

The new amendment:

Moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT each Councillor contribute \$40.00 towards the \$840.00 required to send the TMH students to Florida as part of the Hampton Gray Project, if necessary."
Amendment Carried.

The new motion:

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Council approve the sponsoring of two students for the Hampton Gray Project in the amount of \$840.00 total, on the condition that the outstanding pledges for the project as of May 11, have not provided the required balance to make the project possible and that the \$840.00 be derived with a \$40.00 contribution per Councillor."
Motion Defeated.

Subsequent to the motion being defeated, Councillor Wiseman passed a hat around Council into which the majority of Councillors placed a donation of their own choice, toward the Hampton Gray Project.

This item being completed, it was agreed by Council to go back to the "Items Deferred from April 21, Council Session - Budget".

BUDGET - COUNCILLOR MACKAY

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Budget Sessions be Open Session."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Director of Finance, Mr. Wilson, and the CAO Mr. Meech be prepared to give Background information on the Budget, to the Press."
Motion Withdrawn.

Several Councillors spoke against this motion, among these were Councillors Benjamin, Williams.

Councillor Tople amended the motion, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT no releases regarding the Budget be made without the concurrence of the Warden."
Motion Withdrawn.

Mr. Meech advised the Councillors that it was his responsibility to prepare budget submissions for the Management Committee and now that Budgets would be held in Open Sessions he would be prepared to explain these submissions.

Therefore, it appeared that there would be no need for either the motion or the amendment and both were withdrawn.

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT Budget Sessions be dealt with in Committee of the Whole."
Motion Carried.

There was comparatively little discussion on this motion.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

The second item on the Management Committee Report dealt with Metro Transit. The Management Committee had received correspondence from the Metropolitan Transit Commission requesting payments owing by the Municipality for the operating deficit of Metro Transit.

The Committee recommend to Council that the amounts owing to the Metro Transit Commission be paid by the Municipality retroactive to March 1, 1981 and that if no agreement is reached regarding distribution of deficit by May 31st with the exception of District 7, Cole Harbour, who have accepted responsibility for transit costs on an area rate, the cost retroactive will be allocated to individual district rates according to the amount of service received.

Councillor Wiseman advised that the question of payment for Sackville Transit had been in dispute for several months. Opportunity for public input had been made available through a public meeting held April 16th at which time the message came across that the people of Sackville would not support Transit at the suggested cost.

Several options to change the service and thus reduce the amount of deficit were considered. At a recent meeting on April 28th of the Sackville Advisory Board, the following recommendation was approved and has the support of the Sackville Councillors.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the deficit for Sackville Transit be recovered by an area rate and that the continuation of the Sackville service after August 31st be contingent on the following conditions: (a) That the Sackville Express remain the same. (b) That the Glendale Route be dropped. (c) That the line haul be adjusted so that during peak times the buses go from Simpsons to Springfield Estates and during non-peak hours, the service alternate between Springfield Estates and the Glendale Loop. (d) That the fares be increased on the Sackville Express and the line haul by .25 cents per rider. (e) That these changes be in effect by September 1st."

Councillor Wiseman further advised, this was the only way Sackville could support an area rate, to have it on their own conditions which would incur the least amount of deficit. She clarified that this would mean one common transit rate for service from Districts 16, 19 & 20 and

part of District 18 for the deficit already incurred by the Sackville Districts but that after August 1st the cost would be recovered on a user-pay area rate for these Districts. It was the overall consensus of the Sackville Councillors that they did not want to support an area rate which would allow MTC to provide the level of service MTC wanted and this was the reason for the conditions being laid down as above.

Councillor Baker advised that he may also have to reduce his level of service in order to reduce the amount of his deficit in his district. Several Councillors spoke out against the motion. Councillor Eisenhower stating the he was one Sackville Councillor not in agreement with the motion. Councillor Topple was worried that since the motion was conditional upon all the requests of the Sackville Councillors being met and if not met Sackville would withdraw from Transit altogether, that the rest of the Municipality would be faced with picking up the total cost of transit for overhead costs of the Transit Garage, etc.

Mr. Meech clarified that a withdrawal of routes or service would lower the deficit but that there were operational overhead costs that would not be lowered and the rest of the Municipality would have to pay these cost if Sackville did withdraw from Transit. He also advised that the Municipality was contractually committed to pay its proportionate share of the deficit and its share also of the overhead costs of the Transit Garage. He advised that in regard to these fixed overhead charges, that dropping several routes would not lower these charges by the same amount of the cost of the routes, although it would be lowered to an extent.

Mr. Meech further advised that three months notice would be required to withdraw any routes.

Warden Lawrence advised that these conditions as set out in Councillor Wiseman's motion would have to be addressed by MTC and not the Council.

Councillor Williams suggested that the motion should not state "contingent upon the following conditions" but should state that the following changes are "recommended". However, the motion was not changed.

The motion: moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"As previously written."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Poirier was worried about the position the rest of the Municipality would be in if these conditions were not met by the MTC. However, Councillor MacDonald advised that these changes had already been discussed and the only one which had caused any consternation was the increase of .25 cents in fare.

Councillor Margeson advised that he had made a motion in Council a few sessions ago seconded by Councillor Adams requesting information and

making some recommendations to the Metro Transit Commission. He asked if any progress had yet been made regarding that motion and was advised that a letter did go out to them but that no answer to the proposed study would be received for a year or two. However, Councillor Margeson was not pleased that the letter from the Municipality had not been at least acknowledged. He further felt that the Municipality should be receiving an itemized statement each month from the Metro Transit Commission.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT MTC send an itemized statement of the services rendered to the Municipality from MTC each month."

Motion Defeated.

The motion was defeated because it was determined that the Municipality was not buying a service from MTC but that the Municipality and MTC were partners in Transit and were not paying a bill but were paying their portion of operating costs.

It was moved by Councillor Topple, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the balance of areas who have not specified how they are going to recover the cost for their share of transit deficit, shall recover it on an area rate."

Motion Carried.

The next item on the Management Committee Report was in regard to the Water Supply at Graham Creighton School. It was the recommendation of the Management Committee subsequent to review of a report submitted by Mr. Wdowiak, that the Municipality proceed with the proposed extension of water mains pending approval of cost-sharing of the amount of \$70,000.00 on a 50-50 basis between the Municipality and the Municipal School Board.

Subsequent to brief discussion of this item:

It was moved by Councillor Topple, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Municipality cost-share the balance of the \$70,000 with the School Board for the installation of the water system in the Graham Creighton School and that this system be installed."

Motion Carried.

POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Eisenhower:

"THAT the Policy Committee Report be received."

Motion Carried.

The first item dealt with in this Report was in regard to the Municipal Weed Spraying Program for 1981. The Policy Committee had met with Mr. Alun Jones, Municipal Weed Inspector, Mr. John Thomson, Department of

Agriculture; and Dr. D. L. Waugh, Chief, Environmental Development, Department of Environment. As a result of this meeting and the information brought to light by these people, the Committee recommended to Council that the 1981 Weed Spraying Program be implemented in District 12 and 13 with the qualifications that citizens undesirous of having roadside bordering their own property sprayed, can be exempted from the program.

Warden Lawrence advised that although Councillor McCabe was not present, that he had called her this morning and indicated that he was quite strongly in favour of having the Highway Weed Spraying Program implemented in District 12.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT Council approve the implementation of the 1981 Roadside Spraying Program in Districts 12 and 13 with the qualification that spraying will be done only on the roadside next to properties whose owners have indicated their desire to have it done."

Councillor Lichter's motion was a deliberate reversal of the Committee's recommendation because it was his feeling that most residents in District 13 want nothing to do with the Spraying Program, therefore, the people desirous of having it done should be the ones to make the move toward getting it done alongside their properties. He also felt it would save the Department in charge of the spraying a good deal of money, if they went only to the sites where it was requested. He also felt it would be a good indication to the Province of how desirous people are of this service and would not prevent anyone from getting the service if they want it which is the intent of the Committee's recommendation.

Councillor Eisenhauer was against the wording of the motion as he felt that it would be difficult to get the spraying done from an administrative point of view within the confines of the motion. He also advised that he had been at the meeting with Mr. Jones, Mr. Thompson and Dr. Waugh which had gone in depth into the problem and indicated that there was a great health hazard if these areas were not sprayed this year as the weed problem would get completely out of control.

Therefore Councillor Lichter gave a his reasons for his motion stating that although the speakers at the Policy Committee indicated that the 24D they are using for the spraying is definitely safe, he had read in the Mail Star, May 1st, an article originating from Vancouver which had indicated that genetic defects result from contact with the herbicide and that children exposed to it risk contracting cancer. The article also stated that 24D as well as 75% of weed killers sold are contaminated with dioxin. This is extremely dangerous and that kind of contamination cannot be controlled and children are particularly vulnerable with a build-up of genetic damage each time the 24D passes through the system. Councillor Lichter also referred to several comments made by Dr. Waugh during an interview in 1976 which conflicted

with his statements made at the Policy Committee meeting. Also he advised that last year in District 13 there was some confusion at a meeting held regarding the spraying program. Two gentlemen had called him and complained about it after the meeting, one man from District 13 and one from District 12, these men apparently were not given an opportunity to vote at the meeting. Out of 50 people eligible to vote either against or in favour of the spraying only 20 did vote, 11 for the program 9 against it. Yet the motion had been recorded as being passed unanimously.

There was a little more discussion of this issue, Councillor Eisenhauer advising that although 24D is used in the pesticide used for the Spraying Program that dioxin was not used. He also advised that private properties were not going to be sprayed, only roadside bordering some private properties.

The Motion: Moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"As written previously."
Motion Carried.

The next item in the Policy Committee Report dealt with the appointment of the Municipal Weed Inspector. The Committee recommended that Mr. Alun Jones be reappointed to this position.

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT Mr. Alun Jones be reappointed as Municipal Weed Inspector."
Motion Carried.

The next item contained in the Policy Report was in regard to the memorandum from the Executive Director of the F.C.M. respecting nominations to the Board of Directors.

It was the recommendation of the Committee that Council authorize Warden Lawrence to be nominated to the Board of Directors of F.C.M.

It was moved by Councillor Baker, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT Warden Lawrence be nominated to the Board of Directors of F.C.M."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Topples was quite concerned with the number of people from the Municipality who were attending the conference. It was recommended that six people go, three voting members and three alternates who would be non-voting members. He felt this would cost too much money.

It was moved by Councillor Topples, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT only three representatives of this Municipality attend the F.C.M. conference, the alternates attending only if the voting members cannot."
Motion Defeated.

Several Councillor spoke out against this motion, among them were Councillors Eisenhauer, Lichter and Margeson. Councillors Lichter and Margeson felt it was unfair to make this motion at this time as the alternates Councillor Gaetz, Councillor Adams and Councillor MacDonald had already made travel arrangements, etc. and were looking forward to this conference. If the motion had been made prior to these arrangements being made they would not be so against it. Councillor Lichter advised that rather than shatter the hopes of one of these alternates, he would not attend so that his alternate would have the opportunity to go.

Councillors Gaetz and MacDonald were exempted from the vote on this motion as they felt uncomfortable voting on it due to the fact that they were alternates.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT Council accept the recommendation of Warden Lawrence and arrange that three voting members and three alternates attend the conference and bring back recommendations to Council."
Motion Carried.

Before moving on to the next item, Councillor Williams suggested that the Warden as the County's Chief Magistrate asses the County's position with the F.C.M. It was his feeling that the County of Halifax was a very small part of the Federation and it should be determined if they were of any use to this Municipality.

Warden Lawrence advised that this was a valid request as this year the F.C.M. is being restructured as it is weak in some areas of Canada and has great strength in others. She also felt its usefulness to the County of Halifax should be evaluated.

The next item contained in the Policy Committee Report was in regard to a resolution of the Halifax County Fire Chiefs' Association. This resolution was in respect to personal expenses incurred by volunteer firefighters to request the Municipality of the County of Halifax to make written representation to the Government of Canada supporting the resolution that volunteer firefighters in Halifax County be granted a \$1,000.00 income tax deduction to help offset said expenses.

The Committee recommended that the resolution by the Halifax County Fire Chiefs' Association regarding expenses of volunteer firefighters be referred to Council for consideration.

It was moved by Councillor Williams, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the Municipality make written representation to the Government of Canada supporting the resolution that volunteer firefighters in Halifax County be granted a \$1,000.00 income tax deduction to help offset expenses."
Motion Withdrawn.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT this \$1,000.00 income tax deduction be recommended for consideration to the Provincial Select Committee which will be looking into all aspects of the voluntary fire departments.
Motion Carried.

Councillor Eisenhauer felt that written representation should be sent instead to Ottawa with a copy to the Select Committee.

The final item dealt with in the Policy Committee Report was in regard to the Derelict Vehicle Program. The Committee had met with Mr. Kevin Tobin, Unsightly Premises Inspector with respect to this program and had recieved a proposal from Mr. L. W. Layton of Canning to pick up derelict vehicles in the County.

The Committee was advised that the Department of Environment has allotted funds to Halifax County for the collection of up to 2000 derelict vehicles and in addition the Province has offered to fund a special demonstration project for a further 1000 units in 1981 for a total of 3000 vehicles. This special demonstration project will be carried out in a number of districts, including Districts 4, 9, 10, 13 and 14.

The Committee recommended to Council for approval, the proposal for the pickup of derelict vehicles submitted by Mr. Layton.

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the proposal as submitted by Mr. L. W. Layton of L. W. Layton Salvage be approved by Council."
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT OF THE POLICY COMMITTEE

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the Supplementary Report of the Policy Committee be received."
Motion Carried.

The only item in the report dealt with the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws. The Committee recommended to Council for approval the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws and further recommended that as a policy the Industrial Commission members be reimbursed expenses \$40.00 per meeting plus mileage.

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT discussion of the Industrial Commission By-Laws and the \$40.00 payments to Industrial Commission Members for attendance at meetings, be defered until the next regular Council session."
Motion Carried.

BUILDING INSPECTORS REPORT

The Building Inspectors Report recommended approval of the following

requests for lesser setbacks:

1. Application for lesser setback of 15', property at Yankeetown Road, Upper Tantallon, applicant Phyllis E. Brunt.
2. Application for lesser setback of 24', lot 48, Beaumont Dr., Lower Sackville, applicant Lorraine Bremner.
3. Application for lesser setback of 28.2', Lot 939, Colby Village, Cole Harbour, applicant Luciano Cucovaz.
4. Application for lesser setback of 16.5', property at Old Sackville Road, Lower Sackville, applicant Sackville United Baptist Church.

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the applications for lesser setbacks be approved as recommended in the Report of the Building Inspector."
Motion Carried.

NEW BUSINESS

Notices of Reconsideration

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT Council reconsider its previous motion regarding Beaver Bank Elementary School."
Motion Defeated.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT Council reconsider its previous motion regarding Beaver Bank Junior High School."
Motion Lost for want of a seconder.

AGENDA - REGULAR COUNCIL SESSION - MAY 21, 1981

Councillor Margeson asked that Beaver Bank Schools be put on the next agenda.

Councillor MacKay requested that the COMSERVE appointment be put on the next agenda and that criteria for the appointment be put in the agenda.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Williams:

"THAT the May 5, 1981 Council Session be adjourned."
Motion Carried.

Therefore, Council adjourned at 9:45 P.M.

COUNCIL SESSION

MAY 19, 1981

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lawrence, Chairman
Councillor Walker
Councillor Williams
Councillor Poirier
Councillor Baker
Deputy Warden Deveaux
Councillor Stewart
Councillor Topple
Councillor Adams
Councillor Gaetz
Councillor Smith
Councillor MacKenzie
Councillor McCabe
Councillor Lichter
Councillor Benjamin
Councillor Margeson
Councillor MacKay
Councillor Eisenhower
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Wiseman

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Ken Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. Gerry Kelly, Municipal Clerk
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor
Mr. Keith Birch, Chief of Planning & Development
Mr. John Markesino, Co-Ordinator of Recreation
Mr. Ed. Mason, Director of Social Services
Mr. Ken Wilson, Director of Finance
Mr. Wilfred Moore, Solicitor
Mr. Lloyd Gillis, Superintendent, Municipal School Board

SECRETARY: Mrs. Christine Harvey

OPENING OF COUNCIL - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden Lawrence brought the Council Session to order with the Lord's Prayer at 2:05 P.M.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT Mrs. Christine Harvey be appointed Recording Secretary."
Motion Carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the minutes of the March 17, 1981 and the April 27, 1981 Public Hearings be approved."
Motion Carried.

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the letters and correspondence be received."
Motion Carried.

The first item was a memo from the City of Dartmouth, from Barbara Hart, Vice President of the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities, advising that a Regional Meeting of the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities will be held on June 22, 1981 at 1:30 P.M. in the Dartmouth City Hall Chambers, and welcoming all Mayors, Warden, Aldermen, Councillors to attend the meeting.

The meeting was being held to approve resolutions from the four Metropolitan Municipal Councils and individual Councillors and Aldermen, in preparation for presentation to the Annual Conference of the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities, being held in the Fall of 1981. The letter also suggested that this meeting would be a good opportunity to make suggestions to the Nominating Committee in regard to selecting persons who should be considered for positions on the Union Executive for the coming year.

The second item of correspondence was a letter from Mr. Jack MacIsaac, Minister of the Department of Municipal Affairs, advising that the Order creating the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission has been amended to include the Town of Bedford as a member, increasing the membership of the Commission to eight members.

Warden Lawrence advised Council that this amendment to the Order creating the Commission had been endorsed by Halifax County Council some time ago.

This concluded the Letters and Correspondence, both items being treated as Information only.

Councillor Benjamin enquired whether there had been any progress in relation to representation of the Town of Bedford with the Metropolitan Authority to which Warden Lawrence advised she had received a letter from the Minister stating that the situation was being considered and that he was intending to call a meeting of all the participating representatives from every Council to discuss possible alternatives. She advised that there was some thought to setting up a Board or Commission to consider this matter. However, the meeting had not yet been scheduled.

MEETING WITH DEPARTMENT HEADSMr. Ed. Mason - Director of Social Services

Mr. Mason submitted to Council a resume of General Assistance expenditures up to and including the month of April, 1981, as well as a resume of expenditures in Homes for Special Care for the same times period. Mr. Mason advised that in view of expenditures to date, it

appeared that the Department should stay within its approved budget for the year. Mr. Mason further advised that since his report was very brief and to the point, he would not outline it but that he would be pleased to answer any questions with regard to it and any other questions as well, not pertaining to the report.

Councillor Gaetz questioned, with regard to monthly report on Caseload and Caseload for 1981, why it cost much more for less people, he wondered if it was due to inflation to which Mr. Mason replied it probably was. He further advised that toward the end of last year the Department had increased its rate which would reflect on that to some extent and indicated that by the end of next month he would be asking Council for additional rate increases in areas of food and shelter allowance subsequent to bringing this request to the Management Committee.

Councillor Eisenhower questioned whether the Minister approved the budget and was advised by Mr. Mason that he did not and that Mr. Mason was hopeful that Council would approve the budget.

Warden Lawrence advised that she had received a letter from the Minister this morning advising of an increase in the amount of funding from the Department of Social Services. Mr. Mason advised that he too had received a copy of the letter from Mr. Sterling, and indicated his pleasure at the increase in the funding.

This completed the report of the Director of Social Services.

While waiting for the next Department Head to arrive, Mr. Birch, Chief of Planning and Development, Warden Lawrence advised Council that a meeting had been held this morning with the Honorable Mr. McInnis, Minister of Transportation and the Honorable Mr. McIsaac, Minister of Municipal Affairs on the subject of private roads. In the meeting Deputy Warden Deveaux and Councillors Lichter and Williams had given examples of problems encountered in their respective districts, which seemed unfair in terms of the creation of new lots in Rural areas where there is an abundance of land but a shortage of frontage on public roads and where people had unfairly been denied subdivision approvals because of this problem.

Warden Lawrence further advised that the end result of the meeting was that the Minister of Transportation requested that these problems be itemized in terms of the examples given and forwarded to him. He apparently has regular meetings with the Rural MLA's for various areas in the Province and they discuss possible changes in policies and possible implementation of policies which will improve these areas in the Province. If we can provide these examples they can be discussed at the meetings between the Minister and MLA's.

Any questions from Council on this matter were directed to Mr. Birch upon his arrival.

Mr. Keith Birch - Chief of Planning and Development

Mr. Birch began by outlining the procedure for writing the letters to the Minister of Transportation which would include the example given in regard to private roads and subdivision approval problems. He advised that there were three main types of problems but, rather than submitting the problems to the Minister as a number, they should be submitted as examples of one of these three. He advised that the particular issue be submitted to his Department who will categorize it at the Planning Advisory Committee with a recommendation of the examples to be submitted to the Minister who will look at ways and means of overcoming the problem.

Deputy Warden Deveaux reiterated a point brought up at the morning meeting with the two Ministers, that some Municipalities have By-Laws which override some of the policies in the Planning Act which enable some of these neighbouring Municipalities to effect a cure to some of their problems and questioned why this was not the practice in Halifax County. Mr. Birch replied this was also done in Halifax County but that it applied generally to Seasonal Dwellings.

At this point, Mr. Birch advised that his Planning and Development Report was self-explanatory and that he would be happy to answer any questions with regard to it.

In response to a question posed by Councillor Benjamin, Mr. Birch advised that to date, the Planning and Development Department was on target with regards to Budget, that he had allowed for Resident Meetings, etc., and did not anticipate any unnecessary expenditures in this regard.

In response to a question asked by Councillor Stewart regarding the cost and cost sharing structure for the Lake Major project, Mr. Birch advised that the County's portion of the cost had not been identified as yet.

Deputy Warden Deveaux was concerned that some areas were receiving cost sharing benefits that had not been available to other areas in the past and questioned whether the plan could be amended in regard to this cost sharing proposal. He was assured by the Solicitor that any plan can be amended at any time, but was further advised by Mr. Birch that if such a precedent was being set it would be wise to leave these portions of the plan untouched as it could be a valuable tool in achieving new financial avenues for his own or other districts in the future.

This completed the presentation of the Report of the Chief of Planning and Development.

Mr. John Markesino - Co-Ordinator of Recreation

Mr. Markesino submitted a report and update on Tourism in the Halifax County area and outlined his report as follows:

At this time, the Halifax County Recreation and Tourism Department is

working in conjunction with the newly formed, 49 member, St. Margaret's Bay Business and Tourist Association to better promote the St. Margaret's Bay area. The Halifax County Recreation and Tourism Department is available to this Association as a resource centre as well as attending their meetings to help plan and promote their ventures.

The County Tourism Department is also meeting with Development Planning Association Consulting Limited, the Dartmouth Tourist Association and the Halifax Visitors and Convention Bureau. D.P.A. is a consulting firm retained to do a tourism study on the four tourist regions of the province: Annapolis Valley, Fundy Shore, Cape Breton, and Halifax-Dartmouth. The Municipality of the County of Halifax Recreation Department is involved in the Halifax-Dartmouth study which includes: Halifax, Bedford, Sackville, the Airport, Gay's River and Dartmouth. The purpose of the study is to analyze the existing tourism plan within the region, and to identify and prioritize specific requirements for improvement or expansion for the next five to seven years, then provide the basis for decision on and extent of government assistance needed to help effect that improvement or expansion.

In order to gather information and make recommendations for the study, four sub-committees were formed: Accomodations, Attractions, Transportation, and Marketing. This study will take seven months to complete during which time the Recreation Department will be working closely with both the sub-committees and the consulting firm.

During the months of July and August, a tourism booth will be set up in the Downsview Mall in Sackville. Our Department will be providing staff for this booth which will be open six days a week. Arrangements are being made through the N.S. Dept. of Tourism to make available brochures and information for this booth. As well, a site is planned for a booth in Tantallon and arrangements are being made at the present time.

Mr. Markesino summed up his report stating that all indications point to a very busy summer from a Tourism point of view.

Councillor MacKenzie expressed his hope that the Municipal Recreation and Tourism Department was assisting the Eastern Shore Tourist Association by forwarding tourists from this area to the Eastern Shore and other parts of the County.

Mr. Markesino advised that they do have a number of day trips made up, some of which go to the Eastern Shore, though not far into the Shore as the Executive Director of the Eastern Shore Tourist Association did not want our Department to go too far in that area. He advised that there were a number going to the South Shore area, where there are no problems with the trips.

Deputy Warden Deveaux questioned how much money if any had been set aside or put into Tourism so far this year. Mr. Markesino advised that they were still working within the Recreation budget as no Tourism budget had as yet been established. He advised that they had received

money from the Provincial Task Force to hire people who they are using and have been using for the past two years and further advised that with regard to money for equipment requests, etc., they have had no problem as yet and in fact were filling out such orders at the present time.

Councillor Eisenhauer was concerned that the South Shore Tourism was an overlap of jurisdiction, and was advised by Mr. Markesino that the problem in that area was that a number of businesses in St. Margarets Bay were not satisfied with the level of service they were receiving from the South Shore Association and it is their intention to come under the jurisdiction of Halifax, Dartmouth and County instead of remaining within the confines of the South Shore Association.

Councillor Eisenhauer had one further question for Mr. Markesino in regard to a grant not being received by the Recreation Dept. to carry out a summer program in the Constituency of Halifax West. He apparently received a copy of letter concerning this matter.

Mr. Markesino advised that he had sent a copy of a letter to the Councillor which he had written to a Mr. Cosby, expressing his disappointment at not having received a grant to assist in this summer program. He advised Councillor Eisenhauer that he had no idea why the grant was withheld and further advised there would still be a summer program in that area but it would not be on as large a scale as was originally planned.

This completed the report of the Co-ordinator of Recreation.

Warden Lawrence advised that Mr. Lorne Denny, Industrial Commission would not be able to give a report today as he had a committment elsewhere and that Mr. Wdowiak also would not be attending as he was on a one-week vacation.

It was agreed by Council that Mr. Ken Wilson's report would be deferred until another time slot on the agenda pending receipt of some printed material from the Dalhousie print shop.

REPORT, RE: PROPOSAL FOR ACADIA SCHOOL, SACKVILLE

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT the Report of the Municipal School Board be received."
Motion Carried.

Mr. Gillis outlined a report of the School Board contained within the agenda of the May 19, Council Session which is summarized as follows:

The Halifax County School Board has reviewed the contents of the Acadia Home and School Association proposal for Acadia School, presented to Council on May 5, 1981 and according to the evaluation of the Board, the alternative proposal of the Acadia Home and School is unacceptable. It does not reduce bussing or captial construction costs as suggested by the Association. The Acadia Home and School

Association proposal will also interfere with the Board's plan to have the total elementary population of a school move forward together to a Junior High School (Family of Schools Plan). The Board voted in support of its earlier proposal that in the fall of 1982, the pupils of Sackville Manor be registered in the Hillside Park Elementary School.

Councillor Poirier questioned Mr. Gillis, on the matter of a gymnasium being denied to the Acadia School, if it was going to become a policy not to add gymnasiums to older schools. It was her feeling that once a gymnasium was added to one school there would be many similar requests from other schools for gyms.

Mr. Gillis stated that each situation would depend on the size of the school site and the structural ability of the school building itself to handle an addition. He advised that the School Board was trying to provide that type of facility in each elementary school community but not necessarily every school. He further advised that in the case of the Acadia School Community arrangements were being made for physical activity for the older children at a gymnasium in the area and the Board was hopeful that arrangements of this type could continue.

Councillor Margeson questioned Mr. Gillis in regard to the family plan for schools, in particular he was wondering which school the Sackville Manor students would attend subsequent to grade six. Mr. Gillis explained they would be going to Hillside Park up to the end of grade six and would then continue along with the Hillside children to A. J. Smeltzer Junior High, thereby becoming part of the Hillside Park Elementary School community and follow that school community to A. J. Smeltzer. He further advised that for students who remain after school hours for various activities, the School Board offers a minimal level of transportation after school hours and two days per week for secondary school students.

Mr. Gillis further clarified the population situation for Councillor Margeson, with regard to the Caudle Park School stating there was a slight decrease in enrollment and it is not expected that there will be any more growth in the community being served by that school as the community has been developed to its fullest extent, and therefore, there is no need for additional space at Caudle Park School at this time. At the same time, he advised the decrease was not great enough at this time to accommodate Hillside students there.

Councillor Margeson gave an example of a situation in his area, where a family had moved into a Beaver Bank subdivision from Lower Sackville and whose children were attending Hillside Park School where the class population was 18 children and in Beaver Bank it was 38 children. He was making this example to get across his point of the school population being uneven throughout the Beaver Bank - Sackville area.

Mr. Gillis suggested that the situation in Beaver Bank would soon be corrected and the long-term proposal of the School Board would see that it is hopefully within the next year.

This completed Mr. Gillis's report.

REPORT OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Report of the Planning Advisory Committee be received."
Motion Carried.

The first item contained in the Report of the Planning Advisory Committee was in regard to the Proposed Sackville Expressway. The Chamber of Commerce has submitted a resolution to the provincial authorities encouraging the Dept. of Transportation to proceed with the construction of the expressway. The Planning Advisory Committee felt that the County of Halifax should forward a similar request.

Subsequent to some brief commentary in support of the Sackville Expressway on the part of Councillor Wiseman; it was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the County of Halifax Council forward a request to the Department of Transportation, encouraging them to proceed at the earliest possible date, with the construction of the proposed Sackville Expressway."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Margeson recommended that, the difficulty of large trailer trucks turning off Highway 101 onto the Cobequid Road should be included in the letter to the Department of Transportation as an example of the relief which would be afforded by the Sackville Expressway and Warden Lawrence indicated to him that this would be taken note of.

The second item in the report was in regard to the Halifax-Dartmouth Regional Plan Review and was included for information purposes only.

Councillor Stewart indicated, also for information only, in regard to the Public Participation Advisory Committee, that Mr. Wayne Patterson, a citizen from Cole Harbour has been elected Chairman of that Committee.

The third item included in the Planning Advisory Report was in regard to the Cobequid Industrial Park, Proposed Planned Unit Development Agreement, Windsor Junction, District 14.

It was a request for a change of date for the Public Hearing on the Proposed Industrial Park from June 22 to June 29, 1981 at 7:00 P.M. This request was from the Developer.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the date for the Public Hearing in regard to the Proposed Cobequid Industrial Park be rescheduled to June 29, 1981 at 7:00 P.M."
Motion Carried.

At this point in the meeting, the Council agreed to revert back to the Department Heads Reports, as Mr. Wilson's financial information had arrived from the Dalhousie print shop.

MEETING WITH DEPARTMENT HEADS

Mr. Ken Wilson - Director of Finance

Mr. Wilson circulated to all Councillors a copy of a booklet containing the proposed 1981 Budget, general information, Revenue Projections and Expenditure Projections as well as a Data Processing Services Report. He requested that Council accept this information in its present state and set a date for a Committee of the Whole session to review the budget as it is, as well as other information available. Hopefully this review could be completed in one meeting, a week from today, May 26, which would allow a week's review and consideration before the Committee of the Whole session, at which time all Department Heads would be available. Hopefully, the tax rate could then be set at the June 2nd, Council Session.

It was agreed by Council that this report be accepted in its present state and that it be tabled until the Committee of the Whole session

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stewart:

"THAT a Committee of the Whole Session be held May 26, 1981 at 2:00 P.M. to discuss and review the budget."
Motion Carried.

Warden Lawrence advised that a meeting has been scheduled with the MLA's of Halifax County at Province House on May 27, 1981 at 9:30 A.M. to discuss, if necessary, budgetary matters. She further advised that when the meeting was set up it was to include members of the Management Committee only.

Mr. Wilson outlined and explained various aspects of both the Budget Report and the Report on Data Processing Services, to make them more comprehensible to the Councillors upon their review of them before the Committee of the Whole session.

Several Councillors requested additional clarification from Mr. Wilson which he provided to their satisfaction. Most of these questions were in regard to concerns which would arise in their own areas as a result of the budget and subsequent tax rate.

Subsequent to completion of Mr. Wilson's report, there was a five minute recess of Council.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT OF THE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Supplementary Management Report be received."
Motion Carried.

The first item to be dealt with on the Management Committee Report was in regard to the appointment of Municipal Solicitor.

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT Council accept the recommendation of the Management Committee and reappoint Mr. Robert Cragg to the position of Municipal Solicitor."
Motion Withdrawn.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux:

"THAT due to additional information received today (another applicant), this item be deferred until the June 2nd, Council Session."
Motion lost. (No seconder)

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT this item be discussed In-Camera."
Motion Carried.

It was also decided that, in addition to the press, that the two applicants, also leave the Council Chambers.

Subsequent to discussion of the various recommendations and qualifications of the two applicants, Mr. Robert Cragg and Mr. Wilfred Moore, Council agreed to come out of Camera to make its motion.

It was moved by Councillor Williams, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT Mr. Robert Cragg be reappointed as Municipal Solicitor for an additional term of one year."
Motion Carried.

Mr. Wilfred Moore thanked Council for its consideration of his application.

The next item in the Management Report to be dealt with was the 1981 Proposed Sidewalk Construction Program. The Management Committee recommended approval of the program subject to the concurrence of Councillor Smith regarding the proposed sidewalks in District 10.

Councillor Smith advised that she had not yet had an opportunity to meet with the Minister or with Mr. Gary Smith re the implications of the program in her area. However, upon Mr. Meech's advice Councillor Smith decided to leave her area in the proposed Capital Program for 1981 and submit it to the Department of Transportation on that basis, and in the interim before any final decisions are made to sign or execute the agreements, there would be ample time to determine what the financial impact would be on Councillor Smith's area in terms of recovering the money from the area. It was determined that in this way, Councillor Smith could opt out at the last minute if it was found that the financial implications would be too great.

Several other Councillors had some questions for Mr. Meech in regard to sidewalks in their area, which were answered to their satisfaction.

Another question brought up by several Councillors, including Councillor Smith, was in regard to maintenance of sidewalks, snow removal, etc., as to who was responsible for these matters.'

Mr. Meech advised that it was the responsibility of the Municipality, but that it was a problem in so far as the Department of Highways, who has the responsibility of Highway Maintenance, often in plowing the highways, completely cover or block the sidewalks with snow subsequent to the Municipality clearing them.

After some lengthy discussion of this matter, it was moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the 1981 Sidewalk Construction Program be approved by Council."

Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Municipality seek a meeting with the Minister of Highways as well as the Cole Harbour and Sackville MLA's to resolve the snow removal problem of sidewalks."

Motion Carried.

The third and final item of the Management Committee Report dealt with the Appointment of Municipal Auditors.

The Committee recommended to Council the reappointment of Thorne, Riddell Inc. as Municipal Auditors for the forthcoming year.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT the firm of Thorne, Riddell Inc. be reappointed Municipal Auditors for the forthcoming year."

Motion Carried.

This completed the report of the Management Committee.

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Council adjourn for one hour for supper."

Motion Carried.

POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT the Policy Committee Report be received."

Motion Carried.

As a result of reviewing a staff report respecting recreational

services in Sackville (copy of report contained in agenda) the Policy Committee recommended that Council endorse and approve the policy on recreation services in Sackville, as outlined in the report.

Subsequent to Mr. Meech outlining the recommendation and also subsequent to little discussion:

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Policy respecting recreational services in Sackville as outlined in the report by Mr. Meech be adopted by the Municipality."
Motion Carried.

The second item in the Policy Committee Report was in regard to Space Requirements for the Municipal Offices.

Mr. Meech advised that this matter as directed by Council had been reviewed and discussed by the Policy Committee which had approved a motion to request members of Council to indicate their desire to relocate the Municipal Building provided it can be demonstrated there will be savings in both operating costs and capital costs.

Mr. Meech outlined his alternate proposal for space requirements, which, assuming that Municipal Council was not prepared on the short term to authorize a large capital expenditure to accommodate the major spatial requirements in one central location, resulted in the physical separation of certain functions. This proposal (contained in the agenda) included the estimated costs of such a separation of facilities.

Councillors Eisenhauer and Wiseman spoke on the recommendation of the Policy Committee, stating the main objection to relocation of the Municipal Building was the high capital costs involved. The intent of the recommendation of the policy Committee was to determine Council's feeling on the relocation of the building if it is found to be a more sound economic decision than the alternative proposal.

Deputy Warden Deveaux was in part agreeable to this but emphasized that there were other alternatives such as adding on to the present structure and indicated that Council has not yet seen any plans in this regard or any detailed costs. He felt that all alternatives should be looked at in detail before any final decision is made.

Warden Lawrence advised that what the Policy Committee intended was to get an indication of whether or not the Council had any intention of building a new Administrative Facility. If it did not, then there would be no point in carrying out an elaborate study to determine whether or not it would be more or less expensive.

Mr. Meech further advised that some of the information the Deputy Warden was interested in had been provided to-date on a number of occasions in the original report and further advised that if the School Board, Library and Assessment Department were to relocate there would

definitely be no need to either build on to the present Municipal facility or to build another.

Councillor Topple made enquiries as to whether or not the City of Halifax was charging tax to the Municipality and further in regard to Mr. Meech's report, asked if the \$400,000 parking expense was necessary, advising that the cities of Halifax and Dartmouth do not provide parking for their employees or their Councillors and in fact very few employers do provide parking in the two cities.

He was advised that the present Municipal Building is not taxed and advised that the Municipality does provide parking for its employees and that the building is not situated in an area that is easily accessible by bus, as the downtown offices of the cities.

Councillor Benjamin was not happy with the wording of the Policy Committee recommendation as it did not clarify exactly what Council was voting on. He felt the wording of the motion should be changed to indicate whether or not Council is prepared to relocate the building regardless of the cost. He felt in accordance with the Deputy Warden and Councillor Topple that there were still a lot of options open for which no costs had been provided.

Warden Lawrence advised that there were figures in the back of the Report for every possible alternative.

Councillor Stewart felt that the time was not right for the Municipality to take on a major capital expenditure such as the construction of a new Municipal Building or even to add on to the present structure.

It was moved by Councillor Stewart, seconded by Deputy Warden Deveaux:

"THAT Council accept the alternate proposal concerning the Municipal Building as put forth in the Mr. Meech's Report as a viable solution for the immediate and intermediate future."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Williams indicated that the farming out of offices would be a good idea and was strongly in favour of relocating the Assessment Department in particular. He also made his opinion clear in regard to eventual relocation of the Municipal Building, if it came to that. He advised that if it was relocated it should go to the Watershed lands which were close to the present site, which were serviced with water and sewer and which would be available for a better price than land in Sackville.

Warden Lawrence clarified the motion for Council which was "That Council accept the alternate proposal, which specifies relocating library headquarters, combining the planning and development departments, relocating Social Services to the MacCulloch Building from its present location in the Egan House, relocating Assessment to the Egan House, relocating Engineering and Works within the present Municipal Building and restructuring the spaces in this Building which

are being utilized by some of the Departments at the present time.

Councillor Eisenhauer spoke on behalf of the motion on the floor but advised that he would always wonder if there could have been substantial savings to the taxpayer had the building been relocated.

Councillor Poirier was in favour of the alternate proposal on a temporary basis and advised that she was in possession of several letters from Halifax County taxpayers who felt that the present location of the building was a convenient one for them and felt that if additional space was required that the County should consider expanding the present facility.

Councillor Gaetz was in agreement with Councillor Poirier advising that people in his District were of the same opinion and he also felt that renovation or expansion should be considered if necessary, rather than constructing a new facility. He also supported the motion on the floor. Councillor Gaetz was not, however, in favour of relocating the Assessment Department as he felt it should remain close to the Tax Office.

Councillor Smith spoke in support of the motion and felt that future expansion of the present structure would be a solution to any future space requirements. She advised that the information provided by the Architect while gathering together costs for expanding the facility was never followed up on. She also expressed her concern in regard to the type of R.C.M.P. service the outlying areas of the County would receive in case of relocation.

Councillor Lichter was not in favour of accepting the alternate proposal, as it would incur large rental fees of nearly \$100,000 per year. Both he and Councillor MacKay felt that this money would be wasted in rental, when if applied to paying for a new building, would not be wasted and they also felt that this kind of money could pay for the new building in three years. Councillor MacKay advised that he would vote on the motion in the affirmative but that Council should also take a serious look at the construction cost of new building as opposed to the huge rental fees.

Councillor Williams advised that the rental fees would not be so high if Council looked at locating the Library and the School Board offices in one of the County's many empty schools which are still being maintained and heated. Mr. Meech advised that this would be looked into if the alternate proposal was accepted.

Subsequent to further discussion the question on the motion was requested.

At this point in the meeting Council agreed to deal with the Supplementary Report of the Policy Committee as Mr. Wilson was present in the Council Chambers to answer any possible questions.

SUPPLEMENTARY POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Deputy Warden Deveaux:

"THAT the Supplementary Policy Committee Report be received."
Motion Carried.

The only item in this report was in regard to the 1981 Area Grant allocations. The Committee had discussed a report with Mr. Wilson on the possibility of combined area rates of reasonably equal services within specific areas of the County of Halifax. The Committee recommended that Council accept the recommendation of the Director of Finance: That the 1981 Provincial Operating Grants be allocated on the same basis as in 1980.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Council accept the recommendation of the Director of Finance, that 1981 Provincial Operating Grants be allocated on the same basis as in 1980."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Gaetz had a few questions regarding this motion which were answered to his satisfaction by Mr. Meech. Subsequent to further brief discussion, this motion was carried.

Councillor Margeson was opposed to the motion.

POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

The next item in the Policy Committee Report was in regard to the Emergency Measures Act. The Committee had met with Mr. Gough several times in regard to proposed alterations in the Emergency Measures Act and had reviewed correspondence from Mr. Zwicker, Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities, and Mr. W. L. Campbell, M.L.A., Chairman, Select Committee on Emergency Measures. Subsequent to this review of correspondence and meetings with Mr. Gough, Emergency Planning Officer, the Committee recommended to Council the proposed changes to the Emergency Measures Act.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Benjamin:

"THAT Council endorse the recommended alterations to the Emergency Measured Act as outlined by Mr. Gough, Emergency Planning Officer."
Motion Carried.

The next item was in regard to the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws. The Committee had met with Mr. L. Denny, Executive Director, and Mr. Cragg, Municipal Solicitor, to review the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws (copy contained in agenda). The Committee recommended to Council for approval the Halifax Industrial Commission By-Laws. The Committee further recommended to Council that as a policy the Industrial Commission members be reimbursed reasonable

expenses of \$40.00 per meeting plus mileage.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Council approve the Halifax Industrial Commission By-Laws."
See Motion to Amend.

There was a great deal of discussion on this issue. Mr. Meech advised that if a Councillor is appointed as a Commissioner and subsequently ceases to be a member of Council, then that person must also cease to be a member of the Industrial Commission.

Many Councillors were concerned about the number of members on the Commission. They felt that 11 members were far too many as most Committees of Council had only about seven members and it was their opinion that seven or eight people on the Industrial Commission could do just a good a job if not better than a large eleven-member Committee.

Councillor Smith brought up the subject of the Commission fee of \$40.00 per member which according to the Policy Committee's recommendation, was to be paid to each Industrial Commission member per meeting. Councillor Smith advised that this payment was not made at the present time and that this Industrial Commission has not been very active in the past.

Warden Lawrence clarified that although the Industrial Commission By-Law does not permit the payment of Committee fees for Industrial Commission meetings that it does provide for reimbursement of reasonable expenses as necessarily incurred by members in their functions. She advised that this was recommended by the Policy Committee and that although it is compatible with Committee fees it will be called "reasonable expenses" as well as mileage payment.

Many Councillors were against the payment of \$40.00 per meeting, feeling that for 11 members this would run into a lot of dollars per meeting. However, it was clarified that the Commission did not intend to meet very often; perhaps once a month in the beginning and then quarterly.

Warden Lawrence did not feel it would be fair to expect these members to work without payment. She advised that many of the members would be Councillors who get paid for attending Committee meetings and who would probably not want to give up their time without some remuneration. She further advised that the cost of the Commission would be shared 50% by the Department of Development.

It was also determined that the Provincial Government was 100% responsible for the Eastern Shore Industrial Commission as it would be for the one located in the Sackville Industrial Park, and that the memberships of this Industrial Commission was quite large and each member (at the Eastern Shore Industrial Comm.) was paid \$80.00 for each meeting plus mileage.

Councillor Topple felt that the Province should not discriminate against certain parts of the County. He felt that if they were paying the members of the Eastern Shore and Sackville Industrial Commissions, that it should also take responsibility for the Halifax County Industrial Commission. He also felt that there were too many Industrial Commissions in Halifax County which would create a duplication of work, one Commission overriding the jurisdiction of another. He felt that perhaps there should be only one Commission and that the Provincial Government should be responsible for it.

The Warden advised that she had conveyed these feelings to the Minister who nevertheless felt that there should be a separate Industrial Commission in Sackville and the Eastern Shore.

It was moved by Councillor Topple, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT Council refer the Industrial Commission By-Laws back to the Policy Committee so that the Committee can negotiate with the Province for the full operating cost of the Halifax County Industrial Commission."

Motion Defeated.

Mr. Meech advised that he was in agreement with Councillor Topple regarding the duplication of work caused by more than one Industrial Commission advising that this could cause competition within the Municipality which would be in no-one's better interests. However, he felt that approval of the By-Laws would not detract from negotiations with the Province. He also advised that the cost of payment for the Industrial Commission members was small in comparison to the total cost of operating the Commission.

Councillors MacKenzie, Eisenhauer and Benjamin spoke against the motion.

Warden Lawrence pointed out some changes suggested by the Municipal Solicitor which would approve the By-Laws. These were:

1. On the first page the last definition said "Executive Director", this was changed to "Executive Director of the Commission.
2. In Section Two, Subsection One, there are two words which must be added; that the Commission shall consist of eleven members appointed by Council, one of which shall be the Warden of the Municipality of the County of Halifax "AND" a minimum of three "OTHERS" shall be Councillors of the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Therefore, the original motion, moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws be approved as amended."
Motion Carried.

At this point in the meeting Councillor Adams moved a vote of

Congratulations to Councillor MacKenzie who had been elected as District Governor for the Lions Club of Nova Scotia.

Membership of the Halifax County Industrial Commission.

Solicitor Cragg advised that the length of term for each Industrial Commission member is determined at the Commission's Organizational Meeting. He advised the Mr. Denny was going over the minutes of the Industrial Commission meetings in an effort to find out what terms, if any, were determined at the first organizational meeting. To date, the Solicitor had not been advised of any terms formulated but as it was not known for sure, and there were still six members on the Commission, it was determined that five members could be determined at today's Council Session and the remaining six at the next Council Session when this information should be available.

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT Councillor Poirier be nominated for appointment to the Industrial Commission."

It was moved by Councillor Baker, seconded by Deputy Warden Deveaux:

"THAT Councillor Williams be nominated for appointment to the Industrial Commission."

It was moved by Councillor MacKenzie, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT Mr. Duncan Crowell be nominated for reappointment to the Halifax County Industrial Commission."

It was determined that since Mr. Crowell was already still on the Commission it would not be necessary to nominate him today, until the terms of his appointment are clarified at the next session of Council at which time it will be determined also if he wishes to serve on the Commission again.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Councillor Benjamin be nominated for appointment to the Halifax County Industrial Commission."

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Councillor Baker be nominated for appointment to the Halifax County Industrial Commission."

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Councillor MacKay be nominated for appointment to the Halifax County Industrial Commission."

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT nominations cease."
Motion Withdrawn.

Councillors Gaetz and Margeson had wished to nominate Councillor Smith but it was determined that this would not be in order since Councillors Walker and Poirier had moved that nominations cease. There was a great deal of confusion at this point resulting in Councillor Walker withdrawing his motion.

There was further discussion regarding nominations but further nominations at this point were difficult as the terms of the six remaining memberships were not clear.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT any further appointments to the Industrial Commission be deferred until the first June Council session at which time pertinent information regarding whether the existing members wish to reoffer or in regard to the terms of their memberships will be brought forward."
Motion Carried.

There was a brief discussion regarding the five members nominated this evening and it was determined that since five were needed and five were nominated, that no election would be necessary and the five were now standing members of the Industrial Commission.

Councillor MacKay was opposed to this as Councillor Walker's motion had been withdrawn to allow more nominations.

Councillor Eisenhower felt that in nominating the six remaining members at the next Council Session consideration should be given to the background of the nominees, as the Council should take advantage of any experience the potential members of the Commission have to offer. He requested that Mr. Denny present to Council any strong feelings he may have on this matter.

Councillor Lichter advised that there had been two recommendations in the Policy Committee Report in regard to the Industrial Commission; one to approve the By-Laws and one regarding the payment of \$40.00 per meeting to each Commissioner; he did not recall any motion on the matter of the \$40.00 payment.

He was advised by Warden Lawrence that it had been her understanding that the motion regarding the By-Laws had encompassed the issue of the \$40.00 payment as well.

DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhower, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT the Report of the Director of Development be received."
Motion Carried.

ADDITION OF ITEMSCom-Serve Appointment

Councillor MacKay who had been on Com-Serve for the past year but whose appointment was now up, advised Council of what the ComServe Organization was and of the meeting schedule, advising that he could not renew his appointment as his work had prevented him from attending meetings.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Councillor Eisenhower be nominated for appointment to Com-Serve."

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT nominations Cease."
Motion Carried.

Therefore, it was determined that Councillor Eisenhower was the new representative from Municipal Council serving on Com-Serve.

Beaver Bank Schools

Councillor Margeson suggested that this item be deferred until the June 2, 1981 Council Session. Council agreed to defer this issue.

RESOLUTION TO DEFER ANNUAL COUNCIL SESSION

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhower, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT the Annual Council Session be deferred until the June 2, 1981 session."
Motion Carried.

NEW BUSINESS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

The May 21, 1981 regular Council session adjourned at 8:45 P.M.

MAY COUNCIL SESSION - 1981

INDEX OF REPORTS

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Management Committee	42-56
Municipal School Board	136-141
Policy Committee	57-116
Planning Advisory Committee	117-135

OTHER REPORTS

Chief Building Inspector	188-201
Correspondence	142-148
Department Head Reports	151-187
Director of Planning & Development	149-150
Social Services Expenditures	202