

Mr. Kelly advised that the Committee had also discussed the possibility of revisions to the Municipal Dog By-law, especially as it relates to fines as a deterrent to animals running loose; also, the Committee had felt that input from the SPC may be beneficial in any proposed revisions to the By-Law.

Mr. Kelly indicated to Council that the present fine for a dog impounded by the SPC is \$25.00 in addition to cost for boarding the animal during its stay at the SPC and the cost of licensing should the dog not be licensed when picked up.

Mrs. MacLean indicated her willingness to participate in any revisions of the By-Law; she also indicated that she was near to retirement and that Mr. Marsden would be taking her place.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the recommendation of the Management Committee relative to the animal control proposal for the following year, in the amount of \$165,090.00 be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

Subsequent to extremely lengthy discussions of proposed changes to the Halifax County Municipal Dog By-Law:

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Mrs. MacLean and Mr. Marsden of the SPC be invited to attend the Policy Committee to discuss and make recommendations regarding revisions to the Municipality's Dog Control By-Law."
Motion Carried.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Warden MacKenzie took this opportunity to make several announcements; he advised that Councillor Wiseman was away today due to illness. He also advised that Councillor Williams was back in the Infirmary; it was clarified that he was in Room 363.

As well, the Warden advised that Mr. Ken Meech was away today on one week's vacation and would be back July 12th. He indicated to Council members that if they had any questions which would normally be directed to Mr. Meech, they could refer them to Mr. Wilson who was acting in Mr. Meech's capacity.

LETTERS & CORRESPONDENCE

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Letters & Correspondence be received."
Motion Carried.

Letter From the Canadian Red Cross Society

A letter has been received from the Canadian Red Cross Society expressing appreciation for the recent Grant allocated to them in the amount of \$2,100.00.

This letter was for information only.

Letter From Nova Scotia Firefighters School

This letter was also sent in appreciation of Funds received, in the amount of \$1,000.00 and was also for County information only.

Letters From the Eastern Shore Tourists Assoc., Musquodoboit Harbour & District Board of Trade and Sheet Harbour Board of Trade

These three letters were all written in regard to the \$3,000.00 Grant given to the Eastern Shore Tourist Association. The Assoc. had requested a grant this year in the amount of \$4,000.00, which was \$1,000.00 over the Grant given to them in previous years.

The letters from the three Organizations mentioned above, were expressing disappointment and exception to this \$3,000.00. The letters also outlined various reasons why they felt the Eastern Shore Tourist Association was deserving of the extra \$1,000.00 this year. (Please refer to Letters in Agenda Book for clarification).

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT an additional \$1,000.00 be forwarded to the Eastern Shore Tourist Association making the total Grant to the Organization \$4,000.00 as per their request."
Motion Defeated.

The above motion was defeated subsequent to lengthy discussion of the issue. Councillors Topple and Deveaux were opposed to increasing the Grant on the basis that it would set a dangerous precedent whereby other and equally deserving organizations which had not received the full amount requested would present their requests to Council for equal consideration.

Councillor McInroy also spoke in opposition to increasing the Grant. As well, the Councillor took exception to the inference in the Letters and Correspondence, that a cut had been made in the Grant. He explained that no cut had been made, but that the Grant had been maintained at the same level as in previous years.

Councillor Margeson suggested that the Municipality investigate a means of utilizing the Capital Grant funds from the Districts involved, to raise the additional \$1,000.00.

Mr. Wilson, however, indicated this was not possible and advised that the Province, in issuing the Capital Grants to Municipalities, makes it very clear what the Grant can be used for which is capital related items only.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT this issue be referred to the Management Committee to investigate the possibility of utilizing Capital Grant funds to add to the Grant for the Eastern Shore Tourist Association."
Motion Carried.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Planning Advisory Committee Report be received."
Motion Carried.

Amendments to the Zoning By-Law No. 24, Re: Day Nurseries

Mr. Birch joined the Council Session at this time, to answer any questions from Council, if necessary.

Mr. Kelly outlined this item on the Planning Advisory Committee Report. He advised that the PAC Committee had dealt with a Report regarding amendments to the Municipality's Zoning By-Law with respect to day nurseries. (A copy of the Report was attached to the Agenda - Please refer to, if detail is required).

The Committee had heard a presentation by Mr. O'Carroll of the Planning and Development Department. Subsequently the Committee passed the following resolution:

"THAT a recommendation be forwarded to Council suggesting a Public Hearing be held on August 3, 1982 at the Regular Council Session to amend the Municipality's Zoning By-Law dealing with Day Care facilities."

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT a Public Hearing be held August 3, 1982 at the Regular Council Session, to amend the Municipality's Zoning By-Law dealing with daycare facilities."
Motion Carried.

Amendment to Sackville Municipal Development Plan

Mr. Birch outlined this item to Council advising: "At the June 14, 1982 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee, Councillor MacDonald brought to the Committee's attention, a property in Sackville owned by Mr. MacEachern who operates a sheet metal shop. During the municipal development plan process, Mr. MacEachern's property was given a Rural Residential Designation and R-6 Rural Residential Zone which made his business a non-conforming use.

Councillor MacDonald explained that Mr. MacEachern now wishes to expand his business, however, because of the type of designation and zone given his property by the Plan, he is not able to do so.

At that time, the Committee requested Staff to prepare a report outlining any possibilities that would accommodate Mr. MacEachern's needs. The attached staff report was presented at the June 21, 1982 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee, outlining two alternatives that would accommodate Mr. MacEachern's requirements. Staff indicated they would not be prepared to recommend an amendment to the Plan at this time for reasons outlined in the report.

After considerable discussion by the Committee, it was requested that staff make a site investigation to determine the exact type of use of Mr. MacEachern's business.

The action was carried out and at the June 28, 1982 meeting, staff confirmed that Mr. MacEachern operates and wishes to expand his metal shop. In concluding discussion, the following resolution was passed:

"THAT Council consider a Plan Amendment to accommodate Mr. MacEachern's business by means of exemption from the By-Law and contract with the Municipality."

If Council is in agreement with this action, it requires staff to draft an amendment and bring it forward at a future date."

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT Council consider a Plan Amendment to accommodate Mr. MacEachern's business, by means of exemption from the By-Law and Contract with the Municipality."
Motion Carried.

Lengthy discussion was held prior to the approval of the above motion.

Councillor Lichter indicated his support of the motion; however, he requested that future recommendations such as the above, from PAC, come to Council with the amended wording in order to expedite the amendment process.

However, Mr. Birch advised that in this particular case, it was expected that much staff work would go into the application and he did not want to authorize that much staff time before knowing that Council approval would be forthcoming.

Several Councillors indicated their dissatisfaction with the amount of amendments to the MDP coming forth. There were, they indicated, a great many small businesses which had inadvertently been zoned to a non-conforming use. Councillor Poirier was one such Councillor who had experienced this problem in her district; she indicated to Mr. Birch one small Bottle Exchange Business which had been overlooked in the Planning Process and was now non-conforming.

Mr. Birch advised that he would check into the situation and get back to the Councillor with some information in regard to it.

BUILDING INSPECTOR'S REPORT & SUPPLEMENTARY BUILDING INSPECTOR'S REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the Building Inspector's Report and the Supplementary Building Inspector's Report be received and the Applications Contained therein for lesser setback and lesser side yard clearance be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

Note: The applications were as follows:

Building Inspector's Report - Application for lesser setback of 24', located at 604, Ketch Harbour Road, Applicant John Power.

Supplementary Building Inspector's Report - Application for lesser side yard clearance of 4', located at 9C Ketch Harbour Road, Applicant Louise Purcell.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT, RE: SACKVILLE INDUSTRIAL PARK

Mr. Birch had prepared a Report with regard to the Sackville Industrial Park in response to Deputy Warden MacKay's request to have this item on the Council Agenda. The Report advised:

"The Planning & Development Department is in receipt of two applications regarding the above property. The first is for transfer of the new roads to the Department of Transportation, the second is to create 14 lots; three having frontage on the Cobequid Road, the remaining having frontage on the internal system.

The drainage problem which was raised at the outset of the proposed development, appears to consist of restricted culvert sizes downstream of the development. Increased run-off from this area thus aggravates and increases the problem. However, to this end, satisfactory comments from the Department of Environment would enable approval.

Notwithstanding perceived drainage problems, the Department of Transportation has so far refused to accept takeover of the internal road system. If this continues to be the case, we would only be able to consider approval of the lots fronting on the Cobequid Road."

Deputy Warden MacKay questioned Mr. Birch as to why the Department of Transportation had refused to takeover the roads from IEL.

Mr. Birch was unable to provide the Councillor with a detailed response to this question; however, he indicated his opinion that DOT must not be satisfied with the design and construction of the roads.

The Deputy Warden then indicated his understanding that the Municipality's approval would be dependent upon the comments of the Department of the Environment and he questioned if that Department's comments were positive, would the Municipality have any other alternative but to approve it. He was advised that the County would indeed be bound to approve the lots.

The Deputy Warden then suggested that the County's entire subdivision approval process be reviewed.

Mr. Birch advised that in terms of the Municipal Development Plans, the Planning & Development Department has suggested that the subdivision regulations be updated along with the Building By-Law and appropriate regulations.

The Deputy Warden advised that in this particular instance the County would have to wait for the appropriate provincial approvals. However, he reiterated his suggestion that the Regulations be reviewed, as having two bodies approving developments, the Municipality and the Province, was holding up developers.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Deputy Warden MacKay:

"THAT a letter be written to the Department of the Environment advising them of the progress of the Sackville Industrial Park with respect to lot approvals and requesting the appropriate information from them in order to get the Industrial Park into operation."
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT, RE: FENCING OF SWIMMING POOLS

Due to recent enquiries on the part of Deputy Warden MacKay with regard to whether or not there was Municipal Regulation or a By-Law respecting Fencing Around Swimming Pools, the following report had been prepared by Mr. Joseph C. Hefler:

"Please be advised that it is the present policy of this office and has been for some time that a permit for an inground swimming pool will not be processed unless it is accompanied by an application for the erection of 4' fence surrounding this pool. Any applicant applying for the installation of an inground pool is informed that it will not be processed until such time as they have agreed, either by way of permit application or by letter, that a minimum of a 4' fence be erected.

Although this is not required explicitly under the By-Law, this office does make this request on the grounds that an inground pool could be considered as a danger to the public and, in particular, small children unless a fence is installed to prevent access by the public.

It has been the experience of this office that most people when applying for a permit for the installation of a pool also wish to have the fence installed at that time for privacy reasons and, also, I believe that the erection of a fence enables the applicant to acquire cheaper insurance rates. The only exception of the policy is if application is made for an above ground pool which is usually four feet in height and it is the present policy of this office that a permit for the above ground pool will be processed without the erection of a fence where the size of the pool usually represents a four foot barrier."

Subsequent to brief discussion of this item:

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the issue of Fencing of Swimming Pools be referred to the Policy Committee for further investigation and recommendation."
Motion Carried.

Subsequent to the above, Mr. Birch retired from the Council Chambers:

REPORT OF THE HALIFAX COUNTY BOARD OF HEALTH

Mr. Kelly outlined this item, included in the Council Agenda, advising: "The Halifax County Board of Health held a special meeting to discuss the water quality of the Shubenacadie River.

A Report from the Department of Public Health revealed that the water is unsuitable for human purposes such as drinking, washing, cooking, bathing, etc.

There are approximately 25-30 families who use the Shubenacadie River for their source of water. They now have to find an alternate supply of water for their use. Dug wells, drilled wells or individual treatment plants are not suitable solutions for various reasons.

The Halifax County Board of Health passed a motion requesting the Halifax County Council to authorize a feasibility study to provide a central water system to the residents of the area from either one of the central water supplies serving Elmsdale or Lantz or the installation of a water treatment plant to serve the effected homes.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Toppie:

"THAT Halifax County authorize an "In-House Feasibility Study to provide a central water system to the residents of the area from either one of the central water supplies serving Elmsdale or Lantz or the installation of a water treatment plant to serve the effected homes."
Motion Carried.

The above motion was carried subsequent to Councillor Lichter's clarification that the Feasibility Study was to be an In-House Study for which no outside Consultants would be hired.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT a letter be written to the Department of the Environment indicating Council's concern regarding the deplorable state of the waterways in Halifax County and requesting information as to what can be done to protect this valueable resource and further that the UNSM be approached for their support in this matter."
Motion Carried.

This issue also initiated some debate in regard to the "Proposed Arsenic Extraction System" which had been researched by Dr. Whebb of the Nova Scotia Research Foundation.

Councillor Benjamin clarified that the device had been found to be unsafe due to the amount of maintenance which was required; it had been feared that if not properly maintained the device would release sufficient arsenic back into the wells in an amount large enough to do serious and immediate damage to humans. Therefore, it was still being researched to the point where it is now determined to be safe for use. However, Councillor Benjamin also indicated that the units are extremely expensive at the present time although as the demands increase for them, the price should drop.

Councillor Adams as well questioned what had happened to his motion which referred the 50%-50% cost sharing proposal regarding the supply of potable water, back to the Department of Municipal Affairs, for clarification of whether the homeowner or the County was to pay 50% along with the Province.

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Staff be requested to proceed with haste in requesting the Department of Municipal Affairs to forward the previously requested clarification of the Cost-Sharing policy for water supply."
Motion Carried.

SUPPER ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT Council adjourn for one-half hour for Supper."
Motion Carried.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

Upon returning to Council, the remaining portion of the Management Committee Report was dealt with.

School Capital Projects

Mr. Kelly outlined this item to Council, advising: "Mr. Meech advised the Committee that he held discussions with Lloyd Gillis and Dr. Morrison, respecting the transfer of all responsibility for the construction of school projects from the Municipality to the District School Board.

This change of responsibility has come about as a result of the Walker Commission Report, whereby responsibility for school construction will be between the District School Board and the Government Services Department of the Provincial Government.

One exception to this transfer was the Dutch Settlement School addition, as the Municipality had recently signed a contract for the construction of this school addition.

The Management Committee recommend to Council that the Municipality transfer all responsibility for school construction projects to the district school board with the exception of the Dutch Settlement School.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT the Municipality transfer all responsibility for school construction projects to the district school board with the exception of the Dutch Settlement School and the Beaverbank Elementary School."

Motion Carried.

The Deputy Warden explained the inclusion of the Beaverbank Elementary School in the motion, advising: "We are far enough along with this School now that we can complete it on our own."

SUPPLEMENTARY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the Supplementary Management Committee Report be received."
Motion Carried.

Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea Servicing Program

Mr. Wdowiak and Mr. Wilson joined the Council Session at this time to answer questions with regard to the above.

Mr. Kelly first outlined to Council, a memo written by the Chief Administrative Officer, prior to his going on vacation, with respect to the Servicing Program, as follows:

"The projected final cost of \$23,000,000. is approximately 4.5 million over the original estimate approved by Council and the Province in 1980. This situation as you can appreciate is perplexing as it changes drastically the additional monetary sums that must be secured to maintain the net cost to the property owner within reasonable limits and according to the \$15 to \$20 ranges communicated to the residents.

While the financial implications are difficult to accept, it appears equally unacceptable to terminate all construction at this point and permit the present works completed to remain inoperative.

The Staff Report tabled with the Committee suggests that the Council:

- a) Approve the remaining works and award contracts;
- b) submit a proposal for consideration by the Province requesting that the provincial grant be increased by 15 percent payable over the next 2-3 years;
- c) that Council authorize an allocation of \$1,500,000. from the General Capital grant Fund over the next 3 years towards the cost;
- d) that the per foot frontage charge to the property owners be established at the \$20.00 rate which was suggested as the upper limit to the residents.

The Management Committee recommends the aforementioned action for Council consideration."

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT Council approve the Management Committee recommendation with respect to the Servicing of the Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea area."
(See Motion to Amend)

This recommendation from the Committee initiated substantial discussion in Council. The majority of Council agreed with the recommendation with the exception of item (d) regarding the \$20.00 rate which was suggested as the upper limit to the residents. It was felt that since the Municipality would not receive additional cost-sharing on the estimated cost overruns, this \$20.00 limit would represent a further loss to the Municipality as there would be no way to pay for the overrun.

However, Councillor Poirier maintained the position that the residents of the area had approved the project based on the Municipality's promise that the services would not cost more than \$20.00 frontage charge.

As well, there was a good deal of discussion regarding the overrun itself. Mr. Wilson provided a lengthy report regarding the financial information on the project. (Please refer to the report circulated to Council members, for clarification of these figures).

As well, Mr. Wdowiak came forward to provide Council with information regarding clearwater sewer and sanitary sewer. (Please refer to his report also circulated to Council) Mr. Wdowiak's report advised that:

"...Clearwater sewer is considered an an integral part of any sanitary system constructed, in that it helps to ensure that the sanitary sewer is used for sanitary drainage only and not for discharge of storm sewer or inflow...For this reason it is respectfully recommended that the system as originally conceived be constructed....It is recommended that the last four phases which recently have been tendered, be awarded as originally conceived."

It was amended by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Benjamin:

"THAT item "D" regarding the per foot frontage rate of a maximum of \$20.00 be deleted from the Management Committee recommendation."
Amendment Defeated.

Subsequently, the question was called on the original motion:

Moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"As previously written."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Municipality request a full Report from the Consultants on the reasons for the cost overruns in the Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea Servicing project."
Motion Carried.

Mr. Wdowiak and Mr. Wilson retired from the Council Session.

POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Policy Committee Report be received."
Motion Carried.

Requests for District Capital Funds, District 9 and 7A

a) Lawrencetown Fire Hall Sub-Station - \$6,000. Mr. Kelly advised that the Policy Committee had received a request for a District Capital Grant of \$6,000 toward the construction of a Fire Hall Sub-Station in Lawrencetown. (A copy of the request was attached to the Council agenda).

In accordance with the recommendation of the Policy Committee,

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT Council approve a District Capital Grant of \$6,000 for the construction of a Fire Hall Sub-Station in Lawrencetown, District 9."
Motion Carried.

b) Westphal - Cole Harbour and Area Fire Department - \$10,740. Mr. Kelly advised Council that the Policy Committee had also received a request for a District Capital Grant of \$10,740. for the purchase of equipment for the Fire Department. (A copy of this request was also attached to the Council Agenda).

In accordance with the recommendation of the Policy Committee,

It was moved by Councillor Tople, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT Council approve a District Capital Grant in the amount of \$10,740. for the purchase of equipment for the Westphal-Cole Harbour and Area Fire Department, District 7A."
Motion Carried.

SENIOR CITIZEN'S HOUSING UNITS, COUNCIL RESOLUTION

A copy of a letter from Mr. D. Kerr, Regional Manager of the Nova Scotia Housing Commission was attached to the Council Agenda. This letter indicated that the Commission is considering the establishment of a fourteen unit Senior Citizens Project in Sackville. Mr. Kerr, requested that Council approve a resolution requesting the establishment of this project.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Council endorse the establishment of a fourteen-unit Senior Citizen's Housing Facility in Sackville."
Motion Carried.

UPDATE, RE: TRANSIT REPORT

This item was on the Council agenda as a result of a request by Deputy Warden MacKay.

Mr. Kelly advised that a response had been received from the Executive Director of the Metropolitan Authority advising that no response has been received as yet by the Authority from the Councils of the Cities of Halifax and Dartmouth.

The Deputy Warden then requested an update on the Provincial Grants allocation.

Subsequent to brief discussion, it was agreed that this item would be placed on the next Council agenda.

NEW BUSINESSReduce Speed Limit, Grand Lake Councillor Benjamin

Councillor Benjamin questioned whether there had been a response from the Department of Transportation to reduce the speed limit in Grand Lake. Mr. Kelly advised there has been no response to date from the Minister's office.

Councillor Benjamin also advised that back in April he requested someone to look into the infraction of the County's Anti Dumping By-Law by Mobile Concrete (between Bedford and Waverley) who are dumping unsightly effluent and piling up wrecked cars. He felt something should be done to rectify this problem.

Solicitor Cragg advised that he approached the Planning Department and was advised that they had carried out a study and felt that there was no basis for legal action. He said he would look into it further.

Councillor Benjamin also wished to advise Council on the water progress in the Waverley area and the satisfaction of the residents. He commended the Department of Public Works and asked that a letter be sent to that Department expressing his appreciation on behalf of the Waverley residents.

Deputy Warden MacKay

Deputy Warden Mackay wished to add two items to the Agenda for the next meeting:

1. Burnac Mall;
2. Policy - Absence of Warden and Deputy Warden

Councillor Gaetz - Derelict Vehicle Program

Councillor Gaetz questioned the derelict vehicle program. The Warden advised he presented a resolution to the Regional Meeting of the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities respecting the continuation of the Derelict Vehicle Program, but there are no funds from the Province at this point to do any further work.

Fence - South Side of Municipal Parking Lot, Councillor Margeson

Councillor Margeson suggested that the fence on the south side of the Municipal Property should be painted and asked that a letter be sent to the City of Halifax to have this carried out.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Margeson :

"THAT the meeting adjourn."
Motion Carried.

REGULAR COUNCIL SESSION

July 20, 1982

Present Were: Warden MacKenzie
Councillor Walker
Councillor Poirier
Councillor Baker
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Adams
Councillor Gaetz
Councillor McCabe
Councillor Lichter
Councillor Benjamin
Councillor Margeson
Deputy Warden MacKay
Councillor Eisenhauer
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Wiseman

Also Present: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
Mr. R. G. Cragg, Municipal Solicitor
Mr. K. S. Wilson, Director of Finance

Secretary: Virginia Veinot

OPENING OF COUNCIL - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie brought the session to order at 2:04 p.m. with the Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly called the Roll.

Warden MacKenzie welcomed Councillor Wiseman back to Council and advised he hoped she was feeling better. He advised that he had been in touch with the hospital with regards to Councillor Williams and is sorry to report that it is just a very short time, according to all reports, that he will be with us.

He suggested that there was not much point in members of Council going to see him. He advised that on Thursday of last week a presentation of an engraved tray with the County Crest on it was made to him on behalf of the Council by Councillors Baker, Poirier, Mr. Cragg and himself.

He also advised that Councillor Smith is on vacation and will not be with us for the next couple of weeks.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT Virginia Veinot be appointed Recording Secretary."
Motion Carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the minutes of the Regular Session of Council on June 14, 1982 be approved."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the minutes of the MDP Public Hearing held on June 23, 1982 be approved."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the minutes of the Regular Session of Council on July 6, 1982 be approved."
Motion Carried.

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the letters and correspondence be received."
Motion Carried.

OPENING OF THE ADDITION TO THE MILL COVE TREATMENT PLANT

Warden MacKenzie suggested that Mr. Kelly read the letter from Ed Wdowiak and then we can hear any comments from members of Council.

Mr. Kelly read the letter prepared by Mr. E. Wdowiak to Management Committee which advised that the opening of Mill Cove Treatment Plant is scheduled for July 28, 1982 at 7:00 p.m. Invitations from the Warden and Council are being prepared and will be extended to the Mayor and Council of the Town of Bedford, the Ministers of Environment, Health and Municipal Affairs; the MLA's for the areas, Mr. Streach and Mr. MacKay; and to Howard Crosby, MP for the District. It is also proposed to extend invitations to the Mayors of the City of Halifax and City of Dartmouth as well as appropriate staff of the Cities. Other guests as well as the press will be invited. A press release will be prepared by staff extending an invitation to the public.

The occasion will be hosted by the Warden who will introduce the various guests and extend introductory remarks as well as statistical information regarding pollution control by the Municipality. After

cutting the ribbon remarks may be made by any Cabinet Ministers that may be present followed by a brief non-technical description of the facilities at Mill Cove by Ed Wdowiak.

Following this there will be guided tours throughout the plant and coffee and doughnuts will be served subsequent to that.

Councillor Benjamin stated that on a point of information he presumed all costs of this reception is cost shared by the appropriate bodies.

Warden MacKenzie stated that as far as the costs are concerned they will be very limited because only coffee and doughnuts are being served.

Councillor Deveaux asked if anyone had a ball park figure on what it was going to cost.

Mr. Meech stated that he could only reiterate what the Warden has said and that is the cost would be almost negligible since all we are intending to do is have some coffee and doughnuts available for those people in attendance. If there is anything over and above that it will be picked up by either the contractor or the consultant.

Mr. Meech pointed out that all members of Council would receive an invitation.

It was indicated by the Members of Council that they were in agreement with the procedure as outlined for the Mill Cove opening.

Warden MacKenzie then called for any comments pertaining to the correspondence contained in the agenda book.

LETTER FROM THE MINISTER OF TRANSPORTATION

Councillor Lichter advised that he would like to comment on the letter from the Minister of Transportation dated June 8, 1982. He pointed out that he appreciated the clarification on their policy respecting up-grading of roads was forthcoming however he would like to mention to Council that approximately one year ago we had met with the former Minister of Transportation and at that point the Hon. Tom McInnis indicated that if Council felt that the standards for roads that were required by the Department of Transportation was excessive then Council should let him know. He pointed out that he realizes we have a new Minister of Transportation but he thinks that at a time when the provincial and federal governments are cutting back and recession is hitting so hard it is the time to approach the Minister of Transportation to indicate to him, if Council thinks along these same lines, that some of the road requirements they have are far too excessive.

Councillor Deveaux stated that it had come up at the Management Committee the matter of takeover of lanes and the fact that 100 percent of the signatures were required from the residents abutting the said road. He wondered if this came under different legislation.

Mr. Meech advised that this fell under category 3 as outlined in the letter which states that a road that serves a number of occupied dwellings must be reconstructed to the required subdivision standard at the expense of others and the right of way deeded to the Department free of charge.

Councillor Deveaux pointed out that this did not state any percentage of signatures.

Mr. Meech advised that it did state very clearly that they are not prepared to list the road unless all the necessary right-of-ways have been conveyed to them at no cost to the Department of Transportation and therefore they do not take any responsibility for obtaining the right-of-ways. It is more a policy of the Municipality that 100 percent of the signatures must be obtained so that we can convey the road to the Department of Transportation. It is really immaterial to the Department of Transportation whether the abutters willingly transfer the right-of-ways or whether the Municipality expropriates.

Councillor Deveaux asked if this would be going before the Policy Committee.

Mr. Meech advised that as a result of the Management Committee meeting it was concluded that the Policy Committee would be asked to review that particular policy and provide a report outlining their recommendation to Council.

Councillor Benjamin said that his interpretation of what Councillor Lichter had been saying is that we apply to the Department of Transportation to relax the standard of the older roads so that we can have less cost to the residents.

He advised that he would support any motion that Councillor Lichter would like to make in regard.

After discussion on this matter, it was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Benjamin:

"THAT we ask the Department of Transportation to consider and examine with haste, the possibility of less expensive requirements with respect to the takeover of roadways within the Municipality."
Motion Carried.

Opposed to this motion were Deputy Warden MacKay and Councillors Deveaux and Poirier.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the Report of the Director of Development be received."
Motion Carried.

REPORT OF THE HALIFAX COUNTY - BEDFORD DISTRICT SCHOOL BOARD

It was moved by Councillor McCabe, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the report of the Halifax County - Bedford District School Board be received."

Motion Carried.

Warden MacKenzie advised that both the Harbourview School and the Mooseland School were in his District. He felt that at this time we should not dispose of the 3 room Harbour View School, however the County should secure it so that no vandalism occurs. He felt that if there is any development re offshore oil, etc. that perhaps this building could be utilized at that time. He pointed out that the school at Tangier would require additional space if more students came to the area.

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the Harbourview School be protected from vandalism and disposal of the property be deferred for 3 months."

Councillor Benjamin suggested that perhaps this school could be used for possible industrial purposes.

Councillor Gaetz wondered how it would be possible to protect such a school from vandalism since he was experiencing problems of this nature in his area.

Councillor Eisenhauer felt that perhaps this should be referred to the Policy Committee to see if we have any community groups interested in taking over these schools and once this has been done the schools can go up for sale.

Councillor Margeson indicated that he was willing to withdraw his motion if this was more acceptable.

Mr. Meech advised that we presently have a policy that states that once a school is declared surplus and once it comes back into our ownership we first advertise this property for sale at the community level to determine if any community groups are interested in leasing or purchasing the property. After this it is advertised for sale by tender.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor MacKay:

"THAT the matter of surplus schools be referred back to the Management Committee for consideration and recommendation."

Motion Carried.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT - GRANT - EASTERN SHORE TOURIST ASSOCIATION

Mr. Meech advised members of Council that at the July 6th session Council referred this item to the Management Committee and also at that session Council rejected requests to reconsider the 1982 grant to the Eastern Shore Tourist Association.

He pointed out that the Management Committee had discussed with the Director of Finance the possibility of using District Capital Grant funds for this purpose but it was determined that the purposes for which these funds may be used are clearly identified and could not be used for this particular purpose. The Management Committee report therefore has no further recommendations to Council on this issue.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor MacKay:

"THAT the report of the Management Committee be received."
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE RE REZONING APPLICATION NO. RA-SA-8-82-18 - LANDS OF GORDON MACCULLOCH - OLD WINDSOR HIGHWAY, MIDDLE SACKVILLE RE SETTING OF PUBLIC HEARING DATE

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT August 10, 1982 at 7:00 p.m. be set as the date for the public hearing re rezoning lands of Gordon MacCulloch, Old Windsor Highway."
Motion Carried.

Councillor Lichter pointed out that it was not recommended for the 9th due to the Board of Health holding a meeting.

ADDITION OF ITEMS - DEPUTY WARDEN MACKAY (A) PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT GRANT, TRANSIT

Deputy Warden MacKay advised that he had asked to have this placed on the agenda to determine if any additional information or update had been received with respect to (a) the allocation of grants to respective participating municipalities and (b) methodology of cost and revenue allocations.

Mr. Meech stated that his latest information is that there has not been any change in the policy of allocation of the per capita grant or no particular decision taken by Metro Transit Commission at this point to adopt the recent reports dealing with methodology for cost allocation or for revenue sharing. The Department of Municipal Affairs will have no say in that it is up to Metro Transit Commission.

Councillor MacDonald advised that he is a member of the Metro Transit Commission and he stated that the other participating units think the County is getting a deal.

Councillor Eisenhauer felt we should write to the Minister of Municipal Affairs explaining that we feel the grant money should come directly to the County.

Deputy Warden MacKay stated that it was his intent that we ask the Department of Municipal Affairs to review the methodology of grant allocations and the reason for being specific in that direction is that the Sackville Advisory Board have had the exact same deliberations and

met with the Minister presenting those requests and the Chairman was requested by way of a letter from the Minister to give comments on paper which he did. That is why we were asking the Department of Municipal Affairs to review the methodology of the grant allocations so that they could be applied towards a respective municipality or given directly to the Municipality. There was also a part in there that we ask the Metropolitan Authority to look into it also.

Mr. Meech pointed out that he thought that had been clarified before because as a matter of policy, when regional transit came into place one of the requirements was that the money from the province was going to be channeled directly to M.T.C.

Deputy Warden MacKay stated his reason for pursuing this at this point in time is that we have already gone past the half way point in the year and the way Metropolitan Transit works is that to effect any route changes you have to give them a minimum of three months notice. He indicated that last year they had some changes and it took about 6 months to get the changes made and they are still paying the bills. So if we are going to do anything in 1983 now is the time to do it.

He pointed out that he did not feel we were getting our fair share as far as transit goes for what we are paying for the level of service that we receive.

He stated that he was very enthused about the study re the methodology of the allocation of cost and revenue that Metropolitan Transit had presented to the respective Councils. This meant a very definite saving for us.

Mr. Meech advised that the Council has gone on record as favouring a change in the present policy on the distribution of the per capita grant and that has been communicated and the resolution requested our two representatives to pursue that matter. On the other issue of the implementation of those reports that had been done we have gone on record that we support those. Council has therefore given direction to our representatives on both those bodies (Metropolitan Authority and M.T.C.) that those are the issues that we consider are not to our liking.

Mr. Kelly advised that he had contacted Mr. McKim and Mr. McKim could not recall having discussed this at any recent meetings, however he did recall discussing it about a year ago. He had no update to provide on this issue.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor MacKay:

"THAT Mr. Meech prepare a submission for consideration to the Minister of Municipal Affairs attempting to articulate as to why we feel there should be a change at the Provincial level respecting the distribution of the per capita grant."
Motion Carried.

BURNAC MALL, SACKVILLE

Councillor MacKay suggested that this matter be deferred to the next session.

POLICY RE AVAILABILITY OF WARDEN AND/OR DEPUTY WARDEN

Deputy Warden MacKay advised that he had intended that this item be referred to the Policy Committee.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the matter of the policy respecting the availability of the Warden and/or Deputy Warden be referred to the Policy Committee for discussion."
Motion Carried.

NEW BUSINESSA) BILL 107 - PROTECTION OF PROPERTY

Deputy Warden asked if something else could be considered by the Solicitor and brought back to a Policy Committee or Council concerning the review of Bill 107 which was recently passed by the Provincial government to protect property. There are two specific points in this bill: Section 3, Clause D which refers to dumps or deposits of material of any kind or causes, suffers, or permits material to be dumped on premises. The reason for reviewing that part is that there might be something in it that might strengthen our Anti-Dumping By-Law. The other, (E), is "enter on premises where entry is prohibited by notice" and Mr. Cragg had some correspondence from Mr. Markesino re some of the green areas and whether we could legally install signs. So perhaps Mr. Cragg could review this and provide his comments on this.

Councillor MacDonald referred to page 3, no. 4, which also appears to be a problem respecting the R.C.M.P. and the Municipality and loitering around public places. He pointed out that he felt this could be used in our loitering problems.

Mr. Cragg advised that he believed it would and he advised that as recently as yesterday afternoon the R.C.M.P. agreed to prosecute 7 persons under our Loitering By-Law. So with this new legislation and the policy recently adopted by the R.C.M.P. we can overcome the problem.

Councillor Deveaux advised that it was his understanding that they cannot pick the person up for loitering they can only issue a ticket or have him appear in court.

Mr. Cragg advised that this was essentially correct. He reiterated that as recently as yesterday afternoon a policy was devised with the R.C.M.P. whereby they will go and attempt to remove these persons and if they won't go then they will be advised that they will be charged pursuant to the provisions of our Loitering By-Law and if they do not

move immediately that they will be charged under the Criminal Code with obstructing a police officer and refusing the demands of a police officer.

B) Cemetery - Maintenance Problem - Councillor Wiseman

Councillor Wiseman advised that she has had a problem that has arisen in her district in the past several weeks where one of the cemeteries has had extremely poor maintenance and people who have paid for lots are now finding that the maintenance agreements that they have had are no longer being complied with. She asked the Solicitor or the Chief Administrative Officer where she could turn for help and who has the authority to control a cemetery such as this.

The Warden asked if Councillor Walker would have any information on this subject.

Councillor Walker suggested the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs or the Better Business Bureau.

C) Storm Drainage problems - Tamarack Circle - Request for District Capital Grant - Councillor Wiseman

Councillor Wiseman stated that she had one other item concerning a number of severe storm drainage problems and severe ground water problems, in particular Tamarack Circle. She then had copies of a request for a capital grant circulated to the members of Council and stated that this was to correct problems and she asked that Council examine it and agree to have the money come out of the District Capital Fund so that she could get this project moving. She went on that there were six properties involved and these are developed into the side of a hill. In back of the properties is a green area owned by the County and most of the storm drainage has developed from that area. In discussion with the Housing Commission they have offered to pay 50 percent of the total cost of correcting these problems and a further 25 percent will come from the District Capital Grant Fund with the remaining 25 percent to be paid by the property owners themselves.

She indicated that the total estimated cost for the project (from contractor's quotes) is \$13,424. Out of this amount, \$6,702. has been committed by the Housing Commission and a further \$3,356. will be picked up by the property owners themselves.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT Council approve \$3,356. be awarded to correct the storm drainage and groundwater problems on Tamarack Circle in District 20."

Motion Carried.

Councillor Eisenhauer questioned if we could legally collect from the property owner if they refused to pay. Mr. Meech advised this will be examined before we enter into any contract.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Council adjourn."
Motion Carried.

Council adjourned at 4:05 p.m.

JULY COUNCIL SESSION - 1982

INDEX OF REPORTS

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Halifax County-Bedford District School Board	49
Management Committee	29-32
Policy Committee	33-38
Planning Advisory Committee	39-48

OTHER REPORTS

Chief Building Inspector	71-83
Correspondence	56-70
Director of Development	50-54
Halifax County Board of Health	55
Social Services Expenditure	84

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT
COUNCIL SESSION - JULY 6, 1982

School Capital Projects

Mr. Meech advised the Committee that he held discussions with Lloyd Gillis and Dr. Morrison respecting the transfer of all responsibility for the construction of school projects from the Municipality to the District School Board.

This change of responsibility has come about as a result of the Walker Commission Report, whereby responsibility for school construction will be between the District School Board and the Government Services Department of the Provincial Government.

One exception to this transfer was the Dutch Settlement School addition, as the Municipality has recently signed a contract for the construction of this school addition.

The Management Committee recommend to Council that the Municipality transfer all responsibility for school construction projects to the district school board with the exception of the Dutch Settlement School.

Animal Control Proposal, SPC

The Management Committee received a proposal for animal control in the Municipality of the County of Halifax for the following year, from Mrs. Gladys MacLean, Secretary Manager, SPC.

Attached is a copy of the proposal.

The proposal requires an amount of \$165,090.00 for animal control in the Municipality for the following year, an increase of approximately 8% over last year.

The Management Committee recommend to Council for approval the animal control proposal by SPC for the following year in the amount of \$165,090.

NOVA SCOTIA SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY

(INCORPORATED 1877)

HEADQUARTERS
5737 McCULLY STREET
HALIFAX, N. S.
B3K 1R4

June 15, 1982

Mr. G.J.Kelly,
Administrative Assistant,
Municipality of Halifax,
Halifax County, N.S.

Dear Mr. Kelly:

This proposal is late due mostly to the fact that I have been away from the office and the other reason is that I have worked and worked on this submission to keep it to a minimum.

I realize we are all struggling with high interest rates and an economy that is getting worse daily.

The salaries reflect only a token raise, not nearly meeting the cost of living. The animal control officers are all doing a good job and have not lost their interest, which is a plus on our side. I am pleased to report that we have been able to hold our present staff and I feel that speaks well for all concerned.

Hoping this proposal meets favorably with the Councillors.

Encl.

Sincerely,

Gladys D. MacLean
Gladys D. MacLean (Mrs)

Secretary Manager

ANIMAL CONTROL PROPOSAL

May 1, 1982 - April 30th. 1983

Salaries	\$96,667.00
Car Expenses	44,903.00
Rent of Kennels	17,520.00
Communications	<u>6,000.00</u>
	\$165,090.00

This shows an increase of 9.3 % over last year.

The increase is only reflected in salaries and car expenses.

We are trying to keep car expenses to a minimum by going to smaller trucks, however, with gasoline prices forever going up we seem to be waging a never ending struggle.

In checking over our contract I have discovered that the contract runs from May 1st. until April 30th. and not from July 1st. to June 30th. as we previously thought.

Monthly: this proposal would amount to \$ 13,757.50 per month against \$ 12,785.42 which we have been receiving for the past eight months which is an increase of \$ 972.00 per month.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT
COUNCIL SESSION - JULY 20, 1982

Grant, Eastern Shore Tourist Association

Municipal Council at the July 6, 1982 Session referred this item to the Management Committee. Also, at the July 6, 1982 Session, Council rejected requests to re-consider the 1982 grant to the Eastern Shore Tourist Association.

The Management Committee discussed with Mr. Wilson, Director of Finance, the possibility of using District Capital Grant funds for this purpose, but it was determined that the purposes for which these funds may be used are clearly identified and could not be used for this particular purpose.

The Management Committee report to Council that this matter has been reviewed as directed and the Committee has no further recommendations to Council on this issue.

M I N U T E S & R E P O R T S

OF THE

T H I R D Y E A R M E E T I N G S

OF THE

F O R T I E T H C O U N C I L

OF THE

M U N I C I P A L I T Y O F T H E C O U N T Y O F H A L I F A X

AUGUST COUNCIL SESSION

TUESDAY, AUGUST 3 and 17, 1982

&

PUBLIC HEARING

MONDAY, AUGUST 10, 1982

PUBLIC HEARING

AUGUST 10, 1982

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman
Councillor Poirier
Councillor Baker
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Topple
Councillor Adams
Councillor Gaetz
Councillor Smith
Councillor Lichter
Councillor Benjamin
Councillor Margeson
Deputy Warden MacKay
Councillor Eisenhauer
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Wiseman

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor
Mr. Keith Birch, Chief of Planning & Development
Mr. Bob Gough, Director of Development
Mr. Mike Hanusiak, Planner

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

OPENING OF PUBLIC HEARING - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie opened the Public Hearing at 7:10 P.M. with The Lord's Prayer.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary.
Motion Carried.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

PRESENTATION BY STAFF

Mr. Mike Hanusiak came forward and explained to Council that the Public Hearing tonight was to deal with a request to rezone Lands of Gordon McCulloch, Lot X-105 of the Maxwell Subdivision, located on the Old Windsor Road, Middle Sackville, Halifax County from R-6 (rural residential zone) to R-3 (Mobile Dwelling Zone).

Mr. Hanusiak referred to the Staff Report regarding this application, No. RA-SA-8-82-18 which detailed information relative to the application, description of the lot and surrounding area, as well as the positive comments of the Nova Scotia Power Corporation and information regarding the Municipal Development Plan now in place in Sackville. (Please also refer to Report for this information).

He outlined the recommendation of the Planning & Development Department with regard to this application, as follows:

"The Planning and Development Department recommends that this application be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning should not create any significant disturbance since the intended use is merely an extension of that which presently exists in the immediate area;
2. The site is of sufficient size to accomodate not only the proposed mobile home, but also the accompanying well and septic tank;
3. The proposed use is merely a duplication of the land use that existed prior to October 6, 1976;
4. The existence of the Nova Scotia Power Corporation's easement and right-of-way will serve as a natural separation for anyone wishing to locate to the west of the site in question. Thus, two dissimilar land uses can take place with neither use acting as a detriment to the enjoyment of the other."

The concluding recommendation was:

"Based on the findings of the study prepared by the Department of Planning and Development, it is recommended that Lot X-105 of the Maxwell Subdivision, located on the Old Windsor Highway be rezoned from R-6 Zone to R-3 Zone."

Mr. Hanusiak also circulated to the Council, photographs of the property.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR OF REZONING APPLICATION NO. RA-SA-8-82-18

None.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION TO REZONING APPLICATION NO. RA-SA-8-82-18

None.

MOTION AND DEBATE BY COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Rezoning Application RA-SA-8-82-18, a request to rezone Lands of Gordon MacCulloch, Lot X-105 of the Maxwell Subdivision, located on the Old Windsor Road, Middle Sackville, Halifax Co., District 18, from R-6 (Rural Residential Zone) to R-3 (Mobile Dwelling Zone) be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

This concluded the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No. RA-SA-8-82-18.

ITEM NO. II - VILLAGE VILLAS PUD, ESTATE LOT, SHAD BAY

Mr. Birch came forward at this time to advise Council of a proposed change of date for the Village Villas P.U.D. Agreement and Estate Lot at Shad Bay.

Mr. Birch advised that due to circumstances beyond the control of the Planning Department, notices of the two Public Hearings scheduled for August 30, 1982 were not placed in the appropriate edition of the Chronicle Herald or Mail Star. While this omission would not affect the Public Hearing date for the rezoning of an Estate Lot at Shad Bay (Application No. RA-24-9-82-04), it negates any possibility of holding the Public Hearing on the proposed transfer of interests in the Village Villas P.U.D. Agreement on the above mentioned date (to do so would contravene the Requirement of Notice Provisions as set forth under Section 7(2) of the Planned Unit Development By-Law).

Mr. Birch then advised that it was the recommendation of the Planning Advisory Committee, subsequent to its discussion of this matter, that County Council reschedule the Village Villas Public Hearing to either Tuesday, September 7th or Wednesday, September 8th, 1982 at 7:00 P.M. The Committee further suggested that, for financial reasons, the Public Hearing for the rezoning of the Estate Lot at Shad Bay also be changed so as to coincide with the Village Villas Public Hearing.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT the Public Hearings to deal with both the Village Villas PUD and the rezoning of the Estate Lot at Shad Bay be held September 13, 1982 at 7:00 P.M." Motion Carried.

Prior to the passing of the above motion, there was considerable discussion in Council with regard to the error made by the Advertising Agency. Councillor Topple wondered why the Municipality's own Staff did not take care of advertising needs, rather than an outside Firm.

Mr. Birch advised that this is the first time any error has ever been made and was simply an unfortunate occurrence probably due to vacation schedules and over-work usually associated with this time of year. He advised that by using the Agency the Municipality saves one third percent on the usual cost of advertising which goes in the bank toward the Municipality's public relations expenses.

Council also discussed the suggested date for the Public Hearing, which was either September 7th or September 8th. It was determined that many Councillors would be unavailable at that time due to the UNSM Conference. This resulted in the change of date as noted in the motion.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the Public Hearing adjourn." Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Public Hearing adjourned at 7:30 P.M.

I_N_D_E_X

August Council Session - 1982

Access-A-Bus -----	5
Aerotech Industrial Park -----	16-17
Burnac Mall -----	17
Co-Operative Housing Ltd. Development Proposal - Public Hearing -----	6
Capital Fund - District #8 -----	24-25
Day Care Nurseries -----	2-5
District Capital Grant Funds -----	10-12
Director of Development Report -----	21
Dedication Plaques -----	22-23
East Chezzetcook School - Tender -----	23
Expropriation - Snair Property -----	24
Emergency Measures - By-Law -----	25-26
Halifax County Industrial Commission - Appointment of Members -----	21-22
International Conference on Oil and the Environment Representatives -----	12
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary -----	1-2 & 20
Motion - Day Care Nurseries -----	2-5
Motion - Access-A-Bus -----	5
Motion - U.N.S.M. Delegates -----	6
Motion - Senior Citizens Housing Units - Forest Hills	6
Motion - Public Hearing re Co-Operative Housing Ltd. Development Proposal -----	6
Motion - Public Hearing, Rezoning Application #RA-24-9-82-04 -----	7
Motion - Storm Drainage Task Force -----	7
Motion - Miller Lake Subdivision Water System ---	8
Motion - Salary Increase re Rehab Centre Administrator	8
Motion - Policy Committee Report -----	9
Motion - Storm Drainage Projects, Astral Drive & Holly Oake -----	9-10
Motion - District Capital Grant Funds -----	10-12
Motion - Pipeline Crossings -----	12
Motion - Representatives re International Conference on Oil and The Environment -----	12
Motion - Musquodoboit Industrial Development Program	13-16
Motion - Aerotech Industrial Park -----	16-17
Motion - Burnac Mall -----	17
Motion - Removal of Naval Reserves -----	18-19
Motion - Adjournment -----	19 & 32
Motion - Approval of Minutes -----	21
Motion - Director of Development Report -----	21

Index
August Council Session - 1982
Page 2

Motion - Appointment of Member re Halifax County Industrial Commission -----	21-22
Motion - Dedication Plaques -----	22-23
Motion - Tender re East Chezzetcook School -----	23
Motion - Expropriation re Snair Property -----	24
Motion - Capital Fund, District #8 -----	24-25
Motion - Emergency Measures By-Law -----	25-26
Motion - Implementation re Municipal Development Plan -----	26-28
Motion - Planning Department Supplementary Report	28
Motion - Sackville MDP & Zoning By-Law -----	28-29
Motion - Public Hearing Costs -----	29-30
Motion Sackville Landfill Site -----	30-31
Naval Reserves - Removal -----	18-19
Public Hearing, Rezoning Application #RA-24-9-82-04	7
Policy Committee Report -----	9
Pipeline Crossings -----	12
Planning Department Supplementary Report -----	28
Public Hearing - Costs -----	29-30
Recording Secretary - Appointment -----	1-2 & 20
Rehab Administrator - Salary Increase -----	8
Senior Citizens Housing Units - Forest Hills ---	6
Storm Drainage Task Force -----	7
Storm Drainage Projects - Astral Drive & Holly Oake	9-10
Sackville MDP & Zoning By-Law -----	28-29
Sackville Landfill Site -----	30-31
U.N.S.M. Delegates -----	6