

2. Increment Raises: Councillor Lichter advised that there is consideration provided in the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual for increment raises in excess of the usual amount, for exceptional performance. He questioned whether this would enable someone to move from the bottom of the salary scale to the top of the salary scale in one year's time.

The Councillor was advised by Mr. Percy Fawson, Personnel Co-ordinator, that it would not be possible to jump from the bottom to the top of the scale in a short time and further that a higher than usual salary jump would not be the practice but would be for only exceptional employees and in very rare circumstances. He indicated to the Councillor, that what he had in mind when this clause was inserted in the Manual, was if a person was at the bottom of the scale and was doing an exceptional job, he could move to the next position on the salary scale. He also advised that this would be subject to the approval of the CAO who would certainly not advocate an unjustified increase.

Mr. Meech spoke briefly confirming this opinion.

3. Grievance Procedure: Councillor Lichter advised that the Manual indicates that once a person has gone through all grievances right up to the Chief Administrative Officer, the CAO will review all previous reports and meet with all the interested parties and make the final decision. Councillor Lichter indicated his opinion that the final employer of all persons employed by the Municipality is Municipal Council and not the Chief Administrative Officer. He felt that Council should, therefore, be the last avenue for a grievance and not the CAO. Councillor Lichter felt this should be amended to give the final decision to Council.

Mr. Meech advised that any action of the CAO, with regard to Department Heads, has to be sanctioned by Council. He advised that under the By-Law that establishes the position of CAO, is where the authority is set out for the CAO to deal with Staff Placement below the Department Head. However, the CAO cannot fire a Department Head without Council's approval to do so.

Councillor Lichter felt that there were too many areas of concern in the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual. Therefore, he suggested that approval of the Manual be deferred until the following Council Session in order that all Councillors can have one last opportunity to review the manual.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT further discussion on the Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual be deferred until the next Council Session."
Motion Carried.

Subsequent to the above, Mr. Fawson retired from the Council Session.

Request For District Capital Grant

Mr. Kelly outlined this item from the Council Agenda, advising that the Committee had received a request for a District Capital Grant in the amount of \$2,487.00 from the Councillor of District 19. This request was for the Springfield Lake Recreation Area, Phase 1, Land Improvement for stumping, levelling, backfilling and sods. It was the recommendation of the Policy Committee that Council approve this District Capital Grant.

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT Council approve the allocation of a District 19 Capital Grant to the Springfield Lake Recreation area, Phase 1, land improvement in the amount of \$2,487.00."
Motion Carried.

Special Area Fund For County Districts - \$1,500

Mr. Kelly advised that the Policy Committee had discussed the establishment of a Special Area Fund for all County districts to replace the former lien law fund which provided funds for each District on an annual basis. He indicated that the Committee had been informed by Staff that an amount of \$1,500 could be made available to each of the County Districts for 1983 and that consideration could be given to include a similar amount for each district in the 1984 budget.

Subsequent to discussion, it was the recommendation of the Policy Committee that Council approve the establishment of a Special Area Fund for 1983 whereby an amount of \$1,500 would be provided for each District and further that a similar amount be included in the 1984 budget for each District.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Margeson, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT Council approve the establishment of a Special Area Fund for 1983 whereby an amount of \$1,500 will be provided for each County District and further that a similar amount of each District be included in the 1984 Budget."
Motion Carried.

The above motion was carried subsequent to the following discussion:

Councillor Wiseman questioned where the money would come from for this fund and was advised by Mr. Meech that there was still some money in interest from the lien law fund which would handle the portion required this year. He advised that next year, the money would be derived from the general budget.

Councillor Eisenhauer indicated that the above was verified by the Auditors during their presentation this evening.

Councillor Wiseman further indicated her understanding that lien law funds could be used for only capital projects on Municipally-owned properties. She did not feel that the Municipality had the authority to establish a fund with no guidelines for its use.

Mr. Meech indicated that the money would be coming from the interest on the fund and not from the fund itself. He advised that Council does have the ability to utilize the interest for any purpose desired although the fund itself cannot be used without approval of the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

Councillor Wiseman questioned whether the lien law interest fund could be used for Tourism and was advised that it could be utilized in this manner.

Councillor Deveaux indicated his opposition to the above motion, advising that the District Capital Grant Funds are sufficient to carry out any Capital Projects required in each District.

However, Councillor MacKay advised that he was in support of the new fund as it could be used for any purpose including uses of a capital nature.

Subsequent to further discussion of the establishment of a Special Fund to replace the lien law fund, the above motion was carried.

Arsenic Filter Units

Mr. Kelly outlined this item from the Council Agenda advising that the Policy Committee had discussed the feasibility of Arsenic Filter Units with Mr. Wdowiak, Director of Engineering & Works and Mr. Isenor, H. J. Porter & Associates Ltd.

Mr. Isenor reported to the Committee respecting the use of Arsenic Filter Units in some Collins Park Households. He also provided the Committee with additional data respecting the effective use of filter units.

Mr. Kelly advised that the Policy Committee wished to obtain further information on specific matters pertaining to the filter units before presenting a report and recommendation to Municipal Council.

Councillor MacKay questioned whether there had been any dialogue as yet with the Department of Health in regard to the Arsenic Filter Unit.

The Councillor questioned this as he was in possession of a Department of Pathology Form Letter with a carbon copy to the Department of Health, which they send out with Water Analysis when the arsenic is over the recommended level. This form letter read:

"A water treatment unit for the removal of arsenic is available in Nova Scotia. We suggest that you contact your local Nova Scotia Department of Health office for information on this device or for further information on arsenic and drinking water."

Councillor MacKay advised that he had contacted people in the Atlantic Health Unit and there was a difference of opinion if it is recommended or not. He advised that some people agree that it has been recommended and some people advise that it has not been recommended. Councillor MacKay questioned whether the Policy Committee, Mr. Meech or H. J. Porter & Associates has had any dialogue with the Department of Health on their position at the present time. He questioned this so that he would be able to answer the questions of residents, if they should enquire.

Mr. Meech advised that he, along with members of the Engineering Staff, met on Monday with representatives of the Department of Health on this issue, along with representatives of H. J. Porter & Associates. He advised that there is a difference of opinion on the interpretation of the approval given by the Department of Health. Mr Meech further advised that representatives from the Department of Health would be attending the following Policy Committee Meeting, subsequent to which the Policy Committee would be able to make a recommendation to Council on the feasibility of the Arsenic Filter Unit.

The Deputy Warden questioned whether the Municipality was responsible to supply potable water to the residents of the Municipality.

He was advised by Mr. Cragg, that legally the Municipality is not responsible to supply potable water to its residents.

It was agreed by Council to accept the Policy Committee Report on this issue and to wait for further recommendations subsequent to the attendance of Department of Health officials at the Policy Committee.

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT OF THE POLICY COMMITTEE

It was agreed by Council that the Supplementary Policy Committee Report be received.

Membership of the Metropolitan Authority of Halifax, Dartmouth, County of Halifax and Bedford

Mr. Kelly read from the Supplementary Report, the following:

"The Policy Committee received a brief prepared for submission to the Commission of Enquiry into the membership of the Metropolitan Authority of Halifax, Dartmouth, Halifax County and Bedford. The submission to the Commission of Enquiry will be made on May 18, 1983.

(Copy of Report attached - Please refer to Report for detail, if required).

The Policy Committee recommend to Council adoption of Option B as shown on the Report as follows:

	<u>Halifax</u>	<u>Halifax Co.</u>	<u>Dartmouth</u>	<u>Bedford</u>	<u>Total</u>
Warden & Mayors + 2 reps. (excepting Bedford)	3	3	3	1+ alternate	(10) "

Mr. Kelly reiterated that a copy of the submission to the Commission of Enquiry was attached to the Supplementary Report, for Council's information.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Mont:

"THAT Council approve adoption of Option B as shown in the Submission to the Commission of Enquiry Report."
Motion Carried.

Derelict Vehicles

Mr. Kelly also read this Policy Committee Report, as follows:

"The Policy Committee received a report respecting the Derelict Vehicle Program. The Policy Committee recommend to Council the following resolution for presentation at the Halifax Regional Meeting of the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities." - (See Motion).

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"Whereas the Province of Nova Scotia has discontinued providing financial assistance to the municipalities for the derelict vehicle program; And Whereas the municipalities cannot provide the necessary funding to adequately continue this program; And Whereas municipalities have experienced this program to be most worthwhile and the necessity for the program to continue; And Whereas such a program has obvious benefits to the Province such as the environment, tourism, etc.; Be it Therefore Resolved that the Union of Nova Scotia Municipalities approach the Province of Nova Scotia to request examination of, and the adoption of, a programme of increased motor vehicle registration fees, or other suitable method of providing funds for the proper disposal of vehicles, such increased fees to be refunded on proper disposal of vehicles."
Motion Carried.

APPOINTMENT OF MUNICIPAL WEED INSPECTOR

Mr. Kelly advised that the Annual Report had been received from Mr. Alun Jones, Middle Musquodoboit, Municipal Weed Inspector. This Report outlined efforts taken during the past year to control the spread of various types of weeds and to assist residents to eliminate weeds from their properties.

Mr. Kelly advised that Mr. Jones is interested in carrying out the duties of Municipal Weed Inspector this year and recommended that Council approve the appointment of Alun Jones as Municipal Weed Inspector for the Municipality of the County of Halifax for the year 1983. He also advised that the cost of providing the weed inspection service in the County is cost shared by the Provincial Department of Agriculture.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor Gaetz:

"THAT Mr. Alun Jones be reappointed Municipal Weed Inspector for the year 1983."
Motion Carried.

Prior to the passing of the above motion, Councillor Bayers questioned the possibility of advertising this position so that other qualified persons could apply. However, it was indicated to the Councillor that this position is sponsored by the Provincial Department of Agriculture as well as the Municipality. Also, since this position is cost shared by that Department, it was felt that the Municipality could not advertise the position without the approval of the Department of Agriculture.

REPORT, RE: METROPOLITAN AUTHORITY - COUNCILLOR MONT

Councillor Mont had distributed to Council, via their mailboxes, the quarterly Report of the Metropolitan Authority. This Report included information regarding Financial Statements, Halifax County Correction Centre, Solid Waste Management and the Operations Planning Group.

Councillor Mont indicated that, as noted in the Report, to date all sectors are within or under budget. He highlighted the following issues discussed at the Metropolitan Authority in the past month:

1. H. J. Porter & Associates have been awarded the contract to conduct the leachate study on the landfill site. He advised that this study was originally requested by the Nova Scotia Department of the Environment;
2. He advised that the Authority decided not to make a submission to the Commission of Enquiry into representation on the Metropolitan Authority but rather to leave it to the individual Municipalities to make their own presentations;
3. Negotiations with the Solid Waste Management Workers have been suspended pending the outcome of the vote on a Certification Bid by the Halifax Civic Worker Union, Local 108. He advised this vote had taken place on Monday, April 11th but, to his knowledge, the results have not been released as yet;
4. A motion was passed on April 26th, that the Authority and County Staff look into the feasibility of dealing with Solid Waste Disposal in four Districts in the County which are not presently served by the Authority;
5. Negotiations are continuing with the Attorney General in regard to takeover of the Correction Centre. He advised that one issue of particular concern to the Municipality is the Province's request that the Authority provide an additional 30 acres of land surrounding the Halifax County Correctional Centre. He advised that the Authority has indicated to the Province that it is not in a position to provide this land and the County representatives have questioned why the Province wants this land and the future intentions for the Centre.

It was moved by Councillor Mont, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Quarterly Report of the Metropolitan Authority be accepted by Municipal Council." Motion Carried.

Subsequent to discussion, the following motion was proposed and carried:

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT Municipal Council go on record as giving direction to Halifax County Representatives on the Metropolitan Authority, that Municipal Council is opposed to the acquisition of an additional 30 acres of land for the expansion of the Halifax County Correction Centre."
Motion Carried.

Councillor MacKay also indicated his understanding that Mr. Jackson and Mr. McEachern would meet with representatives of the Town of Windsor to determine whether the Town of Windsor wishes to dispose of their garbage at the Sackville Landfill Site. Councillor MacKay questioned the status of this situation at the present time.

The Councillor was advised by Councillor Mont that this issue has not yet come back to the Authority. However, he would update the Councillor when it is discussed at the Authority level.

Councillor MacKay also advised that he had been reading in the news media that Metropolitan Transit was not certain whether or not to increase transit fares. The Councillor indicated his opinion that if the fares were not increased and costs do go up, which they are sure to do, then all taxpayers will be picking up the deficit. Therefore, he indicated his position of supporting a fare increase as soon as possible.

This position was taken under advisement.

MUNICIPAL BUILDING BOARD - COUNCILLOR LARSEN

Councillor Larsen requested that this item be deferred and tabled at another time.

It was agreed by Council that this item would be deferred and tabled at a future date.

ADDITION OF ITEMS FOR JUNE 7TH COUNCIL SESSION

None.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the Regular Council Session adjourn."
Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Regular Council Session adjourned at 10:45 P.M.

M I N U T E S & R E P O R T S
OF THE
F I R S T Y E A R M E E T I N G S
OF THE
F O R T Y - F I R S T C O U N C I L
OF THE
M U N I C I P A L I T Y O F T H E C O U N T Y O F H A L I F A X

JUNE COUNCIL SESSION

TUESDAY, JUNE 7 and 21, 1983

&

PUBLIC HEARING

JUNE 13, 1983

&

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING

JUNE 27, 1983

PUBLIC HEARING

JUNE 13, 1983

PRESENT WERE: Warden MacKenzie, Chairman
Deputy Warden Margeson
Councillor Poirier
Councillor Larsen
Councillor Gaudet
Councillor Baker
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor DeRoche
Councillor Gaetz
Councillor Bayers
Councillor Reid
Councillor Lichter
Councillor MacKay
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Eisenhauer
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Wiseman
Councillor Mont

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

OPENING OF PUBLIC HEARING - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Warden MacKenzie brought the Public Hearing to order at 7:05 with The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Deputy Warden Margeson:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary."
Motion Carried.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Warden MacKenzie advised that at the last Regular Council Session he had been authorized to set a date for Special Council Session with members of the Halifax County Industrial Commission in attendance. He questioned if June 20th at 6:00 P.M. would be satisfactory to all Councillors for this meeting.

Councillor Wiseman pointed out that the School Board Council Members would be away in Toronto at that time to the Canadian School Trustees Association Convention.

Subsequent to further discussion the following date was agreed upon: June 27th at 6:00 P.M. for Council Members only and 7:00 P.M. for Council Members and Industrial Commission Members.

PUBLIC HEARING

Warden MacKenzie outlined, for those present in the Council Chambers, the procedure to be followed for the Public Hearing.

REZONING REQUEST, RA-SA-03-83-19

Warden MacKenzie advised that this was a request of Mr. Harry Dean and Mr. George Ashe to rezone portions of Parcel X-1 of the lands of Mrs. Annie Donnahee and Lot D-1 of the lands of John Donnahee, located on the Beaverbank Road at Middle Sackville, Halifax County, District 19 from R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) Zone to C-2 (General Business) Zone.

Staff Report

Mr. Bob Gough approached Council to outline the Staff Report.

He first advised that the application had been duly advertised as per the provisions of the Planning Act and that no correspondence had been received either in favour or in opposition to the rezoning request.

Then, using an overhead projected map, Mr. Gough outlined the subject properties and those surrounding properties as well as the zoning applicable to the properties.

Mr. Gough then read from the Staff Report, as follows:

"As stated by the applicants, Mr. Harry Dean and Mr. George Ashe, the purpose of the rezoning is two-fold - (a) to permit a landscaping and gardening operation on the Dean Property (Parcel "X-1"), and, (b) to permit the selling of used cars from the Ashe Property (Lot "D-1").

In considering this rezoning request, the applicant's have asked to have a number of salient points brought to bear. First, with respect to Parcel "X-1", Mr. Dean has been operating a landscaping and gardening operation in a legally non-conforming land use situation for a number of years. While his intentions upon approval of the rezoning application are to expand the business to include the sale of plants and gardening materials, the main reason for seeking the zone change is to bring the property into conformity with the existing Zoning By-Law.

Second, the proposed businesses will be conducted in conjunction with the applicant's respective dwellings, where it is anticipated that the administrative activities associated with the commercial ventures will be carried out. This arrangement has a certain relevance to the rezoning application in that the commercial activities can be conducted without having to construct any additional structures on the properties.

Thirdly, the applicants are of the position that the nature of both commercial activities are relatively unobtrusive and are in keeping with the nature of the existing commercial-residential mix in the area."

With regard to the Municipal Development Plan, the Staff Report advised:

"The avenue by which Council may consider and if deemed advisable, approve the proposed rezoning is set forth under Policy P-59 of the Municipal Development Plan for Sackville. - It shall be the intention of Council to establish a General Commercial Designation as shown on the Generalized Future Land Use Map (map 1) in recognition of Sackville's established commercial development. Within this Designation commercial uses and existing residential development shall be permitted"

The Criteria for Evaluation, as outlined in the Staff Report, read: "In order to ensure that the proposed rezoning will not jeopardize either the spirit of the Municipal Development Plan or the quality of life in the planned area, Council has directed that all rezoning applications have regard to those provisions set forth under Policy P-104 of the Plan. These criteria for evaluation form Appendix "A" of this Report."

The Comments of the Department of Planning and Development were:

"The Department of Planning and Development recommends that the proposed rezoning be approved for the following reasons:

1. The proposed rezoning is in conformity with the intent of the Plan as required under clause (i) of the Policy P-104.
2. The subject properties, being within close proximity of an established commercial area, are suitably located for the intended land use activities.
3. Although the subject properties are adjacent to existing residential zoned areas, an incompatible land use situation is negated by virtue of; (a) the nearest dwellings are approximately 200 feet from the subject properties and, (b) the majority of homes within the area are located on Smokey Drive, atop a high, heavily treed cliff which serves as a visual barrier between the houses and the subject properties."

Based on the above, Mr. Gough advised that the recommendation of the Department of Planning and Development was that the rezoning of portions of parcel "X-1" of the lands of Mrs. Annie Donnahee and Lot "D-1" of the land of John Donnahee, said lands being illustrated in Figure 2 of this report and particularly described in Schedules "A" and "B" of this Report, From R-1 Zone to C-2 Zone, be approved by Halifax County Council.

Questions From Council

Mr. Gough was questioned briefly by Council in order to clarify information regarding the surrounding area.

Speakers in Favour of Rezoning Application

None.

Speakers in Opposition to Rezoning Application

None.

Motion and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Wiseman:

"THAT the rezoning of portions of parcel "X-1" of the lands of Mrs. Annie Donnahee and Lot "D-1" of the lands of John Donnahee, from R-1 Zone to C-2 Zone be approved by Halifax County Council."
Motion Carried.

AMENDMENT TO ZONING BY-LAW No. 24, ZA-24-17-83

Warden MacKenzie advised that application No. ZA-24-17-83 was a proposed amendment to Zoning By-Law No. 24 for Municipal Districts Nos. 10, 11, 12 and 13, that would reduce the required minimum Lot frontage to 25 feet for any residential zone.

Staff Report

Mr. Gough advised that this application had been advertised in the local newspaper as per the provisions of the Planning Act and no written submissions had been received either in favour or in opposition to the application.

Mr. Gough then proceeded to read the introduction from the Staff Report, circulated to all Council Members, as follows:

"Recently Council approved amendments to the Zoning By-Law for the North Preston area, which altered the minimum lot frontage requirement of the Rural Settlement Zone to 25 feet. This amendment was supported by the area's Municipal Development Plan, although the plan does not uphold reductions in road frontage in other zones.

Discussions of this amendment by the Planning Advisory Committee led to the Committee's decision to investigate minimum road frontage requirements in the Municipality's rural areas. For the purposes of this report, PAC directed that Districts 10, 11, 12 and 13 constitute the area in question.

In order to effectively reduce required road frontage in these four districts an amendment was made to the Municipality's Subdivision Regulations. This was recently approved by Council and has been forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs. Zoning Application No. XYZ is a complementary amendment to the Zoning By-Law which will reflect required road frontage under the Subdivision Regulations."

For Discussion purposes, the Report continued as follows:

"Zoning By-Law No. 24 is in effect in Districts 10, 11, 12 and 13, although very few properties have been zoned. Zoning can occur in these districts at the request of residents who are seeking protective zones for their properties. The minimum frontage required by residential zones is 60 feet.

Zoning is also mandatory in these districts for one class of development. Land must be zoned "SD" for the purposes of operating a salvage yard or dump. The minimum frontage requirement of the "SD" Zone is 100 feet.

The Halifax Dartmouth Regional Development Plan also effects road frontage in these four districts, requiring commercial and industrial developments to have 150 feet and 300 feet of road frontage respectively.

In its deliberation, the Planning Advisory Committee concluded that frontage should be reduced for residential uses only. As only residential uses are being affected by this amendment to the Zoning By-Law, no amendment of the Halifax-Dartmouth Regional Development Plan is required."

The recommendation of the Planning and Development Department was:

"In order to carry out Council's intention in providing for a minimum required frontage of 25 feet in Districts 10, 11, 12, and 13 as demonstrated by Council's amendment to the subdivision regulations, it is recommended that By-Law No. 24 be amended as follows:

Zoning By-Law No. 24 of the Municipality of the County of Halifax is hereby amended by inserting immediately following Section 13, the following:

13.1 Notwithstanding the lot frontage minimum required by any residential zone, the required lot frontage minimum for any residential zone in Municipal Electoral Districts 10, 11, 12 and 13 shall be 25 feet."

Questions From Council

Mr. Gough was questioned briefly by Council.

Speakers In Favour

None.

Speakers in Opposition

None.

Motion and Discussion of Council

Councillor McInroy advised that, although he was in agreement with the "intent" of the Staff recommendation, he felt it was too wide open and should be tightened up more before being approved by Municipal Council.

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT the Staff Recommendation relative to amending the Zoning By-Law No. 24 of the County of Halifax by inserting immediately following Section 13 the following: "13.1 Notwithstanding the lot frontage minimum required by any residential zone, the required lot frontage minimum for any residential zone in Municipal Electoral Districts 10, 11, 12 and 13 shall be 25 feet, "be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

AMENDMENTS TO ZONING BY-LAWS FOR SACKVILLE, COLE HARBOUR-WESTPHAL, EASTERN PASSAGE-COW BAY, TIMBERLEA-LAKESIDE-BEECHVILLE, THAT WOULD REDUCE THE PARKING REQUIREMENT FOR SENIOR CITIZEN MULTIPLE UNIT DWELLINGS TO (1) SPACE PER DWELLING UNIT

Mr. Gough advised that the four amendments before Council were advertised as prescribed under the Planning Act and no response has been received either in favour or in opposition to the amendments.

Mr. Gough then requested approval of Council to outline the amendments for each application together as they were all basically the same; however, as each amendment did apply to different Zoning By-Laws a separate motion would be required for each one.

This request was agreed to by Council.

Mr. Gough then advised that an amendment was requested for each of the above mentioned Zoning By-Laws stemming from a request of the Nova Scotia Housing Commission to establish a separate parking requirement for senior citizen type multiple unit dwellings.

He further advised that at present, the Zoning By-Laws do not differentiate between various forms of multiple unit dwellings, establishing instead a uniform requirement of 1.5 parking spaces per dwelling unit. He advised that upon examination of the matter, the Department of Planning and Development is of the position that one parking space per dwelling unit would be sufficient for senior citizen housing complexes. This figure represents not only the parking spaces needed for the tenants themselves, but also that which would be required to accommodate visitor parking. Therefore, the Department of Planning and Development recommends that the four above-mentioned Zoning By-Laws be amended to reduce the parking requirement for senior citizen multiple unit dwellings to one (1) space per unit.

The appropriate wording of the required amendment was included in separate Staff Reports for each of the MDP areas. Please refer to Reports for clarification if required.

Questions From Council

In response to questioning from Councillor Gaetz, Mr. Gough advised that the suggested amendment would not apply to his District as it applies only to those Districts which have an MDP in effect.

Mr. Gough was also questioned by several other Councillors, in regard to the previous allotment of parking spaces for Senior Citizen's Housing Complexes. It was determined that generally, .5 parking spaces were allotted per dwelling unit. However, several Councillors indicated that this appeared to be insufficient parking during prime visiting hours.

Speakers in Favour of Amendment to Zoning By-Laws

Mr. Harold Dillon, Nova Scotia Housing Commission: Mr. Dillon distributed to Council a Report relative to parking requirements for Senior Citizens Housing in the Municipality of the County of Halifax. He outlined portions of the Report as follows:

"Historical - Parking requirements for senior citizen's housing projects in Halifax County were historically established at 33 1-3% (1 space for 3 units) under the old County Zoning By-Laws. Since senior citizens projects were not specifically addressed, agreement was reached between the County Staff and the Commission to consider the projects as homes for the aged which had parking ratio of 33 1-3%.

This standard was used for all projects built from 1975 to the present time with actual parking ratios varying from 30 percent to 60 percent.

With the adoption of municipal development plans and new zoning regulations within the Municipality in the past year, parking requirements for senior citizens housing is now pegged at 150 percent. The Commission has requested an amendment to the appropriate zoning by-laws to reduce parking requirements for senior citizens housing to 50 percent, 1 space for 2 units."

The Report then detailed support material as follows:

1. A list of parking requirements for senior citizens housing in a variety of municipal zoning by-laws throughout the province which ranged from 25% to 55% to no regulations in two municipalities - please refer to report for detail.
2. A list of existing senior citizens projects in Halifax County with an indication of the existing parking as follows:

<u>Project</u>	<u>Parking Space</u>	<u>Ratio</u>
Forest Hills-15 SC	9	60%
Middle Musquodoboit-15 SC	6	40%
Musquodoboit Harbour-15 SC	8	40%
East Preston-15 SC	6	40%
Eastern Passage-15 SC	8	40%
Porter's Lake-26 SC	8	30%
Sheet Harbour-20 SC	6	30%
Hubbards-10 SC	4	40%
Sackville-20 SC	7	33%
Sackville-20 SC	10	50%

3. A research project carried out by the Nova Scotia Housing Commission in 1977 concluded that parking requirements for senior citizens housing throughout the province averaged 35 percent to 50 percent. This low ratio was due to a variety of factors including the tenant mix (80 percent of units occupied by women), the age of the tenants (average age 70), and the economic difficulties associated with car ownership. As a result of that study, the Commission increased its parking ratio (25 percent) to 50 percent in urban areas and 60 percent in rural areas.

Additional Factors in the Report, as read by Mr. Dillman were:

1. The average capital cost for a parking space is approximately \$600. For a 20 unit project, the increased capital cost would be \$7,800 to go from 33 percent to 100 percent parking and \$13,800 to go to 150 percent parking.
2. The Halifax West Housing Authority Manager has indicated that actual resident parking requirements for his three projects are just over 20 percent. He felt a 50 percent ratio would be more than adequate.

The Halifax East Housing Authority Manager has a resident parking requirement in the 50 percent range and has increased the size of some parking lots to 65 percent in order to accommodate visitor requirements. He feels a ratio of 60 percent to 70 percent would be adequate.

3. With the ownership and management of senior citizens housing resting with the three levels of government, the adjustment of parking ratios during the management of the project can easily take place should need be demonstrated.

The above concluded the report of Mr. Dillman to Council.

Questions From Council

Councillors spoke with Mr. Dillon briefly referring to requirements for parking at Senior Citizen's homes in their districts.

There were no further questions for Mr. Dillon and no further speakers in favour of the application.

Speakers In Opposition to the Amendment to the Zoning By-Laws

None.

Motions and Discussion of Council

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the amendment to the Zoning By-Law for Sackville, as recommended by Planning and Development Staff, that would reduce the parking requirement for Senior Citizen Multiple Unit Dwellings to one (1) space per dwelling unit be approved by Municipal Council."

Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Mont:

"THAT the amendment to the Zoning By-Law for Cole Harbour-Westphal, as recommended by Planning and Development Staff that would reduce the parking requirement for Senior Citizen Multiple Unit Dwellings to one (1) space per dwelling unit be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT the amendment to the Zoning By-Law for Eastern Passage Cow Bay, as recommended by Planning and Development Staff, that would reduce the parking requirement for Senior Citizen Multiple Unit Dwellings to one (1) space per dwelling unit be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT the amendment to the Zoning By-Law for Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea, as recommended by Planning and Development Staff that would reduce the parking requirement for Senior Citizen Multiple Unit Dwellings to one (1) space per dwelling unit be approved by Municipal Council."
Motion Carried.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the Public Hearing adjourn."
Motion Carried.

Therefore, there being no further business, the Public Hearing adjourned at 8:30 P.M.

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING

JUNE 27, 1983

ATTENDING: Warden MacKenzie
 Councillor Poirier
 Councillor Walker
 Councillor Larsen
 Councillor Baker
 Councillor Deveaux
 Councillor DeRoche
 Councillor Adams
 Councillor Gaetz
 Councillor Bayers
 Councillor Reid
 Councillor Lichter
 Councillor Snow
 Councillor MacKay
 Councillor McInroy
 Councillor Eisenhauer
 Councillor MacDonald
 Deputy Warden Margeson
 Councillor Wiseman
 Councillor Mont

Warden MacKenzie convened the session and indicated that the meeting was scheduled as a Committee of the Whole and initially would be conducted incamera for the specific purpose of discussing the role, mandate and activities of the Halifax County Industrial Commission.

Considerable discussion then took place with all Council members expressing their views and in particular certain concerns and/or apprehensions on the activities of the Commission to date. Prior to the session being asked to deal with two specific issues, there was a consensus reached that the Industrial Commission be asked to examine its operation with particular emphasis on the relationship to Council and more importantly to ensure that issues involving the expenditure of public funds, i.e. retention of consultants, construction of buildings, etc. be communicated to Council for their information and ratification.

It was agreed by the Council members serving on the Halifax County Industrial Commission that Council's request to review the issues of communications, reporting relationship to Council, etc. will be addressed by the Commission with a further report to be placed before Council on recommendations to enhance the communication link between the Commission and Council.

Warden MacKenzie then received agreement that the meeting go out of incamera to deal with the two following items:

Proposed Mall - Sackville Industrial Park

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT we recommend to the July 5th, 1983 Council session the approval of the proposal submitted by the Halifax County Industrial Commission to construct a 10 unit incubator mall at the Sackville Industrial Park at an estimated cost of \$650,000 with financing of the project to be provided in the form of a grant of \$325,000. from the N.E.E.D. Program and a further \$325,000. to be provided as a long term loan from the Municipality.
Motion Carried.

Exchange of Land re Nova Scotia Power Corporation

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT we recommend to the Council Session of July 5th, 1983 approval of the proposal as submitted by the Halifax County Industrial Commission providing for the exchange of lands between the Nova Scotia Power Corporation and the Municipality re the Aerotech Park and Lakeside Industrial Park, respectively, in accord with the report and recommendation dated June 27th, 1983 from the Halifax County Industrial Commission."
Motion Carried.

Prior to the conclusion of the meeting, it was agreed that Warden MacKenzie and Councillor MacKay, in his capacity as Chairman of the Halifax County Industrial Commission be authorized to execute the N.E.E.D. agreements for funds allocated to the Sackville Incubator Mall and the Aerotech Park.

June Council Session - 1983

I_N_D_E_X

Aerotech Park - Borrowing Resolution -----	15-16
Atlantic Wholesalers - Purchase of Land -----	30-31
Black Point School -----	29
Chief Building Inspector's Report -----	24
Carroll's Corner School -----	29
Craigmyle Lodge -----	31
Development Agreement - Foundation Co. of Canada Ltd.	8
Development Agreement - Basil J. MacDougall -----	9
District Capital Grants -----	21-22 & 33
Director of Development Report -----	26
Easement - Colby Village -----	31-32
Expropriation of Easements -----	32
Forest Hills P.U.D. Agreement -----	8 & 27
Grants to Organizations-----	9-15
L.W.F. Fire Dept. - Area Rate -----	22-23
Lesser Setbacks -----	28
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary -----	1 & 25
Motion - Approval of Minutes -----	1-2 & 25-26
Motion - Letter re Minister of Fisheries & Minister of State -----	2
Motion - Letter re Minister of Transportation -----	2-3 & 4
Motion - Letter re Minister of National Defense -----	3
Motion - Nomination re Halifax County Housing Authority -----	3-5
Motion - Letters re Deep Water Channel, Halifax Hbr.-	4-5
Motion - Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool -----	5-8
Motion - Forest Hills PUD Agreement -----	8 & 27
Motion - Development Agreement, Foundation Co. of Canada Ltd. -----	8
Motion - Development Agreement, Basil J. MacDougall -	9
Motion - Parkland -----	9
Motion - Grants to Organizations -----	9-15
Motion - Borrowing Resolution, Aerotech Park -----	15-16
Motion - Temporary Borrowing Resolution -----	16
Motion - Funds re Joint School Board Committee -----	16-17
Motion - Additional Staff, Social Services Dept. -----	18
Motion - Appeal re Minor Bariance -----	18-19 & 35-42
Motion - Personnel Policies and Procedures -----	19-21
Motion - District Capital Grants -----	21-22 & 33
Motion - Loan, Waverley Fire Dept. -----	22
Motion - Area Rate, LWF Fire Dept. -----	22-23
Motion - Financing for Final Design & Contours, Sackville Landfill Site -----	23 & 34

June Council Session - 1983
 Index
 Page 2 (cont.)

Motion - Council Session, Sheet Harbour -----	23
Motion - Chief Building Inspector's Report -----	24
Motion - Letter re 107 By-Pass -----	24
Motion - Water Test re Power's Pond -----	24
Motion - Adjournment -----	24 & 44
Motion - Letter re Halifax County Housing Authority --	26
Motion - Letter re Municipal Affairs -----	26
Motion - Director of Development Report -----	26
Motion - Planning Advisory Committee Report -----	27
Motion - Rezoning Request, Lillia Clough -----	27
Motion - Rezoning Request, Nova Scotia Home for Colored Children -----	28
Motion - Lesser Setbacks -----	28
Motion - Management Committee Reports -----	28
Motion - Carroll's Corner School -----	29
Motion - Black Point School -----	29
Motion - Pension Plan -----	29-30
Motion - Tax Exemption By-Law -----	30
Motion - Purchase of Land re Atlantic Wholesalers ----	30-31
Motion - Craigmyle Lodge -----	31
Motion - Easement, Colby Village -----	31-32
Motion - Expropriation of Easements -----	32
Motion - Policy Committee Report -----	33
Motion - Purchasing Policy -----	33-34
Motion - Highway Between Terence Bay and Pennant ----	42
Motion - Halifax County Rehabilitation Centre -----	44
Parkland -----	9
Personnel Policies and Procedures -----	19-21
Powers Pond - Water Test -----	24
Planning Advisory Committee Report -----	27
Pension Plan -----	29-30
Policy Committee Report -----	33
Purchasing Policy -----	33-34
Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool -----	5-8
Social Services Dept. - Additional Staff -----	18
Sackville Landfill Site - Financing for Final Design and Contours -----	23 & 34
Temporary Borrowing Resolution -----	16
Tax Exemption By-Law -----	30
Waverley Fire Dept. - Loan -----	22

REGULAR COUNCIL SESSION

JUNE 7, 1983

PRESENT WERE: Deputy Warden Margeson, Chairman
Councillor Walker
Councillor Poirier
Councillor Larsen
Councillor Gaudet
Councillor Baker
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor DeRoche
Councillor Adams
Councillor Gaetz
Councillor Bayers
Councillor Reid
Councillor Lichter
Councillor Snow
Councillor MacKay
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Wiseman

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
Mr. Robert Cragg, Municipal Solicitor

SECRETARY: Christine E. Simmons

OPENING OF COUNCIL - THE LORD'S PRAYER

Deputy Warden Margeson brought the Council Session to order at 6:05 P.M. with The Lord's Prayer.

ROLL CALL

Mr. Kelly then called the Roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Christine E. Simmons be appointed Recording Secretary."
Motion Carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT the Minutes of the March 10, 1983 Committee of the Whole Council Meeting be approved."
Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Minutes of the March 10, 1983 meeting of the Joint Councils of the County of Halifax and the Town of Bedford be approved."

Motion Carrfed.

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Minutes of the March 24, 1983 Meeting of the Joint Councils of the County of Halifax and the Town of Bedford be approved."

Motion Carried.

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Baker:

"THAT the Minutes of the May 3, 1983 Regular Council Session be approved."

Motion Carried.

ADDITIONS TO AGENDA

The following item was added to this evening's agenda for discussion:

- 107 By-Pass -

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

It was agreed by Council that the Letters and Correspondence be received.

Letters From the Office of the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans and From the Office of the Minister of State - (International Trade)

Letters had been received from both the Office of the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans and the Office of the Minister of State, acknowledging receipt of the Municipality's letter of May 24, 1983 regarding the condition of the Federal Wharf at Eastern Passage.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Letter from the Office of the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans and the Letter from the Office of the Minister of State, regarding the Federal Wharf at Eastern Passage be received."

Motion Carried.

Letter From the Minister of Transportation

A letter from The Minister of Transportation had been received in response to the Municipality's letter of April 29, 1983, regarding a resolution approved by Council which requested the Department to develop an alignment to the Johnson Road in North Preston in accordance with The Lake Major MDP.

The letter advised:

"I note from the Transportation Plan of the Municipal Development Plan that the alignment to be developed is for a new local road. It is not the policy of this Department to plan or construct new local roads. The planning and construction of new local roads is the responsibility of the Municipality or developers. If these roads are constructed to the Department of Transportation specifications then they can be listed and maintained by the Department."

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT the above letter be referred to the Planning Advisory Committee to be dealt with."
Motion Carried.

Letter From the Office of the Minister of National Defence

A letter had been received from the Office of the Minister of National Defence in response to the Municipality's April 11th letter which had raised questions relative to the discontinuance of the regular operation of the Department of National Defence's daily duty boat to McNab's Island.

This letter advised that DND has only one employee on the Island, who had been temporarily removed from the Island and then returned. When the employee had been removed, the service had been terminated and when the employee was returned to the Island the service was reinstated but only once weekly, every Thursday.

The letter also advised that there were two lighthouse keepers on McNab's Island which were employees of the Department of Transportation. When informed of the decrease in DND Ferry Boat service, this Department had obtained suitable craft for their employees for passage between the Island and the Mainland.

The letter, written by Mr. R. L. Lacroix, advised that the Department of National Defence cannot support provision of a daily duty boat when there is no identifiable Departmental need.

Subsequent to brief discussion,

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT the Letter from the Office of the Minister of National Defence be received." Motion Carried.

Letter from the Nova Scotia Housing Commission

A letter had been received by Mr. Meech from the Minister of Housing, the Honourable Michael Laffin, which advised that a Senior Citizen's Housing Project had recently been constructed in the Forest Hills area, which comes under the jurisdiction of the Halifax County Housing Authority. This letter also advised that the membership of the Housing Authority has recently been increased and a representative is required for the Cole Harbour area.

Mr. Laffin requested, in his letter, that Council select a representative for the Nominating Committee which will be formed to select the new representative for the Cole Harbour area. He also advised that the membership of the Nominating Committee would consist of a representative appointed by the Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax, a Federal Government representative, and Provincial Government Representative.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT Councillor McInroy be nominated for appointment to the Nominating Committee which will be formed to select a new Representative for the Cole Harbour area of the Halifax County Housing Authority."

It was moved by Councillor Larsen, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT Nominations Cease."
Motion Carried.

Therefore, Councillor McInroy was declared the new member to the Nominating Committee.

Letter From the Minister of Transportation

A letter had been directed to Mr. Kelly from the Minister of Transportation acknowledging the Municipality's letter of May 17th requesting up-grading on the Antrim Road in Halifax County.

This letter read:

"We are aware that the Antrim Road is only in fair condition and requires fairly major improvement. Unfortunately, it does not appear that money will be available to do the necessary work in 1983 as capital funds are now fully committed for improvements to other roads also urgently in need of repair.

It therefore appears that the Department must confine its efforts to maintaining reasonable conditions and will again consider the Antrim Road during the review of priorities in 1984."

Councillor Lichter indicated his dissatisfaction with this response, advising that this letter was of no assistance whatsoever.

However, subsequent to brief discussion,

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Letter from the Minister of Transportation be received."
Motion Carried.

Letters From Transport Canada , Coast Guard and From The Minister of Fisheries

Mr. Kelly outlined to Council the above-mentioned letters, at the same time, as they were pertaining to the same subject.

These letters were in response to Councillor Baker's concern over the newly established deep water channel into Halifax Harbour and the impact this would have on the Herring Cove Fishermen.

The letter from the Department of Transport, advised:

"I am pleased to advise you that the interests of the Herring Cove Portugese Cove area fishermen have received considerable attention in relation to the deep water channel proposal. Several meetings have been held at which the Fishermen have had representation.

At the latest meeting, which took place at the Dartmouth Coast Guard Base on April 18, 1983, the fishermen were represented by Mr. Blair Sullivan of the Herring Cove Fishermen's Association. Agreement was reached at this meeting on the route to be used by the deep draft vessels and on the procedures to be implemented in order to provide the fishermen with as much advance notice as possible and to ensure that all feasible safety precautions are taken.

Please be assured that the Canadian Coast Guard will operate fully with the various groups and agencies concerned with the proposal, in order to provide a high degree of safety with minimum disruption of fishing activities."

This letter had been written by Mr. K. C. Curren, Regional Director General, Canadian Coast Guard Maritimes.

The letter from the Honourable Ken Streach, Minister of Fisheries advised:

..."I am in support of the need for adequate compensation mechanisms in the event of damaged fishing gear and lost fishing time."...

Councillor Baker indicated his satisfaction with the above responses to his concern.

It was moved by Councillor Baker, seconded by Councillor Gaudet:

"THAT the Letters from the Department of Transport and the Department of Fisheries be received." Motion Carried.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

It was agreed at this time, that one item in the Management Committee Report be discussed by Municipal Council, as Mr. Markesino, Co-ordinator of Recreation was present and waiting for this item.

Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool

Mr. Kelly outlined this item from the Management Committee Report, advising that at the March 15, 1983 Council Session a resolution had been approved which deferred the closure of the Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool, pending meetings with the Honourable Thomas MacInnis, MLA for the area and Mr. Lloyd Gillis, Executive Director, Halifax and Bedford District School Board.

As a result of this meeting, a report had gone to the Management Committee recommending closure of the pool and conveyance of the property to the Municipality. This Report and communication from SHADRA respecting the conveyance of the property to the Municipality, were attached to the agenda for Council's information. (Please refer to this information for detail).

Mr. Kelly advised that it was the recommendation of the Management Committee that the Municipality accept ownership of the Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool property relieving SHADRA of any financial responsibility outstanding, and further that the Municipality arrange to dispose of the property.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the recommendation of the Management Committee, respecting the Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool, be accepted by Municipal Council."

(See Motion to Amend)

Councillor Deveaux questioned whether there was any guarantee that the Municipality would recover the money from the accumulated deficit on the Swimming Pool of approximately \$112,000.

Mr. Meech advised that at the present time, there is no indication as to how that or any portion of that money will be recovered. However, if the Management Committee recommendation is accepted, efforts would be made to recover some portion of those funds by lease or conveyance of the property.

Since there was no guarantee of recovery of the deficit, Councillor Deveaux advised that he would not be in support of the motion.

Councillor Lichter advised that he would support the motion with the following amendment:

It was amended by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT it be understood that the Municipality of the County of Halifax will never operate the Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool as such, at the expense of Halifax County Tax Payers."

(See motion to defer).

Councillor Walker indicated his opposition to the entire motion and amendment. He felt this recommendation would put the Municipality in a bad position; he also indicated that the responsibility for the deficit was not the Municipality's but the Province's.

Councillor Walker felt that the best route to go in this situation would be to put a judgement against the Swimming Pool, force foreclosure on the building and have a Sheriff's sale on it. In this manner, he felt the Province would come forward with some funds for the building; otherwise, once the Municipality accepted ownership of the Pool, the Municipality would be paying for it.

Councillor Walker also indicated his opinion that the Sheet Harbour area had received the direct benefit of the Swimming Pool, not the entire Municipality, and further that the Community could have avoided the deficit by establishing an area rate for the Pool's operation. He felt that the Municipality should recover its money.

Mr. Meech explained that the Municipality had considered Councillor Walker's recommendation. He advised that in March, Solicitor Cragg had prepared the appropriate documentation for a Statement of Claim against the property.

Mr. Cragg then explained the procedure which would have to be followed, had the Municipality followed up on the Statement of Claim.

Mr. Cragg advised that the Municipality had investigated the possibility of bringing an action against the Association which would consist of filing the appropriate documents with the Supreme Court and serving those documents on the Officers of the Association, attaching personal liability thereto. He advised that, assuming there is no defence filed, the Municipality would have to issue judgements against them and proceed with execution through the Sherrif's Office to have the property placed for public auction in a Sherrif's Sale and sold. He further advised that the Municipality had no way of knowing what would be realized from the sale and therefore, all the Municipality would be entitled to receive is a deficiency judgement resulting from whatever is left over after the property has been sold.

Mr. Cragg advised that if the property is sold for \$25,000 and the judgement was worth \$125,000 we would still have to look to the Recreation Association for \$100,000. Therefore, he advised, it was determined that rather than go through all those steps, and if they were willing to give the Municipality a warranty deed to the property, the Municipality would then be in a position to sell the property at the very best attainable price which may be a greater price than that obtained at a Sherrif's Sale.

Mr. Meech agreed with Councillor Walker that the Municipality was placed in a bad position by taking over the Swimming Pool and closing it down. However, he advised that if the Municipality moved with the Statement of Claim, this would be taking an action against the Association who is represented by the Officers and this, he advised, did not seem to be an acceptable method of recovering the money either. He indicated that, while the Officers do represent the Organization, at the same time they are working for the benefit of the Community. Mr. Meech agreed that there is the potential risk involved in taking over the facility; he also agreed that the whole thing had been started by Senior levels of Government who put the money up-front to build the facility and then had it transferred to a local organization which is when the difficulties began. Then in 1980 the Municipality became tied into the facility as the result of the Provincial Government putting some additional funds into the facility.

Councillor Walker advised that he still could not support the motion at this time, until it was determined what the facility could be used for in the future, who a potential buyer would be and what price could be obtained for the facility.

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the issue of the take-over of the Sheet Harbour Swimming Pool be deferred until it can be ascertained what the potential future use of the facility could be, the projected cost to renovate to another use which may be more beneficial to the community and the potential price which may be received from the sale of the property."

Motion Carried.

Subsequent to the above, Mr. Markesino retired from the Council Session.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

Council agreed to deal with the Planning Advisory Committee Report at this time.

Proposed Amendments to the Forest Hills PUD Agreement

Mr. Kelly advised that the Planning Advisory Committee had considered a staff report on the amendments to the Forest Hills PUD Agreement, comparing the original plans with those revised to April, 1983 and recommended that Council hold a Public Hearing July 11th, to deal with the proposed amendments.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT a Public Hearing be held July 11th to deal with the proposed amendments to the Forest Hills PUD Agreement."

Motion Carried.

Development Agreement - Foundation Company of Canada Ltd., Eastern Passage

Mr. Kelly advised that the Committee also discussed the Development Agreement for the Foundation Company of Canada and passed the following resolution: That the Development Agreement between the Foundation Company of Canada Ltd., and the Municipality of the County of Halifax be recommended to Council for Public Hearing and that the suggested date for Public Hearing be July 11, 1983.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor DeRoche:

"THAT a Public Hearing be held July 11th to deal with the Development Agreement between the Foundation Company of Canada Ltd. and the Municipality of the County of Halifax."

Motion Carried.

Development Agreement - Basil J. MacDougall, Sackville

The Planning Advisory Committee considered a Staff Report on the Development Agreement between Basil J. MacDougall of Sackville and the Municipality and noted that the Agreement would be necessary as the Development will be taking place within 100 feet of the Sackville River. It was recommended that a Public Hearing be scheduled for July 27th to deal with this Agreement.

Council discussed the suggested date for the Public Hearing and agreed that July 5th, during the Regular Council Session would be a more appropriate time for the Public Hearing to be held.

It was moved by Councillor MacKay, seconded by Councillor Larsen:

"THAT a Public Hearing be held July 5th, 1983 at 7:00 P.M. during the Regular Council Session to deal with the Development Agreement between Basil J. MacDougall and the Municipality of the County of Halifax."

Motion Carried.

Parkland, Cresthaven Estates Limited

Mr. Kelly advised it was the recommendation of the Planning Advisory Committee, in accordance with a letter from the Municipal Solicitor, that the rights of way between Lots 9 and 10, 20 and 21, 28 and 29 Lockview Road, District 14 be accepted by the Municipality as Parkland.

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Lichter:

"THAT the Municipality accept as Parkland, the rights of way between Lots 9 and 10, 20 and 21, 28 and 29 Lockview Road."

Motion Carried.

MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Management Committee Report be received."

Motion Carried.

Grants to Organizations

Council agreed to deal with the "Grants to Organizations" on an individual basis, as follows:

1. Dartmouth General Hospital

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the issue regarding the Grant to the Dartmouth General Hospital be deferred until representatives from the Hospital are given the opportunity to make a presentation, either to the Management Committee or to Council."

Motion Carried.

2. Atlantic Child Guidance Centre

It was moved by Councillor Gaetz, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the Atlantic Child Guidance Centre be granted \$960.00."
Motion Carried.

3. Black Cultural Society

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the Black Cultural Society be granted \$16,000.00."
Motion Carried.

4. Canadian National Institute for the Blind

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the CNIB be granted \$1,750.00."
(See motion to Amend).

It was amended by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the CNIB be granted \$1,925.00."
(Amendment Lost - No Secunder).

Subsequently, the question was called on the original motion.

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the CNIB be granted \$1,750.00."
Motion Carried.

5. Canadian Paraplegic Association

It was moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Canadian Paraplegic Association be granted \$1,500.00."
Motion Carried.

6. Canadian Red Cross

It was moved by Councillor Bayers, seconded by Councillor MacKay:

"THAT the Canadian Red Cross be granted \$2,100.00."
Motion Carried.

7. City Market Maintenance

It was moved by Councillor Baker, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the City Market Maintenance be granted \$2,000.00."
Motion Carried.

8. Cole Harbour Rural Heritage Society

It was moved by Councillor DeRoche, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Cole Harbour Rural Heritage Society be granted \$1,000.00."
Motion Carried.

9. Halifax County Exhibition - Musquodoboit

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT the Halifax County Exhibition be granted \$1,000.00."
Motion Carried.

10. Eastern Shore Tourist Association

It was moved by Councillor Bayers, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT the Eastern Shore Tourist Association be granted \$4,000.00."
Motion Carried

11. Ecology Action Centre

It was moved by Councillor Wiseman, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the Ecology Action Centre be granted \$1,000.00."
Motion Carried.

12. 4H Clubs

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the 4H Clubs be granted \$600.00."
Motion Carried.

13. Halifax-East Hants Federation of Agriculture

It was moved by Councillor Lichter, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT the Halifax-East Hants Federation of Agriculture be granted \$1,000.00."
Motion Carried.

14. Halifax-Musquodoboit Livestock Health

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Councillor Bayers:

"THAT the Halifax-Musquodoboit Livestock Health be granted \$1,500.00"
Motion Carried.