

MINUTES AND REPORTS

of the

SECOND YEAR MEETINGS

of the

Thirty-Third Council

of the

**MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX**

Date of Meeting

Index of Minutes Continued.

Investigation, re building inspector.....	11
Jollimore, Bernie - appointed Assistant Sanitary Inspector.....	12
MacDonald, Robert - appointed Sanitary Inspector.....	12
Main Ave., Temporary Borrowing for water and sewer.....	15
Marsh, Arthur - appointed Sanitary Inspector.....	12
Municipal School Board Report.....	12
Oakwood Ave., Temporary Borrowing for sewers.....	15
Olie Subdivision, Temporary Borrowing for sewers.....	15
Park - Ira S. Settle Recreation Centre.....	3
Paving By-Law - re Sunset and Palmer Roads.....	9
Port Wallis - re street numbering.....	9
Presiding Officer appointed - Perry Grant, Dist. #25.....	13
Public Hearing - re Rezoning.....	4
Public Works Committee Report.....	14
Ratepayers Assoc. Dist. 14D - letter, re park naming.....	3
Recreation Centre - Ira S. Settle.....	3
Report of County Planning Board.....	5
Finance and Executive Committee.....	14
Municipal School Board.....	12-13
Public Works Committee.....	14
Rockingham Street Numbering.....	9
Rockingham Water and Sewer.....	16
Sale of Upper Lakeville School Site.....	14
Sanitary Inspector appointments, deferred.....	11
Sanitary Inspector - appointed George Carlton.....	12
appointed Leo Dunn.....	11
appointed Dr. Harvey Earle.....	12
appointed Robert MacDonald.....	12
appointed Arthur Marsh.....	12
Septic Problems, Dist. #14D.....	4
Slipp, Dr. Leslie - appointed to Dist. #22 Local Board of Health.....	12
Street Numbering - Rockingham and Port Wallis.....	9
Surveyors' certificates required by Building Inspectors.....	6- 8
Temporary Borrowing Resolution - for school purposes \$4,000.00.....	13
Undersized Lots.....	5- 6
Upper Lakeville School property sold for \$25.00.....	14
Vacancy - re Council Seat Dist. #25.....	13

I N D E X O F R E P O R T S

By-Law to Amend the Zoning By-Law.....	18-19
By-Law re Paving of Sunset and Palmer Roads.....	20
County Planning Board Report.....	21-22
Finance and Executive Committee Report.....	23-28

Index of Reports Continued.

Halifax County Hospital Revenue & Expenditure Report.....	29-31
Memorandum re Early Payments of Taxes for 1959 - 1960.....	32
Municipal School Board Report.....	33
Ocean View Municipal Home Revenue & Expenditure Report.....	34-35
Public Works Committee Report.....	36-42
Revenue and Expenditure Report.....	43-46
Welfare Expenditure Report.....	47

MINUTES OF THE JULY SESSION OF
THE THIRTY-THIRD COUNCIL OF THE
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF
HALIFAX.

July 12th., 1960.

EVENING SESSION

Council opened with the repeating in unison of the Lord's Prayer.

Roll called. Warden F. G. H. Leverman in the Chair.

Warden Leverman said that he thought that Council would like to record the passing of one of the Councillors, who was a member for 43 years. He said that during that time he had won all the elections which he had contested. He thought that the Councillor had done his work well. Warden Leverman said that he thought that Council might well inscribe upon its minutes, its sorrow at the passing of this Councillor.

Councillors Evans and Archibald moved:-

"THAT this Council go on record as mourning the death of the late Norman Cruikshank, Councillor for District 25 for the past 43 years."
Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Daye moved:-

"THAT the minutes of the June Session be adopted."
Motion carried.

Councillor Moser said he wanted to bring the Press to censure for reporting only what one Councillor has to say and for not reporting what takes place at Committee Meetings. He said he was very embarrassed by the Press Reports of a recent Welfare Meeting.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from Councillor A. W. Evans, thanking Council for flowers sent during his recent illness.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from relatives, thanking Council for the flowers, on the death of Councillor Cruikshank.

Evening Session Continued.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from Dr. J. R. Cameron explaining how immunization clinics were carried out in the County.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from Dr. Kevin Smith outlining the immunization program in Halifax West.

Councillor Baker said that one would take from the letter that Dr. Smith was personally present at these clinics. He said he didn't know whether Dr. Smith was referring to clinics held in his district or the County as a whole. He said he could assure Council and the Medical profession that the people in his district did stay away from the clinics there because of the lack of funds. He said he was not criticizing the Medical profession, but the method of collecting funds to pay for the clinics. He said that good relations exist between the doctors, the residents of his district and himself.

Councillor Baker said that if Dr. Kevin Smith claimed that people were not staying away from clinics because of a lack of funds, then he did not know what he was talking about. The Councillor said that when he called Dr. Kevin Smith, he wasn't available to assist in the work for which he claimed he was paid by the County. He would say that Dr. Kevin Smith is not earning the five hundred dollars for his work in an advisory capacity.

Councillor Daye said that in his district, people had to pay as much as two dollars for getting their polio shots. He claimed that the doctor in his district had refused to attend a patient because she had owed a bill.

Councillor Baker said that he had met with officers of the Medical Association and he had been told, at that meeting that the Association had decided that a charge of \$10.00 will be

Evening Session Continued.

made, plus twenty-five cents a person for immunization clinics for polio, but that no person would be refused a polio shot because of lack of funds.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from the 14D Ratepayers Association, re the naming of a park in that area.

Councillors Archibald and Flawn moved:-

"THAT the park located in the Westphal Area of District 14D, which was donated by Raymond Sellars and William Lake for a recreation field to be known as the "Ira S. Settle Recreation Centre"."

Councillor Stubbs said the Committee which was requesting the park be named had not brought the recommendation of a name back for a vote of the ratepayers. She said this land was donated by Mr. Sellars. She wondered how the people of 14D and Mr. Sellars will feel without having the recommendation come back to the ratepayers.

Councillor Spears suggested that the matter be referred to the Parks and Public Lands Committee. Councillor Daye agreed that the County should go along with the request. Councillor Curren asked who had appointed the Committee.

Councillor Stubbs said that the ratepayers appointed a Committee. She said she recognized the fact that Councillor had worked faithfully, but she felt that this was an awful slap in the face to Mr. Sellars. Councillor Stubbs asked how other parks such as the W. D. Piercey Memorial Park and Kidston Park were named.

Councillor McGrath said that a letter had come from an association in the district recommending the name W. D. Piercey Memorial Park.

Evening Session Continued.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillor Settle thanked Council for the recognition paid him. He said that the letter had been news to him and that he personally didn't want any recognition and didn't want to cause any controversy.

Councillor Stubbs read a letter from the 14D District Health Board concerning health conditions caused by septic tank problems in the Woodlawn area and asking that sewer extensions be made within thirty days to take care of the problem.

Councillors Snair and Spears moved:-

"THAT the letter with respect to sewage installations in District 14, which was presented by Councillor Eileen Stubbs on behalf of Graham Pace, M.D., Medical Health Officer, District 14, Halifax County, be referred to the Public Works Committee."

Councillor Hanrahan said he wanted to correct a statement which had been attributed to him. He said that what he had stated was that the Public Works Committee would take action if the date of amalgamation was made known.

Councillor Curren said that health problems referred to in the letter had existed in Rockingham for many years. He demanded action for his District before any work was done in any area about to be amalgamated.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Council held a Public Hearing concerning amendments to the Zoning By-Laws affecting three Spryfield areas.

Councillor Stubbs asked if any effort had been made to acquaint the public with the proposed rezoning. She could

Evening Session Continued.

not understand why there was no objection to the rezoning.

Mr. Hattie explained that it had been advertised in the Press in conformance with the requirements of the Town Planning Act.

Councillor Settle said that the Planning Board had considered any public reaction before making any recommendations. He said that the Planning Board explores public reaction before making its report.

Councillor Henley said that the Planning Board gets the opinion of other property owners in the area before making recommendations.

Councillors Balcom and Curren moved:-

"THAT this Council approve amendments to the Zoning By-Law concerning properties in Spryfield, as presented at Council."

Warden Leverman said that no written objections to the rezoning had been made to Council.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the report of the County Planning Board.

Councillors Settle and Henley moved:-

"THAT the report of the County Planning Board be adopted."

Councillor Snair asked why the Board was recommending approval of lots under 60 foot frontage as required by the By-Law.

The Municipal Solicitor explained that under revisions to the By-Law the County has the right to approve lots of less than 60 foot frontage.

Evening Session Continued.

Councillor Curren asked if the lots recommended for approval in the Tufts Cove area were serviced by sewer and water.

Mr. Reardon, the Planning Engineer said that the lots can be and would be required to be serviced before permits were granted.

Councillor Moser objected to the salaries being paid building inspectors and to the requiring of surveyors certificates in rural areas before lots are approved for building. He said it was nothing but a hoax, because there is nothing in the By-Laws that says there must be a surveyor's certificate.

Mr. Reardon said that the only way the building inspectors can be certain that the foundation is the right distance from the lot lines is by a surveyor's certificate.

Considerable discussion between Mr. Reardon and Councillor Moser followed concerning requirements of the Building By-Law.

The Municipal Solicitor said that the building inspectors can require certificates which would save trouble later on. He said there was no specific provision in the By-Law requiring surveyors' certificates.

Councillor Moser claimed that there was discrimination in the administering of the Building By-Laws.

Councillor Baker related an similar incident of which he was aware and thought that there was a lot of unnecessary expense caused in rural areas, by the requirements of the Building By-Law. He said there was a case in his District where a small one-room building had been condemned as an

Evening Session Continued.

unsightly premise, and yet the building inspector had granted a permit to the new owner to repair it. He said the property was undersize and he was opposed to this. He would like to know his right to appeal the matter.

Councillor Daye agreed with Councillor Moser in so far as unnecessary expense being caused in rural areas, where surveyors' certificates are required. When he said, "Anyone could tell that a building was more than the required distance from the lot lines."

Councillor Redmond said he was gravely concerned about the statements made by the Councillors. He said it would indicate that the County had an employee, who is not efficient in his duties. Another statement made, he said would indicate that a County employee has created an unnecessary expense to a resident. Council should determine if the statements are correct. He was concerned over what might happen in his District. He said he could see the need of a certificate where there was building on lots in the urban area, where the lots are small, but he could not see the need of a surveyor's certificate where buildings are located on large acreage. He thought there should be some elasticity of the law. He didn't think the building inspectors should require surveyors' certificates where there was an acre or more of land.

Warden Leverman suggested that the Planning Board should take the matter under consideration and determine if modification or alterations were required and also take under consideration the case brought up at the meeting.

The Planning Engineer said that this permit had been

Evening Session Continued.

in existence since 1948 and was not something new. He said the matter of staking of lots had been under consideration for some time, but that he had not yet been able to come up with an answer on which he could base recommendations to the Planning Board. He agreed that it was ridiculous for a building inspector to ask for a surveyor's certificate in a rural area where there was a large lot of land. However, he said they had been instructed to ask for certificates only where there are cases of doubt.

Councillor Snair said that he had proof that one of the building inspectors was soliciting business for a surveyor and that is why he is demanding surveyors' certificates. He said that if the building had a plan approved by the Planning Board, he should be able to tell whether a building was more than the required distance from the lot lines.

Councillor Baker said that if this charge was correct then the man should be brought before the Planning Board. If the charges were proved to be true, the man should be dismissed.

Councillor Flawn said that amalgamation has been talked about since 1946 and he couldn't see why the County should suddenly start recognizing lots less than the size required because there is nothing yet to indicate the amalgamation is going to take place. He said that if the County was going to give this privilege to some then it should give it to all districts.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion lost.

Council considered a proposed By-Law re the paving of

Evening Session Continued.

Sunset Road and Palmer Road.

Councillors Blackburn and Flawn moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a proposed By-Law concerning the paving of Sunset Road and Palmer Road."

Councillor Stubbs asked that some definite recommendations be brought to Council concerning the paving program.

The Planning Engineer said he had been instructed by the Planning Board not to take any definite action on paving until more definite information concerning amalgamation was available.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillor Stubbs asked how many persons were working on street numbering. She said that ratepayers in her area resent being blamed, where it was not their fault that their street numbers were not completed.

Mr. Reardon said that he would be finished the Rockingham area in mid-July and then the men would move to Port Wallis. He said he had been asked by a ratepayer, if she could do the street numbering in the Port Wallis area, and was told that she could if the plan was approved by the Planning Board. He pointed out that the County only has one man doing street numbering.

Councillor White asked why land proposed for a dump in District 13 had been turned down by the County Planning Board.

Councillor Settle said that it was the feeling of the Board that the dump in that area would not add to the value of the land and open dumping would occur all along the road. He

Evening Session Continued.

said that the County had spent a great deal of money trying to get away from open dumping.

Councillor White said that he had probably made a mistake in calling the site a dump, because it was proposed to build an incinerator on the site. He pointed out that the dump was necessary for his District, because he had been told that the present Municipal Incinerator could not handle garbage from District 13.

Considerable discussion then followed on the merits of the land for use as a dump.

Councillors Flawn and Curren moved:-

"THAT this Council approve the acquisition of two acres of land in District 13 as shown on a plan attached, as a possible future site for an incinerator."

Councillor Stubbs asked if a Public Hearing should not be held on the matter before the dump was created.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillor Baker asked if the Dog By-Law, in which dog licence fees had been increased had been approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

The Municipal Solicitor said that it had not.

Councillor Spears and Balcom moved:-

"THAT G. W. Jerram, Donald Vincent, William A. MacLean, Gerald P. Foley, Douglas Taylor and Hawley Turner be appointed and they are hereby appointed Sanitary Inspectors for all Building Districts of the Municipality of the County of Halifax."

Councillor Redmond said he would not be prepared to vote on the question, where a serious charge has been levelled against one of the building inspectors, until this charge was cleared up.

Evening Session Continued.

Councillors Baker and Redmond moved an amendment to the motion:-

"THAT the matter of appointing the Building Inspectors, as Sanitary Inspectors be deferred until the August Session of Council."
Amendment lost.

Councillor Redmond said that if Council could ascertain the name of the Inspector against whom the charges were made, then he could be relieved from duty until the charges were proved or disproved.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillors Redmond and Baker moved:-

"THAT the Public Works Committee and the Planning Board meet as quickly as possible, ascertain the name of the person who has been charged as not acting properly in his capacity as building inspector, and that he be suspended from his duties in the field until such time as he is proven innocent or guilty."

Councillors Baker and Redmond moved:-

"THAT a recorded vote be taken."

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion.

FOR: Councillors Settle, Stubbs, Sellars, Redmond, Williams, Daye, MacKenzie, Henley, Turner, Balcom, Spears, Baker, McGrath, and Snair. -- 14.

AGAINST: Councillors Archibald, Isenor, Blackburn, Flawn, White, Hanrahan, Moser, and Curren. -- 8.

Warden Leverman declared the motion carried.

Councillors Flawn and Blackburn moved:-

"THAT Leo Dunn be appointed as Assistant Sanitary Inspector for District No. 28."
Motion carried.

Evening Session Continued.

Councillor Stubbs and Settle moved:-

"THAT Arthur Marsh be appointed Sanitary Inspector for District 14." Motion carried.

Councillor Stubbs and Settle moved:-

"THAT Mr. Jack Brenton be appointed a member of the Local Board of Health for District 14." Motion carried.

Councillors Baker and McGrath moved:-

"THAT Mr. George Carlton be appointed as Sanitary Inspector for District 10." Motion carried.

Councillors Snair and Curren moved:-

"THAT Bernie Jollimore be appointed as Assistant Sanitary Inspector for District No. 7." Motion carried.

Councillors Archibald and Isenor moved:-

"THAT Dr. Harvey Earle be appointed as Sanitary Inspector for District 24." Motion carried.

Councillors Henley and Baker moved:-

"THAT Robert MacDonald be appointed Sanitary Inspector for District No. 21." Motion carried.

Councillors Williams and Daye moved:-

"THAT Mr. Harry Colbert be appointed Assistant Sanitary Inspector for District No. 18." Motion carried.

Councillors Turner and Henley moved:-

"THAT Dr. Leslie Slipp be appointed as a member of the Local Board of Health for District No. 22." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the report of the Municipal School Board.

Councillors Curren and Settle moved:-

"THAT the report of the Municipal School Board be adopted."

Councillor Daye said that the Oyster Pond School had

Evening Session Continued.

been condemned for the past ten years. His ratepayers had been told there would be a matter of a consolidated school considered, but he said nothing had been heard further on the matter. He said that it was the feeling of the parents in his District that they did not want to take the younger children out of the Oyster Pond District and that they would like to have a three or four room school there for the younger children to attend. He agreed that the older children should attend the consolidated school, if it was built.

Mr. Marriott, Chief Administrative Officer of the School Board said that consolidation had not been approved by all school sections in the District. He pointed out that the meeting was to be held the following night, on the matter of consolidation.

Councillor McGrath asked that something be done about the playground at Glen Moir School.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillors Curren and McGrath moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$4,000.00 for school purposes." Motion carried.

Councillors Redmond and Curren moved:-

"THAT this Council declare vacant the seat on the Municipal Council for Polling District No. 25, of the Municipality of the County of Halifax." Motion carried.

Councillors Snair and Redmond moved:-

"THAT Mr. Perry Grant, of Meaghers Grant, be appointed as Presiding Officer for District No. 25, to replace Mr. Guy Dickie, who is unable to act in this capacity." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the report of the Finance and

Evening Session Continued.

Executive Committee.

Councillors Archibald and Snair moved:-

"THAT the report of the Finance and Executive Committee, be adopted." Motion carried.

Councillors Daye and Williams moved:-

"THAT the old Upper Lakeville School property be deeded to Saint James Anglican Church, Ship Harbour, Nova Scotia for the sum of \$25.00."

Councillors Snair and McGrath moved an amendment to

the motion:-

"THAT Council transfer this lot to Saint James Anglican Church, for an amount of \$50.00."

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the amendment, Amendment lost.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the report of the Public Works Committee.

Councillors Hanrahan and Redmond moved:-

"THAT the report of the Public Works Committee be adopted."

Councillor Baker asked if there had not been a guarantee by the contractor, that the incinerator would work. He asked if the contractor was responsible for the present condition at the incinerator.

Councillor Stubbs wanted to know where the blame lies for this situation. She thought that the designer must have drawn the plans in the dark. She asked if the contractor was guilty of poor construction or if the County Engineers were at fault for not informing Council about the glass problem. She said that the ratepayer should have an explanation.

Evening Session Continued.

Mr. Ralph Fraser, Utilities Engineer, explained that more than the average amount of glass was finding its way to the incinerator and this caused most of the trouble. He said that the incinerator had burned as much as 48 tons a day, although its rate of capacity was 40 tons a day.

Councillor Curren asked that the incinerator should be expected to burn more than it had ever been designed for.

Councillor Stubbs suggested that perhaps the County should abandon the incinerator and use the incinerator in the City. She asked if any one of the Districts could make separate arrangements with the City.

Warden Leverman put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillors Spears and Redmond moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$18,000.00 for the construction of sewers in the Olie Subdivision." Motion carried.

Councillors Redmond and Settle moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$12,871.00 for the construction of sewers on Harris Road." Motion carried.

Councillors Settle and Redmond moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$10,371.00 for the construction of water mains on Harris Road." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and McGrath moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$6,474.00 for the construction of sewers on Oakwood Avenue." Motion carried.

Councillors Redmond and Williams moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$2,584.00 for the construction of sewers on Main Avenue, Westphal." Motion carried.

Evening Session Continued.

Councillors Settle and Redmond moved:-

"THAT this Council approve a borrowing resolution in the amount of \$2,808.00 for the construction of water mains on Main Avenue, Westphal." Motion carried.

Councillor Curren said that he was disappointed that there would be no mention in the report of water and sewer for Rockingham. He said he had been asking about this for three or four years.

Councillor Hanrahan said that he had attended several meetings in the area with ratepayers. He said that he felt that the people are not prepared to face an increase of \$180.00 a year in their taxes, for this. He pointed out however, that the Public Works Committee was still working on the problem and he said that there is now a possibility of assistance from the Provincial Government in the way of long term financing.

Councillor Curren said that no survey had ever been made to find out if the people did want it.

Councillors McGrath and Flawn moved:-

"THAT this Council approve the expropriation of land adjacent to the Fort Sackville School at Bedford for school purposes." Motion carried.

Councillors Williams and Spears moved:-

"THAT the approval of the minutes of tonight's Session be deferred until the August Session of Council." Motion carried.

Councillor Stubbs asked what fees Associate Counsel were getting for appearing in connection with the Royal Commission.

Mr. Hattie said he understood the fee was \$100.00 a day, while they were actually working on the case.

Councillor Stubbs said she thought that the public was being misinformed on the matter.

Evening Session Continued.

Councillors Spears and McGrath moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn". Motion carried.

The Session closed with the singing of God Save The
Queen.

1960

JULY SESSION

A BY-LAW TO AMEND THE ZONING BY-LAW

1. Appendix "A" of the Zoning By-Law of the Municipality of the County of Halifax is amended by re-zoning the following described property from R-2 use to Local Business Zone use:

PARCEL ONE

ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being at Spryfield in the County of Halifax and Province of Nova Scotia, shown as Lot "B" on a Plan of the Fred Micheau and Ira B. Colwell properties made by R. T. Nolan, P.L.S. dated June 12th, 1959 and filed at the Registry of Deeds, at Halifax, Nova Scotia on the 21st day of October, A.D. 1959 and being more particularly described and bounded as follows:-

BEGINNING at the northwestern corner of lot "A" as shown on the said plan;

THENCE northerly along the eastern boundary line of the Herring Cove Road, forty (40) feet to a point on the southerly limit of property owned by Ira B. Colwell;

THENCE north eighty-nine degrees, thirty minutes east one hundred (100) feet to a point, as shown on the said plan;

THENCE south two degrees fifteen minutes east (S 2° 15' E) forty (40) feet to a point, being the north-east corner of Lot "A" as shown on the said plan;

THENCE south eighty-nine degrees thirty minutes west (S 89° 30' W) along the northern boundary line of lot "A" one hundred (100) feet to the point of beginning.

The aforesaid property being part of lands conveyed to Fred Micheau by deed dated October 23rd, 1946 from Donald N. MacKinnon et ux to Fred Micheau and Registered at the Registry of Deeds at Halifax, Nova Scotia, in Book 952, Page 229.

PARCEL TWO

ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being at Spryfield in the County of Halifax the said lot being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the eastern boundary of the Halifax Spryfield Road, the said boundary being considered to be thirty-three feet (33') easterly from the centerline of the present travelled way, the said point of beginning being distant northerly one hundred feet (100') from the northwest corner of the Yeadon burying ground, so called, the said point of beginning being also the northwest corner of a lot conveyed in or about the year 1942 by George B. Yeadon and his wife Jean L. Yeadon to one McLean Wright;

THENCE due east along the northern boundary of the said Wright property one hundred feet (100') to the northeast corner thereof;

THENCE north 3° east twenty-five feet (25') more or less to the southeast corner of property conveyed in or about the year 1948 by the said George B. Yeadon and the said Jean L. Yeadon, to one William Lively;

THENCE due west along the southern boundary of said Lively lot one hundred feet (100') to the eastern boundary of the Halifax Spryfield Road aforesaid;

THENCE southerly by the same twenty-five feet to the place of beginning.

The aforesaid lot being part of the land conveyed to Vesta Emeline Legg by the said George B. Yeadon and the said Jean L. Yeadon by deed dated the 12th May 1942.

PARCEL THREE

AND ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being on the eastern side of the road leading from Halifax to Spryfield, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the eastern side of the said road twenty-five (25) feet northerly from the northwest corner of the property recently conveyed to one McLean Wright;

THENCE easterly parallel to the northern boundary of the said McLean Wright property and twenty-five (25) feet northerly therefrom one hundred feet (100');

THENCE north five degrees west one hundred feet (100');

THENCE westerly parallel to the first described course one hundred (100) feet to the eastern boundary of the said road leading from Halifax to Spryfield;

THENCE southerly along the eastern boundary of said highway one hundred (100) feet to the place of beginning.

All magnetic bearing taken in the year one thousand **nine** hundred and thirty-seven.

Adopted, July 12, 1960.

THE SUNSET ROAD AND PALMER ROAD STREET

PAVING BY-LAW

1. In this By-Law
(a) "ratepayer" means a person who has a residence on Sunset Road or Palmer Road and is assessed for municipal taxes on real property on Sunset Road or Palmer Road in the County of Halifax.
2. If the ratepayers owning two-thirds of the frontage fronting on Sunset Road and Palmer Road in the County of Halifax petition the municipality the municipality shall provide out of its current year's budget for street paving an amount up to fifty-five percent of the total cost of paving Sunset Road and Palmer Road to a width of twenty feet and without curbs.
3. The Municipality may recover up to forty percent of the total cost of paving over a period of five years and at an interest rate of six percent per annum by levying a special tax upon the ratepayers.
4. The tax levied under the provisions of section 3 shall be rated and collected at an equal rate of so much on each residence on a lot fronting on Palmer Road and Sunset Road and shown on a plan of Palmer Road and Sunset Road Street Paving, reproduced from a plan originally prepared by E. J. Feetham, by Clyde Holland dated June 20, 1960, and attached to a petition signed by the ratepayers in connection with street paving, not to exceed one hundred dollars per residence, and shall constitute a lien on the real property.
5. The lien shall become effective on the date on which the engineer files with the clerk a certificate that the paving is completed, and the lien shall remain in effect until the full sum levied under this by-law, together with the interest thereon as provided in this by-law, has been paid.
6. Subject to the other provisions of this by-law each lien shall be of the same effect as a municipal lien with respect to municipal rates and taxes.

Approved July 12, 1960.

REPORT OF THE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

TO HIS HONOUR THE WARDEN AND MEMBERS OF MUNICIPAL COUNCIL:

Councillors:

The Planning Board recommends the approval of Council on the following subdivisions:

1. J. R. Moore, Gaston Road.
2. Leahey Subdivision, Tuft's Cove.
3. Lands of Property Finance Ltd., South Woodside.

These subdivisions are situated in the proposed amalgamated area. The Board sent these plans to the Town of Dartmouth, as they have the right to appeal, under the Town Planning Act, plans approved within a two-mile radius of Dartmouth. The Dartmouth Town Planning Board have no objections to the size of the lots in these subdivisions.

1. Subdivision of lands of J. R. Moore, Gaston Road. Plan by K. W. Robb, dated April 30, 1960, and re-submitted to the Board on June 20, 1960, asking approval of lots A1B and A1C. Each lot has a frontage of fifty (50) feet and each lot has a square area of 5,000 square feet.

2. Leahey Subdivision, Tuft's Cove. Plan by Eastern Engineering and Surveying Company, dated June 18, 1960, and asking approval of lots 71A and 72A, fronting Farrell Street and lot 72B, fronting Victoria Road. Lot 71A has a frontage of forty-one (41) feet with a square area of 4,200 square feet. Lot 72A has a frontage of sixty-three (63) feet with a square area of 5,300 square feet. Lot 72B has a frontage of sixty-four (64) feet and a square area of 4,660 square feet.

3. Subdivision of Lands of Property Finance Limited, South Woodside. Plan by Eastern Engineering and Surveying, dated July 8, 1960, showing property in South Woodside. The following lots have a frontage of fifty (50) feet and a square area of 6,250 square feet: Lots 3, 6, 7, 8, 12, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and 26:

The following lots have a fifty-five (55) foot frontage with a square area of 6,900 square feet: lots 3, 9, 10, 11 and 27.

Lot #28 has a frontage of fifty-eight (58) feet and a square area of 7,300 square feet.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

Not Approved, July 12, 1960.

July Council Session - 1960

REPORT OF THE FINANCE AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

DATE OF PAYMENT OF TAXES -

At the June Session of Council the matter of date of payment of Taxes was deferred until the July Session of Council. As your Committee previously stated, the Assessment Act provides that taxes on real and personal property are due and payable thirty days after the date of billing. There are some ratepayers who adhere to this, as it is printed on the tax bill, but the great majority of taxpayers do not pay their taxes until the latter part of September or the early days of October, as there is no penalty, that is there is no interest charged on overdue accounts until the first day of October at the present time.

With very little "new" money coming in, in the current year's levy in the early months of the year, the Municipality is forced to operate with a very heavy overdraft at the bank in order to meet School Board requirements and the ordinary current running expenses of the Municipality.

Other Municipalities quite frequently require payment of taxes earlier in the year so that a large proportion of the current year's levy is paid early in the current year. In this area, for instance, the City of Halifax requires payment of taxes by May 31st and the Town of Dartmouth by the 30th day of June. Your Committee has given this matter careful consideration since the last meeting of the Council and have gone into the matter and the possibility of a discount being offered for early payment and as a matter of fact have asked the City for the reasons why they stopped allowing a discount for early payment three or four years ago and the reasons

July Council Session - 1960

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

the City discontinued the discount are given in the letter from Mr. A. A. DeBard Jr., the City Manager, dated June 27, 1960, which is attached to this report. The reasons given in Mr. DeBard's letter are similar to the arguments that this Committee used on the floor of the Council Session in June and summed up briefly are as follows:-

1. Much more clerical work is required when discounts are allowed and this would increase our administrative costs.
2. Because of the inability of the taxpayer to accurately work out discounts, many more ratepayers attend at the Collector's Office rather than mail their remittances for taxes.
3. The tax rate must be several cents higher in order to include the amount of discount in the budget.
4. Large firms and those ratepayers with money take advantage of the discount. Those who do not have money are paying the discount in the overall effect on the budget, as they are paying a higher tax rate than without a discount being offered as well as interest.
5. Generally speaking, those people who would take advantage of of the discount are those who would normally pay within the thirty-day period.

Your Committee therefore recommends, after further consideration, that for the year 1961 a penalty of six percent per annum on overdue tax accounts be commenced on the first day of August and that there be no discount allowed because, as pointed out above, the discount would mostly favour the prompt payer.

Setting the date as the first of August on which a penalty on overdue tax accounts begin, is up-dating the payment time by two months. This will mean that the heavy collection month of the year would be July instead of September and should get more money in the County coffers earlier in the year than has been the case in the past few years, which, in turn, will help reduce overdraft interest and thereby have the effect eventually of

July Council Session - 1960

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

reducing the tax rate by a cent or two, as the amount required in the budget for overdraft interest would eventually be reduced.

It is too late to make any adjustment with respect to this matter for the current year 1960, because many taxpayers pay their taxes through their Mortgage Companies and a change in the date of payment will, in all probability, require adjustments in mortgages and also it is felt that other ratepayers should know of such a change well in advance so that they can plan their tax payments well ahead.

If the policy is established by Council now, this fact could be advertised in the press and there should be little difficulty in making the change over this time next year.

NOVA SCOTIA METAL LIMITED -

This firm is assessed on equipment and stock-in-trade in Bedford, District No. 27, School Section No. 6, in an amount of \$775.00 and the 1960 taxes amount to \$20.15. This firm advised us that they ceased operations as of November 30, 1959 and since that time have not been in business except for disposing of their equipment and stock-in-trade.

The records show that the assessed items were present when the 1960 assessment was made. There was no notification to the Municipality that the equipment and stock-in-trade had been removed nor was there any appeal before the Appeal Board. The Company's request is that the taxes be cancelled for the year 1960 or be reduced to a minimum fee, and in view of the circumstances, your Committee recommends a reduction to an amount of \$15.00, which is the same as the minimum tax for personal property. Your Committee does not feel that the tax should be cancelled nor does the Committee feel that the Company should pay anything less than the minimum tax for personal property.

July Council Session - 1960

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

REFUND - MR. ROBERT SANDERS -

The Director of Assessment has reported that there appears to be an over-assessment on a property owned by Mr. Robert Sanders, located in District No. 27, School Section No. 75. The parcel of land concerned is believed to contain approximately sixteen acres, as that was the information contained in the deed covering this property. However, a recent survey has revealed only five and a quarter acres. The Assessor is lowering the assessment for 1961 in accordance with the information revealed by the survey and it would appear that an adjustment should also be made in the 1960 assessment since Mr. Sanders was assessed for more land than he actually owns. This would mean writing off an amount of \$37.64 of the current year's taxes.

REFUND OF POLL TAX - DR. OTTON RIEDEL -

He has advised the Municipality that he was sixty on May 30, 1952 and has furnished a photo copy of his birth certificate along with his letter of advice. The Assessment Act only requires persons between the age of 21 and 60 to pay Poll Tax and a search of our records show that Poll Tax was paid as follows from the year 1954 to 1959:-

Year 1954	\$ 10.00
1955	10.00
1956	8.00
1957	8.00
1958	6.00
1959	<u>15.00</u>
	\$ 57.00

Your Committee recommends a refund to Dr. Riedel in an amount of \$57.00.

July Council Session - 1960

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

RELIEF FROM PAYMENT OF THE CURRENT YEAR'S TAXES -
MRS. JOSEPH SHEA -

Your Committee has investigated the circumstances surrounding a sworn affidavit applying for relief of the current year's Taxes by one, Mrs. Joseph Shea of Woodside. Your Committee has gone into this matter carefully and recommend that Mrs. Shea be relieved of a portion of the current year's Taxes in an amount of \$38.36.

There are several other affidavits asking for relief from payment of the current year's taxes on file with the Committee that the Committee has turned over to the Welfare Committee for further investigation, as your Finance and Executive Committee feels that each of these cases should be investigated in the field as thoroughly as possible, so that a written report of all details can be presented to Council in future in connection with such application for relief from payment of the current year's taxes.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

C
O
P
Y

OFFICE OF THE CITY MANAGER

June 27, 1960.

Mr. R. G. Hattie,
Municipal Clerk and Treasurer,
Municipality of the County of Halifax,
P. O. Box 300, Armdale,
Halifax County, N. S.

Dear Mr. Hattie:-

The reason we discontinued the discount on payment of taxes in 1956 and thereafter were:

- (1) No one could pay their taxes for a certainty without running the chance of error in computation. This made payments by mail hazardous and brought more people to City Hall, increasing the clerical work in that office and making for bad public relations because of the delay.
- (2) A discount on taxes which ran to \$133,000.00 the last year we permitted it means that the tax rate has to be high enough to increase this amount in the budget. The affect is that the rate is \$2.00 instead of \$1.95. A high tax rate has a bad psychological effect.
- (3) People with money take advantage of the discount and those who do not have money are really the ones who are paying the discount in the overall effect in the budget. I suggest that a discount is a way of rewarding prompt payers but we think it is better to bill for a certain amount with specific due dates after which interest is charged at a set rate. Our present rate is deliberately 7% in order to penalize those tax payers who are trying to make banking institutions out of us.

Yours very truly,

(Sgd.) A. A. DeBARD Jr.,

City Manager.

Adopted July 12, 1960.

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

FOR THE FIVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 1960

REVENUE

Revenue Board of Patients.....	\$ 179,074.65	
Income on Investments.....	651.12	
Revenue - Board of Staff.....	9,696.81	
Miscellaneous Revenue.....	67.90	
Farm Revenue.....	9,020.20	
Revenue T.B. Patients.....	<u>27,684.00</u>	
		\$ 226,194.68
Deficit for the five months ended May 31, 1960		<u>46,713.96</u>
		<u>\$ 272,908.64</u>

EXPENDITURE

<u>General Expense</u>		
Including Interest on Bonds, Bond Redemption, Fuel, Light, Salaries, etc...\$	181,188.00	
<u>Farm</u>		
Truck, Tractor, Salaries, etc.....	19,862.10	
<u>Supplies</u>		
Meat, Fish, Groceries, Clothing, Tobacco, etc.....	<u>71,858.54</u>	
		<u>\$ 272,908.64</u>

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

DETAIL OF FARM EXPENSE

FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 1960

Cattle.....	\$ 410.29
Chicken.....	798.00
General Expense.....	1,476.30
Electric Light.....	413.04
Straw and Shavings.....	914.18
Feed - Cattle.....	2,788.93
Hogs.....	1,101.38
Poultry.....	3,564.75
Fertilizer.....	526.80
Seed.....	305.90
Salaries.....	6,114.97
Truck Expense.....	344.14
Truck Gas.....	219.00
Repair Farm Machinery.....	147.39
Tractor and Bulldozer.....	706.29
Tractor Gas.....	30.74
	<hr/>
	\$ 19,862.10

(June Salaries included in Salary amount)

DETAIL OF SUPPLIES

FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 1960

Drugs.....	\$ 4,388.56
Groceries.....	20,773.24
Fruit and Vegetables.....	2,594.93
Meat.....	13,881.67
Fish.....	4,808.00
Flour.....	1,643.01
Butter and Margarine.....	2,214.36
Milk.....	11,952.60
Tea & Coffee.....	1,704.00
Tobacco.....	2,684.52
Boots.....	384.13
Clothing.....	4,829.52
	<hr/>
	\$ 71,858.54

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

DETAIL OF GENERAL EXPENSES

FOR THE FIVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 1960

General Expense.....	\$ 2,686.78
General Maintenance.....	2,667.17
Administration.....	206.82
Advertising.....	158.72
Bedding.....	1,299.81
Bond Redemption and Government Loan.....	8,574.22
Car Expense.....	154.75
Cleaning Material.....	2,630.67
Fuel.....	6,118.57
Committee.....	1,242.00
Dishes.....	297.64
Electric Bulbs.....	375.22
Electric Light.....	3,234.60
Electric Power.....	1,745.10
Hardware.....	533.01
Hospital Expense.....	1,881.49
Insurance.....	855.93
Interest on Bonds.....	6,153.42
Maintenance Plumbing.....	949.18
Maintenance Electrical.....	243.36
Heating.....	602.97
Kitchen.....	781.38
Laundry Maintenance and Supplies.....	1,031.35
Mops and Brooms.....	375.26
Medical Expenses re Patients.....	115.00
Paint.....	1,322.70
Radio Repair.....	145.08
Salaries.....	133,796.87
Telephone.....	494.08
Transportation.....	510.00
Dental Lab Expenses.....	4.85
	<hr/>
	\$ 181,188.00
	<hr/> <hr/>

(June Salaries included in Salary amount)

MEMORANDUM RE EARLY PAYMENTS OF TAXES FOR THE
YEARS 1959 and 1960

<u>DISTRICT</u>		<u>DATE PAID</u>	
		<u>1959</u>	<u>1960</u>
7	Mersey Paper Co. Ltd.	Aug. 21	--
8	Moirs Limited	Oct. 1	--
13	Fairey Aviation of Canada Ltd.	Aug. 24	June 27
14	Bolands Limited	Aug. 7	July 7
	British American Oil Ltd.	Aug. 26	--
	Dominion Structural Steel Ltd.	Sept. 25	June 21
	Natural Gypsum (Canada) Ltd.	Oct. 1	--
	Nova Scotia Light & Power Co. Ltd.	Sept. 30	--
	L. E. Shaw Ltd.	July 28	June 20
21	Halifax Power & Pulp Co. Ltd.	Apr.-Oct.	June -
23,24, & 25	Scott Timberland Co. Ltd.	Aug. 25	--
26	National Gypsum (Canada) Ltd.	Oct. 1	--
27	Municipal Spraying & Contracting Ltd.	Oct. 8	--
	Sackville Downs Ltd.	--	--
	Products Tank Car Shops Ltd.	June 22	--
28	Acadia Atlantic Sugar Refineries	Oct. 1	--
	Imperial Oil Co. Ltd.	May 28	May 25
	Irving Oil Co. Ltd.	Oct. 30	--

REPORT OF THE MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD OF HALIFAX COUNTY

JULY 1960

To His Honour, the Warden, and Members of the Council of the
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

The Municipal School Board wishes to make the following requests of the Municipal Council at the July meeting:

- (1) That the sum of \$1,000 be approved for a dug well at Dutch Settlement School Section.
- (2) That the sum of \$1,200 be approved for the purchase of additional property at Herring Cove for playground purposes. This property measures 102.4 feet by 90.4 feet and is illustrated on a sketch of the school property to be passed to the Capital Building Committee.
- (3) That a sum of \$1,800 be approved for preparing a playground at the Armdale Junior High School. This school has an estimated population of 700 pupils with no area that could be called a playground. It is planned to do a small amount of work at a time until a satisfactory play area is developed.

GLENGARRY
SCHOOL

Upon request from the trustees, it is recommended that the new 4 room school at Timberlea be called the Glengarry School.

Respectfully submitted,

MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD

(signed) G. D. Burris
(per) E. T. Marriott

G. D. BURRIS
Chairman.

Adopted, July 12, 1960

OCEAN VIEW MUNICIPAL HOME
REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT
FOR THE FOUR MONTH PERIOD ENDED MAY 31, 1960
PLUS JUNE SALARIES AND JUNE PATIENT BILLS

REVENUE

Revenue Board of Patients (including June Bills) \$	31,217.98	
Miscellaneous Revenue.....	<u>203.90</u>	\$31,421.88
Deficit for the Four Month Period ended May 31, 1960		<u>10,334.52</u>
		<u>\$41,756.40</u>

EXPENDITURE

<u>General Expense</u>		
Including Maintenance, Salaries, Light, Power, etc.....	\$ 32,886.22	
<u>Supplies</u>		
Including Meat, Fish, Groceries, Tobacco and Clothing.....	<u>8,870.18</u>	<u>\$41,756.40</u>

OCEAN VIEW MUNICIPAL HOME

DETAIL OF EXPENSES FOR THE FOUR MONTH PERIOD
ENDED MAY 31, 1960

General Expense.....	\$ 1,349.05
General Maintenance.....	548.36
Office Expense.....	182.36
Advertising.....	34.02
Bedding.....	396.45
Car Expense.....	160.00
Cleaning Material.....	335.57
Fuel.....	2,662.62
Committee.....	500.80
Dishes.....	90.48
Electric Light.....	530.00
Electric Power.....	92.50
Hardware.....	451.75
Home Expense.....	309.52
Maintenance - Plumbing.....	111.26
Electrical.....	249.09
Heating.....	252.36
Kitchen.....	19.97
Laundry.....	1,170.56
Mops and Brooms.....	12.61
Medical Expenses.....	68.00
Paint.....	10.49
Salaries.....	23,228.74
Telephone.....	121.50
Unemployment Insurance.....	(1.84)
	<u>\$ 32,886.22</u>

DETAIL OF SUPPLIES FOR THE FOUR MONTH PERIOD
ENDED MAY 31, 1960

Drugs.....	\$ 2,682.71
Groceries.....	1,930.94
Fruit and Vegetables.....	391.59
Meat.....	1,709.96
Fish.....	214.20
Flour.....	20.70
Butter and Margarine.....	304.60
Milk.....	754.33
Tea and Coffee.....	151.04
Tobacco.....	49.24
Clothing.....	660.87
	<u>\$ 8,870.18</u>

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council

Councillors:

The matter of water supply and sewerage disposal in the original Olie Subdivision has been a matter of controversy in this Council for a number of years. There were two major difficulties involved: (1) the matter of the Municipality getting into the Water Supply business as the Solicitors have ruled that if we did get into the Water Supply business in this Subdivision we would be a Public Utility within the meaning of the Public Utilities Act which we are hesitant to do because the water supply for this Subdivision comes from three artesian wells, all on private property, with one located in the basement of a house; (2) the second major difficulty was the fact that the Department of Highways would not take over the roads because of the private water and sewer systems installed therein. This has now been rectified and the streets in Olie Subdivision have been recommended for this year's paving program.

Your Public Works Committee, in reviewing this whole situation, feels that it would be preferable to get the sewer mains installed prior to the paving, if at all possible, because it is the sewer line that is causing the major difficulty and sanitary problems in the area. The private water system seems to be providing water and without too much trouble to the house owners.

What makes the proposed installation even more desirable at this time is the fact that the School Capital Program Committee is installing a central sewage disposal system for the West Spryfield School, the Central Spryfield School and the new

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

thirteen-room school at Spryfield. This disposal plant has been designed to take the affluent from the septic tank that will collect the sewerage from the Olie Subdivision. There are forty-six houses in the Subdivision and your Public Works Committee recommends that provided we can get a sufficient number of the householders living in this Subdivision to agree to paying the necessary sewer rate that the Municipality proceed with the installation of a sewerage system in this Subdivision prior to the paving of the streets. The estimated cost in this regard is the sum of \$18,000.00 and your Committee proposes to introduce separate and apart from this report a temporary borrowing resolution for this amount.

SEWER - HARRIS ROAD

Approximately a year ago the Municipality installed a dry sewer on Penhorn Drive at the same time that water extensions were made on this street. The sewer was never carried through to where this street could drain into the main trunk sewer because of the uncertainty at that time of the exact location of the new Provincial Circuitous Highway in the Dartmouth area and in addition to this the original Subdivision plans in this whole area had to be changed when the location of the new Provincial Highway had become fixed. It now appears that the proper solution to this problem is to make the connecting link from Penhorn Drive, along Harris Road to hook up to the existing sewer on Harris Road at approximately the boundary line between the Whebby and Redden Subdivisions. If this were done, it will also enable the Subdivider in the area to develop an additional 10 lots on Chittick Avenue and also an additional 5 lots on Bruce Avenue. The Subdivider has agreed by letter to install the sewer mains on the remainder of Chittick Avenue and on Bruce Avenue at his own expense. The estimated cost of this sewer extension

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

is \$12,871.00 and your Committee proposes to introduce separate and apart from this report a temporary borrowing resolution to cover this amount.

In order to properly hook the water system, 6" water mains should be laid on Harris Road at the same time to net in Penhorn Drive at the existing end of the water service main on Harris Road, which is again located approximately at the boundaries of the Whebby and Redden properties. This also would enable the Subdivider to install water on Chittick Avenue and on Bruce Avenue at his own expense initially but with the understanding that the Utility would purchase back that portion of the Water Utility that would net a 12% return to the Utility on the balance of Chittick Avenue and Bruce Avenue. The water extension on Harris Road would also allow the Municipality to service additional customers along its route. The estimated cost of the water installation is \$10,371.00 and your Committee proposes to introduce separate and apart from this report temporary borrowing resolutions to cover this amount.

SEWER - PORTION OF OAKWOOD AVENUE -

The Municipality installed a water main on Oakwood Avenue approximately a year and a half ago under one of the usual types of agreement with Oakwood Realities Limited. Sewer was not available at the time but since this water installation was made, through the extensions of the main trunk sewer going in the general direction of Commodore Subdivision, across Oakwood Avenue in the vicinity of Lot A7 and B8, your Committee recommends that sewer be installed from Valleyfield Road on the eastern portion of Oakwood Avenue to the eastern boundary of Lots A7 and B8 where it will enter the main trunk sewer. This is a distance of 485 feet and the estimated cost is \$6,474.00. Your Committee proposes to introduce separate and apart from this report a temporary borrowing resolutions for this amount.

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

MUNICIPAL INCINERATOR -

The work already authorized by this Council for certain alterations to the Municipal Incinerator has been commenced. Francis Hankin and Company Limited, one of the foremost firms in the Incinerator business in Canada, are carrying out the alterations and are willing to guarantee their work they do for the period of one year. One further suggestion has been made by the Municipal Engineer and has been concurred in by the Francis Hankin people and that is that where there are some 10 grates burned out and more will be burning out from time to time, that 20 new grates should be ordered in any event to properly maintain the grates in the Incinerator that a smaller and finer type of grate should perhaps be the ultimate aim for a more efficient operation. The suggestion is that where the fire box is presently being divided into two fire boxes, that the present grates from one side be used as spares for the other side and that a finer mesh grate be used on the other side, which it is felt would facilitate the necessary cleaning out operations. Your Committee recommends an expenditure of \$2,160.00 for the installation of the finer mesh grates on one side of the Incinerator with the understanding that the existing grates in that side will be used to replace those burned out and that the remainder be kept for further spares for the grates on that side of the Incinerator. It is anticipated that when these have been used up that eventually the finer mesh grates would be used throughout.

SEPARATION OF GARBAGE -

There is one question about the efficiency of the Municipal Incinerator insofar as burning all types of refuse is concerned,

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

and in the early stages when not too much garbage was being burned the fires did not reach a high enough temperature to melt all the glass. At this stage the cleaning out process was relatively simple but as other Districts started using the Incinerator for burning refuse and larger fires in the Incinerator ensued, the problem of molten glass became acute. This molten glass retains the heat to such an extent that it delays the cleaning out process and in addition to this forms such a hard clinker, which contains bits of scrap metal, tin cans, etc., that sometimes it is necessary to use the compressor to break it. The volume of tins and other metals being collected by the various contractors is such that it delays the burning of wet garbage and forms the bulk of the material that has to be cleaned out from the Incinerator daily. Accordingly, your Committee recommends that at some future date the Municipality should change their requirements in such a fashion so that tins and bottles would be placed in one container and combustible garbage in another. This seems to be the most satisfactory method of operation in most places and would insure a more efficient operation of burning the combustible material in the Incinerator itself. The separation of garbage would necessitate the dumping of tins and bottles at some suitable location, not too far removed from the site of the Incinerator, and therefore the separation of garbage cannot be put into effect until such a site has been acquired. Your Committee is now investigating the possibility of acquiring such a spot that would be suitable and plans to report to Council at the August Session on this matter. The separation of garbage, for the most part, would not mean a double pick-up system for the

July Council Session - 1960

- 6 -

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

contractors, as in most towns where garbage is separated the pick-up vehicles have a partition in the body, which provides for loading of combustible materials for one section thereof and the tins, bottles, etc., in another section.

As reported to Council at the last Session, we had received a petition from many of the residents on Main Avenue, Westphal, on the opposite side of Highway No. 7 from where the sewer mains are located, asking that a water service be provided from Main Avenue rather than have these properties receive a water service from Tacoma Drive.

Also at the last Session of Council it was reported that investigations had been carried out as to the probable costs of providing a water service on Main Avenue and that it was not economically sound to service the entire length of Main Avenue between the east and west ends of Tacoma Drive. At that time we recommended a procedure that would serve the houses nearer the east and west ends of Tacoma Drive which left the properties in the center without a water service from Main Avenue with the only alternative being to get their water from Tacoma Drive itself. The cheapest way to give these houses sewer service was to cross the main highway to the sewer main.

The Department of Highways, however, are now objecting to having so many crossings made of Highway No. 7 and they have limited the number of crossings to four. This means that the cost to the County for sewer purposes would be \$2,584.00 more than originally anticipated to serve the present six properties. This would provide a sewer service only and no water. At an additional cost of \$5,392.00 both water and sewer mains could

July Council Session - 1960

- 7 -

Report of the Public Works Committee Continued

be continued down the east side of Main Avenue to meet water and sewer mains crossing Highway No. 7 from Tacoma Drive and your Committee therefore, recommends that instead of spending just the additional \$2,584.00 for sewer purposes that the Municipality install water on this side of Main Avenue as well, at an additional cost of \$2,808.00. Your Committee proposes to introduce separate and apart from this report borrowing resolutions to cover these amounts.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed by the Committee)

Adopted, July 12, 1960.

- 43 -
REVENUE REPORT
JUNE 30, 1960

NAME OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NO	REVENUE TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	BALANCE TO BE COLLECTED
REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY	300	599,431.18	3,618,544.14	3,019,112.96 CR 1
POLL TAXES	302	48,549.58	100,000.00	51,450.42 CR 1
MARITIME TEL. & TEL. CO. LTD.	303	31,859.84	31,859.84	.00 * 1
DOG TAX	305	10,050.25	20,000.00	9,949.75 CR 1
PEDDLERS LICENSES ETC	306	1,922.00	4,500.00	2,578.00 CR 1
FINES & FEES	308	5.00		5.00 * 1
INTEREST ON DEPOSITS & BONDS	309	1,582.15	6,000.00	4,417.85 CR 1
INTEREST ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS	3091	2,825.46		2,825.46 * 1
INTEREST ON TAX ARREARS	310	8,750.34	19,000.00	10,249.66 CR 1
GOV. OF CANADA - IN LIEU OF TAXES	313		85,000.00	85,000.00 CR 1
GENERAL PURPOSE GRANT - IN LIEU OF INCOME TAX	314	11,213.32	22,089.14	10,875.82 CR 1
CAPITAL DEBT CHGS ON SCHOOL DEBT	315	96,974.00	173,000.00	76,026.00 CR 1
GRANT RE MENTALLY ILL	3161	7,504.42	44,000.00	36,495.58 CR 1
GRANT RE POOR RELIEF - PROV. N.S.	3162		71,250.00	71,250.00 CR 1
REGIONAL LIBRARY - PROV. N.S.	3163	12,924.66	38,641.44	25,716.78 CR 1
DUES - LANDS & FORRESTS ACT	317	1,319.43	1,000.00	319.43 * 1
GRANT RE CIVIL DEFENCE	319	375.99	3,145.64	2,769.65 CR 1
N.S. LIQUOR COMMISSION	330	695.91	695.91	.00 * 1
COUNTY HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION	335		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
RENTALS	336		9,200.00	9,200.00 CR 1
REAL ESTATE TRANSFER TAX	337		50,000.00	50,000.00 CR 1
SALE OF BUILDING PERMITS	338		7,000.00	7,000.00 CR 1
SUNDRY REVENUE	346	129.62	4,000.00	3,870.38 CR 1
C.B.C. IN LIEU OF TAXES	348		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
FROM OLD HOSPITAL ACCOUNTS	356	14,371.76	25,000.00	10,628.24 CR 1
		850,484.91	4,339,926.11	3,489,441.20 CR 1

EXPENDITURE REPORT
JUNE 30, 1960

NAME OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NO	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	UNE XPENDED BALANCE
COUNCIL	400	14,236.55	31,100.00	16,863.45 CR 1
WARDEN & COUNCIL-SECRETARY	4,001	1,126.60	2,200.00	1,073.40 CR 1
STATIONERY	4,002	102.89	50.00	52.89 * 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,004	105.19	1,000.00	894.81 CR 1
REPORTING & PUBLIC RELATIONS	4,005		7,450.00	7,450.00 CR 1
WARDEN & CLERKS CONTINGENCY FUND	4,006	131.62	500.00	368.38 CR 1
WARDEN'S HONORARIUM	401	2,388.85	5,000.00	2,611.15 CR 1
COMMITTEES		8,395.88	15,000.00	6,604.12 CR 1
SALARIES-CLERK & TREASURERS	406	19,076.55	24,850.00	5,773.45 CR 1
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE	4,061	12,562.60	23,000.00	10,437.40 CR 1
ACCOUNTING OFFICE	4,062	12,197.26	23,600.00	11,402.74 CR 1
ASSESSORS OFFICE	4,063	23,041.32	44,800.00	21,758.68 CR 1
PLANNING OFFICE	4,064	11,939.87	14,165.00	2,225.13 CR 1
ARCHITECTS OFFICE	4,065	7,377.56	14,500.00	7,122.44 CR 1
SOLICITORS FEES	4,066	2,000.00	4,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
AUDITORS	4,067		2,400.00	2,400.00 CR 1
SALARIES-ENGINEERING DEPT	4,068	10,491.98	15,485.00	4,993.02 CR 1
WELFARE DEPT	4,069	9,663.30	18,900.00	9,236.70 CR 1
MUNICIPAL CLERK-STATIONERY	407	4,215.61	3,500.00	715.61 * 1
PRINTING	4,071	149.78	2,000.00	1,850.22 CR 1
TELEPHONE	4,072	1,926.81	3,600.00	1,673.19 CR 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,073	2,798.31	4,000.00	1,201.69 CR 1
LEGAL EXPENSE	4,074	4,282.49	6,500.00	2,217.51 CR 1
HOSPITAL LEGAL EXPENSE	4,075	5.00		5.00 CR 1
MOVING EXPENSE TO NEW BUILDING	4,076	625.50	625.00	.50 * 1
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE-STATIONERY	408	1,558.77	1,200.00	358.77 * 1
PRINTING	4,081	180.94	100.00	80.94 * 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,083	137.57	500.00	362.43 CR 1
TAX COLLECTION EXPENSE	4,084	61.83	200.00	138.17 CR 1
CONSTABLES EXPENSE	4,085	939.80	1,200.00	260.20 CR 1
COMMISSION TO CONST. RE DOGS	4,086	3,987.00	8,000.00	4,013.00 CR 1
DOG EXPENSE	4,087	1,488.54	1,000.00	488.54 * 1
POSTAGE	4,088	4,207.19	6,500.00	2,292.81 CR 1
ACCOUNTING - STATIONERY	409	117.48	450.00	332.52 CR 1
PRINTING	4,091		200.00	200.00 CR 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,093	4.51	500.00	495.49 CR 1
WELFARE - PRINTING	4,096	298.40		298.40 * 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,097	1,382.29	3,600.00	2,217.71 CR 1
ASSESSMENT - STATIONERY	410	1.75	250.00	248.25 CR 1
PRINTING	4,101	18.29	200.00	181.71 CR 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,103	2,093.29	10,000.00	7,906.71 CR 1
CO. PLANNING - STATIONERY	411	48.31	400.00	351.69 CR 1
PRINTING	4,111	138.77	200.00	61.23 CR 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,113	2,495.05	2,000.00	495.05 * 1
MISC. EXPENSE	4,114	43.62	400.00	356.38 CR 1
ENGINEERING - MISC. EXPENSE	4,115	9.00	25.00	16.00 CR 1
ARCHITECT - STATIONERY	412	36.32	50.00	13.68 CR 1
PRINTING	4,121	27.95	200.00	172.05 CR 1
OTHER EXPENSE	4,123	1,297.50	3,000.00	1,702.50 CR 1
MISC. EXPENSE	4,124	.92		.92 * 1
MUN. OFFICE - JANITORS SALARY	413	1,249.92	2,500.00	1,250.08 CR 1
JANITORS ASSIST	4,131	1,281.50	2,400.00	1,118.50 CR 1
JANITORS SUPPLIES	4,132	908.04	1,800.00	891.96 CR 1
HEAT	4,133	1,311.28	2,600.00	1,288.72 CR 1
LIGHT	4,134	2,263.63	3,600.00	1,336.37 CR 1
WATER	4,135	105.60	300.00	194.40 CR 1
INSURANCE	4,136	1,834.73	1,267.61	567.12 * 1
REPAIRS & MAINT	4,137	1,713.62	2,000.00	286.38 CR 1
EQUIPMENT - NEW BUILDING	4,138	5,241.87	2,000.00	3,241.87 * 1
SERVICE CHARGES - MACHINES	4,139	737.75	1,800.00	1,062.25 CR 1
CONVENTIONS -	416	1,670.98	2,750.00	1,079.02 CR 1
BOARD OF APPEAL	417	413.78	415.00	1.22 CR 1
LIEN LAW EXPENSE	418	15.64		15.64 CR 1
BUILDING BOARD COMMITTEE	419	82.56	400.00	317.44 CR 1
PENSIONS - MARTIN ARCHIBALD	420	1,500.00	3,000.00	1,500.00 CR 1
MARY ARCHIBALD	4,201	450.00	900.00	450.00 CR 1

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	422	95 2.59	1,500.00	547.41 CR 1
FIDELITY BONDS	424	820.95		820.95 * 1
PRINTING DEBENTURES	425	3,478.12	4,000.00	521.88 CR 1
SPECIAL STUDIES OR SURVEYS	427	5,509.05	15,200.00	9,690.95 CR 1
FINANCIAL COLLECTION AGENCY	4,312	4.50	25.00	20.50 CR 1
NATIONAL COLLECTION AGENCY	4,313	3,197.06	6,000.00	2,802.94 CR 1
SALARIES - COUNTY CONSTABLES	432	2,176.64	4,200.00	2,023.36 CR 1
CORONERS	433	572.60	700.00	127.40 CR 1
INQUESTS	434	2,544.30	2,000.00	544.30 * 1
CORRECTIONAL OR REFORMATORY INSTITUTIONS	435	8,479.40	6,500.00	1,979.40 * 1
SHEEP PROTECTION ACT	437	282.05	200.00	82.05 * 1
VETERINARY ASSISTANCE				
HALIFAX EAST & WEST	438	650.00	1,300.00	650.00 CR 1
MUSQUODOBOIT	4,381	450.00	900.00	450.00 CR 1
S.P.C.A.	4,382		100.00	100.00 CR 1
BOUNTIES - RACOONS	439	38.00	300.00	262.00 CR 1
FOXES	4,391	72.00	300.00	228.00 CR 1
WILDCATS	4,392	128.00	400.00	272.00 CR 1
BEARS	4,393	20.00	200.00	180.00 CR 1
BUILDING INSPECTION	4,395		27,000.00	27,000.00 CR 1
ENGINEERING DEPT-SALARIES	440	11,989.48	5,000.00	6,989.48 * 1
" " " CASUAL	441		2,400.00	2,400.00 CR 1
MEDICAL HEALTH OFFICERS	445	499.92	1,000.00	500.08 CR 1
DOCTORS ASSISTANCE	4,451	600.00	800.00	200.00 CR 1
CERTIFICATES OF INSANITY	4,452	45.00		45.00 * 1
OUT PATIENT DEPT	446		2,500.00	2,500.00 CR 1
GRANT - HFX. VISITING DISPENSARY	447		800.00	800.00 CR 1
PROV. OF NOVA SCOTIA HEAD TAX	4,487		83,299.00	83,299.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO GENERAL HOSPITALS	449		8,000.00	8,000.00 CR 1
CONVEYANCE TO GENERAL HOSPITALS	450			.00 CR 1
IN HOSPITALS FOR MENTALLY ILL	451	53,025.72	135,000.00	81,974.28 CR 1
NOVA SCOTIA HOSPITAL	4,511	68.44	1,000.00	931.56 CR 1
CONVEYANCE OF PATIENTS TO MENTAL HOSPITALS	453	49.95		49.95 * 1
RELIEF	454	28,323.02	92,000.00	63,676.98 CR 1
CARE OF INDIGENTS - MUN.HOME	455	16,249.79	10,000.00	6,249.79 * 1
CHILDRENS AID SOCIETIES	457	4,332.05	8,000.00	3,667.95 CR 1
DIRECTOR OF CHILD WELFARE	4,571	13,354.74	35,000.00	21,645.26 CR 1
GRANT-HFX.-DART. UNITED APPEAL	458		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
SALVATION ARMY	459		500.00	500.00 CR 1
CAN. NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR THE BLIND	460		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
			5,000.00	5,000.00 * 1
			500.00	500.00 CR 1
N.S. HOME FOR COLORED CHILDREN	4601		200.00	200.00 CR 1
CAN. PARAPLEGIC ASSOC.	4,602		500.00	500.00 CR 1
JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY	4,603		200.00	200.00 CR 1
REQUISITION OF MUN. SCH. BD.	461	1,165,608.62	2,381,481.01	1,215,872.39 CR 1
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL SCHOLARSHIPS	462		15,263.89	15,263.89 CR 1
TUITION SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF	463	3,450.00	6,600.00	3,150.00 CR 1
TUITION SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	464	5,760.00	9,000.00	3,240.00 CR 1
VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	465		47,490.00	47,490.00 CR 1
PETESWICK WEST WHARF PROPERTY	4,662	90.00		90.00 * 1
PARK EXPENSE	467	4.00		4.00 * 1
GRANT - CITY MARKET	468		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
REGIONAL LIBRARY	4,681	1,762.54	80,415.94	78,653.40 CR 1
GRANT - MUSQUODOBOIT EXHIBITION	469		250.00	250.00 CR 1
N.S. FEDERATION OF AGRICULTURE	470		100.00	100.00 CR 1
G.W. CARVER RECREATION CENTER	471		200.00	200.00 CR 1
BEDFORD LIONS CLUB	4,711		200.00	200.00 CR 1
INTEREST-ST. PAVING CAPITAL ACCT.	472	420.37	10,000.00	9,579.63 CR 1
N. WOODSIDE SEWER DEBENTURE	474	1,340.91	1,340.91	.00 * 1
DO INTEREST	4,741	505.82	566.53	60.71 CR 1
S. WOODSIDE SEWER DEBENTURE	4,742	2,000.00	2,000.00	.00 * 1
DO INTEREST	4,743	778.12	1,518.75	740.63 CR 1
TUFTS COVE SEWER DEBENTURE	4,744		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
DO INTEREST	4,745		425.00	425.00 CR 1
TUFTS COVE & FAIRVIEW SEWER	4,746		3,000.00	3,000.00 CR 1
DO INTEREST	4,747	2,231.25	4,462.50	2,231.25 CR 1
TUFTS COVE SEWER DEB. REDEEMED	4,748	4,000.00	4,000.00	.00 * 1
DO INTEREST	4,749	8,407.50	8,407.50	.00 * 1
TUFTS COVE & OTHER DEB REDEEMED	4,750		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
DO INTEREST	4,751		6,000.00	6,000.00 CR 1

		- 46 -		
PENSIONS - E. V. SMITH	4,202	900.00	1,800.00	900.00CR
FAIRVIEW SEWER DEB. INTEREST	4,753	3,000.00		3,000.00 * 1
SCHOOL DEBENTURES	477	47,000.00	167,000.00	120,000.00CR 1
DO INTEREST	4,771	43,383.73	159,568.75	116,185.02CR 1
SCHOOL SECTION DEB- PRINCIPAL	4,772	82,310.00	177,841.75	95,531.75CR 1
INTEREST	4,773	80,042.20	100,683.59	20,641.39CR 1
INT. ON CAPITAL BORROWING PENDING				
ISSUANCE OF DEB - SCHOOL	4,788	19,919.81	60,000.00	40,080.19CR 1
VOCATIONAL SCHOOL ACT PRINCIPAL	479	3,479.35	7,019.58	3,540.23CR 1
INTEREST	4,791	2,906.25	5,751.62	2,845.37CR 1
DISCOUNT SALE OF DEBENTURES	4,794	88,250.00	100,000.00	11,750.00CR 1
BANK OVERDRAFT INTEREST	4,796	21,761.94	60,000.00	38,238.06CR 1
EXCHANGE	4,797	131.09	300.00	168.91CR 1
COUPON NEGOTIATION CHARGES	4,798	801.02	2,000.00	1,198.98CR 1
UNCOLLECTED & UNCOLLECTABLE TAXES	480		40,000.00	40,000.00CR 1
FOR ELECTIONS	4,811		2,000.00	2,000.00CR 1
FOR REVISIONS OF VOTERS LISTS	4,812		2,000.00	2,000.00CR 1
FOR EQUIPMENT FOR OFFICES	4,827	9,424.23		9,424.23 * 1
FOR MUNICIPALITY PURPOSES (JOINT)	4,828		45,087.02	45,087.02CR 1
INDUSTRIAL COMMITTEE EXPENSE	4,881	538.28		538.28 * 1
CIVIL DEFENCE	4,882	916.64	3,495.16	2,578.52CR 1
SURVEY AIRPORT ZONING	4,431		5,000.00	5,000.00CR 1
		1,949,193.27	4,324,926.11	2,370,723.84CR 1

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

WELFARE EXPENDITURES

July Council Session - 1960

FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIOD

JANUARY TO JUNE, 1960

<u>DISTRICT</u>	<u>JANUARY</u>	<u>FEBRUARY</u>	<u>MARCH</u>	<u>APRIL</u>	<u>MAY</u>	<u>JUNE</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
7	\$ 167.98	\$ 262.19	\$ 251.00	\$ 174.13	\$ 224.00	\$ 346.75	\$ 1,426.05
8	144.92	253.63	343.85	271.50	245.00	323.00	1,581.90
9	495.68	609.53	445.50	323.23	115.00	228.00	2,216.94
10	857.05	922.20	1,200.89	999.85	917.00	888.48	5,785.47
11	837.60	490.44	659.00	637.47	590.00	302.50	3,517.01
12	1,624.81	1,487.07	1,612.38	1,434.44	902.36	775.00	7,836.06
13	469.41	605.00	378.50	292.64	228.50	275.00	2,249.05
14	1,675.06	1,581.84	1,783.25	2,062.23	1,215.00	1,512.00	9,829.38
15	19.99	119.82	80.00	80.00	80.00	20.00	399.81
16	160.75	76.90	57.00	38.00	--	--	332.65
17	276.54	251.68	169.00	85.16	75.00	85.00	942.38
18	110.01	66.00	98.50	44.00	113.00	137.00	568.51
19	220.15	282.41	307.00	313.78	306.00	362.00	1,791.34
20	9.99	47.95	107.00	110.06	112.00	180.00	567.00
21	193.87	126.26	75.00	193.42	126.00	150.00	864.55
22	173.36	116.56	116.50	102.11	78.50	137.00	724.03
23	51.03	39.00	59.00	100.08	149.00	55.00	453.11
24	189.90	337.56	315.61	221.84	249.00	199.50	1,513.41
25	--	--	102.00	146.10	146.00	142.00	536.10
26	20.19	--	136.00	108.46	58.00	20.00	342.65
27	966.39	923.75	1,050.64	918.44	660.00	605.00	5,124.22
28	204.31	419.76	211.00	240.97	165.00	80.00	1,321.04
	<u>\$8,868.99</u>	<u>\$9,019.55</u>	<u>\$9,558.62</u>	<u>\$8,897.91</u>	<u>\$6,754.36</u>	<u>\$6,823.23</u>	<u>\$49,922.66</u>

The County provides 33-1/3% of the total figure.