

1. Brian David Archibault & Eileen Margaret Archibault and the Municipality of the County of Halifax regarding Lot A23 of the Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville;
2. Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax regarding Lot A24 of the Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville;
3. Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax regarding Lot A29 of the Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville;
4. Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax regarding Lot A30 of the Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville.

Mr. Gough, utilizing an overhead map, located the area for Council's information. The property in question was located near the Little Sackville River.

He advised that the Development proposed for the lots was within 100 feet of the Sackville River and the MDP for Sackville calls for a Development Agreement when development is to take place within 100 feet or less. He also noted that the Municipality owns the land between the bank of the River and the lots in question.

Mr. Gough advised that the Agreements were advertised in the local press as prescribed by the Planning Act and there have been no written submissions received in response to this advertisement, either in favour or in opposition to the proposed Agreements.

Mr. Gough further advised that all four Agreements were drawn up with the consent of the Municipality's Engineering and Works Department, the Nova Scotia Department of Environment, the Sackville Rivers Advisory Board and the Municipal Department of Planning and Development. He advised, that the Planning and Development Department considers the Agreements to be relatively simple, accomodating the necessary requirements to protect the River.

Mr. Gough then reviewed the four Agreements (please refer to agreements if detailed information is required).

The differences in the Agreements were as follows:

Lot A23 - Archibault

Lot A23 was to be used for the construction of a single unit dwelling; this building to be confined to the following minimum yard requirements:

Minimum Front Yard	20 feet
Minimum Side Yards	8 feet
Minimum Rear Yard	40 feet,

and further, that any structure on the property other than the building be confined to the following minimum yard requirements:

Minimum Front Yard	20 feet
Minimum Side Yards	8 feet
Minimum Rear Yard	30 feet.

Lot A24 - Three Star Developments Limited

Lot A24 also to be used for the construction of single unit dwelling confined to the same minimum yard requirements for the building and for any other structure on the property than the building, as noted above in Lot A23.

Lot A29 - Three Star Developments Limited

Lot A29 to be used for the construction of a two unit dwelling; this building to be confined to the following minimum yard requirements:

Minimum Front Yard	20 feet
Minimum Side Yards	8 feet
Minimum Rear Yard	20 feet,

and further, that any structure on the property other than the building be confined to the following minimum yard requirements:

Minimum Front Yard	20 feet
Minimum Side Yards	8 feet
Minimum Rear Yard	15 feet.

Lot A30 - Three Star Developments Limited

Lot A30 also to be used for the construction of a two unit dwelling; this dwelling to be confined to the same minimum yard requirements for the building and for any other structure on the property than the building, as noted above in Lot A29.

Questions From Council

None.

Speakers in Favour of Development Agreement between Brian and Eileen Archibauld and the Municipality of the County of Halifax

Mr. Brian Archibauld: Mr. Archibauld advised that when he and his wife had purchased Lot A23 they had been unaware of the By-Law which restricted development on the Lot. They realized that the environmental concerns are valid and they are agreeable to abiding by the terms set out in the Agreement.

He advised that the house they plan to construct is not a large house; it is only 32' by 24'. They have also considered that in the distant future, four to five years, they may want to add a carport to the side of the house. They advised that when they originally had the lot surveyed for the location of the house they made allowances for the carport.

He also advised that most of the houses on the street are setback thirty feet; however, in order to move the house as far as possible from the river but to stay a reasonable distance from the road so that the house does not stick out unattractively, they took forty feet from the back of the lot as a guideline for where the house will be located. This would put the house approximately seventy-five feet from the river and forty feet from the back of the lot.

Mr. Archibauld advised that the biggest concern he and his wife had is the time delay factor. He advised that one of the reasons they had decided to build now was the availability of the Government Grants for home-builders which are due to expire by the end of April. He realized that the Grants have been extended until the funds run out. However, if Council approves the Agreement tonight, they still have to wait out a thirty day appeal period which will delay them until the end of May by which time, they hope the grant funds have not run out. This was the reason for some urgency in approving the Development Agreement. He advised that if he lost the \$3,000 grant money, that could become \$10,000 on a mortgage at today's interest rates, amortized over twenty-five years.

Subsequent to the above, and a brief question and answer period with Council, Mr. Archibauld retired to the back of the Council Chambers.

There were no further speakers in favour of the Development Agreement.

Speakers in Opposition to the Development Agreement between Brian and Eileen Archibauld and the Municipality of the County of Halifax

None.

Motion and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the Development Agreement between Brian and Eileen Archibauld and the Municipality of the County of Halifax for Development of Lot A23, Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville, as presented by Staff of the Planning and Development Department, be approved by Municipal Council."  
Motion Carried.

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THREE STAR DEVELOPMENTS AND THE MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX, LOTS A24, A29 AND A30, MAPLE-GROVE SUBDIVISION, LOWER SACKVILLE

It was agreed by Council to deal with the Development Agreements between Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax, regarding development of Lots A24, A29 and A30 together, although separate resolutions of Council would be required to approve or reject the Agreements.

Staff Report

Previously presented in conjunction with Lot A23.

Speakers in Favour of Development Agreements

Mr. Jim MacLean, Solicitor for Three Star Developments Limited and Mr. John Gardine, Vice President of Three Star Developments Limited: Mr. MacLean advised that Three Star Developments is not a large operation; they are three brothers who went together to build the Maplegrove Subdivision, originally approved in 1978. Since that time the Municipal Development Plan for Sackville was established and Three Star Developments Limited recognizes the Plan.

He further advised that in the course of the last one and one-half months or so, Three Star Developments, himself, and advisors and employees of the Municipality had sat down and reviewed the Development Agreements and Three Star Developments Limited is fully in favour of what is required of them as set out in the Agreement.

He further advised with regard to the trunk sewer which runs between the lots and the River, that nothing which will be going on, on the lots, will be damaging to the River.

Questions From Council

Council questioned Mr. MacLean briefly.

There were no further speakers in favour of the Development Agreements.

Speakers in Opposition

None.

Motion and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the Development Agreement between Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax, for development of Lot A24, Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville, as presented by Staff, be approved by Municipal Council."  
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT the Development Agreement between Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax, for development of Lot A29, Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville, as presented by Staff, be approved by Municipal Council."  
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Development Agreement between Three Star Developments Limited and the Municipality of the County of Halifax, for development of Lot A30, Maplegrove Subdivision, Lower Sackville, as presented by Staff, be approved by Municipal Council."

Motion Carried.

REZONING REQUEST, RA-SA-02-83-20

Warden MacKenzie advised that this was a request from Mr. Kenneth Kelly to rezone Lot 76-D, Area D, Phase 2, Sackville Developments, located at 76 Sycamore Lane at Lower Sackville, District 20, from R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) Zone to R-2 (Two Unit Dwelling) Zone.

Staff Report

Mr. Mike Hanusiak proceeded to present to Council the Staff Report on Rezoning Request RA-SA-02-83-20. He advised that the purpose for the request was to permit construction of an apartment styled dwelling unit atop the existing house. The applicant has stated that the second unit will be used to accomodate his inlaws.

He advised that the Public Hearing had been advertised in the local news media and no response had been received.

Mr. Hanusiak, with the use of an overhead projected map, proceeded to describe the surrounding area and zoning and passed a photograph of the subject property around Council for information.

He outlined the following from the Staff Report:

Municipal Development Plan: The avenue by which Council may consider and if deemed adviseable, approve the proposed rezoning is outlined under Policy P-31 of the Municipal Development Plan for Sackville.

"Notwithstanding Policy P-30, within the Urban Residential Designation, Council may consider permitting low density residential developments which are of a scale and location not inconsistent with existing neighbourhoods. In this regard, two unit dwellings may be permitted by amendment to the Zoning By-Law."

Criteria for Evaluation: In order to ensure that the proposed rezoning will in no way jeopardize either the spirit of the Municipal Development Plan or the quality of life in the planned area, Council has directed that rezoning applications have regard to those provisions outlined under Policy P-104 of the Plan.

Comments of the Department of Planning and Development:

The Department of Planning and Development recommends that the proposed rezoning be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning is in conformity with all applicable provisions outlined under Policy P-104 of the Plan.
2. As previously stated, Policy P-31 of the Plan permits rezonings of the type desired by the applicant provided that the "scale" of the existing neighbourhood remains intact. The question of scale is relevant to this rezoning due to the applicant's intention to carry out structural alterations to the existing dwelling. However, it is the opinion of staff that no definable scale can be established for Sycamore Lane since the existing homes exhibit a wide variation in housing shape, size and height. In light of this situation, the proposed alterations are seen as neither detracting from or enhancing the physical appearance of the surrounding neighbourhood, but merely continuing the mixed pattern of housing stock.

Recommendation: Based on the findings of the Department of Planning and Development, it is recommended: That the rezoning of Lot 76D, Area A, Phase 2, Sackville Developments, located on Sycamore Lane at Lower Sackville, from R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) Zone to R-2 (Two Unit Dwelling) Zone be approved by County Council.

Questions From Council

Councillor McInroy spoke briefly indicating his concern over the precedent this approval may set. However, Councillor Wiseman indicated that she had taken a survey in the neighbourhood and had found absolutely no objection to the proposed addition.

Councillor DeRoche advised that the house was already somewhat higher than those surrounding it. He questioned whether the addition would make it so high that it would be incompatible with the other homes on the street.

Mr. Hanusiak indicated that it would not be inconsistent with other homes on the street.

Speakers In Favour of Rezoning Request

Mr. Ken Kelly, Applicant: Mr. Kelly advised that his intention was to build an addition to accommodate his In-Laws. He indicated that, as shown by the picture which had been distributed, he takes pride in his home. He did not feel that the projected elevation of his home would take away from the looks of the area. He had even spoken to his neighbours to determine whether any of them were in objection to it and none of them indicated any opposition.

Questions From Council

Councillor DeRoche questioned whether Mr. Kelly's In-Laws would have access to the above apartment, independent from Mr. Kelly's accomodations. If so, this would mean that sometime in the future, Mr. Kelly would have access to a separate income from rental of the apartment.

Mr. Kelly advised that the small rent he would be charging his In-Laws would only be sufficient to pay the increase in his mortgage which he will incurr by adding onto the house.

There were no further questions for Mr. Kelly and no other speakers in favour of the application.

Speakers In Opposition to Rezoning Request

None.

Motion and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the rezoning of Lot 76-D, Area D, Phase 2, Sackville Developments, located on Sycamore Lane at Lower Sackville, from R-1 Zone to R-2 Zone be approved by Halifax County Council."  
Motion Carried.

REZONING REQUEST, RA-SA-04-83-19

Warden MacKenzie advised that this was a request from Mr. Ronald J. Dean, to rezone the last remaining portion of the lands of Edward W. Dean, located on the Quarry Road at Sackville, District 19 from R-6 (Rural Residential) Zone to R-3 (Mobile Dwelling) Zone.

Staff Report

Mr. Hanusiak advised that the Public Hearing had been advertised in the local newspaper and no response had been received either in favour or opposed to the proposed rezoning.

Mr. Hanusiak, utilizing an overhead projected map, indicated to Council the location of the proposed rezoning as well as the surrounding area. He advised that the intent of the Applicant was to locate a 43 foot by 12 foot mobile home on the subject property. He outlined the Staff Report as follows:

Municipal Development Plan: The Avenue by which Council may consider and if deemed adviseable, approve the proposed rezoning is set forth under Policy P-45 of the Municipal Development Plan for Sackville.

"Notwithstanding Policy P-44, within the Rural Residential Designation, Council may consider permitting two unit dwellings, multiple unit dwellings, rowhouse dwellings on municipal central services, mobile homes on individual lots and local commercial developments which are of a scale and location not inconsistent with the existing neighbourhood."

Criteria for Evaluation: In order to ensure that a proposed rezoning will in no way jeopardize either the spirit of the Municipal Development Plan or the quality of life in the planned area, Council has directed that all rezoning applications have regard to those considerations outlined under Policy P-104 of the Plan.

Comments of the Department of Planning and Development: The Department of Planning and Development recommends that the proposed rezoning be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning is in conformity with the intent of the Plan as required under section (i) of Policy P-104.
2. The subject property is suitable in terms of its size, grade, and ability to accomodate an on-site septic system. This is an essential point for consideration as directed by section (iv) of Policy P-89.
3. Although the proposed land use may interrupt the continuity of housing design along the Quarry Road, it is the opinion of Staff that the presence of a mobile home will not detract from the physical appearance of the neighbourhood. This is due to the fact that the mode of housing accomodation (i.e. the single family dwelling) remains unchanged.

Recommendation: Based on the findings of the Department of Planning and Development, it is recommended: That the rezoning of the last remaining portion of the lands of Edward W. Dean, located on the Quarry Road at Sackville, from R-6 Zone to R-3 Zone be approved by County Council.

Questions From Council

None.

Speakers In Favour of the Rezoning Application

None.

Speakers In Opposition to the Rezoning Application

None.



Motion and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Bayers:

"THAT the rezoning of the last remaining portion of the lands of Edward W. Dean, located on the Quarry Road at Sackville, From R-6 Zone to R-3 Zone, be approved by Halifax County Council."  
Motion Carried.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT the Public Hearing adjourn."  
Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Public Hearing adjourned at 8:20 P.M.

I N D E X

Air Show - Shearwater -----	19
By-Law Amendments -----	15
Charbonneau, Wilmer - Development Agreement -----	3-7
Correspondence -----	9
Capital Grant - Districts #6,15 & 16 -----	29-31
Dog Control - Contract -----	13
District Capital Grant - District #18 -----	15
Duty Boat - McNab's Island -----	20
Development Agreement -----	28
Director of Development Report -----	28
FCM Membership Fees -----	12-13
FCM Delegates -----	13
Financial Statements -----	33
Homeowner's Grant Programs -----	34-35
Lien Law Fund -----	36-37
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary -----	1 & 24
Motion - Approval of Minutes -----	2 & 25
Motion - Planning Advisory Committee -----	2
Motion - Public Hearing -----	3 & 8-9
Motion - City of Dartmouth Municipal Development Plan	3
Motion - Development Agreement, Lands of Wilmer Charbonneau -----	3-7
Motion - Correspondence -----	9 & 25
Motion - Tour re Rehabilitation Centre -----	9
Motion - R.C.M.P. Meeting -----	10-11
Motion - Management Committee Report -----	11
Motion - Suburban Paving Program -----	11
Motion - Mileage Rates -----	11-12
Motion - Terence Bay Fire Department, Loan Request ---	12
Motion - FCM Membership Fees -----	12-13
Motion - FCM Delegates -----	13
Motion - Dog Control Contract -----	13
Motion - Special Committee re Joint Councils -----	14-15
Motion - Policy Committee Report -----	15 & 29
Motion - District Capital Grant, District #18 -----	15
Motion - By-Law Amendments -----	15
Motion - Metro Authority Report -----	16-18
Motion - Storm Sewer Easement -----	18-19
Motion - Sewage Outflow -----	19
Motion - Tax Rates -----	19
Motion - Air Show, Shearwater -----	19
Motion - Duty Boat, McNab's Island -----	20
Motion - Adjournment -----	23 & 37
Motion - Provincial Cut-backs re Operating and Capital Grants -----	25-27
Motion - Development Agreement -----	28
Motion - Director of Development Report -----	28

Index  
Page 2  
April Council Session - 1983

Motion - Surplus School -----	28-29
Motion - Capital Grant, Districts #6 & 15 & 16 -----	29-31
Motion - Private Crossing, Black Point -----	31
Motion - Senior Citizen's Housing Project, Sackville -	31-32
Motion - Financial Statements -----	33
Motion - M.T. & T. Equipment -----	34
Motion - Homeowners Grant Programs -----	34-35
Motion - Street Lighting -----	35-36
Motion - Wharf, Eastern Passage -----	36
Motion - Lien Law Fund -----	36-37
Motion - Sidewalk Construction -----	37
Planning Advisory Committee -----	2
Public Hearing -----	3 & 8-9
Policy Committee Report -----	15 & 29
Provincial Cut-backs - Operating and Capital Grants --	25-27
Rehabilitation Centre - Tour -----	9
R.C.M.P. - Meeting -----	10-11
Suburban Paving Program -----	11
Storm Sewer Easement -----	18-19
Sewage Outflow -----	19
Surplus School -----	28-29
Senior Citizen's Project - Sackville -----	31-32
Street Lighting -----	35-36
Sidewalk Construction -----	37
Terence Bay Fire Department - Loan Request -----	12
Tax Rates -----	19

ANNUAL SESSION OF COUNCIL

APRIL 5, 1983

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman  
Deputy Warden Margeson  
Councillor Walker  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Larsen  
Councillor Gaudet  
Councillor Baker  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor DeRoche  
Councillor Adams  
Councillor Gaetz  
Councillor Bayers  
Councillor Reid  
Councillor Lichter  
Councillor Snow  
Councillor MacKay  
Councillor Eisenhauer  
Councillor McInroy  
Councillor MacDonald  
Councillor Wiseman  
Councillor Mont

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer  
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk  
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor  
Mr. K. Birch, Chief of Planning and Development

SECRETARY: Virginia Veinot

---

OPENING OF COUNCIL - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie brought the Council Session to order at 6:04 p.m. with The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Virginia Veinot be appointed Recording Secretary."  
Motion Carried.

MEETING WITH OFFICIALS OF THE DARTMOUTH GENERAL HOSPITAL

Warden MacKenzie advised Council that this item has been cancelled due to the illness of one of the members.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES - SPECIAL SESSION OF COUNCIL - FEBRUARY 3, 1983 and  
PUBLIC HEARING - MARCH 21, 1983

It was moved by Councillor Gaudet, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the Minutes of the Special Session of Council held on February 3, 1983 be approved."  
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Minutes of the Public Hearing held on March 21, 1983 be approved."  
Motion Carried.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the report of the Planning Advisory Committee be received."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor MacKay requested that the Planning Advisory Committee Report and Supplementary Report be dealt with at this time as several people were present in the gallery. He further advised that the Planning Advisory Committee had dealt with these four proposed Development Agreements at their meeting today and due to the urgency and importance of processing these as soon as possible due to the federal grants expiring by the end of April of this year, it was recommended that these be placed before Council at the April 5th session as a Supplementary Report.

Mr. Birch advised that the four lots concerned are located in the Sackville area and since they lie within 100 feet of the Little Sackville River they require development agreements. The four applicants are as follows:

- 1) DA-SA-1-83-19 - Proposed Development Agreement between the Municipality and Brian David Archibald and Eileen Margaret Archibald (single unit dwelling).
- 2) DA-SA-2-83-19 - Proposed Development Agreement between the Municipality and Three Star Developments Limited (single unit dwelling).
- 3) DA-SA-3-83-19 - Proposed Development Agreement between the Municipality and Three Star Developments (two unit dwelling).
- 4) DA-SA-4-83-19 - Proposed Development Agreement between the Municipality and Three Star Developments Limited (two unit dwelling).

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor MacKay:

"THAT a Public Hearing be scheduled for April 26, 1983 at 7:00 p.m. with respect to the following applications for proposed Development Agreements: DA-SA-1-83-19, DA-SA-2-83-19, DA-SA-3-83-19 and DA-SA-4-83-19."  
Motion Carried.

Mr. Birch then referred to the Planning Advisory Committee Report contained in the Agenda Book.

#### CITY OF DARTMOUTH AMENDMENT TO THE MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN

The Planning Advisory Committee recommended to Council that the City of Dartmouth be notified that the Shubenacadie Lakes System is used for domestic water supply for many residents of the County and that they appreciate the City's policies in protecting that system.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT the recommendation of the Planning Advisory Committee be accepted on this item."  
Motion Carried.

#### DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT - LANDS OF WILMER CHARBONNEAU

Mr. Birch advised that this item is a result of Council's decision to amend the Eastern Passage/Cow Bay Zoning By-Law in order to allow Mr. Charbonneau to have his property zoned to permit the use of a salvage yard. He pointed out that negotiations have been going on for some time between staff and Mr. Charbonneau and the agreement as included in the Agenda Book is the result of the final negotiations. The Planning Advisory Committee are now recommending that the draft agreement be forwarded to Council for a public hearing and the suggested date is May 2, 1983 at 7:00 p.m.

Councillor Deveaux advised that he was not in favor of the recommendation brought forward and would like at this time to make a motion:

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT Halifax County Council refuse to enter into a contract agreement with Mr. Wilmer Charbonneau regarding an application to operate a salvage yard in District 6."

Councillor MacKay stated that he believed the Municipality went on record before as giving the mandate to the Planning Department to enter into an agreement to come back to Council and now what would have to be done is we would have to rescind that motion.

Mr. Cragg stated that the motion which brings the matter before us this evening is that a recommendation be forwarded to Council requesting that staff be given permission to enter into negotiations with Mr. Charbonneau for a development agreement to allow the operation of a salvage yard so the motion only dealt with instructing staff to enter into negotiations with Mr. Charbonneau.

Councillor Deveaux stated that Council still in effect has the opportunity this evening to refuse to enter into this agreement and in fact tonight would be the last chance for the Council to do so. Councillor Deveaux advised that he would like to have Mr. Keith Birch present the views of the Planning Department regarding this matter which as far as he knew had never been brought forward.

Mr. Birch proceeded to outline the background to this item and stated that there have been several applications for the use of this property over time starting with a rabbit colony then turning into one for a salvage yard and dump which was opposed by Shearwater - not so much from the salvage yard point of view but due to the dump which would attract birds which would jeopardize the operations of Shearwater. He further advised that during the development of the plan for Eastern Passage/Cow Bay this area was scheduled for light industrial development as being a suitable use and not suitable for residential development because of the noise. At the actual hearing by Council, after representation by Mr. Charbonneau, Council determined it would be allowed to develop as a salvage yard subject to a contract. On approval of the Plan by the Minister, Mr. Charbonneau requested that the contract be developed and we were authorized by Council to enter into negotiations - the results of which are included in the Agenda Book. Mr. Birch went on to say that from a Planning Staff point of view it is felt that whilst the area and site may be capable of operating as a salvage yard we feel that the proposal for light industrial is the better proposed use in that the area around it will develop for the same and that a salvage yard will impact on possible proposed uses and might degenerate into things other the detailed salvage yard requirement.

Mr. Kelly proceeded to read a letter received from Mr. Thomas Donovan of Cox, Downie stating that he is opposed to a development agreement of this nature for the proposed salvage yard. He as well requested permission to appear at the Council session to oppose this application.

Warden MacKenzie stated that should a public hearing be held then Mr. Donovan would have the opportunity to appear at that time to present his views.

Councillor Lichter advised that the Planning Advisory Committee are recommending one thing only, that a Public Hearing be held at which time all parties who object or are in favour will have an opportunity to speak. He stated that if the motion put forward by Councillor Deveaux is approved there will be no opportunity for people to speak against it nor in favour of it.

Councillor Deveaux stated that the bottom line of the whole issue is that it should never have reached the stage it has in his opinion and this opinion is shared by a number of people. With regard to Shearwater, their main concern was and probably still is, whether seagulls would gather in the area in the future and cause a hazard towards flying operations. It appears at the present time that will not be the case, so in all probability they would not be in opposition to the salvage yard approval once they have had a closer look at the contract as proposed.

Councillor Deveaux went on to say that irregardless of that and with all due respect to Shearwater, they are a self-contained unit owned and operated by the Federal Government. If there are no seagulls in the area it is beneficial to all of us, not only Shearwater. However if other problems arise they are going to end up in his lap and in the lap of the people in the area who have been putting up with a number of these problems for many years. The decision should never have been made by Council to reverse the wishes of the P.P.C. and those of the people in the District. With all due respect to Mr. Charbonneau, there were public hearings held in the area prior to the M.D.P. being approved, prior to the final public hearing and he never came forward with his plan nor made any attempt to have it approved within the District.

The reason why, Councillor Deveaux stated, was because the policy which was proposed and was brought forward by the members of the P.P.C. in the district and once again, approved at public meetings, clearly stated that they did not want any more salvage yard licences issued for this district other than the ones that are already in operation and this was read over a number of times at M.D.P. meetings held here over a period of 18 months and to the best of his knowledge was never questioned by any member of the M.D.P. or even other members of Council until the last night of the M.D.P. Public Hearing.

Councillor Deveaux further pointed out that the issue came before P.A.C. two weeks ago to once again bring forward the final recommendation as brought forward this evening and the reason given was that the new members of Council had not had an opportunity to really look into the situation and this would give them an insight into what is taking place. Councillor Deveaux stated that with all due respect to our new members of Council, if we are going to have a public hearing every time a question arises due to the fact that the new Councillors have not had an opportunity to voice their opinion then he would suggest we start looking at our priorities. As far as he is concerned we have wasted enough of the taxpayer's dollars already in bringing this issue as far as we have. We have an opportunity this evening to curtail this whole situation and save some future tax dollars.

The people in the area would agree that there are a lot more dollars coming out of District 6 than are going in and when you add on Shearwater, whose grants from the Federal Government go towards the support of all of Halifax County, then certainly that is even multiplied. There is close to 40 percent industry in the District not counting Shearwater and if Shearwater were included there would be lots of industry there to support a separate incorporation.

Councillor Deveaux stated that there is no doubt that the decision that was made by Council last May was an affront to the wishes and the work that was put forward by the P.P.C. and the people who worked in drawing up a plan for the M.D.P.

Councillor Deveaux pointed out that we are about to proceed with the drawing up of M.D.P.'s for other areas in the County and if we do in fact allow this public hearing to go forward when he attends these public meetings as a Member of the P.A.C if any member of the public



asks if their wishes are going to be upheld by Council in drawing up the M.D.P., he would have no choice but to tell them that he could not guarantee that.

Included in this application are two separate lots of land and in Councillor Deveaux's view we are not looking at one salvage yard, we are looking at two with approximately 2 - 300 feet in between that is owned by other interests.

Councillor Deveaux stated that the only thing he can ask Council to do is to reverse the wrong and support the motion he put forward and bring an end to this.

Councillor Deveaux requested that a recorded vote be taken on this motion.

Councillor MacKay spoke in opposition to the motion on the floor. He stated that with respect to the Eastern Passage/Cow Bay M.D.P. that when we debated at the Public Hearing he felt then and still feels very strongly about naming a specific industry or type of business and excluding them from a Municipal Development Plan by that process.

He also felt that if you have a very undesirable type of industry or business, etc. there is only one proper way that you can place the necessary controls over it and that is through a Development Agreement.

Councillor MacKay stated that the only way we can control breach of contract is through our by-laws and regulations and back charge the property.

Councillor Poirier stated that the issue had reached this stage due to the democratic process. Mr. Charbonneau is a citizen and a taxpayer and has a right to decide what type of living he can make. She pointed out she is very opposed to the motion on the floor.

On a point of order Councillor Poirier pointed out that the P.P.C. are not opposed to the salvage yard due to the close proximity to the airport, they are concerned that Mr. Charbonneau is going to set up a business.

Councillor Walker questioned as to what was wrong with holding a public hearing - we have not made any judgement and at the hearing we would hear both sides and could make a decision.

Councillor Deveaux stated that the people in his area worked 18 months and clearly stated that they did not want any more salvage yards.

Councillor McInroy advised that he sees a lot of merit in what Councillor Deveaux has stated, however if we allow this to be a separate hearing this does not mean we are agreeing to it.

Mr. Birch pointed out that the hearing is to determine whether the contract will meet the terms of the M.D.P. We would have to decide if the contract would sufficiently safeguard the terms of the M.D.P.

Councillor Gaetz questioned if a petition had been circulated.

Councillor Deveaux advised that he had circulated one opposed and Mr. Charbonneau circulated a petition in favor of the salvage yard. He pointed out that many of the names on Mr. Charbonneau's petition also appear on the petition opposed to the salvage yard.

A recorded vote was taken on the motion that was put forward by Councillor Deveaux and seconded by Councillor Gaudet.

District 1: Opposed  
District 2: Opposed  
District 3: Opposed  
District 4: In favour  
District 5: In favour  
District 6: In favour  
District 7: Opposed  
District 8: Opposed  
District 9: Opposed  
District 10: In Favour  
District 11: Opposed  
District 12: Opposed  
District 13: Opposed  
District 14: Opposed  
District 15: In favour  
District 16: Opposed  
District 17: In Favour  
District 18: Opposed  
District 19: Opposed  
District 20: In Favour  
District 21: In favour

The results were 13 opposed to the motion and 8 in favour of the motion, therefore the motion put forward by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaudet was declared defeated.

It was then moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT a Public Hearing be scheduled for May 2nd, 1983 at 7:00 p.m. to deal with the Development Agreement Re Lands of Wilmer Charbonneau."  
Motion Carried.

Opposed to this motion were: Councillors: Deveaux, Baker, Gaudet, McInroy, Mont, Wiseman, Bayers and Deputy Warden Margeson.

REZONING APPLICATION NO. RA-SA-04-82-19 - REQUEST BY RONALD J. DEAN TO REZONE THE LAST REMAINING PORTION OF THE LANDS OF EDWARD V. DEAN, LOCATED ON THE QUARRY ROAD AT SACKVILLE, DISTRICT 19 FROM R-6 (RURAL QUARRY ROAD AT SACKVILLE, DISTRICT 19 FROM R-6 (RURAL RESIDENTIAL) ZONE TO R-3 (MOBILE DWELLING) ZONE.

Mr. Birch advised that the intention of the request was to permit the location of a 43 x 12' mobile home on the last remaining portion of the lands of Edward W. Dean located on the Quarry Road at Sackville.

Mr. Birch referred to the report in the Agenda Book and pointed out that staff recommended approval of the proposed rezoning application for the following reasons:

- 1) The presence of the mobile home will not detract from the physical appearance of the neighbourhood.
- 2) The size of the lot is in conformity with Policy P-89 in terms of ability to accommodate an on-site septic system.
- 3) The request is in conformity with the intent of the Sackville Municipal Development Plan as required under Section (i), Policy P-104.

Therefore the Planning Advisory Committee is recommending to Council for a public hearing for consideration of approval with the suggested date being May 2, 1983.

After discussion it was felt that the Charbonneau hearing would be lengthy, therefore no other hearings should be scheduled for that date.

It was moved by Councillor Macdonald, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT a public hearing be held on April 26, 1983 to deal with Rezoning Application No. RA-SA-04-82-19 Ronald J. Dean, Quarry Road, Sackville."  
Motion Carried.

REZONING APPLICATION NO. RA-SA-02-83-20 - REQUEST BY KENNETH KELLY TO REZONE LOT 76-D, AREA D, PHASE 2, SACKVILLE DEVELOPMENTS, LOCATED AT 76 SYCAMORE LANE AT LOWER SACKVILLE, DISTRICT 20 FROM R-1 (SINGLE UNIT DWELLING) TO R-2 (TWO UNIT DWELLING) ZONE.

Mr. Birch advised that the purpose of the request was to permit the construction of an apartment styled dwelling unit over the existing house. This new unit will be used to accommodate living quarters for relatives. Staff of the Planning and Development Department recommend approval of this request for the following reasons:

- a) Policy P-31 of the Sackville Municipal Development Plan allows rezoning to permit the type of structure proposed by Mr. Kelly.
- b) The request is in conformity with all applicable provisions outlined under Policy P-104 of the Sackville Municipal Development Plan.

Therefore staff recommend for a public hearing for consideration of approval with the suggested date being May 2, 1982.

Due to the Charbonneau public hearing being scheduled for that evening, the date of April 26th was agreed upon.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT a public hearing be held on April 26, 1983 to deal with rezoning application No. RA-SA-02-83-20 - Kenneth Kelly."  
Motion Carried.

#### AGENDA ITEMS - EMERGENCY NATURE

Warden MacKenzie asked if any members of Council had any items to be discussed at the end of the session tonight.

Councillor Adams requested that discussion be held with respect to the news story that County projects may be delayed due to the Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea project.

Councillor Deveaux requested that the Air Show - Shearwater and Duty Boat to McNab's Island be discussed at the end of the session this evening.

Councillor Lichter wished to discuss the establishment of the Rural Services Committee and where it stands.

Warden MacKenzie advised that he wished to congratulate Phil and Anna Eisenhauer on the arrival of their son, Sheldon.

Warden MacKenzie advised that he wished to point out that earlier in the evening, Chief Harold Parker had been in attendance in the gallery.

#### LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT the correspondence be received."  
Motion Carried.

Mr. Kelly advised that the first letter was addressed to Warden MacKenzie from Percy Baker, Administrator of the Rehab. Centre. This letter contained an invitation extended to the Council to tour the Centre to acquaint the members of Council with the many programs that exist.

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT we accept the invitation to tour the Rehabilitation Centre and that the date and time be chosen by the Warden."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor Baker suggested that perhaps we could hire a bus to transport the Councillors.

Warden MacKenzie suggested that possibly we could arrange this tour on a day of Council.

Mr. Kelly read the second letter addressed to Mr. K. R. Meech from Kell Antoft, Editor of the Institute of Public Affairs. This letter advised that the Institute has undertaken to revise and reprint the handbook "Guide to Local Government in Nova Scotia." The letter further advised that an Editorial Advisory Committee has been established to assist in the task. This Committee has representatives from the UNSM, the Association of Municipal Administrators of N.S., the Department of Municipal Affairs, the Department of Education, the Maritime Municipal Training and Development Board and from the Institute. Since the Committee is anxious to receive comments and suggestions on how the handbook could be improved, members of Council and others were invited to complete a questionnaire on the subject.

Warden MacKenzie advised that this is for Council's information and encouraged all to respond to the questionnaire.

This was agreed to by members of Council.

Mr. Kelly read the next letter which was addressed to D.D. Reinhardt, Administrative Clerk, from the Hon. R. Giffin, Minister of Transportation. This letter advised that departmental staff have completed a survey of the student traffic on Trunk 7 in Porters Lake and Lakeview Consolidated Elementary School with a view to a reduction of speed at appropriate times of the day when the school is opening and closing.

The survey determined that presently posted on Trunk 7 serving Lakeview Consolidated Elementary, is a designated school zone for 460 meters. School zones are designated by the presence of blue and white pentagon shaped signs. The presence of such signs, under the Motor Vehicle Act, dictates that during the times when children are present on the highway within the boundaries of a designated school zone, no motorist shall drive in excess of 50 km/h. In the near future, the Department will be adding a tab to the existing school signs saying, "50 km/h WHEN CHILDREN ARE PRESENT".

Since the overall speed limit through Porters Lake is 70 km/h, the presence of the blue and white school signs imposes a 50 km/h speed limit while children are present on the highway walking to and from school.

It was also stated that during the course of the investigation a collected radar speed sample indicated that motorists were violating the 50 km/h speed limit imposed while children were present on the highway, therefore staff have notified the Department of the Attorney General to request increased enforcement.

After discussion on the matter, Councillor MacDonald suggested that perhaps our concerns could be voiced at the time the RCMP attend the Council session.

Mr. Kelly advised that the R.C.M.P. would be in attendance at the first Council session in May.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT a representative of the Department of Transportation Safety Division be requested to be in attendance at the May 3rd Council session at which time the RCMP representatives will also be in attendance."

Motion Carried.

THE WARDEN THEN DECLARED A 5 MINUTE RECESS.

After the 5 minute recess the Warden called the Council session to order.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the Management Committee Report be received."

Motion Carried.

ADDITIONS TO 1983 SUBURBAN STREET PAVING PROGRAM

Mr. Kelly advised that the Management Committee had given approval to the inclusion of the following streets for paving:

Capri Drive (part) - Porter's Lake, Glenwood Drive - Head St. Margaret's Bay, Parklea Drive - Head St. Margaret's Bay

Street "A" (not yet named) - Porter's Lake  
Street "B" (not yet named) - Porter's Lake

It was requested by the Management Committee that Council give approval to the addition of the above noted streets to the 1983 Suburban Street Paving Program.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT the following streets be included in the Street Paving Program for 1983: Capri Drive (part) - Porter's Lake, Glenwood Drive - Head St. Margaret's Bay, Parklea Drive, Head St. Margaret's Bay, Street "A" (not yet named) - Porter's Lake and Street "B" (not yet named) - Porter's Lake."

Motion Carried.

MILEAGE RATES - NON-UNIONIZED EMPLOYEES

Mr. Kelly stated that the Management Committee recommend to Council for approval the following mileage rates for all non-unionized employees. He pointed out that the rates recommended are similar to those recently approved by Council for unionized employees and also similar to those approved by the Province for Provincial Civil Servants.

37.1	cents	per	mile	-	first	10,000
34.2	"	"	"	-	10,001-	15,000
22.8	"	"	"	-	15,000	+

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT the mileage rates as recommended by the Management Committee for non-union employees be approved and that they be retroactive to January 1, 1983."  
Motion Carried.

SUPPLEMENTARY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT - LOAN REQUEST - TERENCE BAY FIRE DEPARTMENT

Mr. Kelly advised the Council members that the Management Committee had received a request from the Terence Bay Fire Department for an additional loan in the amount of \$25,000. The loan is required to complete renovations and additions to their fire hall. The Management Committee are recommending to Council approval of this loan to be payable over a period of ten years with principle and interest and with Council reserving the right to levy an area rate to recover any outstanding principle and interest.

It was moved by Councillor Gaudet, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT approval be given to the loan request from the Terence Bay Fire Department for the sum of \$25,000 repayable over a period of ten years with principle and interest and with Council reserving the right to levy an area rate to recover any outstanding principle and interest."  
Motion Carried.

CORRESPONDENCE - FCM

Mr. Kelly advised that the Management Committee had received correspondence from Jean Pelletier, President of the FCM, concerning a proposed increase in membership fees. This correspondence indicated that membership fees will be increased to .05 cents per capita in 1983 and will be increased by .01 cents per capita in each of the next two years.

The Management Committee are recommending that the increase in membership fees be withheld pending approval of the proposed fee increase by the general membership at the annual conference scheduled for June.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the increase in membership fees be held within the 6 percent guidelines."  
Motion Withdrawn.

After discussion the above motion was withdrawn with agreement of the mover and seconder.

It was then moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT a decision on the increase in the membership fees for the FCM be withheld pending approval of the proposed fee increase by the general membership at the annual conference in June."  
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT if an increase in rates is necessary that we follow the guidelines of 6 percent."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor MacKay questioned as to the benefits of our membership in the FCM.

Warden MacKenzie replied that the new Executive Director has brought the FCM a long way and has increased activity and has made many presentations to the federal government members. He pointed out that much work has been done with regard to interest rates on housing and as time goes on you will see the results in many areas.

Councillor Lichter requested a report on the total cost of being a member of the FCM.

#### DELEGATES - FCM

Warden MacKenzie advised that in the past years 3 delegates and 3 alternates were appointed, however last year 3 delegates and one alternative were chosen. (This includes the Warden).

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Bayers:

"THAT the Warden be authorized to select 3 delegates and one alternate to the FCM conference scheduled for June in Moncton."  
Motion Carried.

#### CONTRACT - DOG CONTROL

Mr. Kelly advised that the three year contract between the Municipality and the SPCA for the provision of dog control expires April 30, 1983.

The Management Committee recommend to Council that we call for proposals for the Dog Control Program for a three year period with the proposals to include a contract price for each of the three years of the Contract.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Bayers:

"THAT approval be given to call for proposals for the Dog Control Program for a three year period with proposals to include a contract price for each of the three years of the contract."  
Motion Carried.



APPOINTMENT OF REPRESENTATIVES TO THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE

Mr. Kelly advised that a Special committee was formed at a meeting of the Joint Councils of Halifax County and the Town of Bedford for the purpose of determining future District School Board Budget requirements.

The Management Committee recommend to Council that two Council members be appointed to this Special Committee.

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT two Council members be appointed to the Special Committee for the purpose of determining future District School Board budget requirements."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor DeRoche felt that these representatives should not be members presently on the School Board so that they would not be torn by divided loyalties.

Mr. Meech advised that it is proposed that Bedford have two members appointed and County Council - 2 members with the District School Board appointing two members as well.

Councillor Deveaux felt that the members of the School Board should not be excluded as this would be discriminatory.

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT Councillor Adams be nominated to this Committee due to his previous experience on the School Board."

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT Councillor DeRoche be nominated."

It was moved by Councillor Baker, seconded by Councillor Eisenhower:

"THAT Deputy Warden Margeson be nominated."

Deputy Warden Margeson thanked Councillors Eisenhower and Baker for nominating him, however he advised that he did not wish to be a member of this Committee.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhower, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT Councillor Mont be nominated."

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Councillor MacDonald be nominated."

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT nominations cease."  
Motion Carried.

It was agreed that ballots be completed and that the two with the highest number of votes be appointed to the Special Committee.

Ballots were then completed and counted with the highest number of votes being received by Councillor MacDonald and Councillor Mont.

The Warden declared Councillors Mont and MacDonald duly elected to the Special Committee to deal with future School Board Budget requirements.

#### POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT the Policy Committee Report be received."  
Motion Carried.

#### DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT, DISTRICT 18

Mr. Kelly advised that the Policy Committee received a request for a District Capital Grant of \$2,520. for capital improvements to the Wallace Lucas Community Centre.

The Policy Committee recommend this for approval.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT approval be given to a District Capital Grant in the amount of \$2,520 for capital improvements to the Wallace Lucas Community Centre." Motion Carried.

#### BY-LAW AMENDMENTS

Mr. Kelly advised that the Policy Committee have reviewed and recommended for approval amendments to By-Laws 1, 2, 4, and 5.

After discussion on the amendments to these by-laws, it was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT approval be given to the amendments to By-Laws 1, 2, 4 and 5 as recommended by the Policy Committee."  
Motion Carried.

Mr. Kelly advised that these amendments would now be forwarded to the Department of Municipal Affairs for approval.

Councillor Baker advised that he would like to have a handbook prepared outlining the rules and regulations concerning Fire Departments and their duties as well as the duties of a Councillor as it relates to the District Fire Department. He felt that it would be most convenient to have information such as this in book form.

REPORT RE METRO AUTHORITY

Councillor MacDonald advised that as of March 25th the negotiations between the Correction Officer's Union and the Metropolitan Authority was finally ratified after long negotiations. The three people who were laid off are back to work and the overall saving was approximately \$110,000.

Councillor MacDonald advised that he had the Agreement in his possession if anyone would like to have a look at it.

He further advised that at the February 10th meeting it was resolved that the Authority accept the joint recommendation of the Finance Officers regarding allocating transit deficits among the municipalities, and that the Authority forward recommendations and appropriate amendments to municipal transit by-laws for consideration by the Councils of participating municipalities.

Mr. Meech advised that Council had in fact endorsed that and it had been communicated to the Metropolitan Authority however he thought the last communication was that Halifax or Dartmouth, or both, had not agreed, however subsequent to that they may have agreed but we were waiting for a specific amendment to the by-law to give effect to the decision of the Metropolitan Authority.

Mr. Cragg confirmed that he had received a copy of the proposed amendment from the Metropolitan Authority's solicitor within the last week.

Councillor MacDonald advised that the Sackville Advisory Board has negotiation underway for the discussions on the final use of the landfill site which is deemed to be finished in 1990 and it has gone to the Landuse Committee of the Sackville Advisory Board and it will return to Council for presentation to Metro Authority for the final takeover in the near future.

Money has been made available to MAPC for the study re the landfill site in the amount of \$75,000 and Metro Authority is inviting engineering firms to tender on this so this should be coming forth shortly.

Negotiations as far as the Landfill Workers Union are coming along very smoothly now and should be ratified shortly.

Councillor MacDonald requested that important literature such as information on the landfill site and the union negotiations be made available to all Council members by distributing copies in their mailboxes when the need arises. He felt this would cut down on a lot of questions and would provide Councillors with the information they require.

The Warden agreed to have such reports circulated to the Councillors when required.

Councillor MacDonald advised that another point had come up at the Urban Services Committee last week on the monthly report for the finances re Metropolitan Transit. It showed a decrease in ridership and a decrease in revenue. In January of this year ridership was down .4 % and the total was 5.3 and the reason the MTC gave was that last year was a very cold winter and ridership increases when it is cold. This year, the weather being much warmer, people tended not to take the bus as often.

Also last year there was a rate increase early in the new year and people anticipated this and bought a lot of tickets in advance and this put the revenue up at that particular time last year.

For March of this year the increase in ridership has gone up 6.2 % over last year's so it is starting to level off so the whole year should be about the same as last year.

We have received \$942,000 cost shared by the Provincial Government - \$188,000 and the Federal government \$754,000 for 12 new buses. So in the near future a borrowing resolution will be coming forward for approval.

Councillor MacKay stated that he thought that a long time ago we passed a resolution by Council that we would support the methodology study as presented and he assumed what has been adopted by the City of Halifax and City of Dartmouth and probably the Town of Bedford, is the same methodology study as we proposed however it does require a special by-law prepared and adopted by this Council.

Mr. Meech stated that as he mentioned earlier, Mr. Cragg has in his possession the suggested amendment.

Councillor MacKay asked if this reflects the new cost sharing.

Councillor MacDonald replied that no, it does not.

Councillor MacKay stated that therefore if it is adopted, it would put us in a better position. One thing that perhaps Mr. Meech might be able to research is the landfill site in Sackville. It was proposed through the Department of Municipal Affairs and a commitment made at that time that a certain amount of monies would be made available as far as the design contour for the finished product meaning the Community and the Council in consultation were to decide what they wanted the finished landfill site to be - a golf course, or another type of recreation facility. Then when the landfill is nearing completion it will be contoured to that design and an appropriate amount of fill put over it. There were supposed to be a certain amount of monies made available for that design and we should possibly have some correspondence to that effect.

Councillor MacKay indicated that at the Urban Services Committee when ridership was discussed there was also a decrease in expenses so that one offset the other and on the administration costs there was a \$17,000 saving in administration alone and if you are projecting this

over a full year it came to more than 200,000. He pointed out that he could not understand how all of a sudden you could cut 17,000 out of administration - they must have laid a couple of people off.

It was pointed out by Mr. Meech that no answers on this were received as yet.

Councillor MacDonald advised that with respect to the buses purchased from the City of Halifax, there was an offer made to the City of Halifax and the City wanted substantially more and it was going to go to arbitration. Now he understood there was an agreement made and basically what they are doing is paying half the difference.

Mr. Meech advised that we just recently had a written communication on that particular subject advising that MTC had agreed to settle with the City of Halifax on the basis that they would pay 50 % of what the City had requested.

Councillor MacKay questioned as to who makes the decision.

Councillor MacDonald advised that it was Metropolitan Transit.

Councillor MacKay asked if Metro Transit had the authority to do that or does it have to go through Metro Authority.

Mr. Meech replied that they only have to go through Metro Authority for funding with respect to their budget requirements.

Councillor Deveaux advised that a few weeks ago he read where Mr. Akerley had been appointed by the government to look into the structure and a few other issues with regard to Metro Authority and he has had to resign due to ill health. He was wondering if he has been replaced as yet.

Warden MacKenzie advised that the replacement is Mr. MacDonald who is a lawyer from New Glasgow.

It was then moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the report re Metro Authority as provided by Councillor MacDonald be received."  
Motion Carried.

#### RESOLUTION OF COUNCIL RE EXPROPRIATION OF STORM SEWER EASEMENT

Mr. Kelly pointed out that contained in the Agenda Book is a resolution of Council re expropriation of storm sewer easement, Lot C6 Willowdale Estates Subdivision, Cole Harbour.

Councillor McInroy thanked the Council for affording him the opportunity to attempt to work something out with respect to this item, however he is now prepared to go ahead with it.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Mont:

"THAT approval be given to the expropriation of Storm Sewer Easement, Lot C6, Willowdale Estates Subdivision."  
Motion Carried.

SEWAGE OUTFLOW - CITIES OF HALIFAX AND DARTMOUTH - DEPUTY WARDEN MARGESON

Deputy Warden Margeson advised that he had placed this on the agenda because he feels that with the Make Work Programs being put on by the provincial and federal governments that we should be able to do something that would be of service to the people in the community of Herring Cove and the County of Halifax as a whole and as well the residents of the two Cities. This would be to have some form of insurance that the sewage that is being put in the salt water could be engineered in such a matter that it would be well out beyond the portions of the ocean used by fishermen in the Herring Cove Area.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT this matter be referred to the Management Committee and that a recommendation be forwarded to Council."  
Motion Carried.

Deputy Warden pointed out that Councillor Baker, being on the Management Committee, could follow this up.

1983 TAX RATES

It was moved by Councillor Mont, seconded by Councillor Walker:

"THAT this item be deferred to the April 19th session of Council at which time the total package will be available for discussion."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor Lichter suggested that this item be placed much earlier on the agenda so that it receives the debate it deserves.

ADDITION OF ITEMS TO THE AGENDA (EMERGENCY ITEMS)

Councillor Deveaux - AIR SHOW - SHEARWATER

Councillor Deveaux advised that approximately two weeks ago Shearwater received an award from the Tourist Industry for Nova Scotia in recognition of their Air Show which they put on every year and in particular for the international Air Show which was held last October.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT a letter of commendation be forwarded to the Base Commander and the members of Shearwater with respect to the Air Show Award."  
Motion Carried.

Councillor Deveaux - DUTY BOAT TO McNAB'S ISLAND

Councillor Deveaux advised that several years ago the majority of the Island was taken over by the Provincial Government and Federal Governments. However there were a few portions of land still privately owned and one person in particular is a Mrs. Conrad who has large holdings of land there and her taxes are in the range of \$5,000. per year. Unfortunately she is off on an island by herself now, her husband having passed away. Even the lighthouse keeper is no longer employed there as the lighthouse has been converted to automatic as of March this year.

Over the years a duty boat ran from the dockyard to McNab's which provided residents with an opportunity to pick up groceries and collect mail, etc. in Halifax, however unfortunately two months ago the federal government discontinued the boat. Councillor Deveaux advised Council that Mrs. Conrad has been a resident of McNab's Island for at least 50 years and she deserves at least an explanation as to why the duty boat was discontinued. He felt that even if the duty boat only made one or two runs per week this would be of great benefit to her.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT a letter be forwarded to the Minister of National Defence expressing our concern due to the discontinuance of the duty boat service to McNab's Island and requesting an explanation as to why this has happened and also asking that consideration be given to one or two runs per week."  
Motion Carried.

It was agreed that a copy of this letter be forwarded to Mrs. Conrad.

Councillor Lichter - RURAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

Councillor Lichter inquired as to where this matter stood.

Mr. Meech advised that this matter will be forwarded to the Policy Committee in the very near future. The membership and terms of reference are yet to be decided.

Councillor Lichter asked if this had ever been on the Policy Committee Agenda.

Mr. Meech advised yes, this item originated at the Policy Committee.

Councillor Lichter suggested that this be on the next Council Agenda.

Councillor Adams - NEWSPAPER ARTICLE - RE COUNTY CAPITAL PROJECTS

Councillor Adams asked if there was any need for alarm due to the recent newspaper article suggesting that County projects would be in jeopardy due to the additional costs encountered for the servicing program for the Lakeside-Beechville-Timberlea area.