stated elsewhere in this report, that Hospital accounts for years prior to the current year, and the year immediately preceding the current year, should be passed to a Collection Agency to be approved by this Committee after screening of the accounts has been done by the Councillor (or Overseers), the Constable and the Municipal Clerk, and that the Agency concerned meet with the Committee to approve letters and review the situation, every two months or more often, if necessary.

There are a variety of other items under the By-laws that could be referred to this Committee, but no items have arisen during the year under other classifications that have been referred to the Committee.

We have followed the work of tax collectors under warrant very closely and feel that the recommendations above are in the best interests of the Municipality, and, therefore, hope that Council will co-operate as they have done in the past by adopting the recommendations of the Safety Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) By the Committee.

Adopted, with the exception of Clause 8, March 3, 1953.  
Clause 8 referred to Finance Committee.

#### REPORT OF SPECIAL MARKET COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

We beg to submit herewith the report of the Special Market Committee.

As members of the Council are aware, the City of Halifax Works Committee, during our 1952 Session, proposed a rate of \$70.00 per year for ordinary tables and \$100.00 per year for rack tables, payable every three months.

The rate was finalized by Halifax City Council in their April Meeting and was started in operation by City Authorities at the Market July 1, 1952.

One difficulty was encountered when the City of Halifax refused to grant any weekly rate and from discussions with them, they refused to change their attitude.

Your Committee immediately took up the matter with the Department of Municipal Affairs and in August 1952, a meeting was arranged between your Committee, the City of Halifax and the Department of Municipal Affairs.

The outcome of the meeting was successful and the City of Halifax agreed to arrange a weekly rate of \$2.50 per week and still maintain the yearly rate for vendors who use the market during the full year.

Your Committee recommends that the sum of \$2,000.00 be placed in the estimates for 1953 to be used toward any deficit on the operations of the City Market, as we feel the City of Halifax will want to have further meeting and the said sum will serve as a guarantee that our County vendors will not be deprived of Market privileges after the present rate expires.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 3, 1953.

**REPORT OF METROPOLITAN AREA COMMITTEE**

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:

Your Metropolitan Area Committee had one meeting during the year to consider the possibility and advisability of forming a Metropolitan Area within the Municipality. The report of the Rowat Commission was studied which suggested an overall Metropolitan Commission, including the City and Town for handling certain essential services such as public health, public works, planning, etc.

Theoretically, something of this nature might be very sound, but there are many practical difficulties which arise, and your Committee felt that the time was not ripe for any advancement along these lines at the present time.

Some discussions ensued as to the possibility or desirability of a separate Metropolitan Area and if so should this be administered as part of the present Municipality or as a separate entity. Then the problem of defining the Area to be included as a Metropolitan Area comes into the picture, as well as the basic factor of establishing a need for some organization other than that existing today.

There are probable changes coming up in the educational field that may affect this matter. The County is presently negotiating with Ottawa with respect to Federal Grants. There is a possible change in some District lines under consideration by another Special Committee of this Council, and taking all these matters into consideration, this Committee is of the opinion that it would not be expedient at the present time to make any definite recommendation with respect to a Metropolitan Area. Your Committee does feel, however, that the matter should be studied further, and when the situation has become stabilized, it may be that some definite recommendations can be made, and it is suggested that a similar Committee be set up for the year 1953.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed by the Committee)

Received and filed, March 4, 1953.

**REPORT OF HEALTH OFFICER AND SANITARY INSPECTOR  
DISTRICT NO. 11**

Ketch Harbour,  
Halifax County, N. S.,  
February 26, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the  
Halifax County Council.

Gentlemen:—

As Health Officer and Sanitary Inspector for Ketch Harbour, Sambro, Penant and Portugese Cove, District No. 11, I wish to report the general health in these areas was very good during the past year.

Made inspections of four cesspools and found these to be satisfactory. Received very few complaints during the year with regard to sanitation.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Sgd.) J. GERALD SPEARS,  
Health Officer & Sanitary  
Inspector District No. 11.

Received and filed, March 4, 1953.

### REPORT OF SANITARY INSPECTOR DISTRICT NO. 12

To His Honor the Warden and Members,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax,  
Halifax, N. S.

Gentlemen:—

I have the honor to submit my report as Sanitary Inspector of District No. 12 for year ending December 31, 1952.

During the period covered I have with the approval of the Board of Health, District 12, dealt effectively with several bad cases which have been troublesome for some time. These apply particularly to leaky cesspools which overflow on adjacent lots.

My total calls for the year are as follows:—

Wells tested.....	26
Wells pumped out.....	2
Wells condemned.....	6
Cesspools changed.....	15
New Septic Tanks inspected.....	97
Septic Tanks cleaned out.....	61
Septic Tanks moved.....	7
Privies moved.....	11
Privies ordered cleaned.....	25
Garbage calls.....	56
Checking up on Plumbing.....	65
Dead animals disposed of.....	71
Sundry other calls on Sanitation.....	49
<b>TOTAL.....</b>	<b>491</b>

The sewage condition in the Fairview area of District No. 12 is not good and much of my work on leaking cesspools has been concentrated here. There is little prospect of improvement as recurrent calls indicate until such times as some form of sewage disposal has been initiated. In travelling continuously over the entire District I do not hesitate to point out that practically every stream is badly polluted with sewage.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) JOHN W. HOLLAHAN,  
Sanitary Inspector,  
District No. 12.

Received and filed, March 4, 1953.

### REPORT OF MEMBER OF HEALTH BOARD AND OVERSEER OF POOR DISTRICT NO. 14

Port Wallis, N. S.,  
February 23, 1953.

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the  
Halifax County Council.

Gentlemen:—

As a member of the Health Board and Overseer of Poor for District No. 14, I wish to make the following report:—

A number of complaints were received during the year re septic tanks, garbage, etc., and all were investigated and adjustments made where possible.

There was no relief given out in my section of District No. 14 during the year 1952.

(Sgd.) L. V. HOOPER,  
Member of Health Board and  
Overseer of Poor, District  
No. 14.

Received and filed, March 4, 1953.

#### REPORT OF SANITARY INSPECTOR DISTRICT NO. 20

Tangier, District No. 20,  
Murphy Cove, N. S.,  
February 23, 1953.

To His Honour the Warden and Members  
of the Municipal Council:—

Gentlemen:—

I am pleased to report to the Council for the year 1952 as Sanitary Inspector for District No. 20. I made several calls to the schools and found them kept in good condition and clean. I also called in all the grocery stores in the district and found them in a good condition, clean and sanitary.

I also wish to say I visited the fish plants, where they make the boneless fish and found them in good condition, clean and white washed. I also watched them making the boneless fish and found that they handle the fish in a clean and sanitary way.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd.) HOWARD C. NEWCOMBE,  
Sanitary Inspector.

Received and filed, March 13, 1953.

#### REPORT OF THE WELFARE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

The Welfare Committee is pleased to present to Council the report with respect to the operations of the Halifax County Hospital for the year ended December 31st., 1952. It has been a busy year and your Committee has been faced with many problems in connection with getting the new Hospital Wing into operation.

As was reported last year, the Official Opening of the New Wing took place on January 9th., 1952 — but the building was not officially taken over from the Contractor until January 30th., 1952 — but even on that date all wards were not ready for occupancy as some of the sub-contractors had minor items to be finished, which kept all wards from being occupied until well along in March, and from this time on our patient population has grown, but it was not until June that it could be said that the Hospital was operating at anything near full capacity.

Great difficulty was encountered due to strikes in the construction industry and the fact that the contractors were busy on other jobs, in getting all the outside

grading finished, and all final items of plumbing, heating, etc. completed within the building, and it was not until after the first of this year that the architect has been able to give us a certificate to the effect that the building is one hundred per cent complete, and it was only toward the end of January 1953 that the final progress estimate was authorized for payment by our architects, and as a matter of fact, there is still \$5,000.00 holdback due the contractor which is held for a period of twelve months after final completion as a guarantee against any difficulties or faults that may arise in the building during that time.

Unfortunately these hold-ups in finalization of details has made it necessary to leave an incomplete picture from the Capital point of view as of the end of the calendar year, however, an up-to-date picture of the Capital situation is shown in Appendix "A" to this report.

It will be seen from the Capital cost as portrayed in Appendix "A" to this report but the actual capital cost of the new Hospital Building has exceeded the amount of funds available both by way of loan from the Dominion and Provincial Governments and by reason of sale of our own debentures to an amount of \$350,000.00. Originally, the Grants from the Province and the Dominion were to be on a basis of \$1,500.00 from each Government for 212 beds but because preliminary estimates on the building were less than was anticipated at the time Grants were finally approved to an amount of \$300,000.00 for both the Provincial and Federal Governments. Accordingly, representations have been made to the Government of the Province of Nova Scotia to see if the Grant from that body and from the Federal Government might not be increased to cover the deficiency in this Capital. We have been promised an answer with respect to this matter before Council rises but if additional Grants are not forthcoming it may be that additional debentures will have to be sold to cover the deficiency between actual Capital available and the final Capital cost of the building.

#### **NUMBER OF PATIENTS:**

At the end of the year 1951 there were approximately 360 patients in the Halifax County Hospital. This number has increased month by month so that by December 31st., 1952 there was a total of 539 patients in the Hospital. This number has also been continually increasing since the first of 1953.

#### **EXPENSES DURING 1952:**

The Financial Statement of the Halifax County Hospital for the year ended December 31st., 1952 will show that Bond Redemption and Interest figures have grown considerably over the year 1951. This is due to the fact that the \$350,000.00 Bond issue was sold in the late summer of 1951. Bond Redemption for 1952 amounted to \$25,626.82 as compared with \$8,476.25 for the previous year and Interest on Bonded Indebtedness amounted to \$19,224.95 compared with \$4,868.58 for the year 1951. Other expenses, such as electric power and telephone rates are greater due not only to a larger hospital being in operation but also due to increased rates as well. Also to meet the requirements of a second building the staff was greatly increased to adequately care for the patients which, of course, increases salaries to about double the figure of last year.

In connection with the normal running of the hospital and the farm, your Committee wishes to report that although one hundred acres were under cultivation during the year 1952 approximately seventy-five acres were under cultivation on our own property. An additional twenty-six acres were rented from Mr. Frank Conrod of Cole Harbour and Mr. Gordon Bowes at Cow Bay.

Planting was carried out early and as you are all aware poor weather followed and our crops although good on the average did not yield as much per acre as usual. This is particularly true with respect to potatoes. About twenty-two and one-half acres were planted in potatoes but an unusually low yield of 250 bushels per acre was the average crop. As a result of this, together with a lower than usual inventory

of farm produce at the end of the year plus the fact that the Committee has valued the farm produce at lower values in some vegetables than some values have been for the past few years, means that our total farm produce has dropped this year to \$38,331.35 as compared with \$42,000.00 a year ago.

Our livestock has been maintained at about the same level. There are a total of two horses and thirty-seven cows at the present time. Of the thirty-seven cows about twenty-two are milkers or will be in the near future. This average has been maintained pretty well throughout the year and we hope that during the coming year the Hospital will be completely self-sufficient in supplying milk. Production of eggs also has been satisfactory as we have produced enough eggs to completely supply the hospital with fresh eggs during the year. This is of paramount importance as patients are served at least one fresh egg per day.

The Committee has suggested during the past few years that the time was not far away when Council should consider adding to the lands presently owned by the County so that the farm could be expanded, adjacent to the existing farm, rather than rent properties that are sometimes of necessity some distance from the present Hospital property. Just recently, the Vincent property to the south of the Hospital property has been placed on the market. There is one property between this and the Hospital property, but it is near enough so that the acquisition of this additional property would be of greater benefit in the operation of a larger farm to meet the needs of a larger patient population.

The property in question is about 175 acres, of which ten to twelve acres are cleared and would be available for planting this year.

Since your Committee was unanimous in the opinion that this property should be purchased an option has been asked for, offering \$3,000.00 for the property in question. This option runs to the 20th day of April 1953 and of course is subject to the heirs being able to supply clear title. We recommend that Council approve the purchase of the Vincent Property and authorize this Committee to purchase same on behalf of the Municipality before the option runs out.

#### **STAFF CHANGES:**

With our Hospital nearly doubled in size and capacity our Staff has been nearly doubled as well. Because of the nature of the employment it is difficult to get good help, and because of the isolated location it is difficult to keep persons on the Staff because there are many who want to be away from the building during their off hours. To help combat this, the Committee has continued the Bus Service that was inaugurated last year to take those off duty to town and return.

One particularly unfortunate incident occurred during the fall of this year when we lost the services of the farmer who has been with the Institution since the Institution was opened. However, a new man has been appointed to this position and although he has only been acting in this capacity for a few months he has accepted the responsibility well, and has managed the farm work and farm buildings in an excellent manner since he has taken over.

Another thing that has concerned your Committee greatly in connection with the Staff is the fact that their quarters are so cramped that it becomes one of the main reasons why it is difficult to keep Staff at the Hospital. Not only this, but the Staff is quartered in, the Hospital Buildings that are of expensive type of construction and are occupying space that could be more profitably used for patient accommodation.

The Committee for many years now has discussed the advisability of providing separate staff accommodation apart from the main buildings, which would be of a much cheaper type of accommodation but would allow more room for living space for the Staff, so that they would have some comfortable living area in which to spend their off hours and at the same time would be able — to some extent at least — to get away from their work which is one of our greatest problems today.

What the Committee had in mind was separate quarters of an apartment type which could accommodate up to three individual persons with living room, or a limited number of families, together with storage space for trunks and other belongings of the Staff. Our idea was to charge rent for these quarters, have space

available for cooking purposes and if members of the Staff wanted to eat at the Hospital, then meal tickets would be sold to them. The Committee also felt that the Staff quarters should not be a burden on the taxpayers of this County but that the rent paid should cover the cost of amortization and maintenance.

With these ideas in mind, Mr. C. A. E. Fowler was asked to prepare sketch plans and preliminary estimates of the proposed accommodation, and we submit herewith the results of this study:—

#### ESTIMATED COST OF STAFF ACCOMMODATION:

Construction to be of concrete with Thermo Crete partitions, sloped roof pitch and gravel, wooden window frames, two storey, with balcony access to second floor. Apartments for single persons to consist of three bedrooms, only slightly larger than required by minimum standards laid down by National Housing Act, together with living room, bathroom and space for kitchenette. Apartments for families to be more or less flexible for four families and to provide a four bedroom unit, a three bedroom unit and a two bedroom unit.

Single apartments — two storey — to accommodate 84 single people:—	
Estimated construction \$7,740 per Unit of 6 persons.....	\$ 108,500.00
“ “ — 4,750 per 4 Family Units.....	19,000.00
Heating connections to present buildings.....	12,500.00
Lighting facilities from present buildings (cables, switches, etc.).....	1,500.00
Trunk storage, Converters, etc.....	3,500.00
Battleship Linoleum over concrete slab floors.....	8,000.00

	153,000.00
Architect's Fees — 6% for designs and supervision.....	9,180.00
	<u>\$ 162,180.00</u>

#### Annual charges for such accommodations:—

Assume cost to be \$165,000.00.	
Finance charges — 8.5% (principal and interest).....	13,785.30
Maintenance of Building — 3%.....	5,000.00
Operating Cost: Heat.....	\$ 2,000.00
Light.....	1,500.00
	<u>\$ 22,285.30</u>

#### Estimated Revenue:

\$ 5.00 per week for occupancy of single room.....	\$ 21,840.00
\$40.00 average per family per month.....	1,920.00
	<u>\$ 23,760.00</u>

It is not the Committee's intention that the Municipality should profit on the Staff Quarters, but the Committee does feel that sufficient rent should be charged so that the project would be self-liquidating. Unfortunately we haven't enough plans for all, but some are available and the originals are filed with this report, so that all may have a look at them. Your Committee unanimously recommends to Council that the Staff Quarters as outlined above be approved and the Committee empowered by the Council to call for Tenders for the construction of same as soon as complete plans and specifications can be made up by the Architect, and if Council so approves, the Committee will be pleased to introduce a Temporary Borrowing Resolution within the next twenty-four hours, to enable the Committee to carry out this work.

During the past year your Committee has called for Tenders for supplies on two occasions and in all cases Tenders for supplies have been awarded to the lowest bidder with one or two exceptions where the Committee was buying at better prices than the lowest bid received, the notable cases being that of margarine and butter which is usually bought at factory price which is cheaper than wholesale price.

As is usual the Christmas Festivities were celebrated in the same general high order. This is always one of the highlights for the patients and the Staff always contributes much of their own time to make Christmas as enjoyable as possible for the patients. This year the supplies of candy, oranges and other Christmas delicacies that were donated from all over the County seemed to be more generous than ever, and the Committee at this time wish to record their very sincere appreciation and thanks to all those who assisted in the festivities by contributions of various kinds. Appendix "B" contains a list of the donations that have been received from various organizations.

Religious Services have been held regularly and the sick were visited regularly by various clergymen and our thanks is given once again to these gentlemen who were so faithful in the performance of their duties in this regard.

The Committee wishes to express their deep appreciation to the Superintendent, the Matron and the entire Staff who have all given splendid service during the past year. There is no secret in the fact that an efficient and loyal Staff is a great asset to your Committee and to the Municipality in the day to day operation of the Hospital.

We recommend that the employees of the Halifax County Hospital shall be as named in the schedule attached to this report and that the remuneration be as shown in the schedule and that the schedule be signed by the Warden and Clerk and filed with the Minute Book of Council in the Municipal Office. We also recommend that your Committee be authorized and empowered to make salary revision and changes in personnel if, as and when required during the coming year.

Due to the fact that the Hospital suffered a substantial loss in the year's operation for the year 1952 it is imperative that the rates be increased so that the deficit account can be reduced gradually over the period of the next few years, as it was never intended that the Halifax County Hospital should be a cause of expense to the Municipality but rather should be a self-supporting Institution. Accordingly, the new proposed rates are as shown in Appendix "D" attached to this report and your Committee recommends that the Committee be authorized and empowered by this Council to put the new rates into effect immediately and also to make necessary adjustments in rates charged if, as and when required during the coming year.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Tabled March 6, 1953.

Adopted with the exception of the recommendations respecting the building of Staff quarters, March 10, 1953.

**WELFARE COMMITTEE REPORT**

**APPENDIX "B"**

**HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL**

**ENTERTAINMENT AND DONATIONS 1952**

We are indebted to the following for:—

Gordon Patterson, Sponsored by Kiwanis Club of Dartmouth.....	Christmas Entertainment
Kiwanis, Mr. Grant Allen.....	19 Motion Pictures, Donations of Ice Cream through the year
Women's Institute, Mrs. John Giles, Pres.....	Musical Entertainment, Donation of Ice Cream and cake.

Dartmouth Men's Club, Mr. A. C. Duncan.....	Minstrel Show
Quebec Chapter No. 27, O.E.S., Mrs. Eva Nickerson, Sect.....	Visitation, donation of home cooking and magazines.
Woodlawn Youn Peoples, c/o Mrs. J. D. N. MacDonald.....	Three Act Play.
Col. S. S. Wright.....	One Movie Show
Dartmouth Business Club, Mrs. W. Mitchell.....	2 Entertainments, Girls Choral Choir.
Hon. Joseph Howe Chapter No. 20, Order of Eastern Star, Mrs. Walter Graves.....	Musical Entertainment, donation of Ice Cream and cake. Donation of \$10.00.
Halifax Chapter No. 7, O.E.S., Mrs. Gertrude Dowell, Sect.....	Donation of \$10.00
Miss M. C. Fredea.....	Donation of \$5.00.
Mr. LeRoy Pelton.....	Donation of \$10.00.
Canada Packers Ltd.,.....	Donation of \$25.00 (Radio Fund)
Dartmouth Medical Centre.....	Donation of \$25.00 (Radio Fund)
Mr. Hedley O'Brien.....	50 Lbs. Candy
Stevens Drug Store.....	10 Lbs. Candy
Peoples Hardware.....	25 Lbs. Candy
James E. Dean & Co. Ltd.....	50 Lbs. Candy
Solomon Bros.....	3 Lbs. Candy
Howards Limited.....	30 Lbs. Candy
McGrath's Drug Store.....	10 Lbs. Candy
Fairley & Stevens Ltd.....	10 Lbs. Candy
C. F. Trider & Co.....	5 Lbs. Candy
Mr. Frank Dauphinee.....	20 Lbs. Peanuts
Moffat's Drug Store.....	10 Lbs. Candy
Mr. Eldridge L. Lloy.....	10 Lbs. Candy
Mr. W. J. Dowell.....	3 Boxes Grapes
Knights of Columbus, St. Peter's Church, Major James Lovett.....	Minstrel Show. Donation of Ice Cream and Cake
Mr. & Mrs. Frank Green.....	1 Box Apples
Mr. & Mrs. William Greatorex.....	1 Box Apples, Candy and Magazines
Past Matrons Club, Hon. Joseph Howe Chapter 20, Order Eastern Star, Mrs. Albert Trider.....	Donations of Magazines
Mrs. E. Dauphinee.....	Donations of fruit and Jelly Powders for children
Riverside W.M.S. United Church, Mrs. George Dickey, Secty.....	Christmas Gifts
St. James United Church, W.M.S., Mrs. G. D. Burris, Pres.....	Christmas Gifts, Donation of Candy and Magazines
United Church W.M.S., Mrs. Creighton Ritcey, Pres.....	Christmas Gifts
Grace Mission Band, Riverside Church, Mrs. Garnet Jennings.....	Christmas Gifts
Grace United Church, Ladies Guild, Mrs. C. D. Webber.....	Christmas Gifts
Dartmouth Baptist Church Ladies Aid, Mrs. Cedric Mitchell.....	Christmas Gifts, Visitation

Stairs Memorial Church, W.M.S., Mrs. Darrell Mills .....	Christmas Gifts
Imperoyal United Church, W.M.S., Mrs. Harry Hatt, Com. Fr. Secty.....	Christmas Gifts
St. Peter's Church, Children of Mary, St. Peter's Convent.....	Christmas Gifts
Christ Church W.A., Mrs. Frank Smith.....	Christmas Gifts, Visita- tion and Donations of Ice Cream
St. Alban's Service League.....	Christmas Gifts.
Emmanuel Church, Mother's Union, Mrs. Ivan Keddy, Pres.....	Christmas Gifts and donation of clothing
Woodlawn United Church, W.M.S., Mrs. Robert Tulloch, Com. Fr. Secty.....	Visitation
St. James United Church, W.M.S., Mrs. E. C. Morash, Pres.....	Christmas Gifts.
Womens Institute, Mrs. Frances Turner, Pres.....	Christmas Gifts
Epsilon Girls' Hi-Y Club, Miss Carol Hetherington, Pres.....	Visitations and dona- tions of candy, fruit and toys.
Salvation Army.....	250 Bags Candy, Religious Services
Robson Mission Circle, St. James United Church, c/o Mrs. H. Hart.....	Christmas Gifts
I.C.U.R.O.K. Club, Mrs. Charlotte Titus.....	Christmas Gifts
T. Eaton Co. Ltd., Wood Dept.....	Christmas Gifts.
Sgt. Earl Chute, Dartmouth Detachment, R.C.M.P. ....	Assistance rendered during 1952
Chieff of Police Lawlor & Officers.....	Assistance rendered during 1952
Rev. Fathers Hooper and Smith.....	Religious Services and Visitations
Rev. Nelson MacDonald.....	Religious Services and Visitations
Rev. David Kennedy.....	Religious Services and Visitations
Rev. Benjamin Fream.....	Religious Services and Visitations

APPENDIX "D"

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

PRESENT RATES IN EFFECT DURING 1952

442 Patients @ \$9.00 per week.....	\$ 206,856.00
1 Patient @ \$9.50 " " .....	494.00
59 Patients @ \$10.00 " " .....	30,680.00
11 Patients @ \$14.00 " " .....	8,008.00
14 Patients @ \$25.00 per month.....	4,200.00
36 Patients @ \$35.00 " " .....	15,120.00
	<hr/>
	\$ 265,358.00

### PROPOSED RATES — APRIL TO DECEMBER 1953

442 Patients @ \$10.00 per week — Increase of.....	\$ 22,984.00
60 Patients @ \$11.00 “ “ — Increase of.....	3,120.00
11 Patients @ \$ 2.50 per day — Increase of.....	\$ 2,007.50
14 Patients @ \$35.00 per month — Increase of.....	1,680.00
	29,791.50
Therefore from April to December, 1953 — Increase of.....	\$ 22,343.63

### REPORT OF MEDICAL OFFICER FOR HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

February 23rd., 1953

The Warden and Members of the Halifax County Council.

Dear Sirs:—

The operation of the New Wing of the Hospital, with the facilities provided, has changed the whole atmosphere of the place and improved the standard for caring for Patients with a marked increase in their comfort.

The X-ray equipment is now in use and provides a great facility for the care and investigation of patients.

We are getting a greater proportion of chronic ill bed patients with serious conditions, who require constant nursing and care. The number of these makes a real problem with the limited attendants available. The greater number of disturbed mental patients admitted here also increased the attendance and care required.

The Regular Medical routines are carried out daily, ward rounds, examination and treatment of patients with the necessary preventive inoculations and tests being done systematically.

The Staff of the Hospital under the direction of Mr. and Mrs. E. V. Smith have given me complete and pleasant co-operation throughout the year, for which I would like to express my appreciation and thanks.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) F. F. P. MALCOLM, M.D.

Received and filed, March 6, 1953.

### REPORT OF THE SPECIAL MEDICAL COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Council  
of the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

Your Special Medical Committee beg leave to submit the following report:—

During the year this Committee has not met. However, an endeavour has been made to meet the Honourable Minister of Health to discuss some aspects of Medical Service rendered in some parts of the County. When Council convened this request had been renewed and we are still unable to meet the Minister and therefore, the Committee has nothing new to report.

Regarding what has already been established along the lines of assistance to two Medical Doctors in the County, namely to Dr. Watt of Spryfield and Dr. MacLeod in Moser River. A subsidy of \$800.00 was paid to each of these Doctors last year and the Committee recommends that the subsidy to Dr. Watt be discontinued on the grounds that this was specifically requested for one year only.

Regarding Dr. MacLeod of Moser River, the Committee recommends that this subsidy of \$800.00 be paid this year on the grounds that the Department of Health is continuing their subsidy of \$1,200.00.

The Committee recommends the appointment of a Medical Committee for 1953 with a view to further study with the Minister of Health concerning other areas in the County not now being adequately served by a Medical Doctor.

Regarding the matter of dividing the County into two areas, which matter was referred to this Committee, it is suggested that the County be divided as follows:

That Halifax West be one area with Halifax Centre and East the other area, with the Halifax-Truro Highway as the dividing line. It is further suggested that in the event of this division not being to the entire satisfaction of the Doctors concerned, that they mutually arrange their own bounds in this respect.

Regarding the western area, two names have been considered by the Committee, namely, Dr. Charman and Dr. Watt of Spryfield. The Committee feels this appointment should be made from the County and therefore recommend Dr. Watt as Health Officer for the western area and Jail Physician at a salary of \$800.00.

For the eastern area, three names have been mentioned to the Committee, namely, Dr. MacMillan, Sheet Harbour, Dr. Morrison, Musquodoboit Harbour and Dr. Malcolm at present the Medical Health Officer at the County Hospital. The Committee recommends that Dr. Morrison of Musquodoboit Harbour be appointed Medical Health Officer for the eastern area at a salary of \$500.00.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 10, 1953.

### INTERIM REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

It has been the practice of the Finance Committee to bring down the Estimates for the Municipality of the County of Halifax on the last day of the Council Session. It is realized that until our business is complete, the Estimates cannot be completed nor can the final tax rate be struck. However, your Committee feels that it is only fair to the Council to get as much of this work done ahead of time as possible, so that there will not be so much delay in bringing in the final report. Accordingly, your Committee has met and has gone over the letters that have been referred to them and it has considered the Estimates for the year 1953, insofar as it is possible to do at the moment and we would like to introduce at this time, the mimeographed form, so that they can be discussed, and we will ask the Councillors to retain the copies of this report so that when other figures are introduced to the Estimates later on each one can add that figure in pencil or pen in the blank space provided in the Estimate form.

The paragraph in the report of the Safety Committee, dealing with Hospital Accounts, that has been referred to the Finance Committee, has been considered at length.

The Finance Committee recommends that all Hospital Accounts that are inactive, that is no payment being made thereon prior to the year 1952, be compiled in the Municipal Office and forwarded to the Councillor of each District, who will be invited to scrutinize and make recommendations, as to which, if any, of these people can pay the Hospital Account and whether they can pay in full or by installment, and further that these old Accounts that the Councillor feels can be paid, be so marked on the list and returned to the Office to be handed over for collection by a Collection Agency to be named by the Finance Committee and whose type of letter and form of letter shall be approved by the Committee.

It is felt by the Finance Committee that if this becomes an established routine, that over the years collection in Hospital Accounts will improve considerably, as the general public will learn that at the expiration of a certain time the Accounts will automatically be turned over to a Collection Agency.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee).

Adopted, March 10, 1953.

### REPORT OF REVENUE COMMITTEE

To His Honour the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

Your Committee beg leave to report as follows:—

Several affidavits for relief from taxes were presented and the Committee recommends as follows:—

1. That Thomas Brown and Charlotte Brown of Oldham be granted relief from taxes for the current year.
2. That Lily N. Young of West Petpeswick be granted relief from the payment of taxes for the current year.
3. That Mrs. Mary Ellen Ryan of Sambro be granted relief from the payment of taxes for the current year.

These cases have been considered on the basis of evidence presented in the affidavit and also the Councillors of the Districts concerned have been consulted.

A letter to Councillor Leverman from G. F. Arnold was referred to the Committee. This application was presented on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Towell of Fairview. This was considered by the Committee and in view of the fact that they both receive Old Age Pensions, their request was not granted.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) By the Committee.

Adopted, March 11, 1953.

### REPORT OF THE RESOURCES COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:

Your Committee on Resources, beg leave to submit the following:

Two Petitions for Pound Sections have been received by your Committee — one for Clam Bay and one from Moser River. This Committee, after interviewing the Councillors for these Districts, approve the Petition on the request of a sufficient number of resident ratepayers. We recommend that in accordance with our By-laws, a Committee of three disinterested persons be appointed for Clam Bay and similarly for Moser River to look into the matter and report at a later meeting of the Council.

Your Committee is in receipt of a report from the East Hants, Halifax Veterinary Assistance Board, which seems to give a clear picture of their activities for the year 1952. Twenty-five hundred (2500) animals were examined, treated, vaccinated, etc., during the year. The majority of diseases seem to be confined to cattle, while horses, swine, sheep and poultry were treated in decreasing numbers. An effort has been made to group non-emergency calls in order to maintain expenses at a minimum.

We also are in receipt of a report from Dr. Hendry, whose operations are carried on under the Halifax South East County Veterinary Assistance policy. The report indicated that although Dr. Hendry is not constantly employed, he is always

on call and during the year a total of 686 calls were made covering a total of 26,870 miles, which is an average of 39 miles per call. In view of the extensive mileage covered and number of calls made, your Committee feels the services rendered have been very satisfactory and therefore recommend that the sum of \$1500.00 for Halifax South East Veterinary Assistance and the sum of \$1,050.00 for Halifax East Hants Veterinary Assistance be placed in the Estimates for the year 1953.

Your Committee has been advised by the Minister of Agriculture that the land clearance policy, which was discontinued last year, has been reinstated and the amount of assistance will be in excess of \$2.00 per hour up to a maximum of forty (40) hours.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 12, 1953.

### REPORT OF THE PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

Your Public Services Committee wishes to bring Council up to date on the activities in this regard, that have transpired since the last meeting of the Council.

You will recall that at the last session of the Council a resolution was passed to the effect that a ferry be established between Oakland Road, in the City of Halifax, and Jollimore Village, and that subject to the approval of Council at its next session, a license be granted by the Trade Committee to a suitable applicant, and also, that this Committee was to determine schedules of operation and schedules of rates for such ferry.

Unfortunately the Committee, although it had several meetings with the operator of the ferry and with residents of Jollimore, had great difficulty in getting a solution to the ferry problem worked out. The City Ordinance with respect to operation of the ferry, turned out to be ultra vires of the City Charter, which only gives the City the right to license persons using the Landing Places, and this Committee, although it fixed a schedule of rates and hours of operation, could get no co-operation from the Ferryman at the time, and it proved to be that we were powerless to take any legal action on the matter because the Provincial Statute governing ferries only gives us power to regulate ferries operating within the Municipality. This particular ferry operated between a point in the City and a point in the County.

It was not until late this fall that, through the co-operation of the City Council, a permit to use the Landing Places was issued to a candidate selected by the Committee, who had agreed to operate on a schedule of rates and times as laid down by the Committee.

Because of the above, special Legislation has been asked for, as you already know, to bring this ferry under the control of this Council, and the Committee refers at this time the whole matter of the operation of the ferry and the Purcell's Cove ferry to the Law Amendments Committee of the Council, so that a new By-law may be introduced at this session of the Council, laying down the method of control of these ferries by the Public Services Committee and the licensing of the Ferryman concerned, as the Legislation in itself is not sufficient to properly regulate the operation of these ferries. This Committee will be happy to work with the Law Amendments Committee in this regard.

Unfortunately our Engineer, Mr. Emms, tendered his resignation during the year and Mr. Ralph Fraser has been appointed as Municipal Engineer to fill this vacancy. Mr. Fraser has been with the Municipality since last June, and has taken hold of the Planning and Public Service problems of this Municipality and is progressing very favorably in his work along these lines.

Last year this Committee recommended that the Engineer be paid a salary of \$4,000.00, plus a car allowance of \$400.00 per annum, and we would again recommend to the Finance Committee that a similar sum be placed in the Estimates this year to cover the salary and car expenses of the Engineer.

**Tufts Cove Sewer Outfall:** Last year Council authorized a temporary borrowing of \$25,000.00, for which bonds would later have to be sold, for completing the sewer outfall at Tufts Cove. There have been hold-ups in proceeding with this installation — mostly because of the difficulty of arranging certain easements. For example, only recently has a permit come to hand from the Canadian National Railways to permit the Municipality to place its sewer mains under the C. N. R. roadbed at Tufts Cove.

We recommend that this Committee be again authorized to call for tender for the construction of this sewer outfall, and also that this Committee be authorized to award the tender in the best interests of the Municipality working in conjunction with the Warden, the Solicitor, the Engineer and the Clerk.

It is further recommended that the Warden and Clerk be duly authorized by this Council to sign all necessary contracts, easements, or permits required for this project on resolution, of the Public Services Committee. Temporary Borrowing Resolutions will be introduced separately to renew the Resolution of last year, to cover the estimated cost of this project.

**North End Sewer.** We wish to report that further to this Council's authorization of last year, the Agreement with the City of Halifax with respect to the use of this sewer has been signed, and we are pleased to report that we have been successful in our negotiations with the City in that the amount to be charged the Municipality for maintenance purposes on this main has been reduced from the figure of \$626.04 per year, as was the figure suggested in the original draft Agreement with the City a year ago, to a figure of \$198.04 per year for maintenance purposes, which has been arrived at through negotiation with the City of Halifax during the past year and which your Committee feels is a reasonable figure.

**Mumford Road Sewer.** With respect to the Agreement between the City of Halifax and the County of Halifax, permitting the Municipality to connect with the City Sewerage System to discharge domestic sewerage from various residences in the immediate vicinity of the intersection of Mumford Road and the Dutch Village Road into the City's Mumford Road sewer, your Committee regrets that it was unsuccessful in its negotiations with the City to reduce the proposed charge per house connection of \$12.00 that had been fixed by the City. Due to the fact that the residents of the area concerned have all agreed to pay this extra charge because of their anxiety to have sewer services and due to the fact that this Council authorized your Committee to deal with this matter when it was satisfied that the best interests of the Municipality and the residents concerned have been protected insofar as possible, this Agreement has also been concluded with the City of Halifax and is now in effect.

**Water and Sewer — Fairview.** For some years now it has been suggested by the Committee and authorized by the Council that water and sewer services should be installed on all Streets in the Fairview Area that can be served by gravity feed from the supply mains of the Public Service Commission of the City of Halifax. Surveys have been carried out in this area and at long last your Committee is pleased to report that more than 60% of the ratepayers living on Glendale Avenue, Rosedale Avenue, Rufus Street, Frederick Street, Ashdale Avenue, Sunny Brae Avenue and Maple Street that are capable of being served by the Public Service Commission by gravity feed, have signed petitions and contracts with the Municipality, requesting both water and sewer installations at the earliest opportunity. We are pleased also to say that the contract for proceeding with this work has been signed, following a resolution passed by this Council on its opening day and that work is now proceeding favorably in this area.

Since the borrowing resolutions that were approved by this Council a year ago to carry out this work, will expire in April of this year, your Committee recommends

that the powers that were granted to it last year be renewed once again this year up to an amount not exceeding \$150,000 and it is proposed to bring in temporary borrowing resolutions separate from this report to cover this item.

**Stores Building.** You will recall that last year this Committee asked Council for a sum of \$1200 for the purpose of purchasing land and constructing a building sufficient in size to serve as a garage with a service truck, storage for equipment and as a stock room for small items of equipment. Your Committee has found a suitable site in the Port Wallis area, which is a central point from which to carry on maintenance of water utility on the eastern side of the Harbour, at a sum of \$300. It was felt, however, by our Engineer and after his report to your Committee, that the sum of \$900 would not be adequate to build the type of building which was required for the above purposes. Your Committee, therefore, has not proceeded with the building as was proposed last year but has deferred this matter until this session of Council and would now respectfully recommend to Council that the Committee be authorized to spend not more than \$3,000 for this purpose; such amount to be chargeable against the surplus of the Municipality.

**Gaston Road.** At the Special Meeting of Council held on August 26th last, your Committee was authorized to proceed with installations of water and sewer on Gaston Road. It has been impossible to carry out this work up to the present time because of the fact that sewers within the limits of the Town of Dartmouth are not adequate in size to carry any major load of this part of the County. Before entering into any Agreement to take domestic sewerage from Gaston Road, the Town of Dartmouth has advised your Committee that they wish to complete a fairly detailed survey of the whole area, both within the Town and in the Municipality, to determine what improvements, if any, would have to be made to the Dartmouth System over and above their present plans to accommodate any sewerage from this area in the Municipality. These surveys are being carried out at the present time but unfortunately have not been concluded up to the moment. Your Committee is not requesting a renewal of borrowing resolutions in this regard, as the ones that were approved last August carry through until August of 1953 by which time the survey should have been completed and the work finalized, if at all possible.

**Armview Drive.** Also at the Special Session of Council last August, your Committee was authorized to borrow a sum not exceeding \$14,000 for installing water mains in Armdale from the corner of Quinpool Road and Chebucto Road to the north fo the Arm Bridge, crossing the road just west of the Arm Bridge and proceeding up the Arm Hill as far as Armview Drive, so that there will be a source of water available in the County on which to extend when the demand for water in this area makes it necessary to proceed with water installations.

Your Committee is pleased to report that this has been accomplished by negotiation with the Public Service Commission under the terms of the Agreement signed with them some years ago with the exception of the fact that the rate to them has been reduced for this particular length of pipe from 12% to 9%. This means that the Municipality will not have to issue Bonds for this section of pipe but the costs will be roughly the same as if debentures had been issued on a twenty year basis in addition to which the Commission is responsible for all maintenance, etc., on this line.

One extension has already been installed by the Public Service Commission to this main along Armview Drive, which will serve some of the most valuable residential properties in the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

**Extension to Existing Mains.** Your Committee is concerned over the service they can provide during summer months, as it reported last year. It is felt that now since the water utility on the eastern side of the Harbour is in operation, your Committee should be authorized to make some extensions, if, as and when required, providing the policy that has been laid down by the Municipality is adhered to — that is, a requirement of 60% of the ratepayers on the Street concerned signifying by petition and agreement that they are willing to become consumers. The same can be said of the Armdale-Fairview area now since a start has been made.

with installations on the western side of the Harbor. Of the temporary borrowing resolution of \$10,000 that was approved by the Council last year, your Committee has proceeded with installations on Fourth Street in the Flawn Subdivision. This installation was made at a cost of less than \$6,000 and this is an amount which will have to be capitalized when the Municipality next places its debenture issue on the market. In the meantime, your Committee has had requests to investigate several other areas, both in Tufts Cove and in Fairview and as some of these Streets are building up quickly and where water is already in the area, your Committee feels that rather than call a Special Meeting of this Municipal Council to consider the advisability of making some extensions, they recommend that this Council authorize a temporary borrowing resolution to an amount of \$50,000.00 to enable your Committee to make some extensions to presently existing lines, if, as, and when it appears necessary and when such expenditure would be economically sound. Your Committee proposes to introduce separately a temporary borrowing resolution to this effect.

**Other Forms of Authorization.** Another thing that has slowed up the work of this Committee in the past is the fact that authorizations must be received by Council for the signing of documents in connection with proposed installations and your Committee recommends that the Warden and the Clerk be duly authorized by this Council to sign necessary contracts, easements, permits and other legal documents required for any project upon which the Committee embarks with the consent of Council upon resolution of the Public Services Committee, when this Committee is satisfied that the best interests of the Municipality and the residents concerned have been protected insofar as possible.

**Scope of Work.** Last year it was recommended by this Committee that the work of the Engineer would be co-ordinated by the Clerk and your Committee recommends that this policy continue to be followed and wish to remind Council that the Engineer's duties are that of one responsibility for all engineering work, drafting, etc., in connection with Public Services. This means that he will supervise the technical operation and to some extent the administration of the water utility on the eastern side of the Harbour. The Maintenance Superintendent, Mr. Forbes, and any casual labor that it may be necessary to employ, will come under his jurisdiction. He is also to design and supervise the construction of, if Council agrees to proceed with same, any new installation of services or any extension to existing installations.

The Engineer, it is realized, must also give every assistance to the Planning Board, and although this Committee does not wish to report in detail on this part of his work, it is only fair to assume that the Engineer will devote approximately half his time to Planning by being present at, and recording the minutes of all meetings of that Board and at the same time devote his attention as the County Planning Board has suggested, to the preparation of Master Plans for this Municipality, the official numbering and naming of Streets and such other duties as may be allotted to him by the Board from time to time.

It is considered that an Engineer can be most useful in assisting the local Building Inspectors and the local Sanitary Inspectors in the carrying out of their duties, as very often these men are only employed on a part time basis and may not be too familiar with some of the Building or Planning Regulations. In actual practice, Mr. Fraser has already investigated many serious sanitary problems and has been able to supply technical advice, either through the local inspectors or directly to the individuals concerned.

The above duties are broad and time consuming. Much work that should be done is being held up because the Engineer is tied up with work in progress. This applies to both work of the Public Services Committee and the County Planning Board and your Committee therefore, recommends that in order to catch up in some of the back log of work that has accumulated, that it be empowered to place in the Estimates for the current year a sum of \$2,000 to provide some additional assistance to the Engineer, probably during the summer months, so that surveys, master plans, etc., can be proceeded with.

**Special Rates for Special Services.** The Public Services Committee respectfully recommend to this Council that Special Rates be approved as follows:—

**Mooseland Street Lighting.** A rate of \$0.23 to be levied on the areas of Mooseland School Section No. 14, Halifax East.

**Woodside Sewer.** A rate of \$0.55 to be levied on the ratepayers of North Woodside to cover the District's half of the carrying charges and maintenance of the North Woodside sewer.

**Fire Protection Rate.** We recommend that the difference required to make up the necessary revenues as a Fire Protection Rate set at \$7,750.00 by the Board of Public Utilities) between the amounts received from the Provincial and Federal Governments in lieu of taxation, be levied on the assessment of all property within 1200 feet of a hydrant in North Woodside, Tufts Cove, Banook Subdivision, Glenwood Avenue and Flawn Subdivision. We further recommend, that the Public Service Committee be empowered to set a rate for fire protection purposes in the Armdale and Fairview Areas, when the amount to be raised for Fire Protection is made known by the Public Services Committee and has been approved by the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities of the Province of Nova Scotia.

**Retreat Avenue and Dutch Village Road.** In the fall of 1952 the first sewerage installation was made on the western slope of the Harbour, when a sewer was run along the western side of Dutch Village Road from Bayers Road to Retreat Avenue and then up Retreat Avenue. The Public Service Commission carried the water main up Retreat Avenue at the same time. This work was done on resolution of the Public Services Committee; the people to be served having signed service applications prior to the resolution. The total is 1,300 feet.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed by the Committee).

Tabled, March 13, 1953.  
Adopted, March 16, 1953.

#### REPORT OF COMMITTEE RE DIVISION OF DISTRICT 12 AND 14

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

Your Committee has held several meetings since its appointment and visited the respective Districts.

**District No. 14.** Your Committee feels that as a new Councillor is representing the ratepayers of this District that it may be well to let matters remain as they are for the present and watch proceedings.

With the completion of the Halifax-Dartmouth Bridge in another year or so, the development in the northern part of this District and the continuance of growth in the Port Wallis-Westphal Section, the matter will undoubtedly warrant further serious consideration.

**District No. 12.** The problems in this District are more complex than District 14. Your Committee had certain divisions in mind but on investigation they did not seem to be satisfactory. As an instance, the request from School District 27, to be made a separate Municipal District, appeared to have some merit, but further enquiries showed that a division of this kind would take too much assessment from an adjoining district.

In view of certain newspaper reports in the past year regarding amalgamation with the City of Halifax of certain areas of District 12, your Committee recommends that further study should be made before a final report is submitted to Council and also that a review of the County as a whole be made with regard to reallocation and redistribution of the present Districts.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 16, 1953.

### REPORT OF THE MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

We have the honor to present the 10th Annual Report of the Municipal School Board. It has been a busy year for the Board and many meetings have been held, both by the full Board and by Sub-committees of the Board, in order to get the work done.

Educational problems grow as the population increases and because of the rapid growth in school enrollments in this County, the work of the School Board seems to increase year by year.

A summary of school enrollments is shown below from 1942 to 1952, which shows how rapidly the school population has grown in the County as compared with the City of Halifax and Town of Dartmouth:—

**TABLE SHOWING TOTAL ENROLLMENTS OF SCHOOL CHILDREN IN  
HALIFAX CITY, HALIFAX COUNTY AND TOWN OF DARTMOUTH  
DURING THE PERIOD 1942 to 1952**

Year	City of Halifax	Town of Dartmouth	County of Halifax
1942.....	13,264	1,858	8,515
1945.....	13,405	1,879	9,146
1949.....	14,522	1,912	11,169
1950.....	14,867	1,993	11,722
1951.....	14,939	2,070	12,474
1952.....	14,992	2,278	13,478
Increase for Period.....	1,728	420	4,963

Not only does this growth in school population cause more work for the Municipal School Board, but the local School Sections — many of them already laboring under fairly high capital indebtedness — have been forced into Building Programmes, so that the school accommodation in the Section concerned can keep pace with the growing school enrollments. A list of the major additions, during the past year, to school plants, is given below, so that Council can see something of the nature and extent of the school expansion programme that is still taking place in Halifax County:—

**APPROXIMATE INVESTMENT IN NEW CONSTRUCTION BY SCHOOL  
SECTIONS OF HALIFAX COUNTY SINCE 1941/42**

	Additions to Existing School Plant	New Construction of School Facilities	Total
Lake Loon.....	\$	\$25,000	\$25,000
Beaver Bank.....	8,500		8,500
Maxwell.....	6,500		6,500
Bedford.....		40,000	40,000
Middle Sackville.....		25,000	25,000
Lower Sackville.....	1,500		1,500
Rockingham.....	40,000		40,000
South Armdale.....	94,000		94,000
Terence Bay.....		46,500	46,500
St. Andrew's.....	30,000		30,000
Falkland Village.....	1,500		1,500
Port Wallis.....		68,000	68,000
Porter's Lake.....		27,000	27,000
Brookside.....	7,500		7,500
	<u>\$189,500</u>	<u>\$231,500</u>	<u>\$421,000</u>

Close to a half million dollars expenditure is no small sum and it is understood by the Board that several Sections are considering further expansion programmes during the coming year.

**Teacher's Bonus.** Last year the Council at its Annual Session, voted a bonus of \$150 for teachers in Halifax County. This was discussed at some length at the first meeting of the School Board after the Session of Council had been concluded and it was decided to pay the bonus to all regular licensed teachers in Halifax County and Permissives, who were being paid on the basis of the Minimum Salary Scale. It did not apply to those who were receiving considerably higher than the scale in the Rural High School and in the Service Schools — nor was it thought that it was Council's wish to pay any portion of the bonus to Substitute Teachers.

Accordingly, the sum of \$40.00 was paid to all teachers in the above category just before Easter last year and the balance of the bonus that was due them for the 114 teaching days from January 1st until the closing of School in June, was paid to them in the final cheque for the teaching year at the end of July.

Since teachers were uncertain as to what to expect after the end of the Calendar year — Council at its Special Session last August voted sufficient money to carry the bonus through to the end of June this year, and this made it possible for the Board to employ teachers for the teaching year at the minimum scale, plus the \$150 Bonus.

Accordingly separate Contracts have been drawn up to this effect (a copy of which is attached to this report) and the Bonus of \$150 is being paid monthly to all teachers in the above category, as part of the teachers monthly salary cheque.

This Board has heard representations from the four locals of the Nova Scotia Teachers' Union in Halifax County again this year, as indeed Council could, as they asked to appear before the Council. The Board is sympathetic toward the teachers, and subsequent to the hearings submitted a Brief to the Government of the Province of Nova Scotia, asking that the Department of Education institute, as an interim measure, a new salary scale for teachers. The Board did not feel that it would be possible for the Council to provide the full amount of money that is being requested by the teachers, but were willing to recommend strongly to Council

a sufficient increase to meet the teachers' requests half-way, providing funds become available from other sources to meet the rest of the proposed increase. However, the picture has now changed, a Royal Commission has been set up, and the Board feels that with the situation as uncertain as it is at the moment, that the Bonus of \$150 should be continued for the teaching year 1953/54.

The Bonus last year cost the County just about the \$65,000 that was voted for this purpose. The amount of \$39,000 that was added at the Special Session to carry the Bonus through to the end of the teaching year will come to about \$37,620 and the Board therefore, recommends to Council that the sum of \$65,000 be included in the Estimates again this year to carry the Bonus of \$150 through the teaching year 1953/54.

A copy of this Board's Brief to the Department of Education has been circulated to all Councillors for their information.

**High School Tuition Fees.** One extremely unfortunate occurrence has caused your Board much concern and that is the raising of Tuition Fees for High School Students attending both Town and City High Schools. The City, which has been charging a Tuition Fee of \$80 for the past few years, raised its rates to County Students to \$135 per annum. Also the Town of Dartmouth — who had never before charged a Tuition Fee — started this year to charge a fee of \$125 per annum.

The Municipal School Board has managed to have new Grade 9's opened in Spryfield and Cunard Sections, and have asked Armdale and Woodside, both of which operate a High School Department, to take in Students from Sections that do not have High School facilities. Letters have been circulated to all School Sections, copies of which are attached to this report explaining the situation and the Board hopes to pay at least 25% of the Tuition Fees back to the parents — 12½% shortly, following the end of the half year and 12½% at the end of June. The reason for this being that High School population varies during the year.

**Rural High Schools.** Because of the above situation, your Board has been constantly driving for an expanded Rural High School Construction Programme. We have not been too successful, but we have been assured that Halifax County will receive priority consideration when present commitments of the Government have been met with respect to the construction of Rural High Schools.

The present Rural High School in Middle Musquodoboit has had another successful year and the Board at this time wishes to pay tribute to the excellent cooperation it has received from the staff, under the Principalship of Geoffrey Moore and also the cooperation it has received from the Home and School Association and the local people — all of whom have helped in no small way to make this School an outstanding one of its type in this Province.

It is interesting to note in passing that the MacLean's Publication "Municipal Affairs" has recently published an article on the conveyance system of this school and has termed it to be a "model system" for the conveyance of School Students.

**Tangier Area.** The School Sections in the Tangier Area have held meetings and have voted to establish a Central School at Tangier for High School Grades. Meetings have been held with people from the area and with the Minister of Education, who has advised the Board that he is willing to approve this Consolidation at High School level if the Board approves of same.

The Board approves of the proposed Consolidation in principle but has not formally approved of it as yet, because the question of Conveyance enters the picture. Practically all School Sections concerned have a Capital indebtedness at the moment. Most are overcrowded and it is a certainty that if the Consolidation is to be effected, the Capital rates of all Sections in the proposed Consolidation will go up considerably.

The Area has asked the Municipal Board for assistance in providing Conveyance. The only criterion on which to go at the moment is the Musquodoboit Rural High School Area — which contributes on an Area Basis 25% of the cost of Conveyance. However, as there are few teachers in this Area from outside (most of them being married women who are teaching purely to keep the school open) and

as the two situations are hardly comparable in that the Government of Nova Scotia pays the full Capital Cost of a Rural High School, plus 75% of the Maintenance Costs — your Board recommends that 50% of the cost of Conveyance to effect this Consolidation be borne by the Municipal School Board — which means that the necessary amounts will have to be raised by this Council.

The Tangier Area was to have a Meeting on March 14th, following which representations would be made to the Council — the Board does not know whether this meeting has taken place but it suggests that in order to progress in the Educational field in this County, as they are doing in other parts of Canada and other parts of this Province, that minor Consolidations should be effected where possible and that any costs in this regard should be the responsibility of the Board on a Municipal-wide basis, rather than a purely local basis.

**School Section Boundaries.** The Board has studied and changed the Boundaries of several School Sections during the past year. Descriptions of School Section Boundaries in this County are very poor and it is hoped that the Board will be able to continue to revise the Boundaries gradually until they finally present an up-to-date picture with reference to Crown Land Maps. The cooperation of the Assessment Department has been solicited in this regard and Mr. MacGlashen and his staff have been most cooperative in studying the situation and making suggestions and drawing up actual plans of proposed new Boundaries.

**Teacher Situation.** At the present time the Board is employing 465 teachers — but in spite of this number, there are some closed schools. The Advanced Department at Port Dufferin is on correspondence, due to the inability of this Section to get a suitable teacher. However, arrangements have been made through the Inspector of Schools, to have a Correspondence Supervisor appointed (paid by the Correspondence Division of the Department of Education) who supervises the Correspondence work of the pupils in this Section. Spry Bay is closed at the moment. The Advanced Department of West Dover has been closed for about a week and Montague Gold Mines is closed, although being close to Dartmouth, the parents here are sending their children to St. Peter's School in Dartmouth.

Other Sections that were late in opening this year are Indian Harbour (Advanced Department), North Beaver Bank, Harrigan Cove, Devil's Island and Seabright. Also Pope's Harbour and Spry Bay which were open until Christmas but were closed for a period at the first of this year.

**Teaching Equipment.** Two years ago your Board asked the Municipal Council to make a special Grant of \$600 each year for four years for the purpose of improving the teaching equipment in many of our Rural Schools. In that year, dictionaries, maps of the Maritime Provinces, 10" globes and various books of Geography and Science were purchased from this Grant and have been delivered to one, two and three roomed schools of what is known as Halifax East from East Jeddore to Ecum Secum West. Although the \$600 voted by Council last year has not actually been spent as yet, the order for similar types of teaching equipment has been made up by the Supervisors and is new in the School Board Office, pending approval of the Board and actual ordering of the material.

The Board respectfully requests that a special Grant of \$600 be allotted by the Municipal Council again this year for supplying this much needed teaching equipment to the one, two and three roomed schools in other areas in Halifax West.

**Municipal Scholarships.** The Board requests that \$400 be included in the Municipal Estimates for the granting of four \$100 Scholarships as follows:—

- (a) \$100 for the student having the highest standing in Grade XI in the Provincial Examinations, and who is going on to higher education.
- (b) \$100 for the student having the highest standing in Rural High Schools and who is going on to the Teaching Training Course at Normal College.
- (c) \$100 each for the student having the highest standings in any other
- and (d) Halifax County Schools, who are going on to the Teacher Training Course at Normal College.

It is hoped that in this way the Municipal Board and this Council may encourage some of our own students to take up teaching as a career. It has been the

custom in the last few years to award these scholarships at the Annual Meeting of the Council, but as time is running short, the Board proposes to present them before a full meeting of the Municipal School Board, rather than take up the time of the Council this year.

**Teachers and Classrooms 1953/54.** Your Board has studied the situation very carefully and from the Censors' Returns of School Sections and from reports that have been submitted recently by Secretaries of School Sections, it would appear that enrollment will be considerably over the 14,000 mark next year and in order to provide for this increased enrollment, the Board finds it necessary to recommend to Council an increase in the Budget of \$12,000 to pay Basic Salaries for teachers and Room Maintenance up to December 31, 1953 in those Sections where present information indicates that enrollment will be increased. A list showing anticipated needs for additional Teachers and Rooms is also attached to this report.

Respectfully submitted,  
(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 17, 1953.

#### ANTICIPATED NEEDS FOR ADDITIONAL TEACHERS AND SCHOOLS

	Teacher	Basic Salary	Rooms	Main-tenance
Lake Loon.....	1	\$ 550	1	\$ 200
New Road.....	2	1,100	1	200
Partridge River.....	1	550	1	200
Port Wallis.....	3	1,550	3	1,200
McNab's Island.....	1	550	1	200
Tufts Cove.....	3	1,800	3	1,200
Shearwater.....	3			
Shannon.....	2			
Windsor Junction.....	1	550		
Wellington.....	1	550		
Dutch Settlement.....	1	550		
Landell's (Carroll's Corner).....	1	500		
Elmsvale.....	1	550	1	200
Ecum Secum.....			1	200
Terence Bay.....	1	550	1	350
Sambro.....	1	550	1	200
Spryfield.....	3	1,800		
Herring Cove.....	1	550	1	300
Armdale.....	6	3,700	6	2,400
Rockingham.....	2	1,300	2	800
Bedford.....	2	1,300		
East River.....	1	550	1	200
Waverley.....			1	350
Grand Lake.....	1	550		
	35	20,200		8,300

**REPORT OF THE PUBLIC SERVICES COMMITTEE**

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

Your Committee on Public Services has met to deal with all the things that have been referred to it by the Council since the opening of this Session and wish to report as follows:

**PETITION RE STREET LIGHTING — TUFTS COVE**

This Petition was asking Council to have approximately twenty (20) street lights erected on the paved highway through the Tufts Cove area setting out the cost of street lights as \$480.00 per year and agreeing to have the Municipality place a levy of \$0.05 per \$100.00 of Assessment to pay for said lights. The Assessment was on a basis of the whole assessment of School Section No. 88 and amounted to \$1,163,200.00 and at a \$0.05 rate this would yield approximately \$580.00 to defray cost of services and reserve for uncollectable accounts.

According to the Assessment records of the Municipality there are 738 persons who are resident ratepayers in School Section No. 88 but only 63 that signed the above petition. In order to fulfill the requirements of Section 123 of the Municipal Act signatures of the majority of the resident ratepayers would be required.

As there are not sufficient signatures to comply with this Section of the Act we are referring this petition back to the Councillor of the District concerned.

**PETITION RE STREET LIGHTING — LAKESIDE**

This Petition asks the Municipality of the County of Halifax to install Street Lighting System within the boundaries of School Section No. 92, and the petitioners agree that the cost of installing and maintaining such system should be a separate charge based upon the assessment of all ratepayers of this School Section. They anticipate approximately thirty (30) lights being placed on the main highway and adjacent roads at a cost of \$20.00 per year per light and as the net taxable assessment of the Section is \$266,250.00, the petitioners estimate a rate of \$0.25 per \$100.00 of assessment sufficient to finance this project. According to the Assessment records of the Municipality there are 145 resident ratepayers in the School Section of which 78 have signed the petition which gives more than the majority that is required under the Municipal Act. The Committee therefore recommends that Street Lights be installed as requested and that a rate of \$0.25 per \$100.00 of Assessment be levied on all ratepayers in Lakeside School Section No. 92 for this purpose.

**PETITION RE STREET LIGHTING — KETCH HARBOUR**

A further petition has been received from residents of Ketch Harbour asking that the Municipality proceed with the installation of approximately twenty (20) street lights in the Village of Ketch Harbour at points to be designated by a local committee.

Also in connection with the above a Counter Petition voicing the objection of other residents of the same Village was received.

With respect to the Counter Petition, page two of said Petition is signed by thirteen (13) people all of whom are assessed at Bald Rock or Sandy Cove and are entirely beyond the Street Lighting area to be considered. Sheet No. one of the Petition against the Street Lighting bears ten (10) signatures three of whom are non-residents which means that according to the Act only seven (7) names can be considered as being against the proposed street lighting installation.

The Petition asking for Street Lighting in the Village of Ketch Harbour shows

that forty (40) resident rate-payers out of the total of fifty-five (55) resident rate-payers have signed the petition asking the Municipality to install Street Lights.

Your Committee recommends that this installation be proceeded with and that a rate of \$0.61 be levied on all ratepayers of Ketch Harbour Village to defray the cost of this installation of street lights.

#### OLD MAIN ROAD — MOSER RIVER

In accordance with the petition of residents of Moser River asking that the road leading from the Old Main Road in front of the Moser River Schoolhouse to the residence of Fred Naugler (known as Mosers Road) be taken over as a public highway, we recommend that this Council go on record as being in favor of this road being listed as a public highway and recommend that the Minister of Highways for the Province of Nova Scotia be so advised.

#### LETTER — DONALD LOGAN, WOODSIDE

A letter from Mr. Donald Logan of Woodside that was referred to your Committee asks for a guarantee from the Municipality of the County of Halifax that if water and sewer installation are proceeded with in the South Woodside Area there will be no charge made for the sewer on the properties owned by Mr. Logan if Mr. Logan provides (at some little cost to himself) a sewer system to serve a group of houses immediately adjacent to his store by agreement with the Acadia Sugar Company to use a portion of a sewer line owned by the Company.

Mr. Logan feels that such a private sewer system would be very expensive to install and feels that if he goes to this expense personally, he should be relieved of any contribution toward a public sewer system should such be proceeded with.

Under the existing legislation it is not felt by the Committee that Council has the right to exempt properties that are ordinarily assessable from any contribution toward a scheme that is for the public good, but there is a possibility that a sewer system that would serve South Woodside would not service the Logan properties. It is proposed therefore, that this matter should be left in the hands of the Committee for the time being as Mr. Logan has asked for an interview with the Committee and at the same time the Committee will get a complete report on the possibility of this property ever being served from a public sewer from the Engineer, before coming to any conclusion with respect to the matter.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 17, 1953.

#### REPORT OF THE WELFARE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

The Welfare Committee has examined the exhibits of the amounts of the various District Balances and find that all Districts show Credit Balances in both Poor and District Accounts.

We recommend that the present system of District Rates be continued, under which a District Rate is levied in each District, to provide for all District charges, including maintenance of the Poor and such other matters as are by law authorized to be rated on a Municipal District. Such funds raised for District purposes, other than Poor, we recommend should be paid out only on the authorization of the Councillor for the District and only for such matters as are authorized by the Municipal Act, and further that such annual expenditures should be reported to the Council annually and ratified by the Council.

We, as a Committee, have looked over the Poor and District Expenditures for each District during the past year and have found them all to be in order. We, therefore, recommend to Council that all such expenditures be hereby ratified by this Council.

We also recommend that the following rates be assessed to the following Districts for Poor and District Purposes, as has been recommended by each Councillor:—

District Number	Amount of Assessment	Total Rate	District and Poor Levy	Proportion of Poor	Proportion for Other Purposes
7.....	\$2,466,925	\$ .15	\$3,670.38		100%
8.....	3,596,025	.10	3,596.25		100%
9.....	1,541,025	.05	770.05		100%
10.....	784,550	.20	1,569.10	50%	50%
11.....	1,406,925	.15	2,110.38	100%	
12.....	8,748,000	.40	34,992.00		100%
13.....	1,279,350	.20	2,558.70	50%	50%
14.....	3,938,575	.15	5,970.96		100%
15.....	369,325	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
16.....	273,950	.20	547.90	100%	
17.....	617,275	.15	925.91		100%
18.....	583,975	.15	875.96		100%
19.....	801,600	.20	1,603.20	25%	75%
20.....	465,225	.35	1,628.28		100%
21.....	983,575	.50	4,917.87		100%
22.....	463,975	.45	2,087.88	25%	75%
23.....	1,870,600	.10	1,870.60		100%
24.....	812,425	.20	1,624.85		100%
25.....	637,150	.25	1,592.87	50%	50%
26.....	507,450	.05	253.72	100%	
27.....	3,972,000	.15	5,958.00	50%	50%
28.....	5,757,025	.10	5,757.03	50%	50%

Councillors of District No. 20, 21 and 22 have requested that the sum of \$1,500.00 be paid from the District Funds of District No. 20; \$1,500.00 from District No. 22 and the sum of \$3,000.00 be paid from the District Funds of District No. 21, to the Treasurer of the Eastern Shore Memorial Hospital of Sheet Harbour on or before the 31st day of July, 1953. Your Committee recommends that this be done.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 17, 1953.

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON JURY LISTS**

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

Your Committee on Jury Lists report that they have prepared a List for the year 1953, containing the names of all persons assessed in the Municipality of the County of Halifax who are, by reason of the amount of assessment, eligible to serve as Jurors on both the Grand and Petit Juries.

Respectfully submitted,

(Sgd. by the Committee)

Adopted, March 17, 1953.

**REPORT OF COMMISSIONERS OF COURT HOUSE**

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council,  
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Gentlemen:—

Many of you will recall that in 1950 your representatives on the Court House Commission reported to this Council that the Commission had set up a special committee to investigate the whole situation with respect to accommodation in the Court House building and report back to the Commissioners.

That Committee reported back to the Commissioners stating that general repairs in the centre section of the main building must be carried out as soon as possible, particularly with regard to lighting and heating and also that in order to provide enough space to supply the urgent needs of an extra Court room, Jury rooms, additional vault space and office space, additional space for the Juvenile Court together with a Municipal Council room and Committee rooms, increased washroom facilities throughout the building, enlarged library facilities and alterations in the old part of the building, that some new construction would, of necessity have to be undertaken.

After some discussion as to what would be the best method of expanding facilities in the Court House Building, it was determined that the small wing on the back of the present building would have to be demolished and this wing would have to be replaced with a much larger wing, which, with some re-arrangement of office space would supply the necessary accommodation in the Court House Building.

In that year Borrowing Resolutions and necessary Legislation was put through the House to carry out this work but the City of Halifax representatives did not see fit to go ahead with this scheme, largely because the matter of Joint Expenditures has been in dispute for some time and their feeling on the matter was that they would do absolutely nothing with respect to voting money for improved facilities at the Court House Building until the matter of Joint Expenditures had been settled. Also, there is the matter of what contribution would be made by the Provincial Government toward improved facilities of the Court House Building.

Both of the above items seemed to have been settled somewhat this year. You have already heard the Report of the Arbitration Committee which, meeting together, have adjusted the Joint Expenditures for the next five-year period on a completely new basis. In addition to this we have been advised through the Deputy Attorney General that the Government of Nova Scotia is prepared to assume responsibility for one-third of the cost of the proposed improvements to the Law Courts Building on Spring Garden Road in accordance with plans and specifications which would have to be approved by the Attorney-General.

Also during the year the Welfare Committee of the Municipality recommended to the Commissioners of the Court House that if extensions and alterations were to be carried out on the Law Courts Building that the present Jail Building should be demolished and lock-up space should be provided in the basement of any new additions to the Court House Building.

The report of this Committee, copies of which are attached to this report, was presented at the last meeting of the Court House Commission and we may say has been received with some favor by that Commission. At least to the extent that they have resolved on motion that lock-up space be provided in the basement of any new additions to the Court House Building and at the same time have now asked the Attorney General of the Province that he would grant permission to the Court House Commission to do so and at the same time that if this work were to be proceeded with, if he would make necessary arrangements for accommodation of prisoners during the construction period.

It would seem, therefore, improvements and additions to the Court House Building are many steps nearer than they were a year ago.

The Architect has been asked to re-draft some of his sketches in connection therewith to see if adequate lock-up space could be provided in the basement of any proposed new wing and it is anticipated that before long the Commission will have an answer from the Architect with respect to this matter. Complete estimates as to what costs might be involved at today's figures are not available until these plans are revised accordingly, but it would appear that the improvements and the addition will probably amount to some \$600,000.00 which would mean that if the Government of the Province of Nova Scotia contributes one-third, then the City, Town and Municipality will be responsible for the financing charges of the remaining \$400,000.00.

It is to be hoped that before this Council rises there will be some definite word on the estimated construction so that there may be a possibility of this Council considering the matter of passing a borrowing resolution in connection with the matter.

It is the hope of your representatives on the Court House Commission that this Council will support the proposed additions and improvements to the Building as it will certainly greatly facilitate the functioning of the Municipal Council and its Committees so that we will not have to be begging for space that is normally occupied for other purposes.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, March 2nd, 1953.

#### REPORT OF WELFARE COMMITTEE

(Appended to Report of Commissioners of Court House)

19th January, 1953.

To His Worship the Mayor,  
Chairman,  
Commissioners of the Court House,  
and Members of the Court House Commission.

Gentlemen:—

Under the By-laws recently adopted by the Municipality of the County of Halifax the matter of the County Jail has been referred to the Welfare Committee of that Council.

The Committee has met at the County Jail from month to month throughout the year. As a Committee we have endeavoured to have certain improvements made from time to time but have found that any improvements made to this old Jail Building are so expensive in relation to the worth of the building that the Committee hesitates to suggest carrying out much needed repairs on a building as antiquated and out-of-date as the Halifax County Jail.

Last year there was an amount of three thousand odd dollars placed in the estimates for putting a fire escape on the outside of the building. This was approved with the provision that the Fire Chief of the City of Halifax and the Building Inspector of the City of Halifax approve the type of fire escape which was to be placed on the County Jail Building. Both officials have approved the type of fire escape but they too, by their comments, feel that an expenditure of \$3,000.00 and upwards placing fire escapes on a building of such antiquity is not good economy.

Your Committee feels that considerable re-wiring should be done to allow lights in individual cells. They feel also that wooden floors in the corridors of the jail are not good; sanitary facilities are poor and should be improved upon. The

matter of each individual cell being locked by its own individual lock is a matter that concerns the Committee greatly and yet the cost of installing a modern quick-release locking system in a building such as this is practically prohibitive.

There are many other changes that should be made in the interior of the jail but the exterior as well needs considerable repair. The old chimneys at the back are not now in use and must be taken down; there are bad cracks visible at the west and southern side of the building; the mortar between the bricks is old and can be removed almost by hand. Certainly much money must be spent on the exterior of this building to place it in good repair or other facilities will have to be provided.

The Deed to the Court House Building and the Halifax County Jail is in the name of the Commissioners of the Court House. The Commissioners are a body corporate and are, therefore, the body that is responsible for the real property attached to the land on which these two structures stand.

The Welfare Committee of the Municipality of the County of Halifax has been given to understand that the Commissioners of the Court House are considering expansion to the Court House facilities. The nature of this expansion would be to do away with the small wing presently existing, also in a poor state of repair, in the rear of the present Court House Building, and additional facilities would be created by building a new and much larger wing with sufficient accommodation to properly house additional office space that is very much needed in the present Court House Building.

One trouble with the proposed new wing to the rear of the Court House Building is that it was definitely limited as to depth by reason of the fact that the County Jail Building and Jailer's quarters prevented any more depth than some twenty-seven feet. It is understood that the Architects feel that a better design could be made if the proposed new wing were deeper than the twenty-seven feet presently planned. A more efficient plan therefore, could probably be worked out for the additional facilities to the Court House Building if the County Jail and Jailer's quarters were done away with.

In addition to the comments above, the Committee has spent some little time on going over the record of the County Jail System of this Province. It is astounding to note that Grand Juries for the past thirty years have been recommending that improvements should be made in County Jails practically everywhere. It was interesting also to note that a Royal Commission was set up by the Province of Nova Scotia in the year 1931 to inquire fully into the condition of the various jails in the Province, the administration of said jails and on all matters in relation thereto and what, if any, improvements could be made in the present system of constituting and administering jails. This Commission recommended very strongly the establishment of prison farms by the Provincial Government which would mean that prisoners serving sentence would serve sentence in such institutions. This would mean that the County Jail, as such, would be abolished and in its place a system of lock-ups only would be provided to look after those awaiting trial or awaiting transfer to the penitentiary or the prison farm if it is so established.

The Welfare Committee of the Municipal Council makes the following recommendations to the Commissioners of the Court House:

1. THAT the Government of the Province of Nova Scotia be asked to institute as quickly as possible a system of prison farms where prisoners can be usefully employed while serving sentence;
2. THAT the Court House Commission make allowance in their plans for extensions to the Court House Building for a lock-up of approximately twenty cells in the basement of the proposed new wing;
3. THAT the Court House Commission, if they provide for lock-up space in the basement of the proposed new wing, ask the Honourable, the Attorney-General of the Province of Nova Scotia, to permit them to abolish the Halifax County Jail because the Commission is planning improved facilities for lock-up space in the basement of the proposed new wing to the Court House Building.

This Committee sincerely hopes that the Commissioners of the Court House will deal with this matter in a sincere and realistic fashion. For many years now

there have been criticisms of the jail both as to its facilities and the fact that there is no segregation for prisoners. New offenders are mixed with the old — persons awaiting trial are in the same accommodation as those serving sentence. It is a poor situation that should not be tolerated by those who have responsibility for improving the situation. This Committee feels that now is the time when Court House additions are being considered to take the whole situation into account and improve at one time and in one building the accommodation necessary to the Court House facilities and at the same time provide lock-up space in the basement so that the present antiquated and inadequate jail can be done away with.

Yours sincerely,

(Signed by the Members of the Welfare Committee),

ROSS E. DAUPHINEE, Chairman  
W. J. DOWELL, Warden  
M. H. NAUGLE  
P. S. FERGUSON  
A. W. EVANS  
C. A. MYERS.

#### REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Gentlemen:—

This year in order to make Council familiar with what the Finance Committee had in mind, we have attempted to bring to you, insofar as possible, preliminary figures of the Estimates for 1953 as early as the middle of last week. We trust that this has given all members of the Council a good opportunity to study the figures that were presented at that time and we hope that bringing the Estimates down in a manner such as this, meets with the general approval of Council.

Since first introducing these figures and the interim report of the Finance Committee some days ago, your Committee has met from time to time and has gone into all other phases of Municipal Expenditure for the year 1953. I now wish to introduce the completed Estimates for the year 1953. These are not attached to this report, as the previous introduction of the Estimates has already been distributed to the Council and at that time vacant spaces were left, which we would now ask you to fill out in your own copy, as the completed Estimates are presented.

Last year the Finance Committee did considerable work in the establishment of a salary scale for some of the employees of the Municipality. This is the first time that any such salary scale had been devised for the County and was certainly a step in the right direction. Your Committee this year has gone into the scale again and has expanded it somewhat further, so that practically all categories of employees of the Municipality are now listed. As a result of the Committee's deliberations in this regard, we recommend that the following salary scale be instituted through all offices of the Municipality. These are actual salary scales and your Committee recommends that the cost of living bonus that was introduced last year, be done away with, as this presents a variable factor that must be dealt with each year. It is also recommended that the distinction between married and single girls, that was included in the original scale last year, should be done away with, as your Committee is of the opinion that the remuneration of the employee should depend on the quality of service rendered and the type of work done, rather than the employees domestic status. The recommended scale is as follows:—

	Minimum	Maximum	When Maximum to be reached
Director of Assessment.....	\$3,500.00	\$4,000.00	1954
Director of Assessment — Expenses.....	1,500.00	1,500.00	1954
Assessment Officer.....	2,400.00	3,000.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Assessor - Draftsman .....	2,500.00	3,100.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Cashier.....	1,400.00	1,800.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Stenographers.....	1,500.00	2,000.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Stenographer - Secretary (1)....	1,500.00	2,400.00	1954
Typists & Clerks.....	1,300.00	1,650.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Machine Operators...1,400.00 -	1,500.00	1,850.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Accountant.....	2,800.00	4,000.00	At rate of \$200 per Annum until Maximum
Asst. Accountant.....	2,700.00	3,700.00	6 Yrs. after Minimum
Chief Collection Clerk.....	2,700.00	4,000.00	At rate of \$200 per Annum until Maximum
Asst. Clerk.....	1,800.00	3,300.00	5 Yrs. after Minimum
Engineer.....	4,000.00	4,000.00	

You will note from the above that there are two new classifications of employees of the Municipality. The first is an Assessor Draftsman. It is recommended that where the Assessment Department does considerable work in mapping, which is useful, not only for assessment purposes but for other purposes as well, that the Draftsman, who is primarily responsible for this work should receive a slightly increased remuneration over the regular members of the Assessment staff, because of his practical ability along these lines and because of the fact that if one of our own staff is not able to handle the work of this sort, outside assistance would have to be obtained.

The other new classification as recommended by your Committee is that of an Assistant Accountant. The Municipal Clerk and Treasurer and the Auditors of the Municipality have pointed out to your Committee that the accounting work is increasing steadily year by year and they strongly recommend that additional help be provided in the Accounting Office, so that accounting data can be kept up-to-date. Your Committee, after going into this question thoroughly, concurs in this recommendation and recommends to the Council that this should be done.

**Office Equipment.** Your Committee recommends that minor items of office equipment up to a maximum value of \$300 that may be required from time to time by any of the Offices in the Municipal framework, be purchased by the Warden, the Chairman of the Finance Committee and the Clerk. Items of more value than \$300 should be purchased only on the approval of the full Finance Committee.

**Assessment Department.** In addition to the salaries for the personnel of this Department, your Committee recommends that the amount of \$700 be approved for board of the Assessors in the field, as has been the custom in the past and also that mileage be paid to the Assessors whilst engaged in actual assessing work at the rate of 10c per mile, providing that the person doing the assessment does not receive less than a minimum of \$3.00 for each day they are using their automobile for assessment purposes. We recommend that the figure of \$2,700 be placed in the Budget for the Assessment Department this year for this purpose.

We recommend that each member of Committees of Council or Boards, other than those provided by Statute or by resolution, when called together between sessions, receive the sum of \$10.00 per day for Committee work, together with 10c per mile travel each way.

We recommend that Districts be charged at the rate of 5% per annum on debit balances in their Current Accounts from January 1, 1953, and that they be allowed 1½% interest on the minimum quarterly credit balances.

We recommend that the Municipal Collector shall collect and pay over the amount of his rolls at any time between the first day of April and the first day of October in each year; providing that any moneys in his hands should be paid over promptly to the Treasurer.

We further recommend that all taxes shall be due thirty days after the date of billing and that an additional percentage charge for non-payment by the first day of October of six per centum per annum on any rates and taxes that are unpaid after said date.

We recommend that Poll Taxes on persons not otherwise assessed, shall be collected on or before the first day of July, after which date Warrants may be issued without notice.

We recommend that the tax bills, when printed, shall specify that remittances are to be made to the Municipality of the County of Halifax at par at the Municipal Office, Halifax, and the summary of the 1952 expenditures shall appear on the back of the bills; also that the bills shall state that taxes may be paid by instalments.

We recommend in connection with the collection of Capital School Taxes that School Sections be charged at the rate of 5% as a collection fee and that provision be made for a further 5% for possible bad debts when the levying is made; the first priority on moneys collected for the School Section shall be to pay the debenture or loan debt.

Your Committee also recommends that in the case of School Sections that have a School Poll Tax, that the actual amount of Single Poll Taxes thus far collected, less 5% Commission, should be paid over to the Section concerned, and the total of the unpaid Single Poll Taxes be returned to the Board of School Trustees of the School Section concerned for collection.

Your Committee has noted that pursuant to the provisions of Section 65 of the Assessment Act, the Assessment Roll, as finally passed by the Council and certified by the Clerk, has been laid by him before the Council.

AND WHEREAS the estimates of this Council show the sum of \$828,950.76 is required for the lawful purpose of the Municipality for the current year; and the estimated revenue of \$317,321.74 from all sources other than rates for the year, and making due allowances for the abatement and losses which occur in the collection of Taxes and Rates for the current year, leaves a net requirement of \$511,629.02.

AND WHEREAS this Committee deems a rate of \$1.32 on the hundred dollars on the assessed value of the property on the Roll is sufficient to raise such sum required to defray the expenses of the Municipality for the current year;

THEREFORE, the Committee recommends that this Council do authorize the levying and collection of a rate of \$1.32 on the hundred dollars on the assessed value of the property assessed in the Assessment Roll for the current year.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee).

Adopted, March 17, 1953.

## COUNTY ESTIMATES, 1953

	1953 Estimates	1952 Actual	1952 Estimates
<b>General Government:</b>			
Warden.....	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00	\$ 600.00
Councillors.....	8,300.00	8,242.38	8,500.00
Committees.....	3,500.00	3,445.20	5,500.00
Clerk & Treasurer.....	5,000.00	5,000.00	5,000.00
Office Assistants.....	29,000.00	24,666.99	24,000.00
Extra Salaries.....	700.00	921.75	1,500.00
Superannuation.....	3,900.00	3,900.00	3,900.00
Assessment Department.....	25,500.00	24,024.92	24,500.00
Auditors.....	1,600.00	1,200.00	1,200.00
Engineer's Department.....	6,400.00	4,366.67	4,400.00
Tax Collection Expense.....	100.00	66.25	
Solicitor.....	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00
County Constables' Salaries.....	3,600.00	3,553.50	3,300.00
County Constables' Expenses.....	500.00	54.45	
Commission re Dog Tags.....	3,500.00	3,335.50	3,700.00
Expenses re Dogs.....	375.00	375.83	
Clerk of Licenses.....	100.00		
<b>Office Expenses:</b>			
Engineer's Supplies.....	100.00	69.13	
Postage.....	4,000.00	4,674.63	3,500.00
Printing and Stationery.....	4,300.00	4,219.80	4,500.00
Reporting and Printing Reports.....	2,200.00	3,863.91	2,500.00
Telephone.....	1,320.00	1,013.54	700.00
Surety.....	100.00	105.00	350.00
Contingencies.....	1,500.00	798.98	500.00
Services Charge for Machines.....	750.00	739.43	750.00
<b>Other General Governmental Expenses:</b>			
Board of Appeal.....	225.00	215.65	300.00
Conventions.....	350.00	679.73	
Unemployment Insurance.....	400.00	387.22	400.00
Elections.....	500.00	1,577.18	300.00
Revisors—Voters' List.....	700.00	2,213.32	700.00
Town Planning.....	3,000.00	2,141.72	3,000.00
Veterinary Assistance Act.....	2,200.00	2,824.90	2,550.00
Reserve for Uncollectables.....	20,000.00	28,248.94	15,000.00
<b>Protection of Property and Persons:</b>			
Coroners' Inquests.....	400.00	389.35	450.00
Correctional Institutions.....	11,000.00	10,221.46	12,000.00
<b>Other Protection:</b>			
Bounties.....	\$725.00	582.00	900.00
—Wildcats.....	\$225.00	360.00	600.00
Sheep Act—Claims & Fees.....	175.00	26.10	200.00
<b>Conservation of Health:</b>			
Health Officer—Salary.....	1,000.00	1,000.00	1,000.00
Doctors' Assistance.....	800.00	1,600.00	2,400.00
Registrars' Vital Statistics.....		98.80	300.00
<b>Education:</b>			
Reserve.....	39,000.00		
Municipal School Board.....	232,000.00	168,135.00	168,135.00
Teachers' Bonus.....	65,000.00	65,000.00	65,000.00
Scholarships.....	400.00	400.00	400.00
Equipment.....	600.00	600.00	600.00

COUNTY ESTIMATES, 1953

	1953 Estimates	1952 Actual	1952 Estimates
Schools for Deaf & Blind.....	11,500.00		
Vocational School.....	13,780.00	23,000.00	23,000.00
<b>Public Welfare:</b>			
Poor Relief—Patients.....	5,200.00	4,199.71	3,000.00
Child Welfare.....	23,000.00	22,301.26	25,000.00
Hospitalization.....	100,000.00	102,304.78	100,000.00
Maternity.....	4,500.00	4,510.82	4,500.00
Outpatient Department.....	2,000.00	1,453.35	2,500.00
Insane.....	52,000.00	48,787.04	44,000.00
Harmless Insane.....	27,000.00	25,289.05	22,000.00
<b>Grants to Hospitals:</b>			
Eastern Shore.....	500.00	500.00	500.00
Musquodoboit.....	500.00	500.00	500.00
Twin Oaks.....	500.00	500.00	500.00
Children's Hospital.....	500.00	500.00	500.00
<b>Grants to Private Charitable Organizations:</b>			
Canadian Red Cross.....	400.00	400.00	400.00
Canadian National Institute for the Blind.....	500.00	500.00	500.00
Nova Scotia Home for Colored Children.....	200.00	200.00	200.00
Salvation Army.....	300.00	300.00	300.00
Musquodoboit Exhibition.....	100.00		
Halifax Visiting Dispensary.....	100.00		
N. S. Farmers' Association.....	100.00		
<b>Debt:</b>			
Debt Charges County Hospital.....	44,335.28	44,833.20	44,833.20
Debt Charges Woodside.....	2,189.03	2,229.26	2,230.00
Debt Charges Tufts Cove.....	1,722.50	1,765.00	1,765.00
<b>Temporary Debt Charges:</b>			
Exchange.....	160.00	164.85	150.00
Disc. American Funds.....	25.00	24.68	
<b>Special Expenditures:</b>			
Joint Expenditures.....	13,696.95	8,304.65	8,305.00
Provincial Highway Tax.....	35,222.00	35,222.13	35,222.00
City Market.....	2,000.00		2,000.00
	<u>\$828,950.76</u>		

REVENUE

Province of Nova Scotia.....	\$ 16,465.46	12,676.30	12,676.00
<b>Grants:</b>			
Province of Nova Scotia:			
Special Grant M.S.F.....	19,671.00		
Dept. of Lands & Forests.....	1,600.00	1,671.39	
Liquor Commission.....	700.00	695.91	700.00
Maritime Telegraph & Telephone Co. Ltd.....	8,700.00	8,698.92	8,000.00
Recovery from County Hospital.....	44,335.28	44,833.20	44,833.20
Licenses and Permits.....	1,600.00	2,250.00	
Dog Licenses.....	7,000.00	6,673.00	7,400.00



**ESTIMATES**  
**COMMISSIONERS OF THE COURT HOUSE**  
**FOR THE YEAR 1953**

	Estimates 1953	Actual 1952	Estimates 1952	Increase Estimates Over 1952	Decrease Estimates From 1952
<b>Annual Cleaning and Cleaning</b>					
Materials.....	\$ 275.00	\$ 277.46	225.00	\$ 50.00	\$
Disinfectants.....	100.00	63.93	100.00		
Electric Light.....	2,600.00	2,362.45	2,250.00	350.00	
Electric Bulbs and Wiring.....	600.00	810.03	400.00	200.00	
Floor Wax and Oils..	200.00		200.00		
Filing Equipment...	1,500.00	931.27	700.00	800.00	
Furniture and Repairs.....	1,500.00	597.73	1,000.00	500.00	
Fuel.....	3,750.00	3,501.58	4,000.00		250.00
Hardware.....	50.00	24.72	75.00		25.00
Insurance.....	500.00	1,002.00	1,000.00		500.00
Janitor.....	2,000.00	1,800.00	1,800.00	200.00	
Janitor - Assistant ..	480.00	480.00	480.00		
Janitor - Vacation ..	100.00	70.00	100.00		
Superannuation.....		65.00	65.00		65.00
Miscellaneous.....	100.00		100.00		
Secretary.....	300.00	300.00	300.00		
Telephone.....	600.00	606.30	275.00	325.00	
Towels and Toilet Tissue.....	250.00	258.35	100.00	150.00	
Water Rates.....	800.00	796.20	800.00		
Window Cleaning...	100.00	100.00	100.00		
General Maintenance	9,000.00	8,382.33	7,500.00	1,500.00	
	<u>\$24,805.00</u>	<u>\$22,429.35</u>	<u>\$21,570.00</u>	<u>\$4,075.00</u>	<u>\$ 840.00</u>
<b>LESS —</b>					
Prov. of N. S.....	7,781.94	7,000.00			
Estimates 1953.....	<u>\$14,647.41</u>	<u>\$14,570.00</u>			

## COUNTY JAIL ESTIMATES 1953

	1953 Estimates	1952 Actual	1952 Estimates	Increase Over 1952	Decrease From 1952
Beds and Bedding....	\$ 200.00	\$ 91.20	\$ 200.00	\$	\$
Board - Turnkeys....	1,500.00	1,763.01	1,500.00		
City Prison Board....	1,200.00	838.80	1,000.00	200.00	
Clothing.....	25.00		25.00		
Dentist.....	25.00	15.00	25.00		
Disinfectants.....	50.00	54.58	50.00		
Drugs.....	150.00	125.72	150.00		
Furnishings.....	100.00		200.00		100.00
Fuel.....	1,100.00	1,163.22	900.00	200.00	
Hardware.....	100.00	71.93	100.00		
Insurance.....	32.00	96.00	200.00		168.00
Miscellaneous.....	100.00	30.60	100.00		
Provisions:					
Bread.....	900.00	854.37	850.00	50.00	
Groceries and					
Milk.....	2,900.00	2,492.20	2,200.00	700.00	
Meat and Fish.....	1,600.00	1,560.56	1,750.00		150.00
Salaries:					
Jailor.....	2,000.00	2,000.00	2,000.00		
Matron.....	500.00	500.00	500.00		
Three Turnkeys....	3,600.00	3,600.00	3,600.00		
Surgeon.....	500.00	500.00	500.00		
Uniforms (3).....	200.00	122.26	225.00		25.00
Vacation and Extra					
Guards.....	100.00		100.00		
Telephone.....	75.00	66.85	50.00	25.00	
Water Rates.....	75.00	67.50	75.00		
Wood & Kindling....	20.00	9.00	25.00		5.00
General Mainten-					
ance.....	800.00	616.53	800.00		
Fire Escapes.....			3,315.00		3,315.00
Superannuation --					
Ex-Matron.....	600.00	600.00	600.00		
	<u>\$18,452.00</u>	<u>\$17,203.73</u>	<u>\$21,040.00</u>	<u>\$1,175.00</u>	<u>\$3,763.00</u>
Less—					
Board of					
Prisoners.....		11.00			
N. S. Liquor					
Commission.....	1,000.00	1,281.00	500.00	500.00	
1953 Estimates.....	<u>17,452.00</u>	<u>15,911.73</u>	<u>20,540.00</u>	<u>675.00</u>	<u>3,763.00</u>
1952 Estimates.....	<u>20,540.00</u>				<u>675.00</u>
Decrease.....	<u>\$ 3,088.00</u>				<u>\$3,088.00</u>

JOINT ESTIMATES 1953

	1953 Estimates	1952 Actual	1952 Estimates	Increase over 1952 Estimates	Decrease from 1952 Estimates
Commissioners of Court House.....	\$17,805.00	\$14,647.41	\$14,570.00	\$3,235.00	
Court House Loan 1931 Int.....	3,350.00	3,350.00	3,350.00		
Sinking Fund Instal. 1931.....	1,300.00	1,300.00	1,300.00		
Court House Loan 1933 Int.....		750.00	750.00		750.00
Sinking Fund Instal. 1933.....			500.00		500.00
County Jail.....	17,452.00	15,911.73	21,040.00		3,588.00
Grand and Petit Juries.....	6,000.00	4,263.50	6,000.00		
Sheriff.....	1,900.00	1,896.50	1,800.00	100.00	
Clerk of Crown .....	900.00	803.90	900.00		
Criminal Prosecutions.....	8,000.00	7,072.08	8,000.00		
Printing and Stationery.....	3,000.00	2,846.19	2,500.00	500.00	
County Court Stenographer.....	2,100.00	1,997.50	2,000.00	100.00	
County Court Criers.....	1,500.00	1,500.00	1,500.00		
County Court Superannuation.....		216.00	864.00		864.00
Consolidating Indexes.....	5,000.00		5,000.00		
	<u>\$68,307.00</u>	<u>\$56,554.81</u>	<u>\$70,074.00</u>	<u>\$3,935.00</u>	<u>\$5,702.00</u>
Surplus for 1952 .....	13,519.19		1,527.68		11,991.51
Estimates for 1953..	\$54,787.81	\$56,554.81	\$68,546.32	\$3,935.00	17,693.51
Estimates for 1952..	68,546.32				3,935.00
Decrease 1953.....	\$13,758.51				\$13,758.51

APPORTIONMENT OF JOINT ESTIMATES

	1953	1952	Increase	Decrease
City of Halifax				
66% of \$54,787.81.....	\$36,159.96			
Municipal Treasurer.....	800.00			
	<u>\$36,959.96</u>	<u>\$56,027.97</u>		<u>\$19,068.01</u>
Town of Dartmouth				
9% of \$54,787.81 .....	\$ 4,930.90			
Municipal Treasurer.....	60.00			
	<u>\$ 4,990.90</u>	<u>\$ 4,643.70</u>	<u>\$ 347.20</u>	
Municipality of the County of Halifax				
25% of \$54,787.81.....	\$13,696.95	\$ 8,304.65	\$ 5392.50	

Municipal Treasurer.

## ASSESSMENTS FOR YEAR 1953

District	Real	Personal	Exempt	Total
7.....	3,898,925	432,050	1,884,050	2,446,925
8.....	3,510,425	815,650	730,050	3,596,025
9.....	2,016,325	524,375	999,675	1,541,025
10.....	948,250	115,525	279,225	784,550
11.....	2,535,475	594,525	1,723,075	1,406,925
12.....	8,972,200	1,436,325	1,660,525	8,748,000
13.....	5,771,925	899,325	5,391,900	1,279,350
14D.....	3,445,625	676,300	1,469,850	2,652,075
14G.....	4,936,075	403,325	4,052,900	1,286,500
15.....	623,725	107,800	362,200	369,325
16.....	232,975	100,175	59,200	273,950
17.....	895,325	163,125	441,175	617,275
18.....	782,750	216,375	415,150	583,975
19.....	821,675	221,225	241,300	801,600
20.....	511,825	153,275	199,875	465,225
21.....	2,177,800	343,025	1,537,250	983,575
22.....	465,450	166,050	167,525	463,975
23.....	1,950,900	256,300	336,600	1,870,600
24.....	1,108,525	264,775	560,875	812,425
25.....	880,825	170,550	414,225	637,150
26.....	430,825	142,775	66,150	507,450
27.....	5,450,475	1,222,725	2,701,200	3,972,000
28.....	7,244,775	439,450	1,927,200	5,757,025
	<u>59,613,075</u>	<u>9,865,025</u>	<u>27,621,175</u>	<u>41,856,925</u>

# DISTRICT AND MUNICIPAL OFFICERS FOR 1953

## OFFICERS DISTRICT 7

### District Officers

Presiding Officer — A. J. Fryday, Black Point.

Deputy Presiding Officers — William Fullick, Hd. St. Margaret's Bay; Amos Kennedy, Black Point.

Board of Health — (Chairman) G. C. Snair, Black Point; Dr. P. A. Cole, Hubbards; Fred Mason, Hd. St. Margaret's Bay; C. G. Wright, Ingrauport.

Overseers of Poor — C. G. Dauphinee, Hubbards; C. G. Wright, Ingrauport; Arthur Mason, Hd. St. Margaret's Bay.

Building Inspector — Maynard Misner, Black Point.

Sanitary Inspectors — Birney Jollimore, Hubbards; Maynard Misner, Black Point; Frank Christie, Hd. St. Margaret's Bay.

Fence Viewers — Amos Kennedy, Black Point; Walter Frederick, Hd. St. Margaret's Bay.

Reviser Electoral Lists — A. J. Fryday, Black Point.

### Municipal Officers

Constable — Elwood Snair, Black Point.

Surveyor of Logs — Graham Dauphinee, Boutilier's Point; Lindsay Snair, Black Point; Clyde Shankel, Hubbards.

## OFFICERS DISTRICT 8

### District Officers

Presiding Officer — 8A. S. S. Thomas, Bedford.

Deputy Presiding Officers — 8A. Victor Christie, Bedford; Roy St. C. Currie, Rockingham; Earl Haverstock, Hammonds Plains.

Board of Health — (Chairman) W. J. Dowell, Millview, Stewart Thomas, Bedrod; Roy St. C. Currie, Rockingham; Earl Haverstock, Hammonds Plains; Aubrey Whiley, Hammonds Plains; Wm. M. Walker, Bedford.

Overseers of Poor — Stewart S. Thomas, Bedford; Edward J. Brunt, Rockingham; Earl Haverstock, Hammonds Plains.

Sanitary Inspector — Wm. M. Walker, Bedford.

Building Inspector — Wm. M. Walker, Bedford.

Fence Viewers — Edward Simons, Hammonds Plains.

Hammonds Plains; Charles Allison, Hammonds Plains.

Reviser Electoral Lists — Harold Thompson, Hammonds Plains.

### Municipal Officers

Constables — Harold Thompson, Hammonds Plains; Charles Smith, Millview; William Melanson, Rockingham; Arthur J. Hustins, Bedford.

Surveyor of Logs — Charles Smith, Millview.

## OFFICERS DISTRICT 9

### District Officers

Presiding Officer — Mrs. Emmelene Hubley, R.R. 1, Armdale.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Percy Pitts, Tantallon; Calvin Burchell, French Village; Andrew Thomson, Glen Margaret; Noah Dauphinee, Indian Harbor; Rupert Manuel, Peggy's Cove.

Board of Health — (Chairman) G. A. Moser, Glen Margaret; George Swinimer, Peggy's Cove; Fred Shatford, Indian Harbor; Cyril McDonald, Seabright; Clark Hubley, R.R.1, Armdale.

Overseers of Poor — Clark Hubley, R.R. 1, Armdale; Oliver Covey, Hackett's Cove; Seymour Boutilier, Hackett's Cove.

Building Inspector — Harvey Veniotte, R.R. 1, Armdale.

Fence Viewers — Douglas McLennan, Hackett's Cove; Maynard Smith, Tantallon.

Sanitary Inspector — Garnet Fralick, Hackett's Cove.

Reviser Electoral List — Rupert Hubley, R.R. 1, Armdale.

### Municipal Officers

Constables — Charles Fraser, Timberlea; Fred Shatford, Indian Harbor.

Surveyor of Logs — Roy Boutilier, Seabright; Aubrey Fraser, Timberlea.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 10****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Ward Little, Terrence Bay.

Deputy Presiding Officers — William Beck, Upper Prospect; Mrs. Lilla Margaret Christian, Shad Bay; Grover Scott, East Dover; David Morash, West Dover.

Board of Health — (Chairman) A. R. Williams, Terrence Bay; Mrs. Alice Brophy, Terrence Bay; Harvey Christian, Upper Prospect; Clarence Beck, Shad Bay; Tom Duggan, East Dover; Sydney Zinck, West Dover.

Overseers of Poor — James Little, Terrence Bay; William Beck, Upper Prospect; Maurice Duggan, East Dover; Mrs. John Cleveland, West Dover; Clarence Beck, Shad Bay; Lester Harris, Goodwood.

Sanitary Inspector — Gilbert Long, ard, Bayside.

Building Inspector — Gilbert Longard, Bayside.

Reviser Electoral Lists — Mrs. Lilla Margaret Christian, Shad Bay.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Edward Slaunwhite, Terrence Bay; William Beck, Upper Prospect; James Power, Whites Lake; Joshua Young, West Dover; Douglas Myra, East Dover; John A. Henneberry, Jr., Goodwood & Hatchet Lake.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 11****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Ernest Brown, Herring Cove.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Mrs. Aurrell Icton, Purcell's Cove; Mrs. J. Scallion, Portugese Cove; William Tough, West Pennant; Mrs. Stanford Brunt, Harrietsfield.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Walter Brown, Herring Cove; Russell White, Purcell's Cove; Gerald Spears, Ketch Harbor; Lendell Findlay, Sambro; Paul McKay, Sprufield P. O.

Overseers of Poor — Walter Drysdale, Herring Cove; Seymour Gallagher, Ketch Harbor; George P. Gray, Sambro.

Building Inspector — Erylin Harrigan, Herring Cove.

Sanitary Inspectors — Erylin Harrigan, Herring Cove; Aurrell, Icton, Purcell's Cove; Owen Purcell, Portugese Cove Samuel Gray, Sambro Head; Paul McKay, Harrietsfield, Spryfield P. O. Fence Viewers—James Gray, West Pennant, James Mackey, Ketch Harbor.

Pound Keeper — James Mackey, Ketch Harbor.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Basil Spears, Ketch Harbor; Walter Drysdale, Herring Cove; Aurrell Icton, Purcell's Cove; Owen Purcell, Portugese Cove; Alfred L. Gray, Sambro; Paul McKay, Spryfield P. O.

Sheep Valuer — Percy Smith, Sambro Creek.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 12****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — H. J. Howard, Armdale.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Mrs. H. L. Moir, Armdale; F. J. Bignell, Jollimore; Thos. Killeen, Spryfield A-K; John E. Egan, Spryfield L-Z; James Drake, Fairview A-K; Edward Clarke, Fairview L-Z; Mrs. Frank Marriott, Lakeside.

Board of Health — (Chairman) F. G. H. Leverman, Lakeside; William White, Spryfield; George Craig, Jollimore; Leo Nelson, Fairview; Gregory Kelley, Kline Heights; W. A. MacAndrew, Lakeside; (Secretary) A. M. Ferguson, Armdale.

Overseers of Poor — Alfred Power, Spryfield; George Craig, Jollimore; Leo Nelson, Fairview; Gregory Kelley, Kline Heights; W. A. MacAndrew, Lakeside; (Secretary) A. M. Ferguson, Armdale.

Building-Plumbing & Sanitary Inspector — W. J. Hollohan, Sambro Rd., Spryfield.

Revisor Electoral Lists — Lester Dooks, Armdale.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Jack Rutt, Lakeside; Walter Rockwell, R.R. 1., Armdale; Wilfred McInnis, Lakeside; Gordon Yeadon, Goodwood; Leo MacNeil, 128 Granville St., Hfx; Hector MacLeod,

71½ Vernon St., Hfx.; Joseph W. Walker, Armdale; Victor C. Power, Armdale; James Drake, Fairview; Oscar Boutilier, Fairview; Leo Nelson, Fairview; Arthur L. Cooke, Fairview; Robert Baker, Spryfield; Daniel McNeil, Spryfield; F. J. Bignell, Jollimore; Edward J. Rent, Armdale; Murdock Bell, Lakeside (Public Ser. Comm.); Reginald Marriott, Kline Heights.

### OFFICERS DISTRICT 13

#### District Officers

Presiding Officer — Stanley DeYoung, Eastern Passage.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Maurice Myers, Eastern Passage.

Board of Health—(Chairman) Charles Myers, Eastern Passage; James Osborne, Cow Bay; James Smith, Eastern Passage; Duncan MacDonald, South East Passage; Clarence Henneberry, Devil's Island.

Overseers of Poor — William Myers, Eastern Passage; Sydney Himmelman, South East Passage; Alfred Mosher, Cow Bay.

Sanitary Inspector — James Latter, Eastern Passage.

Reviser Electoral Lists — Arthur Mosher, Cow Bay.

#### Municipal Officers

Constables — James Osborne, Cow Bay; James Latter, Eastern Passage.

Surveyor of Logs & Lumber — Jose A. Arsenaault, Clarence Park.

### OFFICERS DISTRICT 14

#### District Officers

Presiding Officer — 14D, Grant E. Eisener, R.R. 1, Dartmouth.

Deputy Presiding Officer — 14E, Walter Geldert, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; 14I, A. H. Weldon, Port Wallis; 14G, William Lindsay, Tufts Cove; 14H, J. F. Evans, Albro Lake Road.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Ira S. Settle, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; Leonard Hooper, Port Wallis; Bryden Bissett, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; George Dixon, Tufts Cove.

Overseers of Poor — Leonard Hooper, Port Wallis; Bryden Bissett, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; George Dixon, Tufts Cove.

Fence Viewer — John Buggie, R.R. 1, Dartmouth.

Sanitary Inspectors — 14D, Joseph E. Redden, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; 14C, John R. Matthews, Tufts Cove.

Building Inspectors — 14D, Joseph E. Redden, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; 14G, John R. Matthews, Tufts Cove.

Road Overseers — Theodore Wambolt, Parkstone Rd., Tufts Cove; Fred LaPierre, Sutherlands Dr., Port Wallis.

Revisor of Electoral Lists — 12D, Webster Burrell, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; 14G, Gerald Rodgers, Tufts Cove

#### Municipal Officers

Constables — John W. Conrod, Tufts Cove; Aubrey Giles, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; Ralph LaPierre, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; Charles Moss, Box 363, Dartmouth; W. J. Symonds, 14 Bolton Terrace, Dart.; John Buggie, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; J. H. Scallion, 423 Gottingen St., Hfx. Weldon Bowser, Port Wallis; William Sparks, Lake Loon, Donald Pettipas, Albro Lake Road; Frank Crichton, Port Wallis.

Surveyor of Logs — John MacDonald, R. R. 1, Dartmouth; Scotty Weeks, Port Wallis; Stanley Milne, R.R. 1, Dartmouth; Samuel Creelman, R. R. 1., Dartmouth; A. R. King, C/o S. N. Milne, 47 North St., Dartmouth.

#### Dartmouth Ferry Commission

Captains — J. M. Murphy; C. J. Urquhart; W. A. Slade; P. M. Thomas; A. D. McDonald; G. H. Currie.

Mates — D. St. C. Randall; P. B. Thomas; J. T. Boutilier; L. J. Clarke; Geo. Bowser; T. F. Himmelman; L. G. Carter.

Deckhands — T. M. Dodge; Wm. Arsenaault; W. T. Casey; A. R. Findlay; R. Y. Mott; Percy Turpin; Arch Wirrell.

Watchman — A. S. Corbin; W. C. Corbin.

Janitors, etc. — Cecil Eldershaw; L. J. Richards; L. St. George; A. D. Corbin; P. H. Burke; C. W. Purcell; N. S. Weagle; G. S. Ritchie; Theodore Hilchey; G. W. Donaldson, A. W. Woods; G. H. Wright.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 15****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Russell Sellars, West Lawrencetown.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Edwin Neiforth, Seaforth; I. G. Bonang, Middle Porters Lake.

Board of Health — (Chairman) M. H. Naugle, West Lawrencetown; Fulton Sellars, West Lawrencetown; Maxwell Snair, Seaforth.

Overseers of Poor — Gibson Lloy, East Lawrencetown; Russell Sellars, West Lawrencetown; Edmund Neiforth, Seaforth.

Sanitary Inspectors — Edgar Neiforth, Seaforth; Mitchell Sellars, West Lawrencetown.

Fence Viewers. — R. G. McGuire, Three Fathom Harbor; Walter Russell, East Lawrencetown; Cecil Sellars, West Lawrencetown.

Reviser Electoral Lists — Gibson Lloy, East Lawrencetown.

**Municipal Officers**

Constable — Cecil Russell, West Lawrencetown.

Surveyor of Logs — Allison LaPierre, Upper Lawrencetown.

Sheep Valuer — Gordon Gates, Three Fathom Harbor.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 16****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — William B. Thomas, Preston.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Peter Downey, Dartmouth P. Q.; Noble Mannette, Porter's Lake.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Allan W. Evans, Preston; Claude Diggs, Preston, John Thompson, Preston; William Slawter, Preston; Aubrey Glasgow, Preston; James Willis, Dartmouth P. O.; Grant Conolly, Porter's Lake.

Overseers of Poor — James Slawter, Preston; William Diggs, Preston; John A. Colley, Preston; Edward Beals, Dartmouth P. O.; George Bonn, Porter's Lake.

Sanitary Inspector — Alexander Slawter, Preston.

Fence Viewers — Freeman G. Colley, Preston; Howard Williams, Preston; John Glasgow, St., Preston; Ernest Beals, Dartmouth P. O.

Road Overseers — Sec. 1, Samuel Williams, Preston; Sec. 2, Ross Bonang, Preston; Sec. 3, Harry Parker, Porter's Lake; Sec. 4, George Bonn, Porter's Lake; Sec. 5, James Mannette, Porter's Lake; Sec. 6, Joseph Thomas, Preston; Sec. 7, Matthew Thomas, Preston; Sec. 9, Lewis Ross, Preston; Sec. 10, James Slawter, Preston; Sec. 11, Freeman Colley, Preston; Sec. 12, Donald Crawley, Preston; Sec. 13, Albert Colley, Preston; Sec. 14, Lawrence Sparks, Preston; Sec. 15, Noah Smith, Dartmouth P. O.; Sec. 16, Roy West, Dartmouth P. O.; Sec. 17, Edward Simmonds, Dartmouth P. O.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — R. H. Brooks, Preston; Charles Glasgow, Preston; Carleton Evans, Preston; Thomas Beals, Dartmouth P. O.; Cornelius Fraser, Dartmouth P. O.; Roy West, Dartmouth P. O.

Surveyor of Logs — R. H. Brooks, Preston; Bernard Bonn, Porter's Lake.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 17****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Foster Gates, Head Chezzetcook.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Nathan Smith, East Chezzetcook; Clarence Lapierre, Grand Desert.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Clyde V. Redmond, Head Chezzetcook; Nelson Gates, Head Chezzetcook; James Randall, East Chezzetcook; Arthur Goldsworthy, West Chezzetcook; Wilfred Lapierre, Grand Desert.

Overseers of Poor — Clement Mannette, West Chezzetcook; Henry Bonang, Head Chezzetcook; Welsford Conrod, East Chezzetcook.

Sanitary Inspectors — Charles Conors, Head Chezzetcook; Regis Wolfe, Grand Desert.

Fence Viewers — Donald Brown, Head Chezzetcook; Victor Ogilvie, Porter's Lake; Alfred Bellefontaine, West Chezzetcook.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Isiah Pettipas, East Chezzetcook; Allan Robichaud, West Chezzetcook.

Surveyor of Logs — Nelson Conrod, Head Chezzetcook; Hiram Conrod, Head Chezzetcook; Foster Gates, Head Chezzetcook; John Bonn, Head Chezzetcook; Daniel Kaizer, Porter's Lake; Wilfred Murphy, West Chezzetcook.

Sheep Valuers — John Mannette, West Chezzetcook; William Redmond, East Chezzetcook.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 18****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — A - K, Thomas Faulkner, Musquodoboit Harbor.

Deputy Presiding Officers — L - Z, E. H. Bennett, Musquodoboit Harbor; 18 - B, Andrew Bayers, East Petpeswick.

Board of Health — (Chairman) J. B. Davis, Musquodoboit Harbor; E. H. Bennett, Musquodoboit Harbor; Frank Arthurs, Musquodoboit Harbor; Dr. N. A. Morrison, Musquodoboit Harbor; Howard Young, West Petpeswick; Phillip E. Bowsar, Ostrea Lake.

Overseers of Poor — Richard Gaetz, Musquodoboit Harbor; F. B. Bateman, Musquodoboit Harbor; Fred Anderson, Musquodoboit Harbor.

Sanitary Inspector — Dr. N. A. Morrison, Musquodoboit Harbor.

Fence Viewer — Chester Mosher, Musquodoboit Harbor.

Pound Keeper — Reginald Baker, Ostrea Lake.

Revisor Electoral Lists — E. H. Bennett, Musquodoboit Harbor.

Building Inspector — Edward Greenough, West Petpeswick.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Special Police, E. H. Bennett, Musquodoboit Harbor; Howard Williams, Pleasant Point.

Surveyors of Logs & Lumber — Oswald Mosher, Musquodoboit Harbor; Creighton O. Ritcey, Musquodoboit Harbor; Melvin Bayers, Musquodoboit Harbor; Edward Greenough, West Petpeswick; Basil Day, Musquodoboit Harbor.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 19****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Kenneth St. C. Conrod, Salmon River Bridge, Jeddore.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Arthur Marks, Ship Harbor.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Howard Day, Salmon River Bridge, Jeddore; Harold Webber, Upper Lakeville; Otto Weeks, Ship Harbor; Nelson Mitchell, Oyster Pond, Jeddore; John Homans, Clam Harbor; Edward Webber, Lake Charlotte; Fred Baker, East Jeddore; Ralph Blakeney, Head Jeddore; Ralph Baker, West Jeddore.

Overseers of Poor — Odous Jennex, Oyster Pond, Jeddore; Ben Day, Head Jeddore; Andy Parker, Owls Head.

Sanitary Inspector — Quinn Marks, Ship Harbor.

Fence Viewers — Harry Marks, Ship Harbor; Cyril Warnell, Head Jeddore.

Pound Keepers — Gerald Webber, Upper Lakeville; Donald Webber, Lake Charlotte; Clyde Siteman, Ship Harbor; Harry Newcombe, Ship Harbor; Ervin Webber, Oyster Pond, Jeddore; Lorne Arnold, East Jeddore.

Revisor Electoral Lists — Robert Laybolt, Myers Point.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Ben Day, Head Jeddore; Charlie Mitchell, Lake Charlotte; Quinn Marks, Ship Harbor.

Surveyors of Logs & Pulp Wood — Arthur Marks, Ship Harbor; Burton Webber, Lake Charlotte; Odous Webber, Upper Lakeville; Robert Hill, East Jeddore; Morris Mitchell, Oyster Pond, Jeddore.

Sheep Valuer — Edmond Webber, Lake Charlotte.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 20****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — John P. DeWolfe, East Ship Harbor.

Deputy Presiding Officers — 20A, Alexander Hilchie, Spry Harbor; 20C, Boyd Prest, Mooseland.

**Board of Health — (Chairman)**  
P. S. Ferguson, Tangier; Louis Lambert, Pleasant Harbor; Howard C. Newcombe, Murphy's Cove; Mrs. Ernest Prest, Mooseland; Edgar Cameron, Spry Harbor.

**Overseers of Poor — Osborne Cooper, Tangier; Louis Lambert, Pleasant Harbor; Thomas Bollong, Popes Harbor.**

**Sanitary Inspector — Howard Newcombe, Murphy's Cove.**

**Fence Viewer — Malcolm Sturmev, Spry Harbor.**

**Reviser Electoral Lists — Howard C. Newcombe, Murphy's Cove.**

#### Municipal Officers

**Constables — Boyd Prest, Mooseland; Howard C. Newcombe, Murphy's Cove.**

**Ferryman — Herbert Gerrard, Gerrard's Island.**

**Sheep Valuer — Thomas Bollong, Pope's Harbor.**

**Surveyor of Logs — Bruce Jackson, Mooseland; Ernest Prest, Mooseland; Henry Decker, Mooseland; Murray Prest, Mooseland; John P. DeWolfe, East Ship Harbor; Rex MacKenzie, Gerrard's Island; Stanley Hawes, Spry Harbor.**

#### OFFICERS DISTRICT 21

##### District Officers

**Presiding Officer — 21A, Michael McInnis, Sheet Harbor.**

**Deputy Presiding Officers — 21A, Robert MacDonald, Sheet Harbor; 21B, Mrs. Harvey Levy, Sober Island; 21C, James Boutilier, Mushaboom.**

**Board of Health — (Chairman) John Donaldson, Sheet Harbor; C. B. Greene, M.D. Sheet Harbor; C. J. Lind, Sheet Harbor; Annie E. MacDonald, Sheet Harbor; B. J. Doyle, Sheet Harbor.**

**Overseers of Poor — Edward Connors, Sheet Harbor; George Levy, Sheet Harbor; Mrs. Ollie Powers, Mushaboom.**

**Revisor Electoral Lists — Michael MacInnis, Sheet Harbor.**

**Pound Keepers — Laurie Quillan, Sheet Harbor; Clair Josey, Watt Section.**

**Sanitary Inspector — Roy Kenney, Sheet Harbor.**

#### Municipal Officers

**Constable — Bruce Jollymore, Watt Section.**

**Sheep Valuer — George Lowe, Sheet Harbor.**

**Surveyor of Logs & Lumber — Harry Hussey, Sheet Harbor; Mark Murphy, Sheet Harbor; Michael MacInnis, Sheet Harbor; Howard Coady, Sheet Harbor; Charles MacDonald, Sheet Harbor; Bruce Jollymore, Sheet Harbor; Murray MacDonald, Sheet Harbor; Reginald Walsh, Sheet Harbor; Ernest Myers, Sheet Harbor; Aubrey Scott, Sheet Harbor.**

#### OFFICERS DISTRICT 22

##### District Officers

**Presiding Officer — James Scrivens, West Quoddy.**

**Deputy Presiding Officers — John U. Smith, Necum Teuch; Mrs. Marie Miller, Moser River; Gordon Smiley, Port Dufferin.**

**Board of Health — (Chairman) Carl E. Turner, Moser River; Dr. Allan J. MacLeod, Moser River; Roy MacDonald, Harrigan Cove; Hector Smiley, Port Dufferin; Milton Turner, Ecum Secum Bridge.**

**Overseers of Poor — Parker Turner, Moser River; Earl Snow, Harrigan Cove; Hector Smiley, Port Dufferin; John D. Pye, Ecum Secum Bridge.**

**Sanitary Inspectors — Alex Romkey, Necum Teuch; Harold Whitman, Port Dufferin.**

**Fence Viewers — Samuel Pye, Ecum Secum Bridge; J. Fisher Homan, Moser River.**

**Sheep Valuer — Alex Romkey, Necum Teuch.**

**Road Overseers — Ervin Hartling, Beaver Harbor; Frank Smiley, Port Dufferin; Earl Publicover, East Quoddy; Milton Rudolph, Harrigan Cove; Murdock MacDonald, Harrigan Cove; George Moser, Mitchell Bay; John D. Pye, Ecum Secum Bridge.**

**Pound Keeper — Frank Dingle, Port Dufferin.**

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Alex Romkey, Necum Teuch; Harold Whitman, Port Dufferin.  
 Surveyors of Logs & Wood — Arch A. Pye, Moser River; Carl Turner, Moser River; Keith Miller, Moser River; George Turner, Moser River; Gerald Turner, Moser River; William Leask, Beaver Harbor; Ellis Lowe, Moser River; Cecil Powell, Moser River.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 23****District Officers**

Presiding Officers — Cecil Kent, R.R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit.

Deputy Presiding Officers — Chester Hamilton, Upper Musquodoboit; George Logan, Caribou Mines.

Board of Health — (Chairman) George D. Burris, Upper Musquodoboit; Angus McGunnigle, Upper Musquodoboit; Keith Mosher, Upper Musquodoboit.

Overseers of Poor — (Chairman) Kenneth M. Erskine, Upper Musquodoboit; M. E. Mosher, Upper Musquodoboit; Havelock Erskine, Upper Musquodoboit.

Sanitary Inspector — Dr. J. R. Cameron, Middle Musquodoboit.

Fence Viewers — Bryson Fraser, R.R. 2, Mid. Musquodoboit; Kenneth Erskine, Upper Musquodoboit; John Roy Chaplin, Upper Musquodoboit.

Pound Keeper — Angus F. McGunnigle, Upper Musquodoboit.

Revisor Electoral Lists — Gordon Farnell, Upper Musquodoboit.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Angus F. McGunnigle, Upper Musquodoboit; Bertis Flemming, Upper Musquodoboit.

Sheep Valuers — Dugald Archibald, Upper Musquodoboit; Orion Deale, R. R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit.

Surveyors of Logs & Lumber — Cecil Kent, R.R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit; Alfred Redmond, Upper Musquodoboit; Franklin Smith, Upper Musquodoboit; C. H. Redmond, R.R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit; Carl Whitman, R.R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit; E. A. Stewart, R.R. 4, Mid. Musquodoboit; Dugald

Archibald, Upper Musquodoboit; Bryden Stewart, Upper Musquodoboit; William Farnell, Upper Musquodoboit; Charles Weeks, Upper Musquodoboit; Wilbert Flemming, Upper Musquodoboit; Kirk Logan, Upper Musquodoboit; James Flemming, Upper Musquodoboit; George Logan, Caribou Mines.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 24****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — George S. Dickey, Middle Musquodoboit.

Deputy Presiding Officer — W. T. White, Middle Musquodoboit; Suther Higgins, Moose River.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Roy Archibald, Middle Musquodoboit; R. K. Reid, Middle Musquodoboit; Ira White, Middle Musquodoboit.

Overseers of Poor — J. B. Archibald, Middle Musquodoboit; Earl Fraser, Middle Musquodoboit; M. J. White, Middle Musquodoboit.

Sanitary Inspector — Dr. J. R. Cameron, Middle Musquodoboit;

Fence Viewers — LeRoy Pearson, Middle Musquodoboit; John Burnett, Middle Musquodoboit; John Redmond, Middle Musquodoboit.

Reviser of Electoral Lists — Bates Fraser, Middle Musquodoboit.

**Municipal Officers**

Constables — Gordon McKean, Middle Musquodoboit; Warren Higgins, Moose River.

Sheep Valuer — L. L. Archibald, Middle Musquodoboit.

Surveyors of Logs — W. T. White, Middle Musquodoboit; Fred Higgins, Middle Musquodoboit; Frank Holman, Middle Musquodoboit; William Anand, R.R.2., Shubenacadie; Frank Taylor, R.R.2., Shubenacadie; Geo. S. Dickie, Middle Musquodoboit.

**OFFICERS DISTRICT 25****District Officers**

Presiding Officer — Orin McBain, Meagher's Grant.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Henry Killen, Elderbank.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Norman Cruickshank, Elderbank; Carson Killen, Elderbank; Reginald Bayer, Meagher's Grant; Guy Dickie, Meagher's Grant.

Overseers of Poor — Henry Killen, Elderbank; Percy Ogilvie, Elderbank; Leon Bayer, Meagher's Grant.

Sanitary Inspector — Orin McBain, Meagher's Grant.

Fence Viewers — Percy Ogilvie, Elderbank; Milton Innis, Elderbank; Harry Dickie, Meagher's Grant.

Pound Keeper — William Dillman, Wyses Corner; Dickson Sibley, Meagher's Grant.

#### Municipal Officers

Constables — Harry Dickie, Meagher's Grant; Murray Killen, Elderbank.

Sheep Valuer — Byron Grant, Elderbank; Perry Grant, Meagher's Grant.

Surveyor of Wood, Lumber, Etc., — Milton Innis, Elderbank; Bayer Dickie, Meagher's Grant; Guy Dickie, Meagher's Grant; Laurie Dickie, Meagher's Grant.

### OFFICERS DISTRICT 26

#### District Officers

Presiding Officer — E. E. MacDonald, R.R. 2, Shubenacadie.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Edward Nelson, Jr., Milford Sta., Hants Co.

Board of Health — (Chairman) Blair Isenor, R.R. 1, Lantz, Hants Co. Dunlop Phalen, R.R. 1., Lantz, Hants Co.; Charles I. Isenor, Gays River; Ian MacDonald, R.R. 2., Shubenacadie.

Overseers of Poor — Harry Hines, R.R. 1, Lantz, Hants Co.; Louis Ashley, R.R. 1, Lantz, Hants Co.; Allan MacDonald, R.R. 2., Shubenacadie.

Fence Viewers — Stephen Isenor, R.R. 1., Lantz, Hants Co.; Edward Nelson, Jr., R.R. 1., Lantz, Hants Co.; Watson Benjamin, Gays River.

Revisor Electoral Lists — Burke Tays, R.R. 2., Shubenacadie.

#### Municipal Officers

Constables — Allan MacDonald, R.R. 2., Shubenacadie; Murray Rankin, Gays River.

Sheep Valuer — Frank Newman, R. R. 2., Shubenacadie.

Surveyors of Logs & Lumber — Edwin Woodworth, R.R. 2., Shubenacadie; Harry Isenor, R.R. 1., Lantz, Hants Co.

### OFFICERS DISTRICT 27

#### District Officers

Presiding Officer — 27C, A. C. West, Windsor Junction.

Deputy Presiding Officers — 27A, Mrs. Velma Ledwidge, Enfield; 27B, Phillip Miller, Waverley; 27D: A-K, Mel Lively, Sackville; L-Z, Arthur Schultz, Sackville; 27E, Clyde Myra, Kinsac; 27F, Ralph Peverill.

Board of Health — (Chairman) E. S. Allen, 43 Argyle St.; Halifax; Angus Rose, Oakfield; Maurice Oakes, Waverley; Geo. Roberts, R.R. 1., Windsor Junction; A. M. Cromwell, R.R. 1., Bedford; Gordon Carter, R.R. 1, Bedford; Clyde Myra, Kinsac; Jas. Shaffleburg, Lr. Sackville.

Overseers of Poor — Timothy Ledwidge, Enfield P. O.; Alex Stephen, Windsor Junction; Arnold Cromwell, Sackville.

Sanitary Inspectors — Maurice Oakes, Waverley; Vilroy Raynor, Middle Sackville;

Fence Viewers — Joseph Estano, Wellington; Henry West, Windsor Junction; Laurie Fenerty, Middle Sackville; James Ledwidge, Goff's P. O.

Building Inspectors — 271, Vilroy Raynor, Sackville (Sackville & Beaver Bank); 272, Arthur A. Coulstring, Fletcher's Lake; R. R. No. 1, Windsor Junction.

Road Overseers — Sec. 1, James Shaffleburg, Lr. Sackville; Sec. 2, Charles Smeltzer, Sackville; Sec. 3, Robert Langille, Sackville; Sec. 4, Earl Grace, Upper Sackville; 5 Sec., Gerald Grennan, Sackville; Sec. 6 Jack Peverill, Sackville; Sec. 7, Howard Fullerton, Sackville; Sec. 8, Charles Weir, Sackville; Sec. 9, Jack Shore, Beaver Bank; Sec. 11, Douglas Boutillier, Windsor Junction; Sec. 12, Bert Darr, Windsor Junction; Sec. 13, John Lee, Windsor Junction; Sec. 14, George Burgess, Windsor Junction; Sec. 15, Leo MacDonald, Windsor Junction; Sec. 16; William Kennedy,

Windsor Junction; Sec. 17, Charles Stuart, Waverley; Sec. 18, Phillip Miller, Waverley; Sec. 19, Fred Miller, Waverley; Sec. 20, Alfred Ledwedge, Goff's P.O.; Sec. 21, Richard Cole, Oldham; Sec. 22, H. Silver, Enfield; Sec. 23, Michael King, Enfield; Sec. 24, Reg. Horobin, Waverley; Sec. 25, Maynard Beamish, Bedford; Sec. 26, A. T. White, Bedford.

#### Municipal Officers

Constables — Verne Wyatt, Windsor Junction; C. J. Dawes, Waverley; H. N. Meagher, Windsor Junction; Leo Ward, Beaverbank; Jack Peverill, Sackville; Ronald W. Todd, Bedford; Timothy Ledwedge, Enfield P. O.

#### OFFICERS DISTRICT 28

##### District Officers

Presiding Officer — A. C. McNeil, South Woodside.

Deputy Presiding Officer — Donald Logan.

Board of Health — (Chairman) R. V. Currie, Woodside; Carl Beaver, North Woodside; Leo Dunn, Woodside;

William McKenzie, North Woodside; Walter Gates — South Woodside.

Overseers of Poor — Ryland V. Currie, North Woodside; Walter Gates, South Woodside; Clyde Carter, Marion Heights.

Revisor Electoral Lists — William Boaz, North Woodside.

Board of Fire Escapes — Clifford Condran, North Woodside; William McKenzie, North Woodside; Alfred Gates, South Woodside.

Sanitary Inspector — Leo Dunn, North Woodside.

Building Inspector — A. C. McNeil, South Woodside.

Road Overseers — Allan Moore, North Woodside; Fred Pettipas, South Woodside.

##### Municipal Officers

Constables — Fred Pettipas, South Woodside; Leo Henneberry, South Woodside; George Flett, North Woodside.

(Acadia Sugar Refinery) — Carl Morash; F. Rayment; George Chase.

(Imperial Oil Ltd.) — William T. Bullock; Walter Lee; Percy R. Giles; Cecil V. Miller; Eldon J. Dauphinee; Walter Hopkins; Arthur C. Eldershaw; Harold R. Siteman; Gilbert Bellefontaine; Stewart MacKay; Lloyd Clark; William Myers; W. W. Gardner.

(N. S. Hospital) — Fred Gaudett; Palmer Black; Robert Stevens.