

MINUTES A N D REPORTS

of the

F I R S T Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - F O U R T H C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

MAY COUNCIL SESSION

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

MINUTES A N D REPORTS

of the

F I R S T Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - F O U R T H C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

MAY COUNCIL SESSION

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

I N D E X O F M I N U T E S

Amendment to the Zoning By-Law.....	12
Amendment to the Zoning By-Law re Frederick's Property at Eastern Passage.....	23
Amendment to the Zoning By-Law re "M" Zone for Motels.....	8
Amendment to the Zoning By-Law re Sheet Harbour, and Watt Section - School Sections.....	9
Appendices to the Zoning By-Law re Plans A-1 to A-5.....	12
Appointment of Council's Representative to the Regional Authority.....	24
Appointment of Deputy Chief Walter Langille, Eastern Passage as Fire Ward and Chief Reginald Hunter, Eastern Passage as Fire Ward in District #13.....	33
Appointment of Douglas Harris of R. R. #2 as Fire Ward in District #27.....	34
Appointment of Gerald Boyce as Special Constable.....	33
Appointment of Harold Barrett, Beaver Bank as Fence Viewer, District #27.....	33
Appointment of Lancie Baker Jr. as Fire Ward, District #6.....	34
Appointment of LeRoy Dunstan as Constable for District #5.	33
Appointment of Reginald Morris and Albert E. Ellis as Special Constables - Drive-In Theatre, Lower Sackville..	34
Appointment of Vincent Pettipas as Special Constable.....	33
Appointments to County Board of Health and Vacancies on Council Committees.....	17
Approval of Agreement between the Municipality and Government of Canada re Naval Bombing Practise Range....	25
Approval of Liquor Plebiscite in Polling Districts #8 & 12	33
By-Law to Amend the Zoning By-Law.....	12
Confirmation of Daylight Saving Time.....	24
Councillor for District #17 sworn in.....	1
County Planning Board Report.....	22
Daylight Saving Time, confirmation of.....	24
Delegate to Conference of Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities.....	32
Finance and Executive Committee Report.....	31
Invitation from Halifax County Competitive Musical Festival Association.....	19
Letter from Municipal School Board re Minutes.....	19
Letter from Reverend John Heffler re Acceptance of Nomination to Visitation Committee (Ocean View).....	19
Letter from St. Paul's United Church, Spryfield re Proposed Sewer Scheme.....	19
Liquor Plebiscite in Polling Districts #8 & #12.....	33
Minutes of Meetings of Municipal School Board, resolution re.....	20
Naming of Alternate Delegate to Conference of Canadian Federation of Mayors and Mayors and Municipalities.....	33
Naming of Delegate to Conference of Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities.....	32
Nominating Committee Report.....	24
Notice of Intention to Amend the Zoning By-Law re Parking Area for Motels in Commercial Zones.....	23
Notice of Intention to Rezone Marina Enterprises Limited Property, Porter's Lake.....	23
Notice of Intention to Rezone the Ratcliffe Property, Eastern Passage.....	23

INDEX OF MINUTES CONTINUED:-

Notices of Intention to Rezone, resolution re.....	23
Property 2,000' West of Bedford Magazine, resolution re...	15
Public Hearing re "M" Zones for Motels.....	1
Public Hearing re Rezoning of B. D. Stevens Property, Birch Cove.....	1
Public Works Committee Report.....	27
Report of the County Planning Board.....	22
Report of the Finance and Executive Committee.....	31
Report of the Joint Planning Board and Public Works Committee.....	28
Report of the Nominating Committee.....	24
Report of the Public Works Committee.....	27
Report of the School Capital Program Committee.....	26
Resolution re Appointments to County Board of Health.....	16
Resolution re By-Law re Bond for Building Permit.....	35
Resolution re Minutes of Municipal School Board.....	20
Resolution re Notices of Intention to Rezone.....	23
Resolution re Property 2,000' West of Bedford Magazine....	15
School Capital Program Committee Report.....	26
Swearing in of Councillor for District #17.....	1

 I N D E X O F R E P O R T S

Building Inspector's Report.....	36- 43
County Planning Board Report.....	44- 45
Finance and Executive Committee Report.....	46- 51
Halifax County Hospital Revenue and Expenditure Report....	52- 53
Joint Committee of Public Works and County Planning Board Report.....	54- 57
Nominating Committee Report.....	58
Ocean View Municipal Home Revenue and Expenditure Report..	59
Public Works Committee Report.....	60
Revenue and Expenditure Statements.....	61- 65
School Capital Program Committee Report.....	66- 67
Welfare Expenditure Report.....	68

M I N U T E S

of the

F I R S T Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - F O U R T H C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

MAY COUNCIL SESSION

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

MINUTES OF THE MAY SESSION OF
THE THIRTY-FOURTH COUNCIL OF
THE MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX.

May 8th., 1962.

MORNING SESSION

The May Session of Council convened at 10:10 a.m. Warden George D. Burris presiding. The Session opened with the recitation of the Lord's Prayer. The Municipal Clerk called the Roll.

The Municipal Clerk announced the results of the By-Election held in District #17 on May 1st. Nelson E. Gaetz - 690. Reginald Mannette - 270. He declared Nelson Gaetz elected by a majority of 420 votes.

Councillor Nelson Gaetz was then sworn into office by the Clerk.

Councillor Daye, in referring to the Day's Agenda, said he did not notice a Municipal School Board Report. He said it was one of the most controversial items in the Municipality and he asked why no Report was submitted to this Session.

Warden Burris pointed out that the Board had nothing to bring to Council at this Session.

Warden Burris extended a welcome to Councillor Gaetz and said he felt with the knowledge that Mr. Gaetz had of public affairs, that he would find himself quite at home in Municipal Council. He said as well that he would find Department Heads most co-operative.

The Warden announced a Public Hearing in connection with the proposed "M" Zone for motels and a Public Hearing in connection with the Re-Zoning of the B. D. Stevens' property, Rockingham, as an "M" Zone.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that the Notices of Intention were passed at the last Session and that both the proposed zoning changes were advertised as required by the Town Planning Act. He

said that during the thirty day period of advertising that plans were available for scrutiny in his office. He said he received no written communications.

The Warden asked if anyone in the gallery wished to speak on the two re-zonings.

Mr. G. H. Fitzgerald appeared before Council on behalf of a resident of Kearney Lake Road living near the proposed Motel site. He said while no written objections were sent to Council, he said he had ten objections in the form of petitions signed by sixty residents of the area nearby the proposed Motel site. He listed the ten objections:-

- (1) A motel would reduce property values.
- (2) Re-zoning to "M" use had not been requested by people in the area.
- (3) That a motel in the area would promote a traffic hazard.
- (4) Parking of non-resident cars on the motel property would present a problem.
- (5) The presence of a motel would cause a noise problem and would be detrimental to the morale of the people in the area.
- (6) Motel would cause a sanitary problem, as there is not suitable room for a disposal field.
- (7) That a restaurant, which will constitute part of the motel proposal, would be open all hours and could possibly become a hang-out for young children and thus be detrimental to the youth of the community.
- (8) A motel advertising sign would more than likely be unsightly and unattractive.
- (9) That the motel would be too close to the church property, the only large church in the Rockingham area, with the exception of the Roman Catholic Church near the railway station.
- (10) People have invested a lot of time and money in properties and that a motel would only tend to detract from the value of each property.

He said he was asked to make the above listed submissions to Council and ask Council to give them due consideration.

Mr. Frank Wilde appeared before Council and said he had been a resident of the area since 1941. He said, while he had no particular objection to an attractive motel, he said he believed that future City proposals called for the construction of a highway which would cross the narrows and connect with the Kearney Lake Road, where he said there would be a clover-leaf. He said he realized that this was part of the 1980 proposal, but he said if the new highway were to go through, that the Department of Highways would more than likely have to expropriate the motel and motel site and as a consequence, residents of the area would be forced to pay for the expropriation. He said with this in mind, Council should consider the objections of the residents abutting the site.

Councillor Bell said he felt that the petitions were one-sided, as they only brought forth the objections of nearby residents. He said to be representative, the petitions should also list those in favour of a motel in the area. He said there were no grounds for Council to make a definite decision, as he said he did not know personally how many people in the area would be in favour of the motel.

The Birch Cove resident, represented by Mr. Fitzgerald, stood in answer to Councillor Bell, and pointed out that the petition had not been circulated door-to-door, but rather it had been signed voluntarily by the sixty residents.

Again, Councillor Bell pointed out that a petition should represent the feelings of both sides. He pointed out that originally the Board went along with the proposal of a "M" Zone, as a protection to the residents of the area, and to prevent the establishment of a commercial zone, which he said would permit almost any commercial enterprise to locate in the area. He said,

therefore, in regard to the "M" Zone, this was protecting the people of the area and was not detrimental to them.

Councillor Bell pointed out that this matter had been discussed at the last three meetings of the Board and he said he personally felt that a motel in the area would be a great improvement. He said the points brought up by Mr. Fitzgerald were not really strong points and he doubted if the noise factor, etc. would cause or present a problem. He said he felt that the Board was in actuality doing a favour for the residents of Birch Cove.

Regarding the remarks made by Mr. Wilde, Councillor Hanrahan asked if Mr. Stevens procured a permit from the Department of Highways.

Mr. Stevens said he had procured a permit from the Department of Highways and that he discussed this 1980 proposal with the Department and it was pointed out to him that there would be at least a two hundred foot distance between the right-of-way which would be expropriated in the event that a highway were constructed and the motel. He said it would not conflict in any way, with the motel. He said, regarding the restaurant, that it would not be a restaurant as such, but rather a snack-bar and would cater to transients wanting for example, a cup of coffee. He pointed out that he was advised by a number of motel proprietors that a restaurant in a motel is not profit making. He again pointed out that there would be no public restaurant in the motel.

Regarding obstruction of view, Mr. Stevens said that the elevation of the motel was such that the motel roof would only be five feet above the road grade in back of the motel site and therefore would not obstruct the view of the residents abutting that road. He said, in conclusion, that the sanitary facilities would be such that there would be proper drainage and disposal and that the

sanitary facilities would conform to the requirements of the Provincial Department of Health.

Mr. Perry, representative of the firm of J. Phillip Dumaresq and Associates - Architects, displayed for Council a perspective showing the proposed motel. He said the exterior would be constructed of fabricated stone and that the roof would be railed in.

Regarding the elevation of the motel, Mr. Perry pointed out that it would be at a maximum of five feet above the road grade in back of the motel. He said eventual plans call for a swimming pool and regarding parking space, he said that the proposal called for plenty of parking area.

Councillor Moser said he felt this would be a definite asset to the area and would be an eventual source of revenue for the Municipality as a whole. He also pointed out that it would be a means of ridding the area of the unsightly hole.

Mr. Wilde again spoke to Council. He said he attended a Ratepayers' Association meeting, at which it was decided that the area would be re-zoned as R1. He said at that time, he was under the impression that this hole would be filled in and would be sodded and would eventually serve the purpose of a park or a play area for community residents. He said at a meeting in the Fall he asked Councillor Curren if plans were accepted and that Councillor Curren answered in the affirmative. He asked why there was no ratepayers' meeting held in connection with the proposed "M" Zone.

The Warden pointed out that this was a Public Hearing and that people were informed of the Public Hearing through advertisements. He said all residents if they so desired, had an opportunity of coming to the Session and expressing their opinions.

Councillor Eld said in most cases Council supports the wishes of the ratepayers when a re-zoning proposal comes into Council, but he said he felt something which means progress, should receive full support of Council. He said he felt the motel would be a definite asset and he referred to Mr. Fitzgerald's statements as mythical. He said in conclusion, that this would not only be an asset to the community, but also to the Municipality as a whole.

The Birch Cove resident seated in the gallery, said he noticed Councillors from other districts were strongly supporting this proposal. He said, however, it would only be fair for Councillor Curren to be present, before Council made any decision in this regard. The resident asked how many square feet of area was on the site.

Mr. Perry, representative of Phillip Dumaresq and Associates, said approximately 5,700 sq. feet.

The resident pointed out that the "M" Zone required a minimum of 6,000 sq. feet. He said taking in the parking space, he doubted if the Birch Cove site were large enough for the motel.

Councillor Daye pointed out that at the last Session, Councillor Curren seemed to be in favour of this proposal and only objected to the idea of a commercial zone in the area. He said he felt Council should do what is "fair and square".

Mr. Coolen, a Rockingham resident, appeared before Council, and said while he did not live in the area, he felt most people in Rockingham would be in favour of the motel.

Mr. Wilde pointed out that residents of Rockingham do not live in Birch Cove. He pointed out that he was not asked to come to Council but that , he came on his own volition. He said he

was interested in preventing what might eventually be a future cost.

Regarding remarks made previously by Mr. Stevens, Mr. Wilde said that the Department of Highways when putting through the Kearney Lake Road said that they would only require a 100 foot right-of-way and that they would not have to expropriate any of his property. He pointed out that they ended up expropriating four feet of the front of his property. He said therefore, if the 1980 proposal of the highway linking with the Kearney Lake Road were to go through, that there was no guarantee the Department would not expropriate the motel and hence add the excessive costs of expropriation to the ratepayers' burdensome tax rate. He pointed out, also, that the motel would detract from the re-sale value of the properties, as he pointed out a person

does not want to move into an area where there is a motel. He asked if the Assessment Department,

would consider a subsequent reduction in assessment of properties near the motel. He said before the motel would get his support, he would like some assurance from the Department of Highways, that they will not expropriate the motel in future.

Mr. Stevens again pointed out that this matter was gone into with the Department and he was told by the Department that the 100 foot right-of-way was at least 200 feet from the proposed location of the motel.

Deputy Warden Settle pointed out that the proposal of a motel resulted from Item B on the Agenda re Proposed Zoning of B. D. Stevens' Property. He said originally that people were objecting to the establishment of a commercial zone and not so much to the idea of a motel being constructed in the area. He pointed out that a "M" Zone if established would protect

the people, rather than be of detriment to them.

Councillor Bell and Deputy Warden Settle moved:-

"THAT Council go on record as being in favour of amending the Zoning By-Law to create an "M" Zone for motels."

Councillor MacKenzie asked if the parking restrictions in an "M" Zone were the same as the parking restrictions for motels constructed in commercial zones.

Mr. McGinn replied no. He said in an "M" Zone, one parking space is allotted per unit, with extra space. He said in a Commercial Zone there is only one space per unit with no additional or extra space.

Councillor MacKenzie said he could not see why the parking restrictions should apply to one motel and not another.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion.
Motion carried.

Councillor Hanrahan said he did not feel justified in voting for the proposal while sixty residents were objecting to it. He said he felt that the residents should be given the opportunity of appearing before Council to voice their objections personally. He also suggested that Council consider deferring the proposal until Council Curren is present.

Councillors Hanrahan and MacKenzie moved:-

"THAT Council defer for one month, the decision as to whether or not the B. D. Stevens property should be re-zoned as an "M" Zone."

Councillor Myers said Council should recognize the petition and also the opinions of the sixty names listed on it.

Councillor King-Myers concurred in Councillor Hanrahan's remarks and said she felt that Councillor Curren should be present before Council makes a definite decision.

Councillor Moser agreed with Councillor King-Myers and Councillor

Hanrahan.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion to a standing vote. THOSE FOR:- (15) THOSE AGAINST:- (9).

The Warden declared the Motion carried.

The Warden announced a Public Hearing in connection with the proposed Zoning of Sheet Harbour and Watt Section - School Sections as R1 use.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that Council gave its Notice of Intention at the last Session and that it had been advertised in the usual manner, as required by the Town Planning Act and that no written objections were received.

Councillors Henley and Williams moved:-

"THAT Council go on record as being in favour of amending the Zoning By-Law to zone the Sheet Harbour, and Watt Section - School Sections as an R1 Zone."

The Warden asked if there were anyone in the gallery who wished to speak on the proposed zoning.

Councillor Henley said that he was very optimistic as to the future of Sheet Harbour, especially as a major development would be located in the area in the not too distant future. He said the idea of bringing in the zoning proposal now, was to exercise a measure of control over community development. He pointed out that at present an aerial survey of the area was being carried out and that eventually this aerial plan of the area would be superimposed on another plan and that this would act as a master plan for the area. He said then the residential, commercial and industrial areas could be spotted. He said he hoped that in the not too distant future, Sheet Harbour would be a model community. He said once the R1 Zone was put into effect, that the Planning Committee of the Sheet Harbour Board of Trade would approach the Provincial and Federal Governments to embark on a

land assembly scheme providing for such things as access roads, water, sewer and street lighting. He said he hoped that he would have the opportunity of inviting Council down to Sheet Harbour to view what he termed "a model community".

Councillor Hanrahan asked if Councillor Henley considered the R1 Zone a little rigid. He said regarding the land assembly scheme that he did not think the Federal Government would be interested while so much land was available in the area.

Councillor McCabe asked how Councillor Henley was able to determine the number of people in favour of the R1 proposal and the land assembly scheme.

In reply to Councillor Hanrahan's statements, Councillor Henley agreed that the R1 Zone was a little restrictive, but he pointed out that this would give the community a control over development and would help to prevent haphazard development, which he said might evolve once land speculators go into the area. He pointed out that the Planning Committee of the Board of Trade was very much in favour of the recommended R1 Zone and also the land assembly scheme. He said that he agreed that there was a lot of land in the Sheet Harbour area and he pointed out that the Federal Government had expressed interest in the proposal of a land assembly scheme.

Regarding Councillor McCabe's question, Councillor Henley said that he was able to contact everybody in the community with the exception of two and of the two he could not contact, one was sick and the other was in the United States.

Councillor McCabe cautioned Councillor Henley, and said, in his canvass he should be careful not to get too many unhappy people, as he said unhappy people are usually hard to deal with.

Councillor Mackenzie said, regarding the R1 Zone, if a person wanted to renew his business, would he be able to if an R1 Zone

were put into effect.

Councillor Henley again pointed out that the idea of setting up an R1 Zone now, was to give the community control over development. He said in such a case, as referred to by Councillor MacKenzie, that this business would remain as non-conforming.

Councillor McGrath asked what area would be effected by this R1 Zone and if it would take in what is now the commercial district of the community.

Councillor Henley pointed out that this would encompass the whole commercial district.

Councillor Baker pointed out that while some Councillors were arguing against this proposal and questioning statements of Councillor Henley, he said as Councillor, he should know his district business well enough.

Councillor Daye concurred in Councillor Baker's remarks, and said that Councillor Henley should know his district business and he questioned the fact that other Councillors should question his statements in regard to the proposed zoning.

Councillor Williams concurred also in the remarks made by Councillors Daye and Baker.

Councillor McGrath asked how many commercial concerns would this R1 Zone affect.

Deputy Warden Settle said that both he and Councillor Henley met with the Sheet Harbour Board of Trade in connection with this proposed re-zoning and the meeting strongly supported the proposal of re-zoning and land assembly scheme.

Councillor Henley in answer to Councillor McGrath's question, said approximately thirty commercial establishments will be affected. He pointed out that all these were within one mile of the main highway.

Councillor Daye asked how many people were in attendance at the Board of Trade meeting.

In reply to a question of Councillor Daye, Deputy Warden Settle said there were approximately thirty people present. He pointed out however, that it was a very representative meeting, as each member represented a business concern.

Councillor Henley read the letter from the Board of Trade, which indicated the Board's favour with the zoning proposal and land assembly scheme.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

The Warden introduced the next item re approval of plans - A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4, A-5 as appendices to the Zoning By-Law.

The Municipal Clerk said that the Notice of Intention was passed at the last Session and that this was advertised in the usual manner. He said the idea behind the proposal was to reduce the area map of the suburban districts to five separate plans of a more workable nature.

Mr. McGinn displayed these plans.

Councillor Hanrahan and Deputy Warden Settle moved:-

"THAT Council go on record as being in favour of approving the Plans A-1, A-2, A-3, A-4 and A-5 as appendices to the Zoning By-Law." Motion carried.

Councillors Henley and Roche moved:-

"THAT this Council approve

A BY-LAW TO AMEND THE ZONING BY-LAW

1. Clause (ee) of Section 2 of the Zoning By-Law of the Municipality is amended by striking out the words "Primarily for" in the first line thereof and substituting the words "for transient".
2. Clause (xx) of Section 2 of the said Zoning By-Law is amended by striking out the words "and G zones" in the third line thereof and substituting therefor, "M and G zones".
3. The said Zoning By-Law is amended by adding immediately after Section 11 the following Section:

"11A. (1) The owner of a motel shall provide for the motel off-street automobile parking space according to the following table:

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| (a) | for a motel of 10 units or less | - one space per unit, plus one space |
| (b) | for a motel of more than 10 units and less than 21 units | - one space per unit, plus two spaces |
| (c) | for a motel of more than 20 units and less than 31 units | - one space per unit, plus three spaces |
| (d) | for a motel of more than 30 units and less than 41 units | - one space per unit, plus four spaces |
| (e) | for a motel of more than 40 units and less than 51 units | - one space per unit, plus five spaces |
| (f) | for a motel of more than 50 units | - one space per unit, plus six spaces |

(2) For the purpose of this Section an automobile parking space means space for one vehicle of 160 square feet of accessible storage space."

4. Section 14 of the said Zoning By-Law is amended by striking out the word "eleven" in the fourth line thereof and substituting the word "twelve".
5. Section 15 of the said Zoning By-Law is amended by adding after "G General Building Zones" the following
"M Motel zone"
6. Section 43 of the said Zoning By-Law is amended by adding the following clause immediately after clause (b):
"(C) All M. uses."
7. Clause (c) of Section 46 of the said Zoning By-Law is amended by striking out the words "one-third" in the fifth line thereof and substituting therefor the words "30 percent".
8. The said Zoning By-Law is amended by adding immediately after Section 59 thereof the following:
" M - Zone
Motel Zone

59A. (1) No person shall erect, alter, repair, maintain or use any building in whole or in part, or use any land in a M zone for any other purpose than one or more of the following uses namely:

- (a) a motel;
- (b) any use accessory to a motel use.

(2) For the purposes of a M zone a restaurant for the sale of prepared food shall not be deemed an accessory use unless it is contained within the motel with no direct access to a public way.

(3) Accessory uses shall be limited to a maximum of twenty percent of the total floor area of a motel.

59B. Buildings erected, altered, repaired, maintained or used for "M" uses in a "M" zone shall comply with the following requirements:

- (a) Lot frontage minimum 120 feet;
- (b) Lot area minimum 24,000 square feet;
- (c) Lot coverage maximum 30 percent;
- (d) The building lines applicable in a R-1 zone shall apply to M uses in a M zone.

59C. No sign shall be erected on a building or lot used for "M" uses in a "M" zone unless it complies with the following requirements:

- (a) The sign shall be a non-illuminated sign board which does not advertise any use other than a "M" use and which does not advertise any accessory use to a "M" use.
- (b) Notwithstanding the provisions of clause (a) an illuminated sign board may be permitted if in the opinion of the Building Inspector it is of suitable proportions and design and will not constitute a nuisance to the real property owners in the area.

59D. An appeal shall lie to the Board from the refusal of the Building Inspector to permit the erection of a sign under the provisions of Section 59C (b).

59E. Before a building with a "M" use in a "M" zone may be erected a plan of such building must be approved by the Board."

9. Clause (a) of Section 60 of the said Zoning By-Law as amended is amended by striking out the words "and P use" in the second line thereof and substituting therefor the words "P and M use".
10. Section 61 of the said Zoning By-Law as amended is amended by striking out the words "and P uses" in the second and third lines thereof and in the fourth line thereof and substituting therefor the words "P and M uses".
11. Section 14 is further amended by striking out the words "Appendix A", "Appendix B", "Appendix C", and "Appendix X" and substituting therefor the words "Appendices A1, A2, A3, A4, A5, C, P, and X".
12. The Zoning By-Law is further amended by repealing Appendix "A" and Appendix "B" to the said By-Law.
13. The Zoning By-Law is further amended by adding thereto Appendix A1, Appendix A2, Appendix A3, Appendix A4, Appendix A5, and Appendix P."

Motion carried.

Councillor McGrath said he would like to reserve the right, for the party owning land adjacent to the magazine, to apply for zoning change at any time. He said he did not think that it was good planning to have an R-1 Zone adjacent to the magazine property.

The Municipal Solicitor, Mr. Rogers pointed out that the right to apply for a zoning change was adherent in the Legislation and that it would not be necessary to reserve the right to do so.

Councillor McGrath asked if the person concerned applied for re-zoning, would he be charged advertising costs.

The Municipal Solicitor pointed out only in the event that the person concerned was applying for spot re-zoning.

Councillors McGrath and Hanrahan moved:-

"THAT the owners of property or properties within 2,000 feet westerly direction to the Bedford magazine not be charged for advertising costs if, as, and when these properties come before the Planning Board for possible re-zoning from R-1 uze."

Councillor Hanrahan suggested this might not be wise, as the party concerned may apply for spot re-zoning, and in that case be charged for advertising costs.

Councillor McGrath pointed out that the person he referred to would not apply for spot re-zoning, but rather re-zoning a block.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion.

Motion carried.

Councillor McGrath suggested that Mr. McGinn sit down with the Bedford Service Commission to discuss planning in Bedford as soon as possible.

Mr. McGinn said he would be available to do so anytime.

Councillors Daye and Williams moved:-

"THAT the Minutes of the Session of April 10th., 1962, be approved."
Motion carried.

The Warden introduced the next item on the Agenda re new Public

Health Act, and County Board of Health.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that under the new Public Health Act, that Local Boards of Health would be abolished and that it would be mandatory to set up a County Board of Health, with a minimum of five Council members. He said, also, the Act called for the appointment of the Divisional Medical Health Officer as Executive Medical Health Officer to this Board. He said this would bring in closer harmony, Dr. Cameron's office with the Municipal units.

Councillors Eld and Baker moved:-

"THAT Council appoint five members of the County to be members of the County Board of Health."

Councillor Moser said he was not in accord with this, as it would mean an additional expense, especially in the event that the Board is called out to inspect a septic condition, for example, in Ecum Secum.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that most of the leg work would be done by the Sanitary Inspectors and he doubted if the Board would be called out to view these conditions too often.

Regarding Dr. Cameron, Mr. Hattie said that any visits made by him would be at the Provincial Governments expense and not at the expense of the Municipality.

Councillor Baker said he felt it important that such a Board be set up, as he said the Board which was in effect prior to the Annual Session had worked diligently and served an important function. He said a number of unsanitary conditions were corrected by that Board.

Councillor King-Myers asked if the new Act would apply to every County in Nova Scotia.

The Municipal Clerk replied yes.

Councillor King-Myers said that she noticed that there was provision for the setting up of a Board of five members and that the number of members was just a minimum. She said, perhaps, considering the geographic situation, that it would be better to appoint more.

Councillor Eld said he felt this Board would not be an added expense, but would rather save the Municipality money. He said he felt this system would be more economical than the old system.

Councillor Williams asked how much the Medical Health Officers were paid.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out, \$500.00 each year.

Councillor Williams pointed out that this was a total cost of \$1,000.00 to the Municipality each year, and he doubted if the new Board would cost the Municipality anymore than that figure.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that the new set-up would require a lot of study, but that after its initial meeting the Board would be able to iron out the problems re appointments, etc.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the motion. Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Baker moved:-

"THAT the Nominating Committee be asked to name Councillors to the Board of Health, and other vacancies on Council Committees."
Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Baker moved:-

"THAT the Chair name two members to the Nominating Committee." Motion carried.

Warden Burris named Councillors Isenor and McGrath.

Councillors Hanrahan and Baker moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn until 2:15 p.m."
Motion carried.

AFTERNOON SESSION

Council met at 2:30 p.m. Warden George D. Burris in the Chair.
The Municipal Clerk called the Roll.

Councillor McGrath asked if the Provincial Government had been requested to take over payment of bounties.

The Municipal Clerk replied yes. He said a letter was sent to the Premier after the last Session and that receipt of that letter was acknowledged. He said, however, there was nothing further on the matter.

Councillor McGrath asked what steps had been taken to bring the Building By-Laws in line with the building regulations under Ordinance 50 of the City of Halifax.

The Clerk replied that this matter was being discussed by the Municipal Solicitor, Mr. Cox and the City Solicitor. He said it would take a considerable amount of study before anything definite could be done, because there was a lot of policy involved.

Councillor MacGrath then asked if steps had been taken to set up a purchasing department for the County.

The Clerk replied that this matter was being pursued quite vigorously. He said, however, it would require more study and that he expected there would be something more definite by the June Session.

Councillor Moser brought up the matter of the By-Law governing payment of bounties. He said he did not think that the By-Law had "enough teeth." He said there was nothing to stop a person raising wildcats or foxes commercially, from claiming payment.

Mr. Rogers pointed out that the By-Law did not apply in such a case.

The Warden then introduced the next item re correspondence.

The Municipal Clerk read an invitation from the Halifax County

Competitive Musical Festival Association to Council to attend the sessions of the Halifax County Musical Festival.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from Rev. John Heffler accepting his nomination to the Visitation Committee of Ocean View Municipal Home. In his letter, Rev. Heffler stated that he was very pleased to accept the nomination. He said the work being done by the Committee and the Ocean View Home staff was excellent and that he was proud to be a member of the Committee. Council agreed the letter be filed.

The Clerk said there were two letters, one from Mr. M. A. Oakes, the other from a Mrs. Laura Miller, regarding Miller's Lake Subdivision. Council agreed that the letters be referred to the Planning Board.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from St. Paul's United Church, Spryfield, endorsing the County's proposed sewer scheme, and asking that it be sped up. Council agreed the letter be filed.

The Municipal Clerk read a letter from the Municipal School Board in reply to Council's letter requesting minutes of Board meetings.

The letter stated that the Board had discussed the matter at some considerable length, and did not concur in Council's request. The letter pointed out that copies of all minutes were available for reading in the Municipal School Board office.

Councillor Daye said he felt it of prime importance for Councillors to be given Board minutes. He said it was essential for Council to keep in touch with Board happenings. He said at the last Session he requested that the Board consider the possibility of constructing a School at Head Jeddore, and he was very disappointed at this Session to see that there was no Municipal School Board Report recommending Council's approval of moneys to construct a school.

He said that sanitary conditions at the present School were deplorable and that he as head of the Local Board of Health, would be compelled to keep this school closed next year.

Again, he expressed concern over the fact that no Board Report had been submitted to this Session. He said that he would not be able to return to his District and tell the ratepayers this, and the fact that there was no recommendation in respect to the Head Jeddore school situation.

Warden Burris pointed out that the Municipal School Board was studying all phases of consolidation and transportation, etc.

Councillors Baker and Williams moved:-

"THAT the Public Relations Officer obtain the Minutes of Meeting of the Municipal School Board, and have them circulated to Council."

Councillor Hanrahan said he did not think that this was advisable especially as Board minutes on many occasions make reference to personalities. He said this type of information was not for public dissemination.

Councillor Bell pointed out that at the last Session it was clearly stated by the Solicitor that the Municipal School Board was a separate corporate entity acting under the Education Act, and he added that there was no compulsion for the Board to distribute minutes of its meetings.

The Municipal Solicitor, Mr. Rogers pointed out that the motion moved by Councillors Baker and Williams would have little or no effect. He said the Municipal School Board was an autonomous body and was in no way a body under the By-Laws of the Municipality. He said Council had the right to ask for the minutes of the Board meetings, but Council could not demand them.

Councillor Baker asked if this were the case, what authority did Council have to peruse minutes in the office.

The Solicitor pointed out that this was a privilege accorded by the Municipal School Board.

Councillor Baker said the same situation could apply to the Board of Management of the County Hospital and also the Regional Library Board. He said that if the Municipal School Board were not obliged to circulate minutes, then neither should the Regional Library Board and the Hospital Management Board be obliged to.

The Solicitor said this was so. He said there was nothing in the Statutes obliging the two Boards mentioned, to circulate minutes to Councillors.

Councillor Daye again said it was of prime importance and he felt it essential that Councillors be constantly informed of Board proceedings.

Councillor McGrath said he felt the request was fair. He said if the minutes did involve personalities, that that information could be deleted. He said the request was merely to have the liaison officer get copies of the minutes and have them mimeographed and sent out to Councillors.

Councillor Baker said perhaps it was a case of the Board withholding information.

The Municipal Clerk said he did not think that this was so. He said that the Board was given the detail of school administration and that under the Education Act it was a separate corporate entity. He said, therefore, the Board was in no way obligated to circulate its minutes to Council. He said, however, that Council could request that minutes be circulated.

Councillor Baker suggested that perhaps the Provincial Government be approached and asked to direct the Board to circulate minutes.

Mr. Rogers said this was a possibility.

Councillor Henley pointed out that at first he was one of the prime advocates of the fact that Board minutes be circulated to

Councillors and that Councillors be kept constantly informed of Board happenings. He said since he had been on the Board for a period of time, he had completely reversed his opinion. He said he did not think that Councillors were being deprived of anything. He pointed out that minutes were available at all times in the Board office and could be read by Councillors. He pointed out that the Report submitted to Council at each Session was self-explanatory and there was little or no need of having the minutes circulated.

Regarding the Head Jeddore item, he said that the Board was studying this matter very carefully, and it wanted to study the matter even further to determine the type of consolidation and the type of transportation which would be required.

Councillor Daye said that he had pleaded on bended knee for the two elementary schools recently constructed in Owl's Head and Oyster Pond - Jeddore. He said it would appear that he would have to go through the same procedure for a new school for the Head Jeddore area. He said it took only twelve weeks for the Board to approve a school for Enfield. He again expressed concern over the sanitary conditions at Head Jeddore and he said that the time had arrived for Council to take a long hard look at the situation. He said he would not be able to return to his District and report that no Municipal School Board Report had been submitted to Council.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion, re Municipal School Board minutes. THOSE FOR:- (15) THOSE AGAINST:- (9). The Warden declared the Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the County Planning Board. Deputy Warden Settle and Councillor Roche moved:-

"THAT the Report of the County Planning Board be adopted." Motion carried.

Deputy Warden Settle and Councillor Bell moved:-

"THAT the Zoning By-Law be amended to make the Fredericks Property at Eastern Passage a T-Zone instead of an R-2 Zone." Motion carried.

Councillor Myers and Deputy Warden Settle moved:-

"THAT Council give notice of its intention by advertisement in the usual manner to amend the Zoning By-Law by re-zoning the following described property known as the Ratcliffe property at Eastern Passage from T-use to G-use."

Deputy Warden Settle pointed out that the Ratcliffe property was closely connected with the recommendation of the Board re re-zoning of the Fredericks' property. He explained that the objection raised by the residents living near the Fredericks' site was against the fact that there would be two T-Zones in the area, one not being too desirable. He said, however, the Fredericks' property would lend itself very nicely to a Mobile Home Park and that the residents of the area had now changed their opinions as the Ratcliffe property would be changed from a T-use to a G-use.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

Councillors Henley and Williams moved:-

"THAT Council give notice of its intention by advertisement in the usual manner to amend the Zoning By-Law by re-zoning the following described property known as the Marina Enterprises Limited Property at Porter's Lake, from G-use to T-use." Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Henley moved:-

"THAT this Council give notice of its intention by advertisement in the usual manner that the Zoning By-Law be amended so that the parking area required in a Commercial Area for a Motel would be the same as required in the provisions for an "M" Zone." Motion carried.

Councillors Henley and Roche moved:-

"THAT the matter of intentions to re-zone be referred to the Planning Board for a complete study and report back at the next Session of Council."

Councillor McCabe said that a meeting was held in his District in connection with the petition re withdrawal from Building By-Laws and that Deputy Warden Settle, Mr. Cox, Mr. Jerram and Mr. McGinn had attended that meeting. He said that it proved to be quite successful and all in attendance at that meeting agreed that a modified Building By-Law would be more acceptable to the District. He thanked Deputy Warden Settle, Mr. Cox, Mr. Jerram and Mr. McGinn for attending that meeting.

Councillor Daye said he felt that ratepayers in District #24 were justified in petitioning against the inclusion of District #24 as a Building District. He said he felt that the regulations under the Building By-Law were too stringent and restrictive in rural districts.

Councillor McCabe pointed out that although the meeting had not arrived at a solution, it did agreed that some modification of the Building By-Law would be readily accepted by all those petitioning for withdrawal of the District as a Building District.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

Warden Burris introduced the next item re appointment of member to the Regional Authority. He said that at the last session he was empowered to make this appointment and he so named Councillor Hanrahan as Council's representative to the Authority.

Councillors McGrath and Daye moved:-

"THAT Council confirm that the offices of the Municipality of the County of Halifax will operate on Daylight Saving Time as of 12:01 a.m. April 29, 1962 until 12:01 a.m. October 28, 1962 and that the residents of the Municipality be asked to co-operate by conforming to Atlantic Daylight Time as of those dates." Motion carried.

The Warden then introduced the next item which was the Report of the Nominating Committee.

Councillor Hanrahan and Deputy Warden Settle moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Nominating Committee be adopted." Motion carried.

Councillor Williams asked what progress was being made on the Booklet listing Councillors' names and addresses and also members of the various Committees.

The Municipal Clerk reported that this Booklet was being revised and he expected that it would go to the Printer's very shortly.

Councillor Moser then brought up the matter which had been discussed previously regarding the By-Law authorizing payment of bounties. He again pointed out that this By-Law did not have teeth in it.

The Municipal Solicitor pointed out that this was empowering legislation. He said it gave the Municipality power to pay bounties on certain wild animals.

Councillor Moser said that this, however, did not prevent a person raising wild animals commercially to claim payment. He said he would be prepared to move that all bounties be abolished.

However, as there was no seconder, Councillor Moser's motion was not dealt with.

Councillors Gaetz and Williams moved:-

"THAT Council approve an Agreement between the Municipality of the County of Halifax and the Government of Canada relative to the Naval Bombing Practice Range at Chezzetcook Inlet and that the Warden and Clerk be and are hereby authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Municipality."

Councillor Gaetz reported that a considerable amount of gravel had been removed from the high water mark.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

Councillors McGrath and Hanrahan moved:-

"THAT this Council approve an Agreement between the Municipality of the County of Halifax and the Bedford Service Commission, and the Warden and Clerk be and they are hereby authorized to execute same on behalf of the Municipality."
Motion carried.

Councillors McGrath and Roche moved:-

"THAT BE IT RESOLVED that the Municipal Clerk and Treasurer and the Assistant Municipal Clerk and Treasurer or any one of them, is hereby authorized for and in the name of the Municipality to draw, accept, sign and make all or any cheques and orders for the payment of money, to pay and receive all moneys, and to give acquittance for same, and generally for and in the name and on behalf of the Municipality, to transact with the Bank of Nova Scotia any business that they may think fit;

ALSO that the above named persons or any one of them be and is hereby authorized on behalf of the Municipality to negotiate with, deposit with, or transfer to the said Bank (but for credit of the Municipality of the County of Halifax account only) all or any bills of exchange, promissory notes, cheques or orders for the payment of money and other negotiable paper, and for the said purpose to endorse the same or any of them on behalf of the Municipality and also from time to time to arrange, settle, balance and certify all books and accounts between the Municipality, and the said Bank, and to receive all paid cheques and vouchers, and to sign the said Bank's form of settlement of balances and release."
Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the School Capital Program Committee.

Councillors MacKenzie and McGrath moved:-

"THAT the Report of the School Capital Program Committee be adopted."

Councillor Daye referred to the Head Jeddore item. He said that sanitary conditions were deplorable and he wanted to know how long his District would have to wait for either a new school or improved sanitary conditions.

The Warden pointed out that this matter was in the hands of the Municipal School Board.

Councillor Daye then asked when a Report containing the Board's recommendation would be submitted to Council.

The Municipal Clerk reported that a number of other bodies were involved, including the Finance and Executive Committee. He said as he understood, the matter would be studied still further before a final recommendation was made.

Councillor Myers pointed out that the Municipal Plaque at Tallahassee School had been shifted and that now it was in a position where it was very difficult to see it plainly. He asked that it be put in its original spot.

Councillor MacKenzie said that the Municipal Architect would look after this matter.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Public Works Committee.

Councillors Hanrahan and Eld moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Public Works Committee be adopted."

In referring to the Whimsical Lake Park item, Councillor Henley asked if this were in line with allocations to other parks.

Councillor Hanrahan pointed out that usually \$500.00 is allocated to a Park once the deed has been handed over to the Municipality. He pointed out in this case, the extra amount was to cover the cost of draining the Lake. He pointed out that this was work that had to be done and that it was considered by the Committee that it would be best to charge the amount up to Parks and Public Lands and that the amount be included in their allocation for 1962.

Councillor Hanrahan said that if the matter were not cleared up

that the County might become involved in litigation.

Councillor McGrath suggested that the Director of Engineering should check into these matters before moneys are approved for these various parks.

Councillors McGrath and Henley moved:- (amendment)

"THAT the Report be amended by adding to the paragraph re Whimsical Lake Park the words "and 1963"."

Councillor Bell said that he felt that it was a wonderful thing that money was being allocated for park purposes. He said, however, that the Public Works Committee should be a little more consistent in its recommended expenditures. He said that this might set a precedent.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the **Amendment**.
Amendment. carried.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Report.
Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Joint Public Works Committee and County Planning Board.

Deputy Warden Settle and Councillor Daye moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Joint Planning Board and Public Works Committee, be adopted."

Councillor Eld asked how this proposal would affect subdivisions with lots of for example, 100' x 160'. He said that if this were put into effect these lots would become barren. He questioned the fact that this was a right move. He agreed that lots outside the planned area should be larger, but he felt that the recommended one (120' x 175') was a little too much.

Councillor Daye said that he had objected strongly to the acre which had been recommended at the Joint Meeting. He said he felt that even this proposal would press a hardship on the person wanting to purchase a lot in the rural districts.

Councillor Myers said he too argued against the recommended acre. He said he did not see why people in the rural districts outside the planned area, would have to purchase a 120' x 175' lot, while there were lots existing in the planned area with diamensions of 60' x 100'. He said that he was considering "the young married couple, just getting a start in life".

Councillor Eld asked what the present minimum size was before a loan could be granted by C.M.H.C. for a person wanting to construct a home.

The Municipal Clerk replied 15,000 square feet.

The Clerk pointed out that this was being recommended because septic conditions were not only prevalent on lots 60' x 100', but also on the larger lots in relatively new subdivisions, for example Wedgewood Park. He pointed out also that the 120' frontage was being recommended because a person who bought a lot with that frontage would eventually be able to subdivide it.

Councillor Eld again stated his view on the matter and said he felt it would create a hardship. He said that a person buying a lot outside the planned area of the size recommended, might find it difficult and costly to maintain it.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that this recommendation was being presented merely to protect the person buying a lot in the area outside the planned area from eventual septic problems.

Councillor McCabe said he did not think that this recommendation would be accepted by the residents of his District. He pointed out that a small well-kept lot was far better than a large un-kept lot.

Councillor King-Myers pointed out that this would create a great hardship for a number of people in her District.

Councillor Spears said he noticed in the Report that the Director of Engineering had stated the figure of one acre as being

arbitrary. He said as a Professional Engineer, the Director should have been more definite in his recommendation. He said that there was a lot more in the Report than met the eye. He said he felt that it should have been sent to Councillors prior to the Session. He said that before he could vote on the matter intelligently he would have to give the Report further study.

Councillors Spears and Daye moved:-

"THAT this Report be deferred for 1 month until such time as the Councillors have sufficient time to fully study the implications, contained in the Report."

Deputy Warden Settle pointed out that while there were many lots in existence 60' x 100', the Board was not approving every lot now, 60' x 100'. He said in most cases lots being approved today are a lot larger.

Councillor King-Myers said she did not think the people with minimum means could afford to construct a home of the type which could be constructed on a lot 120' x 175'.

Councillor Bell pointed out that the Planning Board was merely trying to provide a development plan. He said that this would eventually protect those investing in real estate from eventual septic problems.

Councillor Myers said he too would like more time to study this matter. He said that after it had been reported in the Press that this was being recommended, a number of people came into his store complaining about it. He said he felt that this was an attempt to impose further restrictions on the young person just starting out in life.

Councillor Moser said he was always under the impression that people in the Halifax County were living in a Democratic society. He said, however, he felt it to be more Communistic. He said he felt it utterly ridiculous to impose lot restrictions in the rural

areas. He said he did not think that it should apply to his District.

Councillor Eld said that of the two thousand residents in his District, four hundred were summer residents. He said he felt that if this were approved, it would discourage these people, and thus be detrimental to the County's tax revenue. As he pointed out, a considerable amount of revenue was being derived from people with summer homes in rural districts.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Amendment.

THOSE FOR:- (16) THOSE AGAINST:- (6).

The Warden declared the Amendment carried.

Councillors Myers and Daye moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn until tomorrow morning."

Councillors Smeltzer and McGrath moved:- (Amendment)

"THAT Council adjourn until 7 p.m. this evening."

Councillors Daye and Grant moved:- (Amendment to Amendment)

"THAT Council carry on and finish the Agenda."

The Warden declare the Amendment to the Amendment carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Finance and Executive Committee.

Deputy Warden Settle and Councillor Kehoe moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Finance and Executive Committee be adopted."

Councillor MacKenzie said he understood that there was a covenant in the deed to the Pope's Harbour School and that under the covenant the property, once declared surplus was to revert back to the original owners.

The Municipal Solicitor, Mr. Rogers said that the matter was being negotiated with the Solicitor for the party concerned. He said the party concerned might be willing to pay

\$500.00 for the property, and that the matter was being negotiated further because his firm felt the covenant was not a valid one. He said it was his opinion and Mr. Cox's opinion negotiations should continue.

Councillor MacKenzie said he would prefer to see somebody in the community get an opportunity to bid on the property.

Mr. Rogers said that if the community were given a chance to bid on the property, then the party represented by Mr. Kanisberg could sue the Municipality under the covenant.

Councillor Henley said that it would be well to heed the Solicitor's ruling in this matter.

Councillor Bell said that Council should pay close attention to surplus properties. He said in a lot of cases it is not advisable to sell surplus properties immediately. He cited one example in Fairview, where a property adjacent to the School property was sold at Public Auction. He said that in this particular case it was not a wise move, as it could well be that the school might require an addition in the future.

Councillor Eld felt the Finance Committee should look more carefully at surplus school properties.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk reported that the Court Order had not been taken out in the matter of the assessment of Halifax Power and Pulp. He said that it appeared that this matter could be deferred until the June Session. Council agreed.

Councillors Henley and Daye moved:-

"THAT Warden Burris represent the Municipality of the County of Halifax, at the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities at Winnipeg, and that his actual travel and hotel expenses be paid by the Municipality." Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Williams moved:-

"THAT Councillor Henley be named as the alternate delegate to the Annual Conference of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and McGrath moved:-

"THAT this Council request the Liquor License Board, to hold a plebiscite in Polling Districts No. 8 and No. 12." Motion carried.

Councillors Quigley and Eld moved:-

"THAT LeRoy Dunstan of Purcell's Cove be appointed as constable for District No. 5 - replacing Joseph Wilde." Motion carried.

Councillors Smeltzer and Isenor moved:-

"THAT Harold Barrett of Beaver Bank be appointed as fence viewer - District No. 27 - replacing Richard Barrett."

Councillor Gaetz asked as to the duties of the fence viewer.

The Municipal Solicitor, Mr. Rogers pointed out that the fence viewer acted primarily as an arbitrator.

Councillor Gaetz pointed out that line fences had become a perplexing problem in his District.

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Motion. Motion carried.

Councillor Myers and Deputy Warden Settle moved:-

"THAT Vincent Pettipas of 13 Trenholm Street, Woodside, be appointed as a special constable." Motion carried.

Councillors Myers and Hanrahan moved:-

"THAT Deputy Chief Walter Langille of Eastern Passage be appointed as Fire Ward - District No. 13 and Chief Reginald Hunter of Eastern Passage be appointed as Fire Ward in District No. 13." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and Eld moved:-

"THAT Gerald F. Boyce of 6265 Yukon Street, Halifax, be appointed as a special constable." Motion carried.

Councillors Smeltzer and Daye moved:-

"THAT the following be appointed as Special Constables - Drive-In Theatre - Lower Sackville - District No. 27:-

Reginald Morris - Lower Sackville
Albert E. Ellis - Lower Sackville." Motion carried.

Councillors Smeltzer and MacKenzie moved:-

"THAT Douglas Harris of R. R. #2, Bedford (Beaver Bank) be appointed as Fire Ward - District No. 27." Motion carried.

Councillors King-Myers and Roche moved:-

"THAT Lancie Baker Jr., of Fall River West be appointed as Fire Ward - District No. 6." Motion carried.

Councillor Daye said he was having difficulty in finding a replacement for Ben Daye, who acted as Constable for the collection of dog license fees.

Councillor Eld reported that he too was having difficulty, and that he had scoured his District and could not find another person to act.

Councillor Bell said that he felt the garbage collection was very good in his District. He said that the people in the District should be instructed to place their garbage in proper containers.

Councillor McGrath said that there was no provision under the Collection Regulations for the collection of chesterfields, and articles of garbage too large to burn at the incinerator. He suggested that perhaps the Engineering Department look into the possibility of establishing a Clean-Up Week as in the City of Halifax.

The Municipal Clerk pointed out that a garbage dump would have to be located first. He said that the Engineer was looking into this possibility.

Mr. Jay said that the disposal of this type of garbage is

presenting a problem. He said that his Department was investigating the possibility of locating a dump site, and in the interim, he suggested that it might be an idea to make some arrangement with the City of Halifax with regard to the disposal of incombustible and large articles of garbage at the City Incinerator site.

The Municipal Solicitor read the By-Law re Building Inspector requiring a bond from a person applying for a permit to ensure fulfillment of the requirements of the permit.

Deputy Warden Settle and Councillor Daye moved:-

"THAT Council approve a By-Law with respect to the power of a Building Inspector to require a bond for the fulfillment of the provisions of a building permit."

Councillor Williams said he felt that this was too restrictive and would cause a hardship to the person building a very small modest home.

Considerable discussion ensued as to this By-Law, and it was pointed out that it would not affect all persons applying for building permits.

In conclusion, Councillors McGrath and Williams moved:- (Amendment)

"THAT the proposed By-Law with respect to the power of a building inspector to require a bond for the fulfillment of the provisions of a building permit, be deferred until the next session of Council."

The Warden put the question for adoption of the Amendment.

THOSE FOR:- (14). THOSE AGAINST:- (7). Amendment carried.

Councillors Myers and MacKenzie moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn." Motion carried.

The May Session of Council adjourned with the singing of "The Queen".

R E P O R T S

of the

F I R S T Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - F O U R T H C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

MAY COUNCIL SESSION

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

REPORT OF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR FOR APRIL 1962

- 36 -

TOTALS FOR ALL DISTRICTS

May Council
Session - 1962

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	32	\$268,450.00	\$122.00
Additions, residential	33	55,339.00	72.00
Repairs, residential	33	30,965.00	67.00
Relocate	1	300.00	2.00
Store	1	15,000.00	5.00
School	1	590,113.00	-----
Gas Pump	1	600.00	2.00
Bulk Storage Plant	1	10,000.00	5.00
Fence	<u>1</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	104	\$970,867.60	\$277.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	67	\$ 821,800.00	\$374.00
Additions, residential	3	12,200.00	9.00
Apartment Building	3	451,000.00	50.00
Bank	1	90,000.00	20.00
Laundromat	<u>1</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	75	\$1,475,000.00	\$475.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>OCCUPANCY PERMITS</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Basement Apartments	2	\$5,000.00	\$4.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS REJECTED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE RETURNED</u>
New Buildings, residential	3	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 6.00
Additions, residential	2	20,000.00	7.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>4,770.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	6	\$29,770.00	\$15.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	4	\$ 20,500.00	\$11.00
Additions, residential	2	17,000.00	7.00
Repairs, residential	1	2,500.00	2.00
Relocate	2	8,500.00	7.00
Apartment building	<u>1</u>	<u>100,000.00</u>	<u>20.00</u>
TOTALS	10	\$148,500.00	\$47.00

These totals show an 82.5% increase in permits issued over April 1961, and a 178.4% increase in construction cost over April 1961.

The following pages show a complete breakdown.

Respectfully submitted,

G. J. ...

DISTRICT 1

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$24,000.00	\$10.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>500.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	3	\$24,500.00	\$12.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	16	\$255,500.00	\$110.00

DISTRICT 2

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Repairs, residential	1	\$5,000.00	\$2.00
Relocate	<u>1</u>	<u>300.00</u>	<u>\$2.00</u>
TOTALS	2	\$5,300.00	\$4.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$13,000.00	\$5.00

DISTRICT 3

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$13,500.00	\$ 5.00
Additions, residential	8	12,700.00	16.00
Repairs, residential	4	1,720.00	6.00
Store	<u>1</u>	<u>15,000.00</u>	<u>5.00</u>
TOTALS	14	\$42,920.00	\$32.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	6	\$69,500.00	\$35.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS REJECTED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE RETURNED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$2,000.00	\$2.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$15,000.00	\$5.00

DISTRICT 4

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	3	\$6,600.00	\$6.00

DISTRICT 4 cond't.

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Repairs, residential	1	\$ 350.00	\$2.00
Fence	1	100.00	2.00
TOTALS	5	\$7,050.00	\$10.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	3	\$68,000.00	\$25.00
Apartment building	1	21,000.00	10.00
TOTALS	4	\$89,000.00	\$35.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS REJECTED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE RETURNED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$15,000.00	\$5.00
Additions, residential	2	2,000.00	4.00
TOTALS	3	\$18,000.00	\$9.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Apartment building	1	\$100,000.00	\$20.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>OCCUPANCY PERMIT ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Basement Apartment	1	\$2,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 5

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$5,000.00	\$ 2.00
Additions, residential	2	2,575.00	4.00
Repairs, residential	2	2,300.00	4.00
TOTALS	5	\$9,875.00	\$10.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$ 38,000.00	\$20.00
Additions, residential	1	9,000.00	5.00
Laundromat	1	55,000.00	20.00
TOTALS	4	\$102,000.00	\$45.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$2,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 6

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	3	\$2,964.00	\$6.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>400.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	4	\$3,364.00	\$8.00

DISTRICT 7

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$ 400.00	\$ 2.00
Additions, residential	2	2,000.00	4.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>6,000.00</u>	<u>5.00</u>
TOTALS	4	\$8,400.00	\$11.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$600.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 8

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	7	\$51,050.00	\$24.00
Additions, residential	2	5,500.00	4.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>500.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	10	\$57,050.00	\$30.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	4	\$ 39,500.00	\$17.00
Bank	<u>1</u>	<u>90,000.00</u>	<u>20.00</u>
TOTALS	5	\$129,500.00	\$37.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS REJECTED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE RETURNED</u>
Repairs, residential	1	\$4,770.00	\$2.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$11,000.00	\$7.00

DISTRICT 9

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$4,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 9 Cond't.

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$2,500.00	\$2.00
Repairs, residential	2	320.00	4.00
TOTALS	4	\$6,820.00	\$8.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$6,500.00	\$4.00

DISTRICT 10

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$16,800.00	\$ 7.00
Additions, residential	4	7,700.00	11.00
Repairs, residential	1	200.00	2.00
TOTALS	7	\$24,700.00	\$20.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	5	\$44,000.00	\$22.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$ 4,500.00	\$ 2.00
Repairs, residential	1	2,500.00	2.00
Relocate	2	8,500.00	7.00
TOTALS	4	\$15,500.00	\$11.00

DISTRICT 11

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	2	\$1,150.00	\$4.00

DISTRICT 12

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$15,000.00	\$ 5.00
Additions, residential	1	150.00	2.00
Repairs, residential	9	5,275.00	18.00
TOTALS	11	\$20,425.00	\$25.00

DISTRICT 12 Cond't.

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$ 200.00	\$2.00
Apartment building	<u>2</u>	<u>430,000.00</u>	<u>40.00</u>
TOTALS	3	\$430,200.00	\$42.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>OCCUPANCY PERMITS</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Basement apartment	1	\$3,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 13

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$22,000.00	\$10.00
Additions, residential	<u>2</u>	<u>4,000.00</u>	<u>4.00</u>
TOTALS	4	\$26,000.00	\$14.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$3,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 14

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$ 13,000.00	\$5.00
Repairs, residential	2	950.00	4.00
School	<u>1</u>	<u>590,113.00</u>	<u>----</u>
TOTALS	4	\$604,063.00	\$9.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	17	\$193,500.00	\$90.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS DEFERRED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$5,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 15

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$3,200.00	\$4.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>100.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	3	\$3,300.00	\$6.00

DISTRICT 15 Cond't.

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>APPLICATIONS REJECTED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE RETURNED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$5,000.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 16

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	2	\$28,000.00	\$10.00

DISTRICT 17

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL

DISTRICT 18

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	1	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 5.00
Bulk Storage Plant	<u>1</u>	<u>10,000.00</u>	<u>5.00</u>
TOTALS	2	\$12,000.00	\$10.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$12,000.00	\$5.00

DISTRICT 21

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Repairs, residential	1	\$700.00	\$2.00

DISTRICT 24

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	1	\$10,000.00	\$5.00
Repairs, residential	<u>1</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	2	\$11,500.00	\$7.00

DISTRICT 27

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	8	\$62,500.00	\$31.00

DISTRICT 27 Cond't.

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PERMITS ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
Additions, residential	2	\$ 5,500.00	\$4.00
Repairs, residential	4	5,150.00	8.00
Gas Pump	<u>1</u>	<u>600.00</u>	<u>2.00</u>
TOTALS	15	\$73,750.00	\$45.00

<u>CONST. TYPE</u>	<u>PRELIMINARIES ISSUED</u>	<u>CONST. COST</u>	<u>FEE COLLECTED</u>
New Buildings, residential	9	\$81,700.00	\$39.00

Work Orders for the investigation of complaints and requests during the period of
January 1, 1962 to April 30, 1962 181.

May Session 1962.

REPORT OF THE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

TO HIS HONOUR THE WARDEN AND MEMBERS OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL:
COUNCILLORS:-

Your Planning Board again recommends the re-zoning of the Fredericks Property at Eastern Passage to a T (Trailer) Zone. This recommendation comes before you after the Board has given much consideration to the objections that were raised at the public hearing.

Your Planning Board recommends that the General Development Plan for the Sheet Harbour and Watt School Sections be approved.

The Board does not proposed any major changes in the Subdivision Regulations for this area until a complete study is carried out.

Your Planning Board recommends that the Ratcliffe property in Eastern Passage be re-zoned from T (Trailer) Zone to General Building Zone.

The Planning Board further recommends the re-zoning of the Marine Enterprises Limited property at Porter's Lake from a General Building Zone to a T (Trailer) Zone. More particularly described as follows:-

"ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being a point of land on the Northern shore of Porter's Lake aforesaid, and more particularly described as follows:-

BEGINNING at a point at the intersection of property owned by Mildred and Joseph B. Keizer and the Porter's Lake Cemetery on the North shore of Porter's Lake, said point marked with a 3/4 inch iron pipe and running on a line in a Northerly direction a distance of ninety-five feet (95') North 37° 26' East to a point;

THENCE along a line North 44°33' East a distance of ninety-three feet (93') more or less to the present Southerly right-of-way limit of the Provincial Highway;

THENCE Northwesterly along said right-of-way a distance of two hundred and seventy feet (270') to a point;

THENCE westerly along said right-of-way boundary a distance of six hundred and ninety feet (690') to the intersection of the existing shore line of Porter's Lake and Southern limit of said right-of-way;

cont'd.

May Session 1962.

THENCE Southerly and Easterly along the various courses of the Northern shore line of Porter's Lake a distance of one thousand six hundred and seventy feet (1,670') more or less or to the point of beginning, containing in all seven acres (7.0) more or less, all bearings being magnetic 1950, in accordance with the plan attached to Deed from Mildred Keizer and Joseph B. Keizer to S. J. Haslam recorded in the Registry of Deeds at Halifax in Book 1069, Pages 1161-1164;"

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed by the Committee)

May Council Session - 1962

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962

REPORT OF THE FINANCE AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

RELIEF FROM PAYMENT OF THE CURRENT YEAR'S TAXES -

1. Your Committee has received an affidavit re relief from payment of the current year's taxes from Albert J. Flemming of Ketch Harbour and after reviewing the circumstances surrounding this case, the affidavit signed by Mr. Flemming and the report of the Welfare Worker, your Committee recommends that Mr. Albert Flemming be relieved from payment of the current year's taxes in an amount of \$73.06.
2. Your Committee has received an affidavit re relief from payment of the current year's taxes from Mrs. Margaret Vickery of 13 Yeadon Avenue, Spryfield, and after reviewing the circumstances surrounding this case, the affidavit signed by Mrs. Vickery and the report of the Welfare Worker, your Committee recommends that Mrs. Margaret Vickery be relieved from payment of the current year's taxes in an amount of \$112.70.
3. Your Committee has received an affidavit re relief from payment of the current year's taxes from Mrs. Frances Burke of 45 Spencer Avenue, Spryfield, and after reviewing the circumstances surrounding this case, the affidavit signed by Mrs. Burke and the report of the Welfare Worker, your Committee recommends that Mrs. Frances Burke be relieved from payment of the current year's taxes in an amount of \$20.00.

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

4. Your Committee has received an affidavit re relief from payment of the current year's taxes from Mrs. Edith Mason of Upper Tantallon. Your Committee notes that although Mr. Mason died on December 21, 1961, no widow's exemption was granted on the 1962 assessment, probably because of the fact that the Assessment Department was not aware of Mr. Mason's death and no appeal was made before the Appeal Board. Your Committee recommends that Mrs. Edith Mason's taxes for the current year be reduced from the full amount of \$ 79.06 to the amount of \$10.31, which would be the amount payable if she had been granted proper exemption.
5. Your Committee has received an affidavit re relief from payment of the current year's taxes from George Smith of Hammonds Plains and after reviewing the circumstances surrounding this case, the affidavit signed by Mr. Smith and the report of the Welfare Worker, your Committee recommends that NO exemption be granted in this case in connection with the current year's taxes.

MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT BANK -

Your Committee recommends that the following resolution be forwarded to the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities to be considered by the Federation at its 1962 Convention:-

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Federal Government be requested to establish a Municipal Development Bank to lend money on long-terms at favourable rates of interest to Municipalities with the approval of the provinces, for the provision of basic community facilities, such as sewers and sewerage treatment plants, water supply, roads and other mass transit facilities, bridges, open spaces, schools, civic centres, hospitals and recreation facilities."

May Council Session - 1962

- 3 -

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

ASSISTANCE RE EDUCATION COSTS - HIGHER LEVELS OF GOVERNMENT -

Your Committee recommends that the following resolution be forwarded to the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities in time to be considered at the 1962 Convention:-

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax re-affirm its support of the efforts of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities to impress upon the Federal Government the urgent need for better financial arrangements with regard to the costs of Education;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following resolution be again submitted to the Federation at its 1962 Convention:

"WHEREAS the school is one of the foundations on which we build the structure of our democratic society; and

WHEREAS it is desirable that a high level of education be assured for every boy and girl throughout the nation; and

WHEREAS the limited field of revenue available to municipalities does not and cannot yield the funds required to meet existing as well as future responsibilities in the sphere of education; and

WHEREAS the local costs of education are largely financed by a tax on real property; and

WHEREAS the increasing amount of such a levy is exerting undue pressure to reduce essential municipal services; and

WHEREAS it is imperative, therefore, that provincial governments be placed in a position whereby they will be able to contribute more substantially to the costs of primary and secondary education;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Conference re-affirm the position it has taken in earlier conferences with respect to the foregoing premises and that the federal and provincial governments be urged to agree upon appropriate financial arrangements whereby the provinces of Canada may more adequately support the local costs of education throughout the nation with particular emphasis on the costs of secondary education and the technical training offered therein."

May Council Session - 1962

- 4 -

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

ASSISTANCE RE AREA DEVELOPMENT -

Your Committee recommends that the Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax endorse the following resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Glace Bay and forward same to the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities for consideration at the 1962 Convention:-

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Glace Bay Town Council reaffirm its support of the efforts of the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities to impress upon the Federal Government the need for a comprehensive national policy with respect to the economic rehabilitation of depressed areas;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following resolution be again submitted to the Federation at its 1962 Convention:

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities,-

- (1) convey to the Federal and Provincial Governments its view that the economic dislocation presently affecting certain areas in Canada constitutes one of the major tasks requiring positive national action; and that it
- (2) request the Federal Government and the Provincial Governments to undertake jointly, in every region where an abnormal surplus of labour exists, a thorough survey of the potentialities of the region for increased economic productivity and, as a result of such surveys, to determine what federal and provincial aid may be needed, in co-operation with the municipalities and with private enterprise, to utilize the region's resources of materials and manpower and to develop whatever facilities of power and transport as well as regional urban services, such as water supply, roads, schools and other community facilities deemed necessary to support on a long-term basis a more productive economy in the region;
- (3) as a means to accomplish such surveys and to prepare the necessary long-term programs of development, the Federal and Provincial Governments should establish joint agencies with ample authority and ample staff assistance.

May Council Session - 1962

- 5 -

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

- (4) to promote the area development studies along the lines suggested and, in the light of such studies and in order to bring about area development programs, that an "Area Development Administration" be established in the Federal Government responsible to one of the Ministers and responsible for assisting the Minister,-
- (a) to co-ordinate all Federal Government programs affecting area development, and
 - (b) to be the point of contact with provincial governments in cooperating on all surveys and all program-making."

EXTENSION OF TIME - FEDERAL ASSISTANCE TO APPROVED MUNICIPAL SEWER PROGRAMS -

"WHEREAS the Federal Government has given Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation the authority under Part VIB of the National Housing Act to make a loan to a Municipality for the purpose of assisting in the construction or expansion of a sewerage treatment project up to two-thirds of the cost of the project at an interest rate of 5 1/8% per annum;

AND WHEREAS the partial debt cancellation clause only applies where a project is completed to the satisfaction of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation on or before March 31, 1963;

AND WHEREAS the design of main trunk sewers and sewerage disposal plants involves a long period of time;

AND WHEREAS the partial debt cancellation clause provides for the forgiving of the payment of 25% of the principal amount of the loan and 25% of the interest that has accrued or has been paid with respect to such a loan;

AND WHEREAS this partial debt cancellation clause is an important assistance to Municipalities in financing the installation of trunk sewers and sewerage treatment plants;

AND WHEREAS the volume of this type of work cannot be physically completed by this and many other Municipalities throughout the nation by March 31, 1963;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities request the Federal Government to extend the date of the partial debt cancellation clause for a substantial period beyond the 31st day of March, 1963, and thus continue the financial assistance to Municipalities throughout the nation."

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee
Continued

POPE'S HARBOUR SCHOOL -

Council will recall that no tender was accepted for the sale of this school, due to the fact that there was a restriction in the deed which provided for the school being returned to the former owners or their heirs once the building was no longer required for school purposes. Long negotiations have been carried out between our Solicitors and the Solicitor for the persons concerned and after a full discussion on the matter we recommend that the sum of \$500.00 be requested for this school.

BEAVER BANK SCHOOL -

No tender was originally accepted for this school because it was felt the amount tendered was too low and also there appeared to be some cloud on the title. It appears now, however, that a Warranty Deed can be issued and we have a prospective buyer who is willing to purchase this school in an amount of \$1,505.00, providing the property is surveyed and it seems feasible^{for the new owner} to establish a proper septic disposal bed on the property. Where the building is depreciating rather rapidly, it appears as if the matter may be brought to a conclusion fairly soon. Your Committee asks that it be empowered by the Council to negotiate with the highest bidder relative to the disposal of this property.

Respectfully submitted,
(signed by the Committee)

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE REPORT

FOR THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDING MARCH 31, 1962

NAME OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	REVENUE TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	AMOUNT TO BE COLLECTED
REVENUE BOARD OF PATIENTS	300	204,902.84	822,310.00	617,407.16 CR 1
INCOME ON INVESTMENTS	302	525.62	1,300.00	774.38 CR 1
BOARD OF STAFF	303	665.00	1,500.00	835.00 CR 1
MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE	304	88.49		88.49 * 1
GRANT REVENUE	306	8,596.72	40,000.00	31,403.28 CR 1
		214,778.67	865,110.00	650,331.33 CR 1

NAME OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	UNEXPENDED BALANCE
CAPITAL EXPENSE				
GENERAL EXPENSE	401	1,574.79	5,000.00	3,425.21 CR 1
PATIENTS SALARIES	4,011	610.00	1,300.00	690.00 CR 1
OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY	4,012	343.02	1,000.00	656.98 CR 1
FURNITURE REPLACEMENT	4,013	1,448.46	25,000.00	23,551.54 CR 1
WORKMENS COMPENSATION	4,014		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
GENERAL MAINTENANCE	402	5,580.84	10,000.00	4,419.16 CR 1
ADMINISTRATIVE	403	366.28	8,000.00	7,633.72 CR 1
ADVERTISING	404	163.27	400.00	236.73 CR 1
BOOKING	405	1,042.10	4,000.00	2,957.90 CR 1
GOV. GRANT	406	2,000.00	33,475.89	31,475.89 CR 1
EXPENSE	407	233.65	1,000.00	766.35 CR 1
SEWING MATERIALS	408	1,557.39	7,500.00	5,942.61 CR 1
TEL	409	3,777.13	15,000.00	11,222.87 CR 1
COMMITTEES	410	1,020.16	3,500.00	2,479.84 CR 1
COPIES	411	763.93	1,800.00	1,036.07 CR 1
ELECTRIC BULBS	412	253.78	3,000.00	2,746.22 CR 1
ELECTRIC LIGHTS	413	2,878.75	8,000.00	5,121.25 CR 1
ELECTRIC POWER	414	1,519.96	4,000.00	2,480.04 CR 1
SOFTWARE	415	219.05	1,400.00	1,180.95 CR 1
HOSPITAL EXPENSE	416	29.69	3,000.00	2,970.31 CR 1
INSURANCE	417	1,441.04	2,300.00	858.96 CR 1
INTEREST ON BONDS	418	4,481.25	12,304.39	7,823.14 CR 1
MAINTENANCE-PLUMBING	419	690.54	6,000.00	5,309.46 CR 1
-ELECTRICAL	420	5,472.27	10,000.00	4,527.73 CR 1
-HEATING	421	98.22	5,000.00	4,901.78 CR 1
-KITCHEN	422	1,591.56	4,000.00	2,408.44 CR 1
LAUNDRY MAINTENANCE & SUPPLIES	423	613.63	2,500.00	1,886.37 CR 1
SCOPES & BROOMS	424	291.02	700.00	408.98 CR 1
PAINT	426	2.72	2,400.00	2,397.28 CR 1
RADIO REPAIRS	427	89.95	800.00	710.05 CR 1
SALARIES	428	85,899.99	330,000.00	244,100.01 CR 1
SALARIES HEALTH OFFICER	429		13,915.00	13,915.00 CR 1
TELEPHONE	430	302.83	1,200.00	897.17 CR 1
TRANSPORTATION	431	347.17	2,000.00	2,347.17 CR 1
RELIGIOUS	432		380.00	380.00 CR 1
FORMS	433	524.00	750.00	226.00 CR 1
TRAVEL EXPENSE	435	42.40	100.00	57.60 CR 1
HOSPITAL LAB EXPENSE	436	10.76	500.00	489.24 CR 1
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE	438		9,000.00	9,000.00 CR 1
PROVISION FOR RESERVE FOR NEW BUILDINGS & LAUNDRY ROOM	439		82,500.00	82,500.00 CR 1
LABOR EXPENSE				
BOTTLE	501		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
COPIES	502	790.00	700.00	90.00 * 1
CHICKENS	502	765.00	1,000.00	235.00 CR 1
GENERAL EXPENSE	504	752.35	3,500.00	2,747.65 CR 1

STRIC LIGHTS	505	323.33	900.00	576.67 CR 1
AW & SHAVINGS	506	83.10	1,000.00	916.90 CR 1
D-CATTLE	507	836.55	3,500.00	2,663.45 CR 1
-POULTRY	507	1,705.60	6,000.00	4,294.40 CR 1
-HOGS	507	217.60	2,000.00	1,782.40 CR 1
TILIZER	508		600.00	600.00 CR 1
D	509		400.00	400.00 CR 1
ARIES	510	2,703.41	9,000.00	6,296.59 CR 1
CK EXPENSE	511	190.73	1,000.00	809.27 CR 1
CK GAS	512		500.00	500.00 CR 1
M MACHINERY	513	33.76	500.00	466.24 CR 1
CTOR & BULLDOZER EXPENSE	514	52.46	1,000.00	947.54 CR 1
CTOR GAS	515	33.00	400.00	367.00 CR 1
PLIES				
GS	601	5,039.49	10,000.00	4,960.51 CR 1
ERIES	602	18,706.04	65,000.00	46,293.96 CR 1
IT & VEGETABLES	603	1,482.27	15,000.00	13,517.73 CR 1
T	604	10,851.87	37,000.00	26,148.13 CR 1
H	605	1,381.92	10,000.00	8,618.08 CR 1
UR	606	1,184.05	5,000.00	3,815.95 CR 1
TER & MARGARINE	607	1,206.90	5,000.00	3,793.10 CR 1
K	608	6,766.09	28,000.00	21,233.91 CR 1
& COFFEE	609	611.77	4,000.00	3,388.23 CR 1
ACCO	610	1,831.20	6,000.00	4,168.80 CR 1
TS & SHOES	611	824.18	2,000.00	1,175.82 CR 1
THING	612	2,608.22	8,000.00	5,391.78 CR 1

187,568.15	852,725.28	665,157.13 CR 1
------------	------------	-----------------

May Council Session - 1962

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

(Deferred to - June Council Session - 1962)

REPORT OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
AND THE COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.
Councillors:-

A Joint Meeting of the Public Works Committee and the County Planning Board was held on May 3, 1962 to discuss and consider the general development plan of the Municipality and to see if some policy could not be established to provide for the Municipality operating private water and sewer systems in Subdivisions, provided these are installed in accordance with plans approved by the Engineer and the Public Works Committee.

Reviewing the situation briefly, it is to be noted that there is one set of Subdivision Regulations applying to the whole County. This means that a Subdivision in a very rural area is governed by the same lot sizes and general development procedure as is a Subdivision immediately adjacent to the City areas.

There has been no definite policy in the past as to whether or not central water and sewerage systems were installed as part of the Subdivisions and as a result there are Subdivisions quite far removed from any present installation of services that have been approved on the basis of having a central water and sewer system with little or no possibility of them ever being included as part of the Municipal system. Yet on the other hand there are Subdivisions fairly adjacent to the City areas that have been approved on the basis of individual wells and septic tanks. Up until about six months ago both types of Subdivisions were approved

May Council Session - 1962

- 2 -

Report of the Joint Committee of the Public Works
Committee and County Planning Board Continued

by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation and money loaned by that Corporation for the development of the Subdivision concerned, but about six months ago Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation enforced a rule of long standing in other parts of the country - that no new Subdivisions would be accepted unless it was provided with a central water and sewer system and further that these systems will have to be maintained by the Municipality concerned.

When this situation became obvious during the latter part of last year, a service extension plan was presented to both the Public Works Committee and the County Planning Board and subsequent to this the area shown on the plan as the Urban Area was revised in conjunction with the Planning Department. This revised area has recently been plotted on the general development plan of the County, together with a proposed planned area for Sheet Harbour.

Your combined Committees feel that in areas designated as rural areas or those areas further removed from the central or urban area, should not have central water and sewer systems but should have much larger lots serviced by individual wells and septic tanks on which no Municipal maintenance will be expected and also follows that Subdivisions within the Urban areas should have central water and sewer systems.

To accomplish this will mean amending the Subdivision Regulations so that there will be separate sections dealing with the areas designated on the general development plan as planned or Urban areas and the other dealing with the more rural sections of the County. Lots in the areas

- 3 -

Report of the Joint Committee of the Public Works
Committee and the County Planning Board Continued

designated as planned Urban Areas could be of the minimum size, provided for in the existing regulations but lots outside the planned area should be larger, possibly one-half an acre in size.

Your Committees, therefore, recommend:-

1. That the Council approve the planned areas as shown on the general development plan.
2. That Council approve, in principle, the suggested changes noted above in the Subdivision Regulations that will include,-
 - (a) all Subdivisions inside the above planned area being provided with central water and sewer systems;
 - (b) All lots outside the planned area to be a minimum size of 120 foot frontage and 175 feet in depth;

Your Committee feels that this is an important step that should be taken at this time and respectfully point out that the actual wording of the Subdivision Regulations will have to come back to Council for final approval and also it is respectfully pointed out that the boundaries of the Urban Areas and the policy of operation of private systems can be changed by the wish of the Council.

3. That the Public Works Committee be authorized to enter into an agreement with Subdividers within the planned areas, providing for the operation of private water and sewer systems once the system has been brought up to an approved standard or installed in accordance with the

May Council Session - 1962

- 4 -

Report of the Joint Committee of the Public Works
Committee and the County Planning Board Continued

standards of the Municipality once the Council has approved the form of agreement to be entered into and the matter of private water systems in Subdivisions has been discussed with the Board of Public Utilities.

Respectfully submitted,
(signed by the Committee)

May Council Session - 1962.

Tuesday, May 8th., 1962.

REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

Your Nominating Committee wish to submit the following report:-

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <u>WELFARE COMMITTEE</u> | - | Councillor Nelson Gaetz |
| <u>BOARD OF MANAGEMENT -
HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL</u> | - | Councillor Nelson Gaetz |
| <u>COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH</u> | - | Councillors Frank Roche and
Albert J. Smeltzer |
| <u>REDISTRIBUTION COMMITTEE</u> | - | Councillor Ralph McCabe |

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

OCEAN VIEW MUNICIPAL HOME

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE REPORT

FOR THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDING MARCH 31/62

OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	REVENUE TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	BALANCE TO BE COLLECTED
REVENUE BOARD OF PATIENTS	300	28,405.00	109,500.00	81,095.00 CR 1
		<u>28,405.00</u>	<u>109,500.00</u>	<u>81,095.00 CR 1</u>

OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	UNEXPENDED BALANCE
GENERAL EXPENSE	401	481.71	1,500.00	1,018.29 CR 1
GENERAL MAINTENANCE	402	221.22	1,000.00	778.78 CR 1
ADMINISTRATIVE	403	53.03	4,200.00	4,146.97 CR 1
ADVERTISING	404		50.00	50.00 CR 1
INSURANCE	405	8.59	300.00	291.41 CR 1
REDEMPTION	406		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
EXPENSE	407	120.00	480.00	360.00 CR 1
PRINTING MATERIALS	408	233.16	600.00	366.84 CR 1
	409	1,485.00	4,500.00	3,015.00 CR 1
COMMITTEES	410	211.64	1,300.00	1,088.36 CR 1
OFFICES	411		150.00	150.00 CR 1
ELECTRIC BULBS	412		75.00	75.00 CR 1
ELECTRIC LIGHTS	413			
ELECTRIC POWER	414	494.42	2,250.00	1,755.58 CR 1
WAREHOUSE	415	60.07	200.00	139.93 CR 1
REPAIRS EXPENSE	416	110.17	400.00	289.83 CR 1
REPAIRS	417		400.00	400.00 CR 1
INTEREST ON BONDS	418		3,162.50	3,162.50 CR 1
MAINTENANCE-PLUMBING	419		250.00	250.00 CR 1
-ELECTRICAL	420	175.88	300.00	124.12 CR 1
-HEATING	421	27.35	700.00	672.65 CR 1
-KITCHEN	422	132.84	650.00	517.16 CR 1
DRY MAINTENANCE & SUPPLY	423	541.44	3,500.00	2,958.56 CR 1
& BROOMS	424	37.16	50.00	12.84 CR 1
	426	77.72	350.00	272.28 CR 1
REPAIRS	427	13.35	25.00	11.65 CR 1
REPAIRS	428	13,395.39	48,000.00	34,604.61 CR 1
REPAIRS HEALTH OFFICER	429		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
PHONE	430	43.89	250.00	206.11 CR 1
POSTAGE	432		180.00	180.00 CR 1
REPAIRS	433	173.16	200.00	26.84 CR 1
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OUT OF REVENUE	439	100.00	2,000.00	1,900.00 CR 1
REPAIRS	601	1,036.30	4,500.00	3,463.70 CR 1
REPAIRS	602	1,325.74	5,800.00	4,474.26 CR 1
& VEGETABLES	603	240.06	1,300.00	1,059.94 CR 1
	604	1,288.31	5,200.00	3,911.69 CR 1
	605	120.16	500.00	379.84 CR 1
	606	27.76	100.00	72.24 CR 1
BUTTER & MARGARINE	607	121.50	600.00	478.50 CR 1
	608	371.68	2,300.00	1,928.32 CR 1
COFFEE	609	99.35	350.00	250.65 CR 1
CO	610		45.00	45.00 CR 1
& SHOES	611		50.00	50.00 CR 1
SHOES	612	172.00	400.00	228.00 CR 1
		<u>23,000.05</u>	<u>102,167.50</u>	<u>79,167.45 CR 1</u>

May Council Session - 1962

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.
Councillors:-

WHIMSICAL LAKE PARK -

Among the improvements that have been made in filling in a park property at Whimsical Lake, the local Committee has installed a 12" drain which is not large enough to carry off the overflow from Whimsical Lake in times of heavy rain.

Your Committee has had the Director of Engineering estimate the cost of construction of a proper sized drain to improve this situation and recommends that the sum of \$2,250.00 be allocated by Council for the installation of a proper sized drain to correct this situation; this amount to be charged to the Lien Law Surplus and to be considered as the allocation to Whimsical Lake Park for the year 1962, and 1963.

WEDGEWOOD PARK AREA -

Some time ago the Municipality received a deed for a park area in Wedgewood Subdivision, which is located in the central portion of the Subdivision with access provided by means of two 10' walkways. The terrain is covered with trees and is ideally located from the point of view of residents of the Subdivision and provides a good open space which could be developed for recreation use.

Your Committee recommends that this Council accept this piece of property as a Public Park.

Respectfully submitted,
(signed by the Committee)

REVENUE REPORT

APRIL 30TH, 1962

DESCRIPTION OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	REVENUE TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	AMOUNT TO BE COLLECTED
REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY	300	6,666.64	3,042,413.44	3,035,746.80 CR 1
REAL TAX	302	45,448.83	110,000.00	64,551.17 CR 1
ADDITIONAL TIME TEL & TEL	303	28,525.15	28,525.00	.15 * 1
SPECIAL CHARGES STREET PAVING	304	40,841.11		40,841.11 * 1
SPECIAL CHARGES SPRINGVALE SEWER	3041	2,165.85		2,165.85 * 1
SPECIAL CHARGES STREET IMPROVEMENT	3043	257.17		257.17 * 1
TAX	305	759.00	19,500.00	18,741.00 CR 1
DRIVERS LICENSE ETC.	306	2,224.64	3,500.00	1,275.36 CR 1
FEES & FEES	308		100.00	100.00 CR 1
INTEREST ON DEPOSITS & BONDS	309	1,509.37	5,000.00	3,490.63 CR 1
INTEREST ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS	3091	1,435.26		1,435.26 * 1
INTEREST ON TAX ARREARS	310	9,441.57	30,000.00	20,558.43 CR 1
GOVERNMENT OF CANADA IN LIEU OF TAXES	313		150,000.00	150,000.00 CR 1
PURPOSE GRANT LIEU OF INC. TAX	314		22,500.00	22,500.00 CR 1
SPECIAL GRANT	3141	50,000.00	200,000.00	150,000.00 CR 1
SPECIAL DEBT CHARGES SCHOOL DEBT	315	139,185.00	279,897.84	140,712.84 CR 1
INTEREST ON MENTALLY ILL	3161		92,588.75	92,588.75 CR 1
FINANCE OF N.S. RE POOR RELIEF	3162	6,126.10	64,000.00	57,873.90 CR 1
FINANCE OF N.S. REGIONAL LIBRARY	3163	10,660.00	42,646.00	31,986.00 CR 1
INTEREST ON MUNICIPAL HOMES	3164	3,461.93	31,833.50	28,371.57 CR 1
FINANCE OF N.S. RE WELFARE ADM. COST	3165		14,500.00	14,500.00 CR 1
LANDS & FORESTS ACT.	317		1,500.00	1,500.00 CR 1
CIVIL DEFENSE	319		3,600.00	3,600.00 CR 1
MUNICIPALITIES, CITY OR TOWN	320		2,100.00	2,100.00 CR 1
LIQUOR COMM. IN LIEU OF TAXES	330		695.91	695.91 CR 1
HOME ADMINISTRATION	334		4,000.00	4,000.00 CR 1
CITY HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATION	335		6,800.00	6,800.00 CR 1
TRANSFERS	336		9,200.00	9,200.00 CR 1
PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX	337	15,160.04	65,000.00	49,839.96 CR 1
REVENUE OF BUILDING PERMITS	338	1,647.00	11,000.00	9,353.00 CR 1
REGIONAL LIBRARY FINES & FEES	340	663.33	1,500.00	836.67 CR 1
HOSPITAL TAX REBATE	345	575.48		575.48 * 1
DRY REVENUE	346	326.99	3,000.00	2,673.01 CR 1
CLASSIFIED REVENUE	347	4,602.74	4,600.00	2.74 * 1
GOVERNMENT OF CANADA IN LIEU OF TAXES	348		1,300.00	1,300.00 CR 1
OLD HOSPITAL ACCOUNTS	356	3,767.95	10,000.00	6,232.05 CR 1
		<u>375,451.15</u>	<u>4,861,300.44</u>	<u>4,485,849.29 CR 1</u>

EXPENDITURE REPORT

APRIL 30TH 1962

OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	BUDGET AMOUNT	UNEXPENDED BALANCE
CIL	400	9,729.84	29,500.00	19,770.16 CR 1
EN & COUNCIL-SECRETARY	4,001	823.28	2,470.00	1,646.72 CR 1
-STATIONERY	4,002		100.00	100.00 CR 1
R OFFICE EXPENSE	4,004	18.87	1,000.00	981.13 CR 1
RTING & PUBLIC RELATIONS	4,005	2,400.00	7,500.00	5,100.00 CR 1
INGENCY FUND	4,006	411.82	500.00	88.18 CR 1
EN'S HONORARIUM	401	1,666.64	5,000.00	3,333.36 CR 1
ITTEES				
NCE & EXECUTIVE	4,021	679.28		
ONAL LIBRARY	4,022	391.82		
TY PLANNING BOARD	4,023	2,154.32		
IC WORKS	4,024	887.92		
ARE	4,025	181.60		
OL CAPITAL PROGRAME	4,026	2,089.77		
TRATION	4,028	44.88		
SSIONERS OF THE COURT HOUSE	4,031	11.20		
TIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	4,033	70.00		
DREN'S HOSPITAL	4,034	30.00		
IAL-RE TAXATION	4,035	64.18		
IAL-LOW COST HOUSING	4,036	107.60		
ARIA & TRAVELLING EXPENSE	402		18,000.00	11,287.43 CR 1
LES				
ING INSPECTORS	4,059	10,803.12	32,410.00	21,606.88 CR 1
& TREASURER	406	11,184.88	33,555.00	22,370.12 CR 1
CTORS	4,061	9,649.56	28,290.00	18,640.44 CR 1
NTING	4,062	9,502.22	28,000.00	18,497.78 CR 1
SORS	4,063	18,608.52	50,165.00	31,556.48 CR 1
ING	4,064	10,536.21	34,000.00	23,463.79 CR 1
TECTS	4,065	7,012.04	20,700.00	13,687.96 CR 1
ITORS FEES	4,066	1,000.00	3,500.00	2,500.00 CR 1
ORS	4,067		4,200.00	4,200.00 CR 1
EEERING	4,068	11,244.64	26,245.00	15,000.36 CR 1
RE	4,069	9,811.12	25,920.00	16,108.88 CR 1
IPAL CLERKS OFFICE				
ONERY	407	2,348.06	4,500.00	2,151.94 CR 1
ING	4,071	379.78	1,000.00	620.22 CR 1
HONE	4,072	1,906.06	4,700.00	2,793.94 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,073	1,654.42	4,000.00	2,345.58 CR 1
EXPENSE	4,074	4,769.50	9,000.00	4,230.50 CR 1
TISING	4,076	231.90	1,250.00	1,018.10 CR 1
CTORS OFFICE				
ONERY	408	426.84	200.00	226.84 * 1
ING	4,081	291.38	1,500.00	1,208.62 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,083	36.21	150.00	113.79 CR 1
OLLECTION EXP.	4,084	31.70	100.00	68.30 CR 1
ABLES EXPENSE	4,085	742.93	1,500.00	757.07 CR 1
SSIONS RE DOG LICENSE	4,086	249.00	4,500.00	4,251.00 CR 1
XPENSE	4,087	2,852.36	10,000.00	7,147.64 CR 1
BE	4,088	2,041.35	7,400.00	5,358.65 CR 1
TRANSFER TAX	4,089	653.61	2,000.00	1,346.39 CR 1
TING				
ONERY	409		200.00	200.00 CR 1
ING	4,091	537.26	600.00	62.74 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,093	87.24	150.00	62.76 CR 1
IF DEPARTMENT				
ONERY	4,095		150.00	150.00 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,097	64.82	4,795.00	4,730.18 CR 1
LANEOUS	4,098		50.00	50.00 CR 1
MENT DEPARTMENT				
ONERY	410		100.00	100.00 CR 1
ING	4,101		500.00	500.00 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,103	659.98	7,500.00	6,840.02 CR 1

PLANNING OFFICE	411		100.00	100.00 CR 1
STATIONERY	4,111	342.64	700.00	357.36 CR 1
PRINTING	4,112	1,473.88	1,200.00	273.88 * 1
SURVEY MAPPING	4,113	1,096.61	4,000.00	2,903.39 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,114		100.00	100.00 CR 1
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	4,115	152.24	3,000.00	2,847.76 CR 1
ENGINEERING DEPT. MISC. EXPENSE				
ARCHITECTS OFFICE	412		50.00	50.00 CR 1
STATIONERY	4,121		100.00	100.00 CR 1
PRINTING	4,123	2,001.51	5,000.00	2,998.49 CR 1
OFFICE EXPENSE	4,124	451.91	3,000.00	2,548.09 CR 1
EXP. OTHER THAN CAPITAL	4,125		11,000.00	11,000.00 CR 1
STREET PAVING PAST SCHOOLS				
MUNICIPAL OFFICE	413	863.28	2,800.00	1,936.72 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S SALARIES	4,131	850.00	2,400.00	1,550.00 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S ASSISTANT	4,132	464.69	1,000.00	535.31 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S SUPPLIES	4,133	978.89	1,600.00	621.11 CR 1
STATIONERY	4,134	1,411.54	4,300.00	2,888.46 CR 1
PRINTING	4,135	90.15	225.00	134.85 CR 1
MAINTENANCE & REPAIRS	4,137	648.38	2,500.00	1,851.62 CR 1
RENT CHARGES-MACHINES	4,139	719.27	2,500.00	1,780.73 CR 1
RENT EXPENSE	414	91.56		91.56 * 1
CONVENTIONS	416		400.00	400.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO N.S. MUNICIPALITIES	4,161		800.00	800.00 CR 1
AMERICAN LEGION	4,162		350.00	350.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO N.S. MUNICIPALITIES	4,163		860.00	860.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO PROVINCES ECONOMIC COUNCIL	4,164		100.00	100.00 CR 1
FEDERATION OF MAYORS & MUN.	4,165		860.00	860.00 CR 1
APPEAL	417	544.52	544.52	.00 * 1
LAW EXPENSE	418	681.36	1,000.00	1,681.36 CR 1
BOARD COMMITTEE	419	57.60	300.00	242.40 CR 1
MR. ARCHIBALD	420	1,000.00	3,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
MR. ARCHIBALD	4,201	300.00	900.00	600.00 CR 1
MR. SMITH	4,202	600.00	1,800.00	1,200.00 CR 1
CONTRIBUTIONS	421		14,500.00	14,500.00 CR 1
EMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	422	460.42	3,600.00	3,139.58 CR 1
LOSSES OR DAMAGE PAID	423	620.00	500.00	120.00 * 1
OUTSTANDING DEBENTURES	425	1,298.42	2,500.00	1,201.58 CR 1
FIELD STUDIES OR SURVEYS	427		2,100.00	2,100.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO COLLECTION AGENCY	4,313	823.40	2,500.00	1,676.60 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S SALARIES	432	1,793.28	5,380.00	3,586.72 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICERS	433	279.60	500.00	220.40 CR 1
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICERS	434	881.25	1,200.00	318.75 CR 1
LABORATORY INSTITUTIONS	435	495.10	4,000.00	3,504.90 CR 1
PROTECTION ACT	437	25.96	200.00	174.04 CR 1
ASSISTANCE HFX. EAST & WEST	438	325.00	1,300.00	975.00 CR 1
ASSISTANCE MUSQUODOBOIT	4,381	225.00	900.00	675.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO ANIMALS	4,382		100.00	100.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO RACOONS	439	38.00	400.00	362.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO FOXES	4,391	56.00	200.00	144.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO WILDCATS	4,392	148.00	400.00	252.00 CR 1
INSPECTION	4,395	2,531.59	8,500.00	5,968.41 CR 1
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT SALARIES	440	563.47	3,000.00	2,436.53 CR 1
STREET PAVING	442		65,000.00	65,000.00 CR 1
EXPROPRIATION	4,421		12,000.00	12,000.00 CR 1
SEWAGE SYSTEM	4,422	150.00		150.00 * 1
CLEANING & WASTE REMOVAL	444	7,691.92		7,691.92 * 1
LOCAL HEALTH OFFICERS	445	333.28	250.00	83.28 * 1
CERTIFICATES OF INSANITY	4,452	20.00	100.00	80.00 CR 1
PATIENTS DEPARTMENT	446	799.60	3,600.00	2,800.40 CR 1
DISPENSARY	447		1,200.00	1,200.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO N.S. HEAD TAX	4,487		85,500.00	85,500.00 CR 1
GRANTS TO PATIENTS TO GENERAL HOSPITALS	450	358.60	1,600.00	1,241.40 CR 1
HOSPITALS FOR MENTALLY ILL	451	36,696.70	150,000.00	113,303.30 CR 1

CONVEYANCE OF PATIENTS TO MENTAL HOSPITALS	453	33.80	50.00	16.20 CR 1
GRANT TO PERSONS IN NEED	454	32,920.76	96,000.00	63,079.24 CR 1
WELFARE NOT SHAREABLE BY PROVINCE	4,541	557.58		557.58 * 1
CARE OF INDIGENTS-MUNICIPAL HOME	455	13,954.52	60,560.00	46,605.48 CR 1
CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETIES	457	3,684.34	9,500.00	5,815.66 CR 1
DIRECTOR OF CHILD WELFARE	4,571	13,756.91	30,000.00	16,243.09 CR 1
HALIFAX-DARTMOUTH UNITED APPEAL	458		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
GRANT-SALVATION ARMY	459		500.00	500.00 CR 1
-C.N.I.B.	460		500.00	500.00 CR 1
-N.S. HOME FOR COLORED CHILD	4,601		200.00	200.00 CR 1
-C.P.A. MARITIME DIVISION	4,602		500.00	500.00 CR 1
-JOHN HOWARD SOCIETY	4,603		200.00	200.00 CR 1
AN. MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION	4,604		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
ACQUISITION OF MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD (ESTIMATED)	461	500,000.00	2,362,896.74	1,862,896.74 CR 1
LIABILITIES-1955	4,611	450.00		450.00 * 1
UN. COUNCIL SCHOLARSHIPS	462		2,200.00	2,200.00 CR 1
TRAINING SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF	463		9,000.00	9,000.00 CR 1
TRAINING SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	464		11,000.00	11,000.00 CR 1
VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL	465		40,500.00	40,500.00 CR 1
PROFESSIONAL CLASS TEACHERS	466		12,000.00	12,000.00 CR 1
J.C. PIERCEY MEMORIAL PARK	4,661		521.35	521.35 CR 1
STEWARTS WEST WHARF PROPERTY	4,662		430.04	430.04 CR 1
RODSTONE LAKE PARK	4,663		264.00	264.00 CR 1
LONG COVE PARK	4,664		600.00	600.00 CR 1
WINDMILL LAKE PARK	4,665		10.50	10.50 CR 1
RESERVOIR PROPERTY	4,666		400.00	400.00 CR 1
EDGEWOOD PARK	4,667		495.25	495.25 CR 1
HAVERLY FIRE HALL	4,668		751.04	751.04 CR 1
BLACKVILLE RIVER DELTA PARK	4,669		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
PARK EXPENSE-INSURANCE	467		185.00	185.00 CR 1
DISTRICT 14D PARK	4,671		11.52	11.52 CR 1
LANGIER PARK LAND	4,672		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
PLAINS PARK	4,673		500.00	500.00 CR 1
EASTERN PASSAGE	4,674		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
MCKENZIE DEVELOPMENT PARK LAND	4,675		100.00	100.00 CR 1
WHITE'S LAKE PARK	4,676		500.00	500.00 CR 1
HERNANCE BAY PARK	4,677		500.00	500.00 CR 1
UN. GRANT CITY MARKET	468		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
REGIONAL LIBRARY-SALARIES	4,681	12,383.57	38,900.00	26,016.43 CR 1
BOOKS & PERIODICALS	4,682	90.73	31,697.00	31,606.27 CR 1
BOOKMOBILE EXPENSE	4,683	2,011.64	3,600.00	1,588.36 CR 1
EQUIPMENT	4,684	38.00	2,000.00	1,962.00 CR 1
SUPPLIES, STATIONERY & POSTAGE	4,685	386.91	3,000.00	2,613.09 CR 1
TRAVELLING EXPENSE	4,686	245.60	1,200.00	954.40 CR 1
TRAINING	4,687	238.99	1,000.00	761.01 CR 1
TELEPHONE	4,688	74.04	450.00	375.96 CR 1
MISCELLANEOUS	4,689	793.08	5,445.00	4,651.92 CR 1
USQUODOBOIT EXHIBITION	469		250.00	250.00 CR 1
N.S. FEDERATION OF AGRICULTURE	470		200.00	200.00 CR 1
ED. WASHINGTON CARVER REC. CENTER	471		100.00	100.00 CR 1
EDFORD LIONS CLUB	4,711		200.00	200.00 CR 1
CITY OF DARTMOUTH DEBT CHARGES P.	474	24,449.60		24,449.60 * 1
CITY OF DARTMOUTH DEBT CHARGES I.	4,741	9,392.06		9,392.06 * 1
FAIRVIEW SEWER DEBENTURES	4,746		2,500.00	2,500.00 CR 1
FAIRVIEW SEWER INTEREST	4,747	1,765.63	3,531.25	1,765.62 CR 1
FAIRVIEW SEWER DEB. REDEEMED	4,752		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
FAIRVIEW SEWER DEB. I.	4,753		5,400.00	5,400.00 CR 1
FAIRVIEW SEWER DEBT REDEEMED	4,754	14,090.42	14,090.42	.00 * 1
INTEREST FAIRVIEW SEWER	4,755	7,777.96	15,150.81	7,372.85 CR 1
ARMDALE SEWER DEB. P.	4,756	25,000.00	12,500.00	12,500.00 * 1
ARMDALE SEWER DEB. I.	4,757	14,375.00	14,031.25	343.75 * 1
SCHOOL DEBENTURES	477	170,974.41	340,254.73	169,280.32 CR 1
SCHOOL DEBENTURE I.	4,771	160,799.23	372,174.17	211,374.94 CR 1
SCHOOL DEBENTURE P.	4,772	33,460.00	126,028.99	92,568.99 CR 1
SCHOOL DEBENTURE I.	4,773	21,050.34	55,693.41	34,643.07 CR 1
NEW MUNICIPAL BUILDING P.	4,774	30,000.00	30,000.00	.00 * 1

NEW MUNICIPAL BUILDING I.	4,775	17,825.00	34,787.50	16,962.50 CR 1
STREET PAVING P.	4,786	12,586.53	12,586.53	.00 * 1
STREET PAVING I.	4,787	3,256.76	6,151.66	2,894.90 CR 1
INT. ON CAPITAL BORROWING SCHOOLS	4,788		30,000.00	30,000.00 CR 1
VOCATIONAL SCHOOL ACT. P.	479	2,706.85	5,448.42	2,741.57 CR 1
VOCATIONAL SCHOOL ACT. I.	4,791	1,928.23	3,799.70	1,871.47 CR 1
DISCOUNT ON SALE OF DEBENTURES	4,794	16,637.50	35,000.00	18,362.50 CR 1
BANK OVERDRAFT INTEREST	4,796	14,389.42	60,000.00	45,610.58 CR 1
EXCHANGE	4,797	114.92	250.00	135.08 CR 1
COUPON NEGOTIATION CHARGES	4,798	386.02	2,600.00	2,213.98 CR 1
FOR UNCOLLECTABLE TAXES	480		40,000.00	40,000.00 CR 1
FOR ELECTIONS	4,811		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
REVISION OF VOTERS LISTS	4,812		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
FOR SCHOOLS NOT SHARED BY PROVINCE	4,826	529.05		529.05 * 1
EQUIPMENT FOR OFFICES	4,827	406.90	1,000.00	593.10 CR 1
MUNICIPAL PURPOSES	4,828		53,459.46	53,459.46 CR 1
INDUSTRIAL COMM. EXPENSE	4,881	87.30	1,500.00	1,412.70 CR 1
CIVIL DEFENSE	4,882	2,335.98	4,000.00	1,664.02 CR 1

1,379,097.21 4,871,775.26 3,492,678.05 CR 1

May Council Session - 1962

Tuesday, May 8th, 1962.

REPORT OF THE SCHOOL CAPITAL PROGRAM COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

1961 PROGRAM -

- (a) Spryfield High School - Cafeteria tables on order.
- (b) Bedford - 6-classroom - Awaiting further direction from Municipal School Board.
- (c) Rockingham - 12-classroom - Site work being completed.
- (d) Ferguson's Cove - land - Committee to meet with local Trustees.
- (e) Oyster Pond - 6-classroom - Site work being completed.
- (f) Owl's Head - 4-classroom - Site work being completed.
- (g) Jollimore - 6-classroom - Site work to be completed.
- (h) Waverley - 6-classroom - Work progressing.
- (i) Westphal - Site work being completed.
- (j) Eastern Passage - Tallahassee - Work completed and taken over April 30, 1962.
- (k) East Preston - New water system deferred until Spring.
- (l) Indoor Sanitation Dutch Settlement - Awaiting Municipal School Board decision.
 - Tangier - Deferred.
 - Head Jeddore - Awaiting Municipal School Board Report.
 - Mushaboom - Work on well deferred until July.
- (m) Lucasville - Site work to be completed.

1961 (FALL) PROGRAM -

- (a) Enfield - 6-classroom - Tender closing May 9, 1962.
- (b) Upper Musquodoboit - 8-classroom - Tender closing May 9, 1962.
- (c) 20-classroom High School - Cherry Brook Road - Steel on site. Work on schedule.

Report of the School Capital Program Committee
Continued

NAMES OF NEW SCHOOLS -

It is necessary for Council to approve names for the following new schools:-

- (a) Enfield
- (b) Upper Musquodoboit - 8-classroom

1962 PROGRAM -

- (a) Lower Sackville - School site selected. C. D. Davison and Company appointed Architects and preparing preliminaries.
- (b) Herring Cove - Selecting school site.
- (c) Purcell's Cove - Negotiating for additional land for school addition.
- (d) Upper Hammonds Plains - Investigating extent of present site.
- (e) Sambro - Investigating present building and site.
- (f) Upper Tantallon - Preparing preliminary drawings.
- (g) Ingram River - Preparing working drawings.

Respectfully submitted,
(Signed by the Committee)

WELFARE EXPENDITURES

FOR THE FOUR MONTH PERIOD, JANUARY TO APRIL, 1962

May
Council
Session

<u>District</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>April</u>	<u>Total</u>
1.	\$ 220.02	\$ 191.80	\$ 221.10	\$ 66.00	\$ 698.92
2.	349.60	552.00	444.90	123.00	1,469.50
3.	905.88	920.46	957.35	751.86	3,535.55
4.	809.29	602.56	632.36	273.91	2,318.12
5.	101.75	264.00	182.00	152.00	699.75
6.	1,186.11	1,203.65	812.03	498.90	3,700.69
7.	169.00	208.50	219.26	206.50	803.26
8.	312.00	224.75	223.40	217.50	977.65
9.	578.89	494.30	548.50	454.50	2,076.19
10.	1,392.84	904.38	1,327.60	1,156.90	4,781.72
11.	141.84	164.50	70.00	133.18	509.52
12.	716.50	517.00	561.50	232.00	2,027.00
13.	586.50	353.59	522.11	446.48	1,908.68
14.	218.25	153.50	212.68	284.00	868.43
15.	--	--	--	--	--
16.	247.00	274.00	166.00	165.00	852.00
17.	257.50	297.00	454.00	339.50	1,348.00
18.	260.00	227.50	144.00	170.00	801.50
19.	680.60	556.50	761.00	423.50	2,421.60
20.	217.00	228.50	346.50	291.00	1,083.00
21.	717.56	482.06	279.50	172.00	1,651.12
22.	408.00	264.50	244.50	275.50	1,192.50
23.	215.50	211.19	136.24	70.84	633.77
24.	81.00	110.00	202.00	258.80	651.80
25.	71.00	36.00	50.00	210.00	367.00
26.	287.24	140.22	148.22	218.00	793.68
27.	854.48	937.88	963.33	663.16	3,418.85
TOTALS	<u>\$11,985.35</u>	<u>\$10,520.34</u>	<u>\$10,830.08</u>	<u>\$8,254.03</u>	<u>\$41,589.80</u>