

for commercial uses has been preserved. The strip development so common in other communities has been restricted. Strip development is a recognized problem all across North America. He stated that he has not met anyone in Lawrencetown who would like to see Lawrencetown Road developed that way.

He stated that the plan allows for some new industrial development along highway 107, and the maintenance of the existing industrial uses elsewhere. It does not allow someone to put an industrial plant next to someone else's home. Industrial development belongs in an industrial park with proper road access away from the residential areas and streets.

He stated that there are people who will argue for fewer or no restrictions on the use of land. In many cases, those arguments will come from people who choose not to live in the community and will not have to live with the consequences of their own actions. He stated that growth is one of the necessities of life, but uncontrolled, unending growth kills both its host and itself.

He stated that this plan is a good attempt at maintaining the balance of preservation and reasonable growth. He stated that in trying to maintain a balance, it is best to err on the side of preservation. It does not take much thought to turn a forest into a rock pile, but it is very difficult to turn a rockpile into a forest. If those things which make Lawrencetown special are destroyed there will be no bringing them back, only regrets at having lost something precious.

He stated that the plan was narrowly defeated by the people of Lawrencetown. There were many false rumors and misconceptions about the effects the plan would have on the community. There were also a few outright mistakes in it. He stated that after the proposed modifications are made to the plan and an effort is made to better inform the people of its contents, another vote should be taken to let the people decide.

Questions from Council

Councillor Cooper stated that the primary concern seems to be commercial versus the status quo. He asked if it were possible, with appropriate designs to accommodate commercial along with residential. Mr. Ledaire stated that this has not been done in Lawrencetown. He stated that there was an earlier attempt which made sense. He stated that this was put down at public meetings. He stated that stopping development is not a good idea, but if one is going to have that type of development, then one has to set aside the land for that purpose.

10. Mr. John Ginn, Conrads Road, stated that there was no one in Lawrencetown that would speak against having a plan in Lawrencetown if it were for the protection of the community. He stated that there are problems with the plan of how it is adopted and developed to accomodate resident land owners and not non-residentail land owners. He stated that there were a number of area meetings to come up with a proposed plan and there was a lot of discussion on a plan for the community. He stated that in March 1985 residents of Lawrencetown approved in principle phase one of the provincial plan, which was signed by Tom McInnes. He stated that phase 2 cannot be completed before phase one and this has not been completed.

He stated that the people in Lawrencetown will have a plan and must have a plan, but this plan has been rejected by the community of Lawrencetown and he questioned why this has been brought to a public hearing.

Warden Lichter stated that MPC has been working hand in hand with the LCC for years in trying to oversee the planning process. He stated that it has gotten to a point that there has been no further negotiations. He stated that when this came to Council to decide, Council decided to have a public hearing to find out the reasons behind the result of the vote.

11. Mr. Jim Henley, Upper Lawrencetown, stated that he was on the planning committee from 1983 to December 1989. He stated that he was in support of the plan. He stated that commercial zoning has been attempted to be accomodated. He stated that there are four different areas mentioned. He stated that at the public meeting, 3 of the 4 areas were turned down. He stated that the committee is not out to screw up business. He stated that a lot of the residents are not aware of what is in the plan. He stated that many have formed an opinion without the facts and that is what affected the vote. He stated that there should not be sides in the issue. He stated that this could be a workable plan, but often money splits a community.

Questions from Council

Councillor Cooper asked if the commercial concerns have been addressed. Mr. Henley stated that there were four possible areas proposed for commercial zoning and 3 of the 4 were voted down by the community.

Warden Lichter asked if the intent of the plan, with respect to existing uses, designated to remain just that - can expand but cannot change their nature. Mr. Henley stated that there will be a revision coming forward, but this is a problem.

12. Ms. Carol O'Neil, West Lawrencetown Road, read a letter from Bert and Verna Wade concerning their support for the MPS. She also read into the record letters from Dorothy MacDonald, and Marina Crook. Ms. O'Neil expressed her support for the MPS and asked for Council's approval. She stated that it is doubtful if any plan can meet the needs of all. She stated that this plan is a creative and sensitive approach to planning. She stated that it remains faithful to community values. She stated that it maintains the protection of the wetlands and watercourses and does support commercial activity. She stated that few people disagree with standards and the effort to protect and enhance the quality of Lawrencetown. She stated that the policies are not 100% sufficient. She stated that she is willing to consider the ideas of others if they provide environmental safeguards and commercial growth. She stated that she welcomed the opportunity for employment, but this should not take precedent over others in the community. She stated that one must carefully weigh the cost and benefits.

She stated that the RR-1 zone supports home based businesses. She stated that this was in no way limited to daycare. She stated that under the proposed MPS the home based business can grow up to 1,000 square feet and can expand by development agreement to 1,200 on a parcel of land under 2 acres and up to 1,800 square feet on a parcel of land over 2 acres. She stated that home based businesses contribute to the wellbeing of the community. She stated that if the business is to expand beyond that, they are encouraged to do so in the areas designated. She stated that to allow home based businesses to grow unrestricted in a residential area would be inappropriate environmentally and would defeat the purpose.

She stated that Council will be asked to put short term business interests above the residents of the community and asked that Council not be swayed. She stated that the MPS furthers the needs of the community as a whole and ensures that future development occurs at a pace that will enhance the area.

Questions from Council

Councillor Cooper asked what she considered as appropriate development. Ms. O'Neil stated that these were listed in the plan.

Councillor Cooper questioned home based businesses. Ms. O'Neil stated that there is a wide variety of small businesses available to be done in ones home or outbuilding or garage, such as: repairs, welding, accounting offices, hairdressing, etc. She stated that there are wonderful small scale business opportunities. She stated that many areas of Lawrencetown are residential and

residents do not want their lands compromised.

Councillor Cooper questioned their having to move to another location after a certain growth occurs. Ms. O'Neil stated that if one cannot accomplish their business within the square footage outlined in the plan then they are able to expand by development agreement, depending on the size of the property. She stated that if the business outgrows that then they need to move to one of the designated areas.

Councillor Cooper stated that it appeared to be the old versus the new. Ms. O'Neil stated that after the Committee of the Whole meeting, there was a public meeting held in the community. She stated that amendments were passed to address some of the concerns.

Councillor MacDonald asked if the amendments were coming forth. Warden Lichter stated that it is not accidental that the amendments have not come forward yet.

Councillor Ball stated that the plan provides for a light industrial zone. He asked how accessible this was for development and what advantage there was for a business to move there. Ms. O'Neil asked if another place could be suggested that would be better. She stated that this is adjacent to the 107 Highway. Ms. O'Neil stated that industrial parks are crying out for tenants and that there was no scarcity of industrial park space. She added that by having this zoning, Lawrencetown has provided for the future.

Councillor Ball stated that the citizens of Lawrencetown do not want central servicing. He stated that 2 years from now if this becomes a major health hazard, what would be the options. Ms. O'Neil stated that this is a building plan for the community and is not meant to anticipate every possible catastrophe that could happen. She stated that if something happens so that the Department of Health states there is a hazard, then they will have to deal with that when it arises. She stated that a review of the plan is within the mandate of Council.

She stated that if central water and sewer is installed, there will be tiny lots in Lawrencetown. She stated that the landscape cannot support small lots and high density development. She stated that this will be discouraged by restricting development. She stated that a few instances could happen in the community, but not throughout the entire community.

Councillor Ball questioned a business owners ability to get more money from the bank if the zone was not suitable for a larger business. She stated that the residents do not want commercial zones available throught the community. She stated that the

amendments that the LCC proposes will address this.

13. Lucy Dobson, West Lawrencetown, stated that there were two alternatives, development and money or the environment. She stated that we have to protect the environment and the land because they don't make it any more.

14. Ross Casey, out of town land owner, stated he owns 720 acres of undeveloped land. He stated that he is in favour of the plan and stated that the community has to have one. He stated that he wrote to Mr. O'Carroll with a few concerns. One, that industrial land near the 107 be compatible with that owned by the N.S. Government Services. He stated that he has spoken to residents in the subdivision and stated that they will be keeping it 1 mile away from the proposed expansion of the subdivision. This was recommended to the LCC. Two, that a portion was dedicated as parkland and this was not shown on the MPS. Three, that there was not sufficient commercial land in the MPS. He stated that with the commercial zones being where they are proposed, it will be easier for residents to drive into town than to these lands. He stated that people in the subdivision have indicated that they do not want commercial zoning in the area, but more consideration has to be taken. He stated that he, and other out of town land owners, were not given the opportunity to vote. He also stated that in regard to the 205 foot road frontage, that a person be given a time qualify. He stated that there are people, if this is passed, that won't have that money in the bank. He stated that other people deserve consideration.

Questions from Council

Councillor Cooper asked what affect the lot sizes would have on future development. Mr. Casey stated that his company is holding off on expansion as a result of the proposed plan.

15. Mr. Doug McLeod, member of the LCC, stated that he has lived in the area for 16 years and was part owner of a couple hundred acres and was in favour of the plan. He stated that the people of Lawrencetown have a semi-rural environment. He stated that this is unique and sensitive. He stated that great care has to be taken. He stated that the MPS fills this without undue restrictions. He stated that in addition, RR-1 provides for home based businesses. and the set back of 100 feet is to prevent pollution of the water. He stated that the 250 foot road frontage provides green areas. He stated that the only differences between what exists now and with this plan is the 100 foot setback from water and the 250 foot road frontage on Highway 207. He stated that lot sizes are to be 20,000 square feet - consistent with other municipalities - or as the Department of Health requires. He

stated that with respect to any sewage problems, these are addressed in policy 19 which would require a waste water management district to identify problem areas.

Mr. McLeod stated that during the vote, there were ones present who were misrepresenting the issue. He stated that the process has been democratic, evident by the 70+ meetings held in the community on this subject.

Questions from Council

Warden Lichter asked where he owned land. Mr. McLeod pointed out his property on the map. He stated that part of the land 40/200 is still under negotiations with the province and will become RR-1 if the province does not buy.

16. Mr. Ernie Mouvvers, stated that residential, commercial and industrial growth will proceed, because we cannot stop it, but he stated that we must control it. He stated that Council must leave the door open on this plan.

17. Mr. Doug Hatfield, Upper Lawrencetown stated that he would like to state his support for the plan submitted. He stated that the LCC has worked hard on this plan and it is a protection for the future.

18. Mr. Don Grady, LCC, gave a detailed background on the history of the plan and how it has arrived at this stage.

Mr. Grady reviewed 7 of the possible objections to the plan and countered these by stating:

1. The opportunity for central water and sewer in Lawrencetown is ruled out for the next 5-year period. He stated that these planning policies are in place for the communities and not against. He stated that if there is a catastrophe, then residents have Policy 16 that states, if required, a centralized waste water management district may be set up to deal with special problems in special areas.

2. The need for more commercial development. He stated that Lawrencetown's position on commercial development is that it is important if necessary, but not necessarily commercial development. He stated that this plan is not opposed to commercial development. He stated that this plan encourages the type that is compatible with community growth. He stated that if a business wishes to move in there are 4 acres on which to do so. He stated that some will question not making commercial development open to all of Lawrencetown. He stated

MPS AND LAND USE BY-LAW FOR LAWRENCETOWN

that many who want unlimited commercial development would have no concern for the community after they have gone. He stated that each have different priorities and futures. He stated that Lawrencetowners can learn from mistakes that have happened in other districts and asked that Lawrencetown be Lawrencetown.

3. Industrial Development. He stated that not too long ago one could not get into what is now Burnside without walking off of the road, and the same with what is now the Atlantic Winter Fair Site. He asked that Council show the vision for what it is famous and recognize that this 80 acres is the ideal place for industrial development. He stated that at the present time, Lawrencetowners are not ready for it, but when they are ready, they will have it. He stated that the community is prepared to say that the upper 300 acres above Keltic Subdivision should be zoned RR-1. He stated that if the business associates wish RR-1 with the future permission of industrial zoning, this is inconsistent with the needs of Lawrencetown.

4. Non-residential land owners voting. He stated that some will argue that it is not fair that non-residential land owners to vote on matters of policy in the community. He stated that the LCC ran the balloting in a fashion agreed to by the MPC and the voting rights of Halifax County.

5. Concerns from the four subdivisions. He stated that this was concerning the question of RR-1 zoning. He stated that subdivision owners are under binding and enforceable restricted contracts, but many residents felt that the subdivision owners would not honour those. He stated that they felt the back portions may be subject to some type of development that may bother the residents. He stated that RR-1 zoning does not permit that undesirable elements, unless one considered home businesses as undesirable. He stated that this concern will be addressed in one of the amendments passed by the LCC.

6. Boundary overlooked. He stated that a district boundary between Districts 8 & 9 had inadvertently been overlooked and this additional area will be included in the amendments. He stated that this is a technical error for which the committee takes no responsibility.

7. 250 foot road frontage. He stated that some have said that the 250 foot requirement for lots on the 207 was unacceptable. He stated that this footage is essential. He stated that this is supported by policies in the plan in order to prevent strip

development. He stated that it takes away no rights or opportunities. He stated that there are 8 properties in Lawrencetown where the frontage is insufficient to permit subdivision of the lot into two lots and there is a specific policy which states that for those existing lots of 250 feet or less, they have the right to subdivide, provided by creating a minimum of two 100 foot lots or one 100 foot lot and a remainder. He stated that if one wants to develop lands on highway 207, it will have to be done responsibly.

Mr. Grady stated that there is a compromise, and the LCC has instructed to present four (4) amendments.

1. That the boundary of the Lawrencetown plan area be changed to include the area of Romans and Carter Subdivision.
2. That the R-1 designation be extended to all lots contained to all four subdivisions affected with the exception of the North 300 acres of the Keltic Subdivision to be zoned RR-1.
3. That all existing community commercial uses be permitted to avail themselves to change the use when the provisions under C-1 regulations by development agreement and public hearing. He noted that this opportunity is restricted to those listed in Appendix B.
4. That we provide all existing Appendix C industrial uses with the opportunity to change the uses when those provided under C-1 regulations by development agreement and public hearing. He noted that this is restricted to those listed in Appendix C in the plan.

Councillor Eisenhower stated that the amendments 3 & 4 do not appear to be any different from what is already in the plan. Mr. Grady stated that under the existing plan the existing uses of a commercial nature is guaranteed and can expand, but cannot change. He stated that #3 guarantees the right to change from existing commercial use to any other commercial use listed in the plan. He stated that if they want to change, they also must demonstrate that the change is in accord with the development agreement principles.

Mr. Grady then mentioned the 100 foot setback from water. He stated that this makes perfect sense for the community. He stated that the province has invested several million dollars for the Cole Harbour/Lawrencetown Regional Park and stated that the waters should not be polluted. He stated that the 100 feet protects the value for everyone. He stated that the Halifax-Dartmouth Development Plan established 150 foot setbacks as a minimum. He stated that in 1974 the province wanted this setback to be 1200

feet.

Questions from Council

Councillor Cooper asked the definition of a home business. Mr. Grady stated that this was a business in connection with the dwelling and occurs on the property of the owner of the dwelling. He stated that one can undertake any activity permitted by the regulations of the province and county, up to 1000 square feet of the home or adjacent building on the property. He stated that the business can expand to 1200 square feet by development agreement on a piece of property that is 2 acres or less. On a property of 2 or more acres, this business can expand by development agreement to 1800 square feet. He stated that they can sell retail to the public, but cannot encourage retail sale of products produced by someone elsewhere.

Councillor Cooper asked about the limitation of lot sizes. Mr. Grady stated that lots will have to be 20,000 square feet minimum or as the Department of Health dictates.

Councillor Cooper asked if this was restrictive on future residents. Mr. Grady stated that the RR-1 provides for any subdivisions on any property, based on county regulations.

Councillor Reid stated that the four amendments were not available to those opposed to the plan and suggested that Council should adjourn and reconvene when they can examine these amendments.

19. Lynn Marshall, Upper Lawrencetown, stated that she has been a resident for 26 years and is in favour of a plan. She stated that she thought when the community gave the mandate to the LCC that they would try to accommodate the wishes that residents have. She stated that those amendments are not suitable. She stated that as far as water and sewer are concerned, Upper Lawrencetown has an arsenic problem. She stated that she did not want the sewer, but would love to have suitable water. She stated that in regard to the industrial zone, that it will be a good many years before there will ever be a road put in to access the property. She stated that for the price of unserviced industrial land in Lawrencetown, one could go to Burnside or Woodside and have servicing as well. She stated that she should have the right to sell her land to an industrial interest or a commercial developer. She stated that rezoning does cost money and it is a very long and drawn out process.

20. Jim Crook, Lawrencetown, stated that he has been a resident for 25 years. He stated that he was aware of the plan and agrees with it and the amendments.

There was much discussion from Council about the next step to take with the public hearing as a result of the late hour.

Councillor Merrigan suggested that the Warden call for speakers in favour three times and upon hearing none, close that portion of the public hearing so that when they readjourn, Council would be hearing from those opposed.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Morgan:

"THAT this public hearing adjourn to March 22, 1990, at 7:00 PM"

The Warden called three times for speakers in favour and upon hearing none, that portion of the public hearing was closed.

Councillor Richards stated that the amendments should be provided to both Council and the public.

Councillor Randall stated that people both for and against came tonight to be heard and asked that Council defeat the motion and complete the public hearing tonight.

Councillor Harvey stated that the advertisement did not say when the public hearing would end. He suggested that those opposed, who are in attendance, have their names recorded to speak at the March 22 date. Warden Lichter stated that this suggestion cannot be implemented according to the Solicitor.

MOTION CARRIED 12 FOR
7 AGAINST.

The public hearing adjourned until March 22, 1990, 7:00 PM.

MINUTES & REPORTS
OF THE
SECOND YEAR MEETINGS
OF THE
FORTY - THIRD COUNCIL
OF THE
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

ANNUAL COUNCIL SESSION

TUESDAY, MARCH 6th & 20th, 1990

&

PUBLIC HEARINGS

MARCH 12th, 22nd & 26th, 1990

&

SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION

MARCH 19th, 1990

I N D E X March Council Session - 1990

Aerotech Park Water Supply	5
Area Rates	33
Bedford Waterfront Development Corporation	24
Beaver Bank Area - Health Concerns	25-26
Cole Harbour/Westphal Steering Committee	8-12
Crosswalk Construction - Trunk Highways	18
CN Bridge, Seaforth	30
District 5 West Fire Department Loan	6
Development Officer Report	8
District 6 Ratepayers Association.....	27
Earth Day	3
Environmental Rate	25
Grants	4-5 & 23
Halifax County Mainstreet Program	7-8 & 24
Halifax County Industrial Commission - Appointments	12 & 27-29
Letters & Correspondence	2-4 & 18-22
Logan's Road, Petition	6
Legal Services	15-16
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary	1 & 17
Motion - Approval of Minutes	1-2 & 17
Motion - Letters & Correspondence	2-4 & 18-22
Motion - Earth Day	3
Motion - Date for Public Hearings	4 & 22-23
Motion - Grants	4-5 & 23
Motion - Aerotech Park Water Supply	5
Motion - Taxi By-Law	5-6
Motion - Loan, District 5 West Fire Department	6
Motion - Sackville Sports Stadium	6
Motion - Petition re Logan's Road	6
Motion - Operational Budget	7
Motion - Halifax County Mainstreet Program	7-8 & 24
Motion - Development Officer Report	8
Motion - Cole Harbour/Westphal Steering Committee	8-12
Motion - Halifax County Industrial Commission	12 & 27-29
Appointments	
Motion - Transit Complaint	13
Motion - Pension re Robert Gough	15
Motion - Municipal Board Appeals	15
Motion - Legal Services	15-16
Motion - Adjournment	16
Motion - Crosswalk Construction, Truck Highways	18

Motion - Meeting re Minister of Transportation	19
Motion - Municipal Awareness Week	20-21
Motion - Murdock MacKay Memorial Kinsmen Park	21
Motion - Para-Transit	22
Motion - International Day for the Elimination	22
of Racial Discrimination	
Motion - Sidewalk Agreements	23-24
Motion - Sackville Fire Station	24
Motion - Bedford Waterfront Development Corporation	24
Motion - Environmental Rate	25
Motion - Health Concerns - Beaver Bank Area	25-26
Motion - Woodbine Mobile Home Park	26
Motion - District 6 Ratepayers Association	27
Motion - Old Sambro Road	29
Motion - CN Bridge, Seaforth	30
Motion - Funding Costs to Women's Programs	30
Motion - Store Hours	30-31
Motion - Paving, Timberlea	31
Motion - Tax Rate	32-33
Motion - Area Rates	33
Operational Budget	7
Old Sambro Road	29
Public Hearings - Dates	4 & 22-23
Para-Transit	22
Paving, Timberlea	31
Sackville Sports Stadium	6
Sidewalk Agreement	23-24
Sackville Fire Station	24
Store Hours	30-31
Taxi By-Law	5-6
Transit Complaint	13
Tax Rates	32-33
Woodbine Mobile Home Park	26

COUNCIL SESSION

6 MARCH 1990

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lichter
 Councillor Fralick
 Deputy Warden Baker
 Councillor Ball
 Councillor Bates
 Councillor Adams
 Councillor Randall
 Councillor Bayers
 Councillor Smiley
 Councillor Reid
 Councillor Horne
 Councillor Merrigan
 Councillor Morgan
 Councillor Eisenhower
 Councillor MacDonald
 Councillor Boutilier
 Councillor Harvey
 Councillor Sutherland
 Councillor Richards
 Councillor McInroy
 Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
 Mr. D. Reinhardt, Deputy Municipal Clerk
 Mr. R. G. Cragg, Municipal Solicitor

SECRETARY: Gail Foisy

Warden Lichter called the Council Session to order at 6:00 p.m. with the Lord's Prayer. Mr. Reinhardt called the Roll.

Councillor Sutherland moved, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

 THAT GAIL FOISY BE APPOINTED RECORDING SECRETARY.
 MOTION CARRIED.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

 "THAT the minutes of the Joint Council Session, February 5, 1990,
 be approved as circulated."
 MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Horne, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT the minutes of the Council Session, February 6, 1990, be approved as circulated."
MOTION CARRIED.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

THAT the minutes of the Pubic Hearing, February 19, 1990, be approved as circulated.
MOTION CARRIED

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

Terry Langille

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the letter from Mr. Langille wherein he expresses concern about rising taxes.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Department of the Environment

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the letter from John Leefe, Minister of the Department of the Environment, in response to Council's concerns about crusher dust on the Kearney Lake Road.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Harvey:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Soccer Nova Scotia

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the letter from R. I. McBeath, President of Soccer Nova Scotia, wherein their group is looking to find land for soccer facilities.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Warden Lichter suggested that the request should be jointly referred to property management and the Department of Parks and Recreation so that they can respond back to Council.

Council agreed.

Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the letter from Elmer MacKay, regarding Council's request for assistance in the establishment of a Community Futures designation for the communities of Preston and Cherry Brook.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Earth Day Atlantic Headquarters

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the correspondence received from Deborah Tobin - Coordinator, Earth Day Atlantic.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Warden Lichter be authorized to declare April 22, 1990, as Earth Day in Halifax County."
MOTION CARRIED

Correspondence - Hants East

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed correspondence from Fred Canavan, Municipal Councillor, Hants East, concerning proposed Acadian Lines bus scheduling changes.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor MacDonald indicated that the municipality should make sure that the changes will not affect service in Halifax County, particularly Sackville, and suggested that we should be prepared to make a submission at the appropriate time.

District 6 Residents & Ratepayers Association

Warden Lichter advised that he was authorized by Councillor Deveaux to withdraw this item from the Council agenda, and noted that the letter should have been addressed to the Department of Transportation and Communications.

SUPPLEMENTARY CORRESPONDENCE**Liquor Licence Board**

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the correspondence from the Director of Licensing regarding hearings for an eating establishment and a beverage room.

It was moved by Councillor Horne, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT the correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED

It was requested that Mr. Reinhardt ensure that Councillor Meade is made aware of the application.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

Application No. RA-FEN-18-89-18 - Rezoning Application by Reginal Stallard, Hammonds Plains

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendation.

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT a public hearing be held on this issue on March 26, 1990, at 7:00 p.m."
MOTION CARRIED

Application No. RA-CH/W-25-89-07 - Rezoning Application by Alderney Consultants Limited, on behalf of Loon Lake Developments Limited

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendation.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor cooper:

"THAT a public hearing be held on this issue on March 26, 1990, at 7:00 p.m."
MOTION CARRIED

Application No. RA-CH/W-15-89-24 - Rezoning Application by Frank Berrigan, Cole Harbour

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendation.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT staff's recommendation be endorsed, and that a public hearing be held on this issue on March 26, 1990, at 7:00 p.m."
MOTION CARRIED

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Requests for Grants

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the requests.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT the following requests for grants be approved by Council:

- a) District Capital Grant, District 5, \$500.00, for communication equipment for the Herring Cove Volunteer Fire Department;
- b) District Capital Grant, District 5, \$1,700.00, for sign and communications equipment, Herring Cove Volunteer Fire Department."

Councillor Ball pointed out that regarding the first grant, the report should only refer to the Herring cove Volunteer Fire Department, rather than the Herring cove and District 5 Volunteer Fire Department.

MOTION CARRIED

Recoveries - AeroTech Park Water Customers

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendations.

It was moved by Councillor Horne, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT Council approve the following:

- a) that the water/sewer rates for AeroTech Park be set at \$3.00 per thousand gallons of metered water sales effective January 1, 1990;
- b) that the fire protection rate for AeroTech Park be set at 13 cents per \$100.00 of assessment for 1990;
- c) that these rates be reviewed for 1991."

Councillor Morgan asked how the rates compare to other parks.

Mr. Meech advised that staff checked the rate for the City of Dartmouth and found that we are a bit high. He pointed out that the recommended rate for AeroTech includes the treatment of waste water, which is not being done by the City of Dartmouth. Also, the City of Dartmouth is starting to generate revenue by looking at including water rates.

MOTION CARRIED

By-law Amendments: By-law No. 10, Taxi By-law

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendation.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Richards:

"THAT Council approve the amendments to the Taxi By-law as outlined in the Staff Report."

Councillor Sutherland asked what the purpose of the amendments was.

Mr. Reinhardt advised that the amendments are mostly housekeeping items and some changes recommended by the By-law Enforcement Officer to make the by-law more uniform with the other municipal units.

MOTION CARRIED

District 5 West Fire Department Loan Request, \$150,000

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the request.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT Council approve a \$150,000 20-year loan advance to the district 5 West Fire Department for the purpose of constructing and/or purchasing two fire stations including land purchase if required. The loan is repayable with interest with Council reserving the right to levy an area rate in default of principal and/or interest repayment."

Councillor Ball pointed out that the report should note that the loan is inclusive of land required to be purchased, if necessary.

MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Financing - Sackville Sports Stadium

Mr. Reinhardt reviewed the recommendation.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT Council approve the recommendation as outlined in the report."

MOTION CARRIED

PETITION, LOGAN'S ROAD, DUTCH SETTLEMENT

Warden Lichter pointed out that the request is being made under the 15-year paving program.

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the request be forwarded to the Department of Transportation & Communications."

MOTION CARRIED

LEGAL FEES, DISTRICT 6 RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION

Warden Lichter advised that it was requested that this item be referred to the next Council Session. Agreed.

MEMORANDUM RE: 1990 PRELIMINARY OPERATIONAL BUDGET

Mr. Meech indicated that the purpose was to table the preliminary 1990 operational budget.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the 1990 Preliminary Operations Budget be tabled."
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Richards referred to the proposed dates in the memorandum from the Warden and indicated that many of the Councillors have made plans for the week of the March break. He suggested that consideration should be given to rescheduling the dates.

Warden Lichter indicated that the reason for the short notice was that Mr. Meech was going to be away from March 21st to April 5th.

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT budget sessions be scheduled to be held on Tuesday, March 13, 1990, and Thursday, March 15, 1990."
MOTION CARRIED

HALIFAX COUNTY MAINSTREET PROGRAM

Warden Lichter asked if the Sackville Community Committee had a chance to review the report.

Councillor Boutilier indicated that the report was only received late last week, so the Sackville Community Committee did not have a chance to review the proposal. He suggested that the Sackville Community Committee should be given a chance to review the report.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT the item be deferred to the next Council Session, with the understanding that the Sackville Community Committee would review the report in the interim and come back with some suggestions."

Councillor McInroy suggested that in conjunction with deferral, that it might be appropriate to refer the item to the Executive Committee.

The mover and seconder agreed to include the following in the motion:

"THAT the report also be referred to the Executive Committee."
MOTION CARRIED

REPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT the Report of the Development Officer be received."
MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY REPORT RE: COLE HARBOUR/WESTPHAL STEERING COMMITTEE

Councillor Richards indicated that the steering committee was put into place back in the early spring of last year to investigate the possibilities of setting up a community council in the Westphal/Cole Harbour area to work within the framework of Halifax County. Since that time, the Committee has held a number of meetings, and as well, held two public sessions in January to obtain public information on the concept of a community council. He noted that the recommendations in the report are the consensus of the Steering Committee based on information available.

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Council endorse the report before Council."

It was moved by Councillor Horne, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT the matter be deferred to the Executive Committee."
MOTION DEFEATED

Councillor Ball noted that the attendance at the two public meetings was not overwhelming considering the population in the area. He asked if there were any concerns with this committee being set up, and also asked if it was the intention to establish a community council with representation from the community, or whether the four area councillors would become the community council for the Cole Harbour/Westphal area.

Warden Lichter pointed out that the first recommendation is to hold a plebiscite.

Councillor Ball suggested that there should be terms of reference established before holding a plebiscite vote.

Councillor McInroy indicated that it was his understanding they were simply seeking the authority to hold a plebiscite in the Westphal/Cole Harbour area. He said that before having the plebiscite, you would advise what you currently have, the advantages of having a committee of four councillors set up similar to that in Sackville, and then if the community says yes, we will endorse further community endorsement in terms of its mandate and composition.

Councillor Ball asked if it was the intention that the community committee would decide whether there would be additional representation from the public on that council. Councillor McInroy responded yes, based on the response of the public.

Councillor Ball asked what the people would be voting on. Councillor McInroy responded that the only available option at this time is to establish a community committee similar to what exists in Sackville. He suggested that that could be done without a plebiscite.

Councillor Ball agreed and suggested that a plebiscite might not be necessary, and that perhaps the committee of four should decide on some of the parameters before holding a plebiscite.

Councillor McInroy pointed out that if the community committee is not created by by-law, then there is no formal structure as four councillors.

Councillor Ball suggested that the idea should be developed for the next civic election. Councillor McInroy responded that it formalizes in a community of 25,000 people a process whereby there is a formal standing committee of councillors dealing with issues and not just four councillors on an informal basis promoting the idea of a community council.

Councillor Horne expressed concern with the concept and questioned what the plebiscite would do for Council. He also expressed concern about who would pay for the cost of the plebiscite and asked if it would come out of area funds.

Warden Lichter advised that the cost of the plebiscite would come out of general funds.

Councillor Horne referred to the costs of setting up advisory committees and indicated that none of the groups in his area are being paid. Also, that there is a committee looking into the format of community councils, as well as other possible changes in the Municipal Act. He suggested that perhaps the proposal was premature until that committee comes forth with some recommendations.

Councillor Cooper stated that he felt this was the first step in a process which would lead to a local body in the Westphal/Cole Harbour area that would have the authority to handle matters for the community. Also, that he felt confident the council being talked about would include people other than the four councillors. He felt that the resolution before Council is extremely positive and reviewed the four recommendations contained in the report. Having concluded that he felt the committee has always had the intention of having public participation in a community council.

Councillor Eisenhauer pointed out that there is an existing Service Commission in the Westphal/Cole Harbour area, so that there is another option. He suggested that perhaps the Service Commission could go another way if it was granted other powers. He indicated, however, that he felt Council should endorse the proposal.

Councillor Boutilier said that after the municipal election in Sackville, a mandate was very clearly given by the people to the elected councillors to create a Sackville Community Council; because of the existing legislation it is the Sackville Community Committee. He pointed out that the turnout at the public meetings in Cole Harbour/Westphal was very low, and therefore felt that because there is no clear mandate by the people, it would be wrong for the four councillors to develop any clear policies. He felt that the steering committee is suggesting that they proceed like a community committee and then develop through the plebiscite proposal. He indicated that the main difference between Councillor Horne's district and the Westphal/Cole Harbour area is that we are talking about 26,000+ people in the latter.

Warden Lichter clarified that there is no price attached to the advisory committees referenced in the resolution.

Councillor MacDonald indicated that every step of the way for the Sackville process had to be approved by Council, and asked if the motion included the cost of the plebiscite as well as other costs.

Warden Lichter responded that it was his understanding that if the motion on the floor is approved, Council is approving the cost of the plebiscite, but is not approving any other costs at this particular time. He felt that the process for the Cole Harbour/Westphal area would follow the same route as that in Sackville.

Councillor Merrigan stated that there is no mandate in Sackville through a plebiscite to set up a community council, but rather it was the decision of the Sackville Councillors. He felt that Council should take advantage of what was learned in Sackville, and pointed out that people want the councillors to represent them and not be bogged down with a lot of information. He felt that it was fair to set up a community council, but did not think it was fair to set up a plebiscite.

Councillor Ball noted that council already endorsed the setting up of a committee consisting of the Warden and Cole Harbour/Westphal Councillors to prepare a proposal. He pointed out that the report tabled is not a proposal on how the community council concept would work, and indicated that he would like to see a proposal which would include the parameters and how the process would work.

Councillor Morgan referred to the attachment which talks about a plebiscite and asked for a legal interpretation of when a plebiscite could be conducted, particularly because he did not think the permission of Council was required in order to conduct a plebiscite.

Mr. Cragg referred to part (c) of Section 151 and indicated that Councillor Morgan was right to a certain extent, however, he did not believe that that precludes the municipality from bearing the cost out of the general fund or by levying a rate in those districts in which the plebiscite is to be held.

Councillor Morgan said that he felt the four councillors for the Cole Harbour/Westphal area carefully thought about the issue before asking for

Council's endorsement of conducting a plebiscite, and therefore, felt the resolution should be supported.

Councillor McInroy indicated that the proposal is for council to authorize a plebiscite, which will create the mechanism of consultation and will result in a recommendation to Council. He stressed that at this point it is only being requested that Council authorize the process. Also, that they are trying to inform everybody on setting up a community committee to continue the process towards the community council concept if there is support for it in the community.

Councillor Bates pointed out that less than 1% of the people showed up at the public meetings, and that a number of those who did were former Service Commission people who worked in the area for a number of years, who expressed some concerns about the committee. He noted that there was always confusion about the community committee council concept. Also, the people who have preferred to have more than just the councillors involved sitting on the committee. He noted that the only authority available at this time is to have a community committee comprised of the four councillors, who would get public participation through advisory committees. He advised that they decided to opt to hold a plebiscite because they did not get a clear understanding from the small number of people in attendance.

Councillor Cooper pointed out that the motion is simply asking the community whether it would support a community committee until the legislation is in place to set up a community council; the end result will be a community council decided by the community through consultation by the committee that will represent the community.

Councillor Richards said that those in attendance at the public meetings did give support for the concept in principle. Many, however, indicated initially they wanted an organization that had representation in addition to the four elected councillors. The committee studied that and it was determined through legal and staff advice that we could not initially do that. The councillors felt they had to go back to the community and say as an interim measure that this is what we can legally do and this is what is being proposed. He pointed out that they would not be going to the community with lots of options; simply with an option to consider a committee made up of the four councillors, who will then appoint formal volunteer committees of the public to advise on certain areas of concern, ie. recreation, planning, fire protection.

Councillor Richards pointed out that the plebiscite will cost approximately \$9,000 - \$10,000 if it is held similar to a full municipal election. He said that they were not convinced at this point that that style is completely necessary, although he did not want to indicate what the committee will finally ask for.

Councillor Horne questioned what would happen to the service commission.

Councillor Richards responded that they do not have the power or the authority as a committee to decide the future of the Service Commission, which is a body that has been put in place by Provincial legislation and any changes to it would have to be something that the community does through legislation by the Provincial

Government. He stressed that it is not a mandate of their committee to dissolve the Service Commission. He pointed out that that group might be dissolved, but not through this process.

Councillor Horne asked if there was now, or would be, any conflicts between the two groups.

Councillor Richards responded that the Service Commission is the people. He felt that if the people vote in favour of this organization, there would not be any conflict.

Councillor Horne asked if it was decided how the resolution of the plebiscite would be written, to which Councillor Richards responded no.

Councillor Horne suggested that the resolution should be decided before asking for funds to cover the cost of a plebiscite.

Councillor McInroy responded that they wanted to get the approval of Council before proceeding and that they wished to proceed as soon as possible. He pointed out that the final wording could be brought before Council at a later date.

MOTION CARRIED

APPOINTMENTS, HALIFAX COUNTY INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION

It was moved by Deputy Warden Baker, seconded by Councillor Horne:

"THAT Council consider the appointments to the Halifax County Industrial Commission at its next session."

It was pointed out that the names listed are those whose term expires. Also, that three of the positions on the Commission belong to Sackville, but that there is no other geographical commitment.

Mr. Meech advised that he would have a report prepared prior to the next Council Session which would include the total complement of the Halifax county Industrial Commission, the existing membership, and any restrictions or criteria that are in the by-laws.

Deputy Warden Baker pointed out that there are no members on the Industrial Commission from the western area at large and gave notice that he or somebody from the western area would put a nomination on the floor.

Councillor Horne indicated that many of those whose term is up have indicated to him that they would like to be renominated. He said that they have worked well to promote the Industrial Commission throughout the municipality. He recognized, however, that there are some areas without a large number of representatives.

MOTION CARRIED

TRANSIT COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 56 (1) METROPOLITAN AUTHORITY ACT

Warden Lichter pointed out that the following motion was passed at the last Council Session:

"It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT this item be deferred until next council session as Bedford council wants to reconsider having the funding for transit based on assessment rather than mileage."

He noted that this item is not included as part of the Council agenda, but felt that based on the motion passed that it should be dealt with at this time. Council Agreed.

Warden Lichter advised that the last Metropolitan Authority meeting, it was heard that the Town of Bedford has agreed to a three year assessment billing (at the 70% level). There was a lot of ensuing debate, which resulted in some members wishing to implement a one year trial period. He said that he argued against a trial period, and as a result the issue was deferred pending a meeting of the CAO's to try to come up with a solution that would be agreeable to the politicians. He indicated that when they got to the complain by Halifax county there was a motion to have it denied. Further, that he asked the Chair to allow him, councillor MacDonald and Councillor Bates to abstain, but the Chair ruled they had to vote. The motion to deny the complaint was voted down and the issue of paratransit was again referred back to staff to work on some guidelines.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT Council rescind the motion that recommended that we withdraw our complaint."

Councillor Merrigan questioned for how long the matter could be deferred and what would be considered a reasonable time in which to expect an answer.

Mr. Cragg advised that the legislation is very confusing and that he could not suggest what is a reasonable period of time.

Warden Lichter commented that he would not allow Metro Authority to keep referring or deferring the issue.

Councillor Bates indicated that he felt the action taken implied they agreed the County had a legitimate complaint; they were not prepared to let the County proceed to the PUB.

Warden Lichter cautioned that the comment was also made that the PUB would not be satisfied with only looking at the one issue.

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE - COUNCILLOR ADAMS

Councillor Adams advised that he had been approached by a number of residents in his district who said they were going to put together a presentation. He said that though not received yet, he felt it appropriate to address the concerns.

Councillor Adams indicated that under the new proposed UIC guidelines, it is intended to lump together the Eastern Shore communities with the Cities of Halifax and Dartmouth in determining the number of weeks waiting for UIC which extends the waiting period. He pointed out that the unemployment numbers in his area are much higher than in the metro areas and that there is a feeling of unfairness.

Warden Lichter pointed out that Councillor Smiley had made a similar motion sometime ago, and that it was his understanding there was a reassessment which resulted in changing the situation for a portion of Sheet Harbour.

Councillor Smiley advised that she did not think the change has gone into effect yet, but that the boundary line is proposed to be changed. She indicated that they wanted it to come as far as Cherry Brook/Salmon River.

Councillor Adams indicated that he would bring the item back at a later date.

SOLID WASTE/REFUSE TRANSFER DEPOT, DISTRICT 10 - COUNCILLOR BAYERS

Warden Lichter indicated that the item was removed from Council's agenda.

OPEN BURNING LEGISLATION, DISTRICT 10 - COUNCILLOR BAYERS

Warden Lichter indicated that the item was removed from Council's Agenda.

URGENT AGENDA ITEMS

Presentation of Book - Former Warden Ira Settle

Councillor Cooper indicated that a presentation of a book written about former Warden Ira Settle was being made tonight and that he wished to extend best wishes on the success of the book.

ADDITION OF ITEMS TO THE AGENDA FOR MARCH 20, 1990

Councillor Ball - Department of Transportation & Communications

Councillor Randall - CN Bridge, Seaforth

Councillor Bates - Social Service Costs.

IN CAMERA ITEMS

Pension - Mr. Robert Gough

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Merrigan:

"THAT Council move in-camera."

MOTION CARRIED

It was agreed to move out of camera.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"WHEREAS the Chief Administrative Officer wishes to recommend a waiver of one of the rules of the Halifax County Municipality retirement pension plan (effective January 1, 1988);

NOW therefore the Chief Administrative Officer recommends that on the early retirement of Robert Gough on May 25, 1990, the actuarial equivalent reduction referred to in Section 5.5 of the Halifax County Municipality Retirement Pension Plan rules be waived for this plan members."

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor McInroy and Councillor MacDonald voted against the motion.

Letter re: Municipal Board Appeals

It was moved by Councillor Randall, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT Council move in-camera."

MOTION CARRIED

It was agreed to move out of camera.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT an earlier motion of Council to have a letter sent to the Minister of Municipal Affairs re: The Municipal Board Appeals be rescinded."

MOTION DEFEATED.

Legal Services

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT Council move in-camera."

MOTION CARRIED

It was agreed to move out of camera.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT Council adopt the recommendations of the consultant's report to call for proposals for legal services to be effective on a date determined by Council and that this be referred to the Executive Committee for implementation."

MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Deputy Warden Baker, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT Council Adjourn."

MOTION CARRIED

Council adjourned at approximately 10 p.m.

COUNCIL SESSION

20 March 1990

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lichter
 Councillor Meade
 Councillor Poirier
 Councillor Fralick
 Deputy Warden Baker
 Councillor Ball
 Councillor Deveaux
 Councillor Bates
 Councillor Adams
 Councillor Randall
 Councillor Bayers
 Councillor Smiley
 Councillor Reid
 Councillor Horne
 Councillor Merrigan
 Councillor Morgan
 Councillor Eisenhauer
 Councillor MacDonald
 Councillor Boutilier
 Councillor Harvey
 Councillor Sutherland
 Councillor Richards
 Councillor McInroy
 Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
 Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
 Mr. D. Weir, Acting Municipal Solicitor

SECRETARY: Twila Simms

Warden Lichter called the meeting to order with the Lord's Prayer
at 6:00 PM. Mr. Kelly called the Roll.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor
Adams:

 "THAT Twila Simms be appointed Recording Secretary."
 MOTION CARRIED

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

 "THAT the minutes of Council Session, February 20, 1990,
 be approved as circulated."
 MOTION CARRIED

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCEBlue Mountain Quarry - Kearney Lake Road Area

It was moved by Councillor Harvey, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT this item of correspondence be received."
MOTION CARRIED.

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the department's policy for cost-shared projects regarding crosswalk construction on trunk highways.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Reid:

"THAT this letter be received."
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Sutherland stated that he had requested this report and stated that this response is not sufficient. He stated that they must accept responsibility for these on trunk or major highways.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Richards:

"THAT Council request Mr. Meech to correspond with the Minister of Transportation for further clarification or negotiations for a cost sharing agreement with the province."
MOTION CARRIED.

Councillor Boutilier stated that the cost for overhead crosswalk signs are substantially high and there is no cost sharing. Mr. Meech stated that the Department of Transportation is more rigid in their application of the policy than in the past. He stated that if the municipality makes the request, then they will have to pay the cost associated with it.

Canadian National Railway

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning train whistles in Autoport, Eastern Passage.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT this letter be received."

Councillor Deveaux asked if paragraph two, stating that employees are obliged to sound whistles at all public crossings; and, paragraph three stating that there is now no statutory requirement regarding the use of whistles, was conflicting.

Mr. Weir stated that the operating rules are in place to limit the liability and the question now raised is whether CN has the ability to transfer the liability to the municipality.

MOTION CARRIED

Presentation to Municipal Council from Halifax-East Wants Federation of Agriculture

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Councillor Horne:

"THAT this item of correspondence be received."

MOTION CARRIED.

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the examination of the Hillcrest Ave/ Sackville Drive intersection to assess the need for traffic signals.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Morgan expressed concern with the response stating that a similar letter was sent to the Department of Transportation requesting traffic lights at Pinehill and Sackville Drive. He stated that it took two deaths to occur so that the intersection warranted lights. He questioned whether council should wait for two deaths to occur here. He stated that the situation was serious.

It was moved by Councillor Morgan, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT Councillor Morgan and the Sackville Community Committee request a meeting with the Minister in June of 1990."

Councillor Morgan stated that the situation is dangerous with the high snow banks and with the foliage on the trees and would like the Minister to see the situation when the foliage is out.

MOTION CARRIED.

Department of Transportation and Communications.

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the extension of the median barrier on Highway 101 to the Hants County Line.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT this item of correspondence be received."

Councillor MacDonald stated that he hoped that the Department would find the funds to do this as there have been 5-6 people killed there in the last two years.

MOTION CARRIED

1990 Municipal Awareness Week

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter stating that May 13-19 will be proclaimed Municipal Awareness Week in Nova Scotia.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Warden Lichter stated that usually the County writes letters to the schools volunteering the councillors to talk about the activities of the municipal governments at their school.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Horne:

"THAT the Municipality write to the District School Board volunteering Councillors to make presentations to students concerning the activities of the Municipal Government."

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Horne:

"THAT a letter be written to the District School Board asking that during Municipal Awareness Week that the Municipal Flag be flown by those county schools that have them available."

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Horne:

"THAT this item also be referred to the Special Events Coordinator."

MOTION CARRIED

The Association of Kinsmen Clubs

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the changing the name of the Kinsmen Park to Murdock MacKay Memorial Kinsmen Park.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Harvey:

"THAT this letter be received, and the name of the Kinsmen Park be changed to Murdock MacKay Memorial Kinsmen Park."

MOTION CARRIED.

Metropolitan Authority

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning Beechville-Lakeside-Timberlea transit.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED.

SUPPLEMENTARY LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

G. E. Pottier, Enfield

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter from the resident expressing concern with taxes.

It was moved by Councillor Horne, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Metropolitan Authority

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning transit cost sharing by assessment.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT this letter be received."
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT Council defer dealing with the recommendations until we receive some understanding as to any new arrangements relative to para-transit."
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Merrigan expressed concern that this did not go to the Transit Committee.

Proclamation

Warden Lichter stated that the United Nations has proclaimed that March 21, 1990, be proclaimed "International Day for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination."

It was moved by Councillor Adams, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT Halifax County Municipality also proclaim March 21, 1990, as International Day for the Elimination of Racial Discrimination."
MOTION CARRIED

Deputy Warden Baker stated that he will be representing the County at Province House tomorrow morning.

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

Application No. RA-EP/CB-17-89-06 - Rezoning Application by Kiel Developments Limited, Cow Bay

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT a public hearing be held on April 9, 1990 at 7:00 PM to discuss this issue."
MOTION CARRIED