

M I N U T E S & R E P O R T S

OF THE

T H I R D Y E A R M E E T I N G S

OF THE

F O R T I E T H C O U N C I L

M U N I C I P A L I T Y O F T H E C O U N T Y O F H A L I F A X

O C T O B E R C O U N C I L S E S S I O N

T U E S D A Y , O C T O B E R 5 , 1 9 8 2

&

P U B L I C H E A R I N G

O C T O B E R 2 5 , 1 9 8 2

PUBLIC HEARING

REZONING, SELECTOOL - AMENDMENT TO EASTERN PASSAGE ZONING BY-LAW

OCTOBER 25, 1982

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman  
Councillor Walker  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Baker  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor McInroy  
Councillor Topple  
Councillor Adams  
Councillor Gaetz  
Councillor Smith  
Councillor Lichter  
Councillor Margeson  
Deputy Warden MacKay  
Councillor Eisenhauer  
Councillor MacDonald

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk  
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor  
Ms. Valerie Spencer, Supervisor, Planning-Policy Division  
Mr. Mike Hanusiak, Staff Planner  
Mr. Bob Gough, Director of Development  
Mrs. Dorothy Cartledge, Staff Planner  
Mr. Keith Birch, Chief of Planning & Development

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

-----  
Warden MacKenzie brought the Public Hearing to order at 7:05 P.M. with  
The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary."  
Motion Carried.

PUBLIC HEARING

Warden MacKenzie advised that the Public Hearing had been called this evening to deal with Rezoning Application No. RA-EP-16-82-06, a Request to rezone properties owned by Carol Gorham, Muriel Irene Soward and Ralph Naugle, located on the northeastern side of the Cow Bay Road at Eastern Passage from P-2 (Community Facility Zone) to R-2 (Two Unit Dwelling Zone); and Rezoning Application No. RA-EP-13-82-06 a request by Selectool Machine Company Ltd. to rezone portions of lots 16, 17 and 18 of the Arlington Heights Subdivision, located at the corner of Shore Road and Howard Ave. at Eastern Passage.

The Warden then outlined to those present in the Council Chambers, the procedure to be followed for the Public Hearing.

NO. 1, APPLICATION RA-EP-16-82-06 - STAFF REPORT

Mrs. Dorothy Cartledge approached Council at this time to outline a memo from Valerie Spencer which had been prepared in regard to Application No. RA-EP-16-82-06. This Report indicated:

"...that the Minister of Municipal Affairs approved the MDP and By-Law for Eastern Passage-Cow Bay on Wednesday, September 8, 1982, Concurrent with this, staff became aware of an error in one of the zoning schedules - unfortunately too late to have it corrected at the Ministerial level. This error should be corrected by an amendment to the zoning By-Law.

Three residential properties located in an area of institutional uses and therefore in the Community Facility Designation are also included in the P-2 (Community Facility) Zone, which does not permit dwellings. These homes have, therefore, been made non-conforming. The Committee can recommend one of two options:

1. that the P-2 Zone be changed to permit existing dwellings with residential type zone requirements;
2. that the zone on the three lots be changed to a residential zone.

I (Valerie Spencer) recommend the second option as more appropriate in terms of the intent of the plan. The R-2 Zone is the most prominent residential zone in Eastern Passage and should be used in this instance. In order to reduce the public costs of the amendment, the public hearing should be held on the day of a regularly scheduled Council session. There is no reason from a planning point of view that this amendment should be opposed.

It is recommended that:

1. the properties identified...be zoned to an R-2 Zone by amendment to Schedule "A" of the Zoning By-Law for Eastern Passage-Cow Bay."

Mrs. Cartledge advised that this Public Hearing had been duly advertised in accordance with provisions of the Planning Act and no correspondence had been received in response.



QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

There were no questions from Council, as the above-mentioned memo was self-explanatory.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

None.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

None.

MOTION AND DISCUSSION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT properties owned by Carol Gorham, Muriel Irene Soward and Ralph Naugle, located on the northeastern side of the Cow Bay Road at Eastern Passage be rezoned from P-2 Zone to R-2 Zone via an amendment to Schedule "A" of the Zoning By-Law for Eastern Passage-Cow Bay."

Motion Carried.

NO. 2, APPLICATION RA-EP-13-82-06 - STAFF REPORT

Mr. Mike Hanusiak approached Council at this time to outline the Staff Report relative to the above-mentioned rezoning application. He advised that the Public Hearing had been advertised in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act and that no correspondence had been received in response to the advertisement.

The Staff Report read:

"The land in question is the former site of the Seabreeze Restaurant - a dining and tavern establishment, which ceased operation a number of years ago. In its place, the applicant is proposing to establish a machine shop, devoted mainly to the the manufacturing of special nuts, bolts, rollers, spacers, and non-standard items according to customer requirements.

Involved in the operation will be various pieces of machinery including, lathes, drill presses, and milling machines. The applicant has stated however, that no smelting or welding equipment will be employed. Furthermore, the entire operation, including the storage of all raw materials and finished products will be accomodated within the existing structure."

The Report also gave a detailed description of the Lot and the surrounding area. (Please refer to report for this information).

Continuing, the Report indicated:



"The avenue by which Council may entertain and ultimately approve the proposed rezoning is found under Policy P-88 of the Eastern Passage - Cow Bay Municipal Development Plan. It states:

"In recognition of existing industrial use and the transition of residential use within the Industrial Designation, Council shall also establish an industrial zone which permits the development of light and service industrial uses."

The criteria by which rezoning applications are to be assessed is found under policy P-88 of the Plan. The specific criteria forms Appendix "A" of this Report."

The comments of the Department of Planning and Development were outlined as follows:

"The Department of Planning and Development recommends that the proposed rezoning be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning is in conformity with the intent of the Plan as required under section (i) of policy P-88;
2. The proposed rezoning is anticipated to create less of a disturbance (in terms of noise or visual problems) than that which was experienced during the time the tavern was in operation. This is an essential point for consideration as directed by section (iii) of policy P-88;
3. The existing structure is of sufficient size to accommodate not only the machine shop, but also the storage of any raw materials or finished products;
4. In light of the fact that the majority of land on the subject property is already paved, there exists a ready and ample parking area for customers as well as employees."

#### QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

The Deputy Warden referred to the words "portions" of lots; he questioned what zoning would apply to the remainder of the lots. It was his concern that the lots would carry overlapping zoning. However, Mr. Hanusiak advised that the reason the property is referred to as "portions" of lots is simply because that is the way the land is described in the legal description and it must be carried through. He advised that there would be no overlapping zoning on the property.

Councillor Poirier questioned whether this rezoning request was a result of an oversight in the Plan.

The Councillor was advised by Mr. Hanusiak that it was not; he indicated that the building itself was the site of the Seabreeze Tavern which ceased operation a number of years ago. The Selectool & Machine Shop, he indicated, were presently operating in Dartmouth but wish to relocate to this site. He advised that the property was presently zoned C-5 and indicated that there was a great deal of C-5 zoning in that area.

In response to questioning from Councillor Poirier as to what was permitted in a C-5 Zone, he advised that very high intensive commercial operations would be permitted to locate in C-5; this would be just a stage below the light Industry Zone. The only difference between facilities and operations which would be able to locate in an Industry Zone as opposed to C-5, is that such operations would be doing manufacturing.

Councillor Poirer questioned whether it would be permissible for people to simply request a Public Hearing for rezoning, regardless of the plan.

Mr. Hanusiak advised that it would not necessarily be allowable; however, in this case the properties in and around the land in question have Industry, some of C-5, and C-2 zoning. Therefore, the requested zoning is obviously close to the intent of the plan.

Councillor Poirier advised that this particular situation indicates good thinking on the part of the Public Participation Committee as the plan includes some degree of flexibility.

Councillor Deveaux advised that the property in question was not bordering on the Shore Road but was bordering on the Main Highway.

Mr. Hanusiak advised the Planning Staff were aware of this; however, they referred to it as bordering on the Shore Road to coincide with the legal description.

#### SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

Mrs. Mary Huckle, Secretary - Selectool & Machine Co. Ltd.: Mrs. Huckle advised that she resided at 14 Overdale Lane in Dartmouth. She advised that her husband was a major shareholder of Selectool & Machine Co. Ltd. which had been in operation in excess of eight (8) years. She indicated that they began their operation at Windsor Junction, Halifax County and moved to their present location in the Old Sugar Refinery, Pleasant Street, Dartmouth, several years ago. Mrs. Huckle advised Council that a number of the buildings on the Sugar Refinery Property, have already been demolished and the one which the Company now occupies is also scheduled for demolition; therefore, it is imperative that they find a new location.

The Seabreeze Tavern, she advised, was discovered and was thought to be a suitable location; the owners were located by Selectool who then put an offer on the building subject to tonight's Public Hearing.

Mrs. Huckle advised that she and her husband have spoken to the residents in and around the area who don't appear to have any objections. She advised that their only objection would be to have a similar operation as the Tavern locate back in the building. She also advised that there was little residential development adjacent to the property. It was therefore, the opinion of Selectool that no disturbance would be created. She also advised that if the C-5 zoning were to remain, this would have permitted an autobody shop which could have car bodies,

etc. to sit outside. However, since Selectool does actually manufacture it would therefore, come under Industrial Zoning.

Mrs. Huckle advised that all work would be taking place inside the building. As well, she indicated that the side of the building which is immediately next to a duplex has no windows, but is a solid wall, so little or no noise should escape here; the other side she indicated was the main highway, and the other Howard Avenue. Therefore, it was in the opinion of Selectool that their operation would not be causing any hardship on the neighbourhood, but would hopefully, be improving it by having a small manufacturing firm in the area.

Mrs. Huckle also explained to Council that the building itself was not conducive to conversion to a residential dwelling of any kind. She indicated that the only people she had spoken to who were concerned about the future of the building, were worried that it might be turned into a Games Arcade or Bingo Hall; she indicated that such facilities would not be graciously received by the community.

Mrs. Huckle summarized her presentation requesting that Council give favourable consideration to Selectool's application.

Councillor Margeson questioned Mrs. Huckle with regard to the employment situation at Selectool and was advised that including herself and her husband there were presently five (5) employees. She also advised the Councillor that they would not expect to expand beyond seven (7) employees.

There were no further questions for Mrs. Huckle.

#### SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

None.

#### MOTION AND DISCUSSION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Tople:

"THAT Portions of Lots 16, 17 and 18 of the Arlington Heights Subdivision, located at the corner of Shore Road and Howard Avenue in Eastern Passage, be rezoned from C-5 Zone to I-1 Zone."  
Motion Carried.

Please Note: As indicated by Councillor Deveaux, the property is actually bordered by the Main Highway and Howard Avenue; however, in order to coincide with the legal description it is referred to as Shore Road and Howard Avenue.

#### ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Public Hearing adjourn." Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Public Hearing adjourned at approximately 7:30 P.M.



I N D E X

OCTOBER COUNCIL SESSION - 1982

Atlantic Winter Fair - Appointment -----	6-7
Board of Health Report -----	16
Collins Park Water Supply -----	4-5
Canada Winter Games -----	10-11
Capital Grants - Wharf, West Petpeswick -----	11
Communication Systems - Fire Department -----	12-13
Correction Centre - Report -----	18
District Capital Grants -----	13
Damage Claims - Blasting in Fall River -----	16-17
Grant - 4-H Club -----	17
Halifax County Industrial Commission, By-Law -----	12
Lions Club - Musquodoboit Harbour -----	17-18
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary -----	1
Motion - Approval of Rezoning re Lot 123G, Sackville Development -----	2-3
Motion - Parkland, Sackville Development -----	3
Motion - Collins Park Water Supply -----	4-5
Motion - Letters & Correspondence -----	5
Motion - Requirements re Road Takeover -----	5-6
Motion - Appointment re Atlantic Winter Fair -----	6-7
Motion - Planning Advisory Committee Reports -----	7
Motion - Public Hearing Dates -----	7
Motion - Approval of Undersized Lots, Colby Village	8
Motion - Lands of Wilmer Charbonneau -----	8-9
Motion - Canada Winter Games -----	10-11
Motion - Policy Committee Report -----	11
Motion - Capital Grants re Wharf, West Petpeswick -	11
Motion - Halifax County Industrial Commission, By-Law	12
Motion - Fire Department Communication System -----	12-13
Motion - District Capital Grants -----	13
Motion - Lands of Dorothy Boylon, Lakeside -----	13-14
Motion - Senior Citizens Housing Units, Sackville -	14
Motion - Urban Services Committee Report -----	14
Motion - Transit Deficits -----	15
Motion - Water Utility Depreciation Fund -----	15-16
Motion - Board of Health Report -----	16
Motion - Damage Claims re Blasting in Fall River --	16-17
Motion - Grant re 4-H Club -----	17
Motion - Mandate re Metropolitan Authority -----	17
Motion - Lions Club, Musquodoboit Harbour -----	17-18
Motion - Report re Correction Centre -----	18
Motion - Adjournment -----	19

Index  
October Council Session  
Page 2

Parkland - Sackville Development -----	3
Planning Advisory Committee Reports -----	7
Public Hearings - Dates -----	7
Policy Committee Report -----	11
Rezoning - Approval re Lot 123G, Sackville Developments	2-3
Road Takeover - Requirements -----	5-6
Senior Citizens Housing, Sackville -----	14
Transit Deficits -----	15
Undersized Lots - Colby Village -----	8
Urban Services Committee Report -----	14
Water Utility Depreciation Fund -----	15-16

REGULAR COUNCIL SESSION

OCTOBER 5, 1982

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman  
Councillor Walker  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Baker  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor McInroy  
Councillor Topple  
Councillor Adams  
Councillor Gaetz  
Councillor Smith  
Councillor McCabe  
Councillor Lichter  
Councillor Benjamin  
Councillor Margeson  
Deputy Warden MacKay  
Councillor Eisenhauer  
Councillor MacDonald  
Councillor Wiseman

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer  
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor  
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk  
Mr. Mike Hanusiak, Staff Planner  
Mrs. Dorothy Cartledge, Staff Planner  
Mr. John Markesino, Co-ordinator - Recreation  
Mr. Keith Birch, Chief of Planning & Development  
Mr. Bob Gough, Director of Development  
Ms. Valerie Spencer, Supervisor of Planning - Policy  
Division  
Miss Ina Langley, Tax Department

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

---

OPENING OF COUNCIL - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie brought the Council Session to order at 2:05 P.M. with The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Margeson, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary."  
Motion Carried.



ADDITION TO AGENDAPresentation to Miss Ina Langley - Warden MacKenzie

On behalf of the Municipality, Warden MacKenzie presented to Miss Ina Langley a plaque bearing the Halifax County Crest and a painting of a Halifax County scene, in recognition and appreciation of her twenty-five years of service to the Municipality.

PUBLIC HEARING

Warden MacKenzie advised that a Public Hearing would now be held to deal with Rezoning Application RA-SA-12-82-16, a request to rezone Lot 123G, Phase 3, Sackville Development, located at Howland Court, Lower Sackville, Halifax County, District 16 from R-1 (Single Family Dwelling) Zone to P-1 (Open Space) Zone.

Warden MacKenzie outlined to Council, the procedure to be followed for the Public Hearing.

Staff Report

Mr. Mike Hanusiak came forward to outline to Council the Staff Report and recommendations with regard to this application for rezoning. The Report indicated:

"On August 13, 1982, the Department of Planning and Development received a letter from the Nova Scotia Housing Commission, stating its willingness to convey the above-noted property to the County provided that the following conditions were satisfied:

1. The County of Halifax be responsible for initiating and successfully affecting the zoning change of Lot 123G to the status of a green area;
2. The County of Halifax agree to undertake the responsibility for immediately upgrading Lot 123G to provide proper access to the abutting green area, upon receipt of the deed.

It was Council's decision at its September 7, 1982 Session to comply with the Housing Commission's conditions for transfer of title resulting in the need for a Public Hearing."

The Staff Report described in detail the site in question then continued:

"The avenue by which Council may acquire lands for public purposes is found under policy P-80 of the Municipal Development Plan for the community of Sackville. It states:

"It shall be the intention of Council to continue to acquire public lands or cash in lieu of land for public purposes, as provided for by Section 49 (8)(e) of the Planning Act. In this regard, Council shall attempt to acquire property which:

- (i) provides open space linkages between community facilities and related land uses;
- (ii) affords protection of watercourses and lakes;
- (iii) provides a variety of recreational and open space opportunities;
- (iv) complements existing public lands and-or facilities;
- (v) provides buffer and passive points between neighbourhoods."

The Report then continued by listing the comments of the Department of Planning and Development, as follows:

"The Department of Planning and Development recommends that the proposed rezoning be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning would provide for a limited variety of recreational and open space opportunities as required by section (i) of policy P-80.
2. The proposed rezoning would compliment existing public lands as illustrated in Figure 2. This is a requirement for consideration as directed by section (iii) of policy P-80.
3. The proposed rezoning would provide a buffer or passive point between existing dwellings on Howland Court. Again this is a requirement for consideration as directed by section (iii) of Policy P-80."

This completed the Report of the Department of Planning and Development.

Mr. Hanusiak also advised that the Public Hearing had been advertised in the newspaper, as per the provisions of the Planning Act and no written objections had been received in response to it.

#### Speakers in Favour of the Rezoning Application

None.

#### Speakers in Opposition to the Rezoning Application

None.

#### Motions and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Lot 123G, Phase 3, Sackville Development, at Howland Court, Lower Sackville, be rezoned from R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) Zone to P-1 (Open Space) Zone."

Motion Carried.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Municipality accept title to Lot 123G, Phase 2, Sackville Development for use as parkland."

Motion Carried.

ADDITION TO AGENDA

Upon the request of Councillor Benjamin, Council agreed to deal with the following:

Presentation, Re: Collins Park Water Supply

Mr. Jack Holden, President of the Collins Park Water Committee and Mrs. Eleanor Hanlen, Secretary of this Committee came before Council at this time to make a presentation regarding the water contamination in Collins Park. Mr. Holden read the following to Council:

"Since the discovery of arsenic contamination in our wells in 1976, the Collins Park Resident's Association has been actively pursuing the various levels of Government trying to find a solution without success.

When the Waverley Community were pushing for a central water supply, we were told to wait for the outcome. We waited only to find that we were not to share in this water. We did learn that a precedent had been established. That precedent is that government will help a community with arsenic contamination. Another precedent is the Miller Lake Subdivision.

Realizing that Collins Park Subdivision alone cannot support a central water system and realizing that numbers give clout as in Waverley, we conducted our own survey covering Kendallmark, Collins Park, Brookside Subdivision, the Holland Road Residents and Schwartzwald Subdivision. Over 70% were in favour of a central water supply system. While they do not have arsenic, they need a central water system to overcome problems with adequate supply and quality of their water. Their needs could make a central water system in the area viable.

Before any Government Body can decide on assistance to the problem, a solution has to be determined by the county. This solution can only be effectively determined by an independent study. As discussed and agreed upon in a meeting with Messrs. Meech and Wdowiak in February of this year, we understand that tenders have been called and received by the County as per the "Terms of Reference" draw-up by Mr. Wdowiak and reviewed with us during another meeting in May.

The bids range from \$5,000 to \$7,000. This is all we are asking for.

We are aware of a bias in favour of an arsenic removal unit as developed by the Nova Scotia Research Foundation. This unit would be a stop-gap only. We had the prototype unit in some of our homes and were not impressed by the performance. We understand that the Nova Scotia Department of Health also has reservations.

We emphasize that lives are at stake here not only money. All it would take is just one full, lethal charge of arsenic to come through a plugged or defective filter and a life could be taken. We are also concerned about long term servicing of the unit.



Give us this independent study; let us learn from this study what would be the most effective solution to our problem of supplying safe water to our community."

Councillor Benjamin indicated that he received a letter from Mr. Wdowiak which indicated that a central water system for the area was not feasible as well as letters from nine residents of Collins Parks requesting that \$7,000 be allocated to an independent study for an alternate water supply.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the County of Halifax finance the requested \$7,000 study for an alternate water source for Collins Park in Fall River.  
Motion Carried.

This motion was carried subsequent to lengthy discussion in which it was clarified that such funds would come from the County general capital grant fund. As well, in response to questioning from Councillor Lichter, it was determined that an In-House study would not be feasible at this time.

Council also discussed with Mr. Holman and Mrs. Hanlen the various fluctuation of arsenic levels in different wells throughout the sub-division.

Subsequent to the passing of the motion Councillor Benjamin suggested that Staff look into the removal, as soon as possible, of the prototype arsenic filter units located in some of the homes in Collins Park.

This request was taken under advisement by Staff.

#### LETTERS & CORRESPONDENCE

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT the Letters and Correspondence be received."  
Motion Carried.

#### Letter From the Minister of Transportation

The above-mentioned letter was in response to the Municipality's previous letter which requested that the Department of Transportation give consideration to accepting less expensive requirements with respect to takeover of roads within the Municipality. The letter indicated:

"...the Department of Transportation's subdivision specifications were developed over a number of years and after very careful consideration as to our requirements and as a result of problems that have occurred. I might also add that these regulations were discussed with other government departments and we also had input from other agencies and developers before they were adopted.

It is my view that the interest of the taxpayer in general of the province would not be served by the relaxing of these requirements; except in those special cases where it can be clearly shown that any relaxation is not likely to cause undue future expense to this Department."

Councillor Lichter made an observation regarding the following excerpt from the above letter: "except in those special cases where it can be clearly shown that any relaxation is not likely to cause undue future expense to this Department."

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Margeson:

"THAT a letter be written to the Minister of the Department of Transportation thanking him for his Reply and asking for clarification and examples of where any "relaxations" of the Department's subdivision specifications "in special cases" have occurred in Halifax County."  
Motion Carried.

#### Letter From the Metropolitan Authority

The above-mentioned letter was in response to the Municipality's letter of September 20, 1982 regarding the allocation of the Provincial Per Capita Grant and Transit Operating Deficit. The letter from Mr. Mort Jackson, Executive Director of the Authority advised:

"Authority staff are again reviewing allocation of the per capita grant and I expect to be in a position to make recommendations at the next meeting of the Authority.

The situation regarding allocation of the operating deficit is more complex. Adoption of one part of the formula recommended by the Operations Planning Group, would require changes in the original by-laws passed by the individual councils, and for that reason the matter has been referred to the municipal councils. To date, the recommended formula has been approved by the Councils of the Town of Bedford and the Municipality of the County of Halifax, but has yet to be determined by the Councils of the City of Dartmouth and the City of Halifax.

Adoption of the route costing component of the recommended formula would not require by-law approval and it is intended that this matter be discussed with the municipal finance officers in the course of preparing the 1983 budget."

This letter was for information only.

#### Supplementary Letter, Re: Atlantic Winter Fair

Mr. Meech indicated that he had received a letter from the Atlantic Winter Fair Committee requesting that Council appoint a representative to attend a meeting regarding the Fair at 11:00 A.M. October 7, 1982.

Councillor Deveaux advised that he had been last year's Council Representative and felt that it was a worthwhile meeting to attend.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Councillor Margeson be the Municipality's representative at the October 7, 1982 meeting of the Atlantic Winter Fair Committee."

Motion Carried.

#### PLANNING ADVISORY REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Tople:

"THAT the Planning Advisory Committee Report and the Supplementary Planning Advisory Committee Report be received."

Motion Carried.

#### Rezoning Application No. RA-EP-13-82-06 - Selectool & Machine Co. Ltd.

Mr. Keith Birch came forward at this time to advise that the above-mentioned rezoning application was dealt with at the September 27, 1982 PAC Meeting and it was the Committee's recommendation that Council hold a Public Hearing to consider adoption of a request by Selectool to rezoning Lots 16, 17, & 18 of the Arlington Heights Subdivision from C-5 (Mixed Use) Zone to I-1 (Light Industry) Zone.

The date suggested by the Committee was October 25, 1982 at 7:00 P.m.

Mr. Birch also noted that there were two other hearings scheduled for November 2, 1982; these included: Amendment to Eastern Passage - Cow Bay Zoning By-Law, and; Undersized Lot to be approved under the Undersized lot legislation. He advised that in light of the fact that November 2, 1982 would be the first Council Session for the new Council (and in order to cut down advertising costs), the Committee recommended that Council rescind it's previous motion to exlude the Eastern Passage - Cow Bay Zoning Amendment on November 2, 1982 and that this hearing be moved to the October 25th date.

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the motion passed at the September 21, 1982 Council Session respecting a Public hearing to be held November 2, 1982 dealing with the Eastern Passage - Cow Bay Zoning by-Law Amendment be rescinded."

Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Smith:

"THAT a Public Hearing be held on October 25, 1982 at 7:00 P.M. in the Municipal Council Chambers to deal with Rezoning Application No. RA-EP-13-83-06, Selectool & Machine Co. Ltd. and further that the Amendment to the Eastern Passage - Cow Bay Zoning By-Law also be dealt with on that date."

Motion Carried.



Undersized Lots located on a Curve, Colby Village Subdivision

The Planning Advisory Report advised:

Lots 1082 to 1084 inclusive; Lots 1117 to 1121 inclusive; Lots 1124, 1125, 1128 and 1129 of the Colby Village Subdivision located in Cole Harbour have less than the required 60' of road frontage. Lot 1082 has a frontage of 56', Lot 1083 has a frontage of 56', Lot 1084 has a frontage of 28.79', Lot 1117 has a frontage of 48', Lot 1118 has a frontage of 48', Lot 1119 has a frontage of 48', Lot 1120 has a frontage of 48', Lot 1121 has a frontage of 48', Lot 1124 has a frontage of 54', Lot 1125 has a frontage of 40', Lot 1128 has a frontage of 52.58' and Lot 1129 has a frontage of 54'.

Mr. Birch advised that all the above-mentioned lots are serviced with Municipal sewer and water services and that they exceed the required 6,000 feet in area.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the above-mentioned lots be approved by Municipal Council."  
Motion Deferred.

The motion was deferred as the Deputy Warden noticed that Lot 1084 was noted to have only 28.79' of frontage which was unusually small. Mr. Birch indicated that this was probably a typographical error and that 58.79' would be more accurate.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Deputy Warden MacKay:

"THAT the above motion be deferred subsequent to clarification of the frontage of Lot 1084."  
Motion Carried.

Subsequent to investigation it was determined that there was indeed a typographical error and the correct frontage of Lot 1084 was 58.79'.

The question was then called on the original motion;

Moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"As written previously."  
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORTLands of Wilmer Charbonneau, Located on Hines Road at Eastern Passage

Mr. Birch advised that at the October 4, 1982 meeting of the Planning Advisory Committee, the Committee discussed a request by Mr. Wilmer Charbonneau to enter into negotiations with the Municipality for a Development Agreement to permit the operation of a Salvage Yard for the sale of automobile parts derived therefrom.

At that time Councillor Deveaux was present and asked for the Committee's support in refusing to allow negotiations for a Development agreement to commence on the basis that during the MDP, it was specifically expressed by the community that they did not want any more salvage yards in District 6.

However, it was the recommendation of the Committee, that staff be given permission to enter into negotiations with Mr. Charbonneau for a Development Agreement to allow the operation of a salvage yard.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the recommendation of the PAC with regard to the lands of Wilmer Charbonneau be rejected."

Councillor Deveaux then spoke at great length outlining a history of problems with Mr. Charbonneau's lands and indicating that there were already ample salvage businesses in District 6. He also emphasized that his opinion with regard to this matter has nothing whatsoever to do with Mr. Charbonneau's allegations of a personal conflict between himself and Mr. Charbonneau. Councillor Deveaux also indicated that to approve this contract at this time would be to go directly against the wishes of the PPC Committee in effect at the time of the development of the MDP for the area.

Councillor Lichter felt that the community wished to deny Mr. Charbonneau his development agreement for a salvage operation as a method of eliminating Mr. Charbonneau from the community. Councillor Lichter also advised that although the PPC Committee may have expressed certain desires which for the most part Council agreed to, Council still has the final say on what development and operations will locate in the Municipality.

Subsequent to the above, the question was called on the motion;

Moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"As written above."  
Motion Defeated.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT Staff be given permission to enter into negotiations with Mr. Charbonneau for a development agreement to allow the operation of a salvage yard."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor Deveaux requested all Councillors to hold on to the correspondence he had distributed to them earlier, so that he could refer to it again at such time as Mr. Charbonneau's development agreement comes to Council again at the Public Hearing stage.



DRAFT PROPOSAL, RE: 1987 CANADA WINTER GAMES

Mr. John Markesino, Co-ordinator of Recreation joined Council at this time to provide clarification regarding the proposal to hold the Canada Winter Games in the Metro area, as a joint effort of the Cities of Halifax and Dartmouth, the County of Halifax and the Town of Bedford.

Mr. Markesino distributed to Council a comprehensive Report regarding the cost and the cost-sharing of these Games. This Report included letters of support from the Mayors of the Cities of Halifax and Dartmouth as well as the Town of Bedford. Also included were sections which Mr. Markesino reviewed with Council, as follows:

Introduction, Housing Food-Services, Health Services, Transportation, Facilities, Games Headquarters, Public-Media Relations, Metro Area Weather and others, and of course, a section on the Budget of the Games. (Please Refer to Report)

The budget section for the County of Halifax indicated a total cost for facilities of \$350,000.00, broken down as follows:

Outdoor Speedskating - Sackville	\$250,000.00
Cross Country Skiing - Grand Lake	\$100,000.00

It was noted that a speed skating facility would be located in either Sackville or Bedford; if located in Bedford then the amount of \$250,000.00 could, of course, be deleted from the total cost of \$350,000.00. Mr. Markesino clarified that with the two-thirds cost-sharing; one third by the Province and one-third by the Federal Government, that the Municipality would only have to pay one-third of the total cost, approximately \$118,000.00.

The Report concluded as follows:

"All levels of sport from recreation and amateur to professional is encouraged in the Metro Area. Each municipality offers a varied and extensive sports program, year-round. We are proud of every participant in local sport and though we are in a position to single out many athletes from our own who have earned national and international acclaim, we feel that the most important element of sport is involvement. There are many opportunities to salute those who perform with great skill and determination. We would like to take this opportunity to salute Metro residents who jog through Point Pleasant, swim in the lakes of Dartmouth, ski in Bedford and Golf in the Country. For it is they, who will form the core of volunteers committed to the success of the 1987 Canada Winter Games."

Attached to the Report were further letters of support from the following:

1. Halifax Forum Commission;
2. Halifax Metro Centre;
3. Mount Saint Vincent University; and
4. Saint Mary's University.



All of the above offered the use of their facilities for the duration of the Games.

Mr. Markesino encouraged Council to approve the proposal and to appoint someone to represent the Municipality in further negotiations.

This issue raised a great deal of discussion in Council with the following Councillors being initially in opposition to the project based largely upon the expenditure required, especially in these times of restraint: Councillors Gaetz, Walker, Lichter, Topple and Councillor McInroy who was in favour of letting Bedford build the speed skating rink.

The Councillors who supported the project were: Councillor Wiseman, Councillor MacDonald, Councillor Benjamin, Councillor Deveaux, Councillor Margeson, Councillor Baker and the Deputy Warden.

Subsequent to the overwhelming argument in favour of the project, voiced by the above Councillors, Councillor Lichter also agreed that the Municipality should participate in the Games.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Deputy Warden MacKay:

"THAT the Municipality participate in the cost-sharing and hosting of the 1987 Winter Games as outlined in the Report from Staff and further that Mr. John Markesino, Co-ordinator of Recreation represent the Municipality in further negotiations."  
Motion Carried.

Subsequently, Mr. Markesino retired from the Council Session.

#### POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Policy Committee Report be received."  
Motion Carried.

#### Capital Grants - Wharf, West Petpeswick Harbour

Mr. Meech outlined this item, advising that the Committee had received a request from Councillor Smith for a grant from the County General Fund of \$2,000 and a grant from the District No. 10 Capital Grant Fund of \$11,000 to carry out necessary repairs to the Wharf, West Petpeswick Harbour. The total cost of the repairs would be \$19,400 with the remaining \$6,400.00 coming from the District No. 10 Lien Law Fund.

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT \$2,000.00 from the County General Capital Grant Fund, \$11,000.00 from the District No. 10 Capital Grant Fund, and \$6,400.00 from the District No. 10 Lien Law Fund be allocated for the purpose of improvements to the Wharf at West Petpeswick Harbour, District No. 10."  
Motion Carried.

Amendment of By-Laws of the Halifax County Industrial Commission

Attached to the Council agenda was a proposed amendment to the Halifax County Industrial Commission By-Laws, relative to the membership on the Commission.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Deputy Warden MacKay:

"THAT, Section 2(1) of the By-Laws of the Halifax County Industrial Commission is amended by deleting the aforesaid Section 2(1) and substituting the following: 1. (1) The Commission shall consist of fourteen members appointed by the Council, one of which shall be the Warden of the Municipality of the County of Halifax and one of which shall be a representative of the Sackville Chamber of Commerce and one of which shall be a representative of the Sackville Advisory Board and one of which shall be a representative of Districts 15, 16, 19 and 20 who shall be appointed by Council and a minimum of five others shall be Councillors of the Municipality of the County of Halifax."  
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEMS

It was agreed by Council that the remaining Supplementary Agenda Items would be dealt with at this time.

Proposed Communications System - Fire Departments

A memo from Mr. Gary Smith was included in the Supplementary Agenda. This memo advised:

"Attached hereto, please find a revised schedule showing the estimated total cost and funding proposal for the radio units for the eastern shore fire departments. (Please refer to schedule). The original schedule has been revised to incorporate additional units for some of the fire departments which indicated that requirement during the meeting on the evening of September 30th. In addition, the units for the Ostrea Lake, Oyster Pond, and Tangier Fire Departments have been eliminated.

After discussion with Mr. Oldham, I have revised the individual unit prices to reflect some figures he showed me. You will note the bottom line is now revised to \$48,000 but still in line with the original estimate." ...

Mr. Meech outlined the request form which indicated that \$24,000 was being requested from the County General Capital Grant Fund, \$11,700 was being requested from the District Capital Grant Fund and the remaining \$12,300 was being provided from Fire Department Fund Raising, for a total of \$48,000.

It was Mr. Meech's recommendation that the proposal for the communications system for fire departments be approved by Municipal Council, inclusive of the funding arrangement specified above.

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor McCabe:

"THAT the Communications System proposal for Fire Departments be approved by Municipal Council and further that \$24,000 from the County General Capital Grant Fund, a total of \$11,700 from the District Capital Grant Funds from Districts 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, and a total of \$12,300 fire department funds from these same districts, for a grand total of \$48,000 be allocated for the purpose of providing communications systems for eastern County area fire departments required for co-ordinating fire fighting calls and rescue missions."  
Motion Carried.

District Capital Grant Requests - Councillor Benjamin

Councillor Benjamin identified the following requests for District Capital Grants:

1. Waverley Fire Department, for: Bendix air compressor including installation - \$1,000.00 from District No. 14 Capital Grant Fund;
2. Goffs Fire Department, for: Fire Fighting Equipment including hose, tanks and extinguishers - \$875.15 from the District No. 14 Capital Grant Fund.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT \$1,000 from the District No. 14 Capital Grant Fund be allocated to the Waverley Fire Department for a bendix air compressor and installation and \$875.15 from the District No. 14 Capital Grant Fund be allocated to the Goffs Fire Department for fire fighting equipment including hose, tanks and extinguishers."  
Motion Carried.

Pumping Station Site, Lakeside - Lands of Dorothy Blanche Boylan

Mr. Meech advised that land previously expropriated from Mrs. Dorothy Blanche Boylan, is requested to be returned to Mrs. Boylan in return for easements over this land in favour of the Municipality.

A memo from Mr. Bill Keenan to Mr. Kelly requested that due to the fact that Council will not meet again until after the election, that Council pass an attached resolution so that it can be duly executed and forwarded to Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor for completion of the transaction.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"Whereas by virtue of Section 134(2)(b) of the Municipal Act, it is enacted that the Municipality may, without the consent of the Minister of Municipal Affairs, sell real or personal property, valued at less than \$25,000.00, which property is no longer required for the use of the Municipality; and whereas it is deemed expedient to sell the property described as follows: Parcel A and



Parcel B, being located on the north side of Highway Number 3 in Lakeside, in the County of Halifax, in the Province of Nova Scotia; and whereas the said property consists of a portion of that property formerly identified as Lot DB-8; and whereas the former Lot DB-8 was expropriated for use as a sewage pumping station site by means of a Resolution duly passed by the Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax at a meeting duly called and held on the 19th day of January, 1982; and whereas the Municipality intends to retain the remainder of the former Lot DB-8, which remainder is now known as Lot DB-8-A, for use as a sewage pumping station site; and whereas by virtue of rights-of-way over the said property to be granted in favour of the Municipality, the said property is no longer required for the use of the Municipality; and whereas the price of Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$4,500.00) which price had been offered by the Municipality for the purchase of the former Lot DB-8 prior to its expropriation by the Municipality, it is considered by the Council to be a fair and reasonable price for the granting of the rights-of-way over the said property and the expropriation of the property now known as Lot DB-8-A1 and whereas the price hereinafter mentioned is considered by the Council to be fair and reasonable; Be it Therefore Resolved that the Municipality of the County of Halifax do sell and convey the property above described to Dorothy Blanche Boylan at and for the price of One Dollar (\$1.00); Be it Further Resolved that the Warden and the Municipal Clerk be and they are hereby authorized and instructed to execute and deliver on behalf of the Municipality, a deed in the usual form and to affix to it the seal of the Municipality conveying the said property in conformity with the terms of this Resolution."

Motion Carried.

#### Designation Agreement - Senior Citizens Housing Units

A letter was received from Mr. D. W. Kerr, Regional Manager of the Nova Scotia Housing Commission advising that the Commission is preparing to call tenders on a 14 Unit Senior Citizen's Housing Project in Sackville and that the Commission requires the approval of Municipal Council on a Designation Agreement for the construction of this Housing project prior to the tender call being made.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Municipal Council of the County of Halifax endorse the Designation Agreement for the construction of a 14 Unit Senior Citizen's Housing Project in Sackville."

Motion Carried.

#### REPORT OF THE URBAN SERVICES COMMITTEE

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the Report of the Urban Services Committee be received."  
Motion Carried.

Transit Deficits - 1982

The Urban Services Committee approved the following motion for Council's subsequent approval:

It was moved by Councillor Topple, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Municipality advise MTC of its approval to contribute to the projected deficit for the proposed Express Route to serve parts of Cole Harbour, Westphal and Dartmouth and further that the net cost to the Municipality be recovered in the form of an area rate from the areas serviced."

Motion Carried.

Mr. Meech advised that he had received correspondence from MTC today requesting this approval.

Water Utility Depreciation Reserve Fund

A memorandum had been forwarded to the Urban Services Committee from Mr. Ken Wilson in regard to the Water Utility Depreciation Reserve Fund. This memo read:

"Each year the Water Utility has to transfer to a depreciation reserve fund bank account the amount of the depreciation that has been claimed on the water utility books. This reserve fund can be used to help fund new and replacement water systems of the utility. A portion of the fund was used to acquire the Bedford-Sackville Connector. Use of the fund has to be approved by the Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities. On September 2, 1982 I wrote to the Board requesting the use of \$440,000 to help fund the Waverley addition to the Water Utility. On September 7, 1982, the Board authorized the use of \$440,000."

The Memo from Mr. Wilson offered the following alternatives:

1. The Committee can approve the use of the funds for the Waverley System;
2. The Committee could not approve of use of the funds for the Waverley system.

It was Mr. Wilson's recommendation however, that the Municipality use \$440,000 of the depreciation reserve fund to help fund the water system, rather than go to the market for a bond issue. The use of the reserve fund will reduce interest and principal charges in future, which would then reduce the overall water rate required to recover from the users.

The Urban Services Committee was in concurrence with the recommendation of the Director of Finance as was Mr. Meech.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT Council authorize the use of \$440,000 from the depreciation reserve fund to reduce the overall water rate required to recover from the users."  
Motion Carried.



Prior to the passing of the motion, it was determined that use of the \$440,000 would deplete the depreciation reserve fund; therefore, use of the funds would have to be done with the approval of the PUB. This approval had already been received.

#### REPORT OF THE BOARD OF HEALTH

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the Report of the Board of Health be received."  
Motion Carried.

A Report prepared by Mr. Dale Reinhardt, Administrative Clerk and Secretary of the Board of Health, which included copies of reports for the issuance of on-site sewage disposal permits, by the Board of Health, was circulated to Council for information only.

#### NEW BUSINESS

##### Damage to Foundations Due to Blasting - Councillor Benjamin

Councillor Benjamin brought this matter forward on behalf of Mr. Steven Given, who had presented material to him prior to the beginning of Council.

A complaint had been recieved from thirteen residents on Lochview Road and Howe Avenue, District 14, who have had problems with cracked foundations and falling gyproc due to Blasting being carried out by Seaport Contractors while working on development at the further end of Lockview Road.

Councillor Benjmain advised that during the earlier stages of blasting one child was hit with a flying stone; the child was not hurt but it did result in a letter being written to the Firm of Seaport Contractors who co-operated at that time. However, the Councillor further advised that many cracks have been discovered since the blasting which residents are attributing to the work of Seaport Contractors.

Councillor Benjamin requested that staff investigate this matter to determine why this damage has not been appraised or assessed as yet. It was the opinion of the Councillor that sufficient time has elapsed for the Contractor to rectify any damage he has caused.

It was moved by Councillor Benjamin, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT the appropriate Municipal Staff investigate the damage to Foundations, etc. caused by the Blasting work of Seaport contractors, as to why appraisals of the damage have not yet been carried out."  
Motion Carried.

The Deputy Warden advised that he has experienced similar difficulties in his area and indicated that the homeowner, as far as he is aware, is responsible to contact their own insurance company who then goes back



to the Contractor. He further indicated that the Blasting Company must have a pre-blast survey and must certainly have a bond-performance, from which the cost of correcting the damage comes from. Also a legal dispute usually ensues between the contractor and the developer.

Solicitor Cragg concurred with this information.

The Deputy Warden then questioned whether this route had been followed in any or all of the cases referred to.

Councillor Benjamin advised that several of the residents have gone to the Department of the Environment for assistance but he was not aware whether any had approached their insurance company.

However, as indicated above, the motion was carried by Municipal Council and as well it was agreed that an information letter would be sent to the residents affected informing them that they should approach their insurance agents.

It was also agreed that a Report be brought back from the Engineering Department providing further information relative to the Blasting By-Law.

#### Request For Grant, 4-H Club - Councillor McCabe

Councillor McCabe requested that a County General Capital Grant in the amount of \$600.00 be allocated to the 4-H Club in his District for the purpose of upgrading their facility at Murkyville.

It was moved by Councillor McCabe, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT 600.00 from the County General Capital Grant Fund be allocated to the District 12, 4-H Club."  
Motion Carried.

#### Mandate, Metropolitan Authority - Councillor Wiseman

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Deputy Warden MacKay:

"THAT the Chief Administrative Officer investigate the possibility of the new Municipal Board re-evaluating the mandate, authority, responsibility, funding and representation of the Metropolitan Authority."  
Motion Carried.

#### Lions Club - Councillor Smith

It was moved by Councillor Smith, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Musquodoboit Harbour Lions Club be permitted to make use of a small triangular parcel of land between the Number Seven Highway and Riverside Road in Musquodoboit Harbour for recreational purposes."  
Motion Carried.

Prior to the passing of the motion, Councillor Smith questioned whether a lease agreement would be necessary. However, since the Lions Club did not want to construct any buildings on this parcel of land, a lease arrangement would not be necessary.

Correction Centre - Deputy Warden MacKay

The Deputy Warden advised that himself, and Councillor MacDonald had recently discussed with the President of the Union of the Correction Centre, some potential security problems which may develop at the Centre in the near future.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT a full investigation and report be requested from the Metropolitan Authority regarding the Halifax County Correctional Centre, located at Lower Sackville and any pending decrease in Security Staff."

Motion Carried.

Warden MacKenzie advised that he had received a telephone call recently with regard to staff cut-backs. However, he advised that although some staff may be cut-back at the centre, there were not plans for cut-back of security staff.

It was moved by Deputy Warden MacKay, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT the Municipality request the release of the Taggart Report and the recommendations that have been acted upon as well as those that have not been acted upon."

Motion Carried.

The Deputy Warden also advised that from reading the minutes of the September 3rd, 1982 Staff Management Meeting, he had determined that Mr. Mort Jackson had reported that the City of Halifax has reneged on that part of its commitment in excess of the 10% guideline set by City Council when 1982 estimates were prepared. He expressed extreme concern over this revelation.

Mr. Meech advised that this matter had been resolved subsequent to that and the Solicitor for Halifax City Council has advised the City Council that they had no authority to cut back and the Council is now aware that they must pay their entire share.

Storm Drainage Problem, Dempster Crescent - Councillor Adams

Councillor Adams indicated that at the last Council Session he had asked a question regarding the Dempster Crescent Drainage Problem and he had been advised that based on the findings of the Municipality's engineering staff, throughout the summer, that an approach had been made to the Department of Transportation requesting assistance in correction of this problem.

He then advised that yesterday and today, the residents on that road had received letters from the Municipality which indicated that the Department of Transportation had adequately repaired the problems causing the storm drainage. However, Councillor Adams indicated that the problems have not been resolved and that the Department has re-issued the residents their tax bills for the paving of the street which had previously been returned by the residents accompanied with a petition.

The Councillor advised that the bills were returned in order to get action to correct the flooding situation, subsequent to which the bills would be paid as the residents were aware of their responsibility. He questioned just where the residents stood at the present time.

Mr. Meech advised that from a legal point of view it had been clarified that the residents were liable to pay the street paving bill. With respect to communication and negotiation with the Department of Transportation it was Mr. Meech's understanding that the Department would not accept any responsibility in the matter. Mr. Meech advised that the purpose of the letter from the Municipality was to clarify that the residents were responsible to pay their paving bill.

Councillor Adams requested that Staff investigate this matter further.

#### Congratulations - Councillor Gaetz

Councillor Gaetz extended his congratulations to those Councillors who were returned to office through acclamation.

#### ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the Regular Council Session adjourn."  
Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Regular Council Session adjourned at 5:25 P.M.



MINUTES & REPORTS  
OF THE  
FIRST YEAR MEETINGS  
OF THE  
FORTY - FIRST COUNCIL  
OF THE  
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX  
NOVEMBER COUNCIL SESSION  
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2 and 16, 1982  
&  
SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION  
NOVEMBER 8, 1982

SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION

NOVEMBER 8, 1982

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman  
Deputy Warden Margeson  
Councillor Walker  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Larsen  
Councillor Gaudet  
Councillor Baker  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor DeRoche  
Councillor Adams  
Councillor Gaetz  
Councillor Bayers  
Councillor Reid  
Councillor Lichter  
Councillor Snow  
Councillor MacKay  
Councillor McInroy  
Councillor Eisenhauer  
Councillor MacDonald  
Councillor Wiseman  
Councillor Mont

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer  
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor  
Mr. Keith Birch, Chief of Planning & Development  
Mr. Ken Wilson, Director of Finance  
Mr. Bill Keenan, Supervisor, Property Management  
Mr. Mike Barrie, Barrie & Langille Architects

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

-----  
OPENING OF SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie brought the Special Council Session to order at 2:05 P.M. with The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Meech then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary."  
Motion Carried.

REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE

The Report of the Nominating Committee was distributed to all Councillors. This Report indicated the new members of the following Committees and Boards:

- |                              |                                  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. School Board;             | 2. Rehab Centre;                 |
| 3. Metropolitan Authority;   | 4. MAPC;                         |
| 5. Ocean View;               | 6. Library;                      |
| 7. Transit;                  | 8. Bridge Commission;            |
| 9. Heritage Society;         | 10. Urban Services Committee;    |
| 11. Management Committee;    | 12. Planning Advisory Committee; |
| 13. Fire Advisory Committee; | 14. Policy Committee;            |
| 15. Board of Health;         | 16. Industrial Commission;       |
| 17. Court House.             |                                  |

As well, a Report was attached which indicated, Councillor by Councillor, on which Committees or Boards each Councillor would be serving.

One error was found in this Report which indicated that Councillor Adams was on the Health Board; this was a typing error and all Councillors noted that the correct Committee was the Heritage Committee.

Councillor MacKay, Chairman of the Nominating Committee, advised that the Dartmouth General Hospital appointment had not been dealt with because they were intending to leave Councillor Wiseman on that Board; however, it has since been determined that Councillor Wiseman has already been on the Board for three years which is the maximum term. However, two representatives from the Municipality should be appointed to this Board.

Councillor Lichter indicated that it was traditional for a Council representative from each school sub-system to be on the School Board; he questioned whether the School Board had this representation.

Councillor MacKay indicated that there was representation from the various areas of the Municipality; Councillor Gaudet from the Western area, Councillor Wiseman from the Sackville area, Councillor Deveaux from the Dartmouth areas and Councillor Gaetz from the Eastern area of the Municipality.

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Report of the Nominating Committee be accepted by Municipal Council."  
Motion Carried.

Appointment to the Board of the Dartmouth General Hospital

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Baker:



"THAT Councillor Deveaux be nominated for appointment to the Board of the Dartmouth General Hospital."

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT Councillor Adams be nominated for appointment to the Board of the Dartmouth General Hospital."

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Councillor DeRoche be nominated for appointment to the Board of the Dartmouth General Hospital."

Councillor Deveaux thanked the Deputy Warden and Councillor Baker for their nomination but declined the nomination as he had served for several years on the Board and felt it would be beneficial for other Councillors to have this opportunity.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT Nominations Cease."  
Motion Carried.

Therefore, both Councillor Adams and Councillor DeRoche were declared appointed to the Board of the Dartmouth General Hospital.

#### ADDITIONS TO MUNICIPAL BUILDING

Mr. Mike Barrie joined Council at this time to outline a Report and Recommendations regarding Additions and Alterations to the Halifax County Administration Building.

Mr. Barrie advised that \$1,350,000 has already been approved by Council for the additions and alterations to the Municipal Building, including the implementation of the accessibility study recommendations. The Report which he reviewed in detail indicated that additional funds were required for the following:

1. Maintenance & renewal - insulation panels, exterior caulking, revised keying, miscellaneous carpeting: \$45,000;
2. Insulation & re-roofing - re-roof existing building, incorporate R20 insulation, new flashings, etc.: \$75,000;
3. Parking lots - expand and repave rear lot and Egan lot: \$45,000;
4. Leasehold improvements - renovations to assessment department and District School Board: \$120,000.

Mr. Barrie advised that the funds expended on the leasehold improvements would be recovered through a higher rental rate from these Departments. In regard to the improvements 1 through 3 above, he advised that these items address pertinent issues raised by Staff and Council with respect to the performance of the existing building. He also indicated that this work cannot be deferred indefinitely, and will certainly have to be addressed within the next several years, perhaps in the face of increased costs due to inflation and segregation of the work from this larger project.