

current flow pattern because of the swamp lands that additionally treat the effluent and because of the improvements to the treatment plant, altering the pattern is not necessary, yet on the other hand, discharging into Henry Lake is discouraged because of the potential pollution problem.

Mr. Morgan stated that effluent treated will never be as clear and clean as rainwater. He stated that water quality has been set at 80% BOD in the joint certificate. He stated that with improvements to the treatment plant there would be no health problems or smell.

Councillor McInroy asked why not in Henry Lake. Mr. Morgan stated that Henry Lake does not keep moving. He stated that there is no flow out of the lake, but the drainage ditch is continually moving.

Councillor Ball asked if there was any consideration for retention ponds at the base of the property. Mr. Morgan stated that this would be part of the detailed engineering designs that must be provided. He stated that the plans must receive approval of our Engineering and Works Department. He stated that in the agreement, if after one year of receiving the construction approval, if there were additional problems, the Engineering and Works Department can order the owner to rectify the problem.

Councillor Ball asked if easements for piping effluent past residential properties effected by the effluent were granted, could that be put into the development agreement, thereby putting the onus on the property owners to come up with the solution to the problem.

Mr. Cragg stated that it would be in our authority to do so, but it would be up to Mr. Keddy to secure easements.

Councillor Bates stated that it would be difficult to believe that the property owners would object to this. He stated that it would alleviate the open ditch problem.

Councillor Sutherland asked if the upgraded plant included a sand filter. Mr. Morgan stated that it would.

Councillor Boutilier asked how long this problem has been going on. Mr. Morgan stated that in 1978-9 the Board of Health has been discussing the problem. Councillor Boutilier stated that the upgrading would be an improvement. He stated that this problem has been going on for 14+ years and concern for the environment was commendable, but he stated that if this was such a health hazard, why have the Departments of Environment and Health and Fitness not been enthusiastic to solve the problem. He stated that we are holding Mr. Keddy at ransom.

Councillor Ball stated that the upgrading to the plant would be an improvement, but with the expansion would come more effluent. He stated that we have the ability to alleviate a lot of problems by piping it and it may be the solution for the residents.

Councillor Boutilier stated that with the upgrading, what ends up in the brook will be less harmful than what is in it today.

Councillor Fralick stated that Mr. Keddy was not the original owner and Mr. Keddy has come to the Board of Health personally. He stated that the idea is to improve the situation.

Councillor Deveaux stated that he agreed with Councillor Ball. He stated that one gentleman at the public hearing has effluent in his backyard. He stated that he had no doubt that what would be running in the ditch would be better than what was running there now, but council should make the attempt to pipe this through properties rather than open ditch.

Councillor Ball stated that this has been going on for 12-15 years and a lot of residents have lost faith. He stated that the county has the opportunity to help the trailer court residents and surrounding residents.

Councillor Bates stated that we were talking about degrees of improvements. He stated that the improvements to the plant would be better, but we want to see substantial improvements.

Councillor Poirier stated that Mr. Lavalee's concerns were as important as 53 concerns. She stated that he has been pleading with people for years and this problem is disrupting his life. She stated that Council should take his needs into consideration.

Deputy Warden Baker stated that Council should take the advice and recommendations from the experts in the field.

Warden Lichter clarified that the deferred motion was:

It was moved by Deputy Warden Baker, seconded by Councillor Merrigan:

"THAT this development agreement be approved."

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the motion be amended to read:

"THAT the effluent coming out of the sewage treatment plant be piped from the outflow along the ditch, under the road and beyond the private property owners, provided

the private land owners involved are willing to give Mr. Keddy an easement for the piping within 8 weeks. If residents are unwilling to grant easements within this time period, the development agreement would proceed as is."

Councillor Ball clarified that the intent of the motion is that if the residents are not willing to cooperate to alleviate the problem by granting easements, then the development agreement would proceed as is. He stated that this puts the onus on the private property owner to cooperate to solve the problem.

Councillor Boutilier asked who would pay for this. Mr. Cragg stated that it would be the burden of the developer. Councillor Boutilier stated that Mr. Keddy may want to take a strong look at this with the costing involved. He stated that Mr. Keddy may be better off doing nothing.

Councillor Bates stated that as a businessman, Mr. Keddy will determine whether or not to enter into this agreement.

Deputy Warden Baker stated that he did support the application, but that did not mean he couldn't change his mind. He stated that he has been hearing from abutters with strong concerns. He stated that Councillors are not experts.

Warden Lichter stated that only those present at the May 7, 1990 Public Hearing could vote on this matter.

AMENDMENT CARRIED 13 FOR  
2 AGAINST

MOTION AS AMENDED CARRIED 13 FOR  
2 AGAINST.

#### USER FEES

Mr. Butler stated that this public hearing was with regard to the implementation of user fees which are indicated on the agenda numbers 2 through 5. He stated that amendments to 11 Land Use By-Laws would be required for payment of a fee for minor variance applications and zone amendments, development agreement and rezoning applications; amendments to the Subdivision By-Law would be required for subdivision application fee; a resolution of Council to implement a \$100 fee for plan amendment applications; and a resolution of council to implement a \$25 fee for zoning verification letters.

Questions from Council



Warden Lichter asked if the \$10 per additional lot for subdivisions applied only to the serviced areas.

Mr. Butler stated that it is not specific to serviced areas. He stated that the original recommendation was relative where new roads, public or private, or service systems would be installed. He stated that sewer and water is generally within the serviced area, but new roads could be inside or outside of the serviced area.

Warden Lichter asked if the \$40 minor variance fee would apply also to minor variance appeals. Mr. Butler stated that it would not. He stated that the \$40 is to offset the cost of sending registered letters to property owners within 100 feet of the property in question.

Councillor Sutherland clarified that council cannot reintroduce fees not in the advertisement. Warden Lichter stated if it was not advertised, then we cannot deal with it.

Councillor Richards stated that he was under the understanding that because the mobile home fee did not require a change in the subdivision by-laws, although not advertised, we could deal with it in response to the public hearing. Mr. Cragg stated that doing so would go against 2 of 3 requirements to deal in good faith and clear intent.

#### Speakers in Favour

None.

#### Speakers in Opposition

Mr. Robb stated that he has come forward to speak against the increase to require fees for services related to subdivision applications of landowners in all of Halifax County. He stated that this fee is directed to all landowners and is paid when an application for subdivision is made. The amount required in urban areas would be \$100 for the initial application and \$10 per lot. He stated that this was just the beginning. He stated that next year this could raise. He stated that this would be charged at the preliminary, tentative and final stages. He stated that this will cost the subdividers a lot of money.

Mr. Robb stated that there is a federal deed transfer tax of an additional three percent of \$100,000. He stated that the municipality collects a 1.25% deed transfer tax which was supposed to cover the user pay. Provincial tax will affect sales of real estate. He stated that the governments are milking the land business to death. He stated that these are good reasons not to



buy land or subdivide.

Mr. Robb stated that the municipality already requires expensive and fancy plans that require preliminary, tentative and final approval and many other requirements for roads, drainage, and environmental concerns, recreation lands, copies of plans, etc. etc. etc. He stated that there are lot elevation requirements, and new storm drainage legislation. There is a 5% recreation fee which is collected in land or money. He stated that all taxes are paid before approval is even given. He stated that all subdivision plans need public health, environment and transportation approval. He stated that if the county puts this into place, there will be nothing stopping the province from doing the same.

Mr. Robb stated that another concern is the GST. 7% level at each stage of production which is most unpopular and has to be paid to the federal government within 30 days. He stated that this is not fair. He stated that it will cause developers to run out of capital and create cash flow problems. He stated that he has never seen it so bad. He stated that the processes are cumbersome and lengthy. He stated that we have put into place more layers of rules and regulations causing more costs. He stated that land owners have no more rights. He stated that if council approves this it will affect the entire county. He stated that Council should hold the line for the ratepayers. He stated that Council should be looking at internal costs and decrease department spending. He stated that the taxpayers are fed up.

#### Questions from Council

Councillor Richards stated that he was aware of Mr. Robb's activities as a developer and the impact this may have. He stated that the reason for the implementation of these is so that the tax rate can be kept down. Mr. Robb stated that the property owner is bearing the burden. He stated that governments are not getting down to brass tax. He stated that the system is top heavy. He stated that Department Heads should be told to look at decreasing in operational costs, rather than imposing user pay when we are paying enough now. He stated that the province will only copy this.

#### Decision of Council

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Council approve the fee of \$100 for subdivision final approval with \$10 additional per lot as noted in the Subdivision By-Law."

MOTION DEFEATED 10 FOR  
10 AGAINST

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT Council approve the fee of \$40 for minor variances as noted in Appendices A-L."  
MOTION DEFEATED 9 FOR  
10 AGAINST

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Bayers:

"THAT Council approve the fee of \$100 for rezoning, by-law and plan amendments as noted in Appendices A-L."  
MOTION CARRIED 11 FOR  
9 AGAINST

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT Council approve the fee of \$100 for development agreements as noted in Appendices A-L."  
MOTION CARRIED 11 FOR  
9 AGAINST

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT Council approve the fee of \$25 for zoning verification letters."  
MOTION CARRIED 14 FOR  
5 AGAINST

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Poirier:

"THAT this meeting adjourn."  
MOTION CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

MINUTES & REPORTS  
OF THE  
SECOND YEAR MEETINGS  
OF THE  
FORTY-THIRD COUNCIL  
OF THE  
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX  
JUNE COUNCIL SESSION  
TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 1990  
&  
PUBLIC HEARING  
JUNE 11 & 25, 1990



INDEX

June Council Session - 1990

Animal Control Officer -----	12
Beaverbank/Kinsac Sports Association -----	9-12
By-law Enforcement - Flex Hours -----	21-22
Cable Service - Lawrencetown -----	3
Churchill Estates Water Service -----	4
Conrads Auto Salvage -----	13
Chief Building Inspectors Report -----	15-16
Cole Harbour Place Board -----	21
Development Officer Report -----	18
East Preston Recreation Centre -----	18
Eastern Passage Report -----	20
Grants -----	16-17
Halifax County Hospital Lands -----	3
Halifax County Regional Centre By-laws -----	18
Integration Policy - School Board -----	5-6
Illegal Dumping - Harrietsfield -----	14-15
Issuing Resolution -----	17
Letters & Correspondence -----	2-12
Loan - Herring Cove -----	17
Legal Contract -----	23
Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary -----	1
Motion - Approval of Minutes -----	1-2
Motion - Letters & Correspondence -----	2-12
Motion - Halifax County Hospital Lands -----	3
Motion - Cable Service, Lawrencetown -----	3
Motion - Churchill Estates Water Supply -----	4
Motion - Integration Policy, School Board -----	5-6
Motion - Signs, Prospect Road -----	6-7
Motion - Woodbine Mobile Home Park -----	7-9
Motion - Beaverbank/Kinsac Sports Association -----	9-12
Motion - Animal Control Officer -----	12
Motion - Conrad's Auto Salvage -----	13
Motion - Date for Public Hearings -----	13-14 & 15
Motion - Illegal Dumping, Harrietsfield -----	14-15
Motion - Chief Building Inspectors Report -----	15-16
Motion - Minor Variance Appeal -----	16
Motion - Shubenacadie Lakes -----	16
Motion - Grants -----	16-17
Motion - Loan Request, Herring Cove -----	17

Motion - Issuing Resolution ----- 17  
 Motion - Memorial re Murdock MacKay ----- 17  
 Motion - Tax Exemption, United Baptist Church, Bayside ----- 18  
 Motion - Halifax County Regional Rehab Centre By-laws ----- 18  
 Motion - East Preston Recreation Centre ----- 18  
 Motion - Development Officer Report ----- 18  
 Motion - Mill Cove Plant Study ----- 18-20  
 Motion - Priorities Committee ----- 20  
 Motion - Eastern Passage Report ----- 20  
 Motion - Cole Harbour Place Board ----- 21  
 Motion - Flex Hours, By-law Enforcement ----- 21-22  
 Motion - Water & Sewer Problems, Sheet Harbour ----- 22  
 Motion - Paving Request, Roland Avenue ----- 22  
 Motion - Children at Play Sign, Greenwood Heights ----- 22  
 Motion - Overhead Directional Sign, Bayers Lake ----- 23  
 Motion - Legal Contract ----- 23  
 Motion - Adjournment ----- 23

Public Hearings - Dates ----- 13-14 & 15  
 Priorities Committee ----- 20  
 Paving Request - Roland Avenue ----- 22

Shubenacadie Lakes ----- 16

Tax Exemption - United Baptist Church, Bayside ----- 18

Woodbine Mobile Home Park ----- 7-9

PUBLIC HEARINGS

11 June 1990

THOSE PRESENT:

Warden Lichter  
Councillor Meade  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Fralick  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor Adams  
Councillor Bayers  
Councillor Smiley  
Councillor Reid  
Councillor Horne  
Councillor Morgan  
Councillor MacDonald  
Councillor Boutilier  
Councillor Harvey  
Councillor Sutherland  
Councillor Richards  
Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. R. Cragg, Municipal Solicitor  
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk.

SECRETARY:

Mrs. Twila Simms

.....  
Warden Lichter called the meeting to order at 7:00 p. m. with the Lord's Prayer. Mr. Kelly called the Roll.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT Twila Simms be appointed as Recording Secretary."  
MOTION CARRIED

RA-SA-07-90-16 - Application by 500 Ventures Limited to Rezone Lot C of the Skyfield Subdivision, situated on the West Side of Skyridge Ave. Between Sackville Dr. and Kaye Street, From R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) Zone to R-2 (Two Unit Dwelling) Zone.

Mr. Paul Morgan presented the staff report stating that the property is situated on the west side of Skyridge Ave., between Sackville Dr. and Kaye Street in Lower Sackville. Mr. Wayne Ivany, property manager for 500 Ventures Limited, has requested rezoning from R-1 to R-2 to permit at 1.5 or 2 storey duplex.

This application was previously dealt with by Council at a public hearing on November 9, 1987. Council rejected the application by a 7/6 margin. Mr. Ivany did not attend the hearing and subsequently stated that he did not receive staff's notification letter until November 10, the day after the public hearing was held.



At a subsequent meeting of PAC, a recommendation was made to Council that a new public hearing be held and that the advertising fees be waived.

Mr. Morgan showed slides of the site.

Councillor Sutherland questioned the ability to subdivide the lot with 105 foot frontage. Mr. Morgan stated that although the property has less than the minimum 120 feet frontage required for subdivision under the R-1 zone, the land use by-law contains special provisions for existing lots which would allow for it to be subdivided.

#### Speakers in Favour

Mr. Wayne Ivany showed the general plan for the lot. He stated that he is hoping that this building will enhance the area rather than keeping a vacant lot.

#### Speakers in Opposition

None.

#### Decision of Council

It was moved by Councillor Morgan, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the application by 500 Ventures Limited to rezone Lot C of the Skyfield subdivision from R-1 Zone to R-2 Zone be approved by Council."

MOTION CARRIED

ZA-CH/W-06-90 - Application by Susan Jebailey to Amend the Requirements of the C-1 (local business) zone of the Cole Harbour /Westphal plan area to permit a Maximum of 2,000 sq. ft. of Commercial floor space.

Mr. Paul Morgan presented the staff report stating that Mrs. Jebailey and her daughter Susan operate a variety store in conjunction with their residence at 363 Caldwell Road in Cole Harbour, located near the intersection of Nova Terrace. Under the land use by-law for Cole Harbour/Westphal, the property is zoned C-1 which permits these uses.

The Jebaileys have proposed to expand the floor area of the store from 1,000 to 2,000 square feet and to enlarge the residence from 900 to 3,000 square feet. A conceptual plan, illustrating existing and proposed building sizes, is shown in Figure 1, p.5. Staff has been advised that demolition of the existing residence is necessary

due to its poor structural condition.

He stated that it is staff's opinion that this floor area restriction is insufficient to allow for a reasonable accommodation of a dwelling unit in conjunction with a local commercial use, as is intended. The floor area of a residence alone typically approaches or exceeds 1,500 square feet. Through the plan review process, staff have recommended that within residential designations, local commercial uses should be permitted to a maximum of 2,000 square feet, exclusive of any area devoted to residential use. A staff recommendation to this affect concerning a similar zone amendment application in Timberlea/Lakeside/Beechville, was recently approved by Council.

Councillor Richards asked how many C-1 zones were in the Cole Harbour/Westphal plan area. Mr. Morgan stated that he did not know. Councillor Richards asked if there has been any difficulty in the Timberlea area as a result of the amendment made. Mr. Morgan stated that there was not.

#### Speakers in Favour

Susan Jebailey stated that the main purpose for this is to provide a larger and expanded as well as cleaner and updated service. She stated that they presently live in a small 2 bedroom and would like to expand. She stated that there is plenty of land and there should not be a problem.

Councillor Richards asked about parking. Ms. Jebailey stated that she does not expect the expansion to bring in an excessive amount of people. She stated that the parking provided should be plenty.

#### Speakers in Opposition

None.

#### Decision of Council

It was moved by Councillor Cooper, seconded by Councillor Richards:

"THAT the application by Badiah and Susan Jebailey, as amended, to amend the requirements of the C-1 zone of the land use by-law for Cole Harbour/Westphal to permit a maximum of 3,000 gross square feet of floor area and a limit of 1,500 gross square feet for commercial uses be approved by Council."

MOTION CARRIED

Lake from RR-1 (Restricted Residential) Zone to R-1 (Single Unit Dwelling) zone and MU-1 Zone to allow development after services are installed.

Mr. Rick Spanik presented the staff report stating that the Municipality has undertaken a servicing project for Springfield Lake to provide sewer services to this community. The servicing project is being conducted in two phases. Phase 1 was completed towards the end of May 1989 and it is estimated that Phase 2 will be completed and available for connection now.

Mr. Spanik stated that the RR-1 zone, which had been applied to all lands within five hundred feet of Springfield lake encompasses the major portion of the area to be serviced. Upon the completion of Phase 1, a large portion of this area was rezoned in conformity with the intent of the plan. The intent of the RR-1 zone is to restrict development until central sewer services are available, at which time RR-1 zoned lands are to be rezoned in order to permit development to proceed.

He stated that presently the land use by-law does not include requirements for lots with central sewer services. Therefore a zone amendment would also be required to reduce lot size requirements. Another issue which must be considered as part of this application is the status of existing home businesses.

#### Speakers in Favour

None.

#### Speakers in Opposition

None.

#### Decision of Council

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the rezoning of lands within Phase 2 of the Springfield Lake Servicing Project from RR-1 Zone to R-1 zone and MU-2 Zone, as shown on Map 3, be approved by Council;

THAT the minimum lot area and frontage provisions of the R-6 Zone be amended to reflect central sewer standards; and,

THAT the existing business in this area be added to Appendix "B" of the land use by-law."

MOTION CARRIED



CDD-SA-01-89-22 - Application by the Armoyan Group Limited to Rezone approximately 20 acres, Phase 13B of the Department of Housing's Lands in Lower Sackville from R-1 (single Unit Dwelling) Zone to CDD (Comprehensive Development Agreement) Zone.

Mr. Spanik presented the report in an extensive slide presentation.

Councillor MacDonald asked about the water line for Woodbine. Mr. Spanik stated that Woodbine has an easement through the property to provide water to the park.

Councillor Horne asked if there was a lot of traffic from Stokil Drive. Mr. Spanik stated that staff decided that there would be a significant difference between developing this land as a CDD rather than the existing R-1 zone. He stated that the Department of Transportation also intends to build a connector road by the time this development is finished in 5-10 years. Mr. Spanik stated that there is a need for a set of lights at Stokil Drive and Beaverbank Road.

Councillor Horne asked about the schools. Mr. Spanik stated that no matter how these lands are developed, either as a CDD or as R-1, there may be shortages at different grade levels.

Councillor Horne asked if there was just the one exit. Mr. Spanik stated that this was so.

Councillor Horne asked if there were any brooks, rivers or streams in this land. Mr. Spanik stated that the slope of the land drains water toward the back of the development into a swampy area. He stated that this has been noted as a conservation area. He stated that it is an important factor to protect this green alderswamp.

Councillor Deveaux clarified that if the developer so wished, he could develop the entire area as R-1. Mr. Spanik stated that this was so.

Councillor Poirier asked if that would mean he could develop minimum size 60 x 100 lots. Mr. Spanik stated yes and that the CDD provided a better mixture with lot sizes and housing types. He stated that the R-0 lots with 32' frontage can make up 38% of the total volume.

Councillor Poirier asked if this was still Department of Housing lands. Mr. Spanik stated that the land has been purchased from the Department of Housing.

Warden Lichter asked if this land was rezoned R-1 by the County or by the Department of Housing. Mr. Spanik stated that it was by

the County.

Councillor Horne asked about the quality of homes. Mr. Spanik stated that the CDD would provide for more of a range of prices and the homes presently under construction are of pretty good quality.

#### Speakers in Favour

Ron Hiltz, Vice-President of Development, Armoyan Group, stated that he was pleased to present lands formerly known as Phase 13B. He stated that the existing homes were built under the Department of Housing and stated that the Housing Commission called for proposals in 1988. He stated that they were awarded the land in 1989 and applied for a CDD and DA. He stated that the intent is to preplan the entire site and the process has now arrived at the public hearing stage 18 months later after designing, negotiating and redesigning.

Mr. Hiltz stated that this is a 60 acre parcel in Sackville, which is currently under the MPS review and is pleased to incorporate sidewalks and larger lots not in the design originally. Mr. Hiltz stated that the land alone costs \$500/day, everyday. He stated that Phase 1 of the project is not covered in this, but all contract conditions were included. He stated that this is a proving ground for efficient lot grading and flexibility. He stated that 19%, or 7.6 acres of parkland has been contributed to the municipality.

Mr. Hiltz stated that this project represents \$35 Million in construction spin-offs in Sackville. Mr. Hiltz then made a slide presentation of the project and plans.

Mr. Hiltz stated that a requirement of the MPS is that all bulk lands in Sackville are zoned R-1 to force developers to come before council with a complete plan for rezoning. He stated that the intention is not for all lands to be developed as R-1, but to encourage proper design.

Mr. Hiltz asked that Council correct clause 6.1.2, in that R-1, R-0, and R-2 cannot exceed 75% of the total, where in the conceptual plan these zones makes up 78.6%. He asked that Council change the 75% to 78.6% to correspond the written agreement with the conceptual plans. As well, Clause 7.2.4 requires that the Armoyan Group move the water pipe around the proposed soccer field rather than infill over the pipe. Mr. Hiltz stated that the Armoyan Group is willing to grant a larger easement and provide the county with a \$1,000 cheque in lieu of moving the pipe.

Councillor MacDonald stated that the aspect of the pipe is a good point. He stated that it is a good lesson not to lay pipe across

country.

Councillor Boutilier stated that the water line is approximately 5-6 feet deep and asked how much fill was placed over it. Mr. Hiltz stated approximately 12 feet. Councillor Boutilier stated that if the County ever had to excavate at 18 feet, the cost would be substantially higher to hire an excavator and repair the pipe. Mr. Hiltz stated that it would not be a week-long job, but rather a matter of a few hours when done properly. Mr. Hiltz stated that the \$1,000 is the differential cost between the cost of excavating equipment hired for 5-6 feet and the equipment needed to excavate at 17 feet. Mr. Hiltz stated that the waterline is there at the risk of Woodbine.

Councillor Sutherland stated that at the public meetings concerns were expressed about the Apartment development and commercial site. He asked how these are addressed in the CDD. Mr. Hiltz stated that the square footage has been cut in half and the contract details a buffer between the housing within the development. He stated that the 25 foot buffer would retain as much of the natural cover as possible. He stated that this is comparable of a buffer of 15 feet in other areas. He stated that it is the intent of the commercial and R-4 developments, because of the visibility, to impact the marketability of the rest of the development and maintain the quality of the development through the use of appearance and roof lines and tree cover.

Mr. Hiltz clarified that this public hearing is for tentative approval. He stated that there still has to be a joint certificate issued for each phase of the R-4 and Commercial plans and the plans have to be submitted to the county for approval prior to construction.

Councillor Sutherland asked what phase would see the commercial and apartment sites. Mr. Hiltz stated that this would be done after 50% of the remaining site had been developed.

Councillor Morgan asked about the average expected assessment and price of the units. Mr. Hiltz stated that for the homes being constructed now, costs are approximately \$110,000 to \$125,000. He stated that the R-0 will run between \$90,000 and \$95,000 and the town houses between \$70,000 and \$75,000.

#### Speakers in Favour

Mr. Doug Smith, Pat King Real Estate stated that he would like to go on record as supporting the Armcrest development in the community. He stated that there is a need for serviced lots. He stated that he is a firm supporter of the Armoyan Group and that they have proven quality housing.



**Speakers in Opposition**

Mr. Gary Fancy, Stokil Drive stated that his concern is with the apartment and commercial development. He stated that he was in favour of residential development, but the other two parts of the development are cause for concern. He stated that presently traffic is fast and there is Metro Transit and there is a school playground. He stated that a commercial operation would end up becoming a hang-out for kids. He also questioned the need for more commercial development in the area. He stated that the elementary school is also presently crowded. He stated that he was concerned that the apartment complex may be filled with welfare recipients. Mr. Fancy submitted a petition with 269 signature opposing the commercial and apartment development.

**Speakers in Opposition**

Bill MacKay, Stokil Drive, stated that he would like to emphasize the concerns of Mr. Fancy with regard to increased traffic. He stated that lights are needed at Stokil Drive and Beaverbank Road. He stated that traffic has already increased by 3 times as much. Mr. MacKay also stated that additional commercial development is entirely unnecessary, as there is commercial facilities already within walking distance. Mr. MacKay stated that apartment facilities bring in lower income families and problems not associated with single family and R-2 housing. Mr. MacKay also stated that he was concerned with the effect this would have on schools. He stated that with the additional servicing, it could cause an overloaded condition. He also stated that with apartments comes an additional noise factor which developers do not realize.

**Speakers in Opposition**

Mr. Steven Martin stated that his concern was with the commercial area. He stated that another store in this area will be another hot spot and he stated that there is plenty of commercial activity on the main street. He also stated his concern with the overcrowding of the school.

**Speakers in Opposition**

Ron Giles stated that people of Sackville have been convenience stored to death. He stated that a very short distance away are two major food stores and two convenience facilities. He stated that he supported the viewed previously expressed.

**Speakers in Opposition**

Mr. Ernest McKinnon stated that he has similar concerns with the

commercial development. He stated that there is already this type of development within walking distance as well as a transit system. He stated that he was also worried about the apartment building and increased traffic. He also stated that sidewalks are not provided in the area and also expressed concerns about the overcrowding of the school.

Mr. Kelly read letters into the record.

Ms. Denise DeVeau, in favour.  
Ms. Noreen Brown, in favour.  
Mr. Larry Marchand, in favour.  
Mr. Raymond Marchand, in favour.  
Mr. Kevin Marchand, in favour.  
Mr. Darrel Marchand, in favour.  
Mr. Tony Johnson, in favour.  
Sackville Chamber of Commerce, in favour.

#### Decision of Council

Councillor Sutherland stated that this has been a long process of 18+ months. He asked what was envisioned with the size of units and number of each. Mr. Hiltz was permitted to answer the question. He stated that there would be a mixture and the exact numbers would depend on the market at the time of construction. He stated that there could be up to 84 units.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Morgan:

"THAT the Application by the Armoyan Group Limited to have its property, designated as a CDD be approved as presented with Clause 6.1.2 being amended to state the exact percentage of 78.6% rather than 75%, as well as Clause 7.2.4 requiring the relaying a section of the waterline around part of the proposed parkland area, be replaced with the proposal from the developer for a cheque for \$1,000."

Councillor Sutherland stated that the pipe is a pressure line and it will be at least 25-30 years before the line would go and there is only a remote possibility of the line ever being broken.

MOTION CARRIED

Adjournment

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT the public hearings adjourn."  
MOTION CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 9:00 p.m.



COUNCIL SESSION

19 June 1990

THOSE PRESENT:

Warden Lichter  
Councillor Meade  
Councillor Poirier  
Councillor Fralick  
Deputy Warden Baker  
Councillor Ball  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor Bates  
Councillor Randall  
Councillor Bayers  
Councillor Smiley  
Councillor Reid  
Councillor Merrigan  
Councillor Morgan  
Councillor Eisenhauer  
Councillor MacDonald  
Councillor Boutilier  
Councillor Harvey  
Councillor Sutherland  
Councillor McInroy  
Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT:

Mr. K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer  
Mr. Wier, Municipal Solicitor  
Mr. G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk  
Mr. E. Wdowiak, Director Engineering

SECRETARY:

Mrs. Twila Simms

.....  
Warden Lichter called the meeting to order at 6:00 p. m. with the Lord's Prayer. Mr. Kelly called the Roll.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT Twila Simms be appointed as Recording Secretary."  
MOTION CARRIED

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT the May 1, 1990 Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Meade:

"THAT the May 7, 1990 Public Hearing minutes be approved

as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Bates:

"THAT the May 8, 1990 Special Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Harvey:

"THAT the May 8, 1990 Joint Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the May 14, 1990 Special Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Harvey:

"THAT the May 15, 1990 Joint Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT the May 15, 1990 Special Council Session minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT the May 15, 1990 Council Session Minutes be approved as circulated."  
MOTION CARRIED

#### LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

##### Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the request for paving of Birchgrove Drive, Sackville.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of Lands and Forests

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the Cemetery at the Halifax County Hospital Lands, Cole Harbour.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT this letter be received."

Deputy Warden Baker stated that they have said that they would refer this matter before and nothing was done.

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Deputy Warden Baker, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT another letter be written demanding that the cemetery be restored this summer and that the Department outline the plans for restoration by the next Council Session."  
MOTION CARRIED

Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning attempts for cable on Leslie Road in Lawrencetown.

It was moved by Councillor Randall, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT this letter be received."

Councillor Cooper stated that it was interesting that cable depends on water and sewer being provided and asked for clarification.

It was moved by Councillor Cooper, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT a letter be written asking for clarification on this matter."  
MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Meech stated that the cable company has to provide cable service where there is central water and sewer, and in any other case it is a matter of economics.



Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning truck traffic on Trunk 3.

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the water supply for Churchill Estates Subdivision.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT this letter be received."

Councillor Ball stated that the Board met concerning rate increases, yet the water is not better. He stated that it may be necessary for the municipality to look into taking over this water utility.

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT staff come back with a report concerning the taking over of this water utility."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of the Environment

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter with respect to the use of crusher dust on Kearney Lake Road.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning Highway 102 near Bedford.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Meade:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the paving of four streets under the 15 year policy.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the paving of three streets in Porters Lake.

It was moved by Councillor Eisenhauer, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Department of Transportation and Communications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning paving of Diggs Drive, East Preston.

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Halifax County - Bedford District School Board

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the integration policy.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Cooper stated that he was trying to find out how the situation with the new high school may be affected and whether that policy is holding up the development of the high school in the area.

It was moved by Councillor Reid, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT Council invite Dr. Morrison or a representative to a

future special session to explain this policy."  
MOTION CARRIED.

Halifax County Regional Library

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter thanking Council for their contribution.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Cavalier Drive School PTA

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter requesting construction of sidewalks on First Lake Drive in Lwr. Sackville.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT this letter be received."

Councillor Boutilier stated that this matter has been handled by the Sackville Community Committee and sidewalks will be going in. He stated that the SCC has established a good working relationship with the Department of Transportation.

MOTION CARRIED

Mary Vienneau

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning unsightly clutter of signs on the Prospect Road.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Deveaux suggested determining whether or not they are on highway property. Mr. Meech stated that they appear to be in the Department of Transportation's right of way.

Councillor Morgan stated that we should be careful what we support in that one cannot locate signs other than where you conduct business.



Deputy Warden Baker stated that one requires a permit to erect a sign.

Councillor Fralick stated that our Department of Transportation should be sent to PEI as they have the most beautiful signs in all of Atlantic Canada. He stated that some uniformity is necessary.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT this item be referred to staff for a report."  
MOTION CARRIED

Soil & Water Conservation Society of Metro Halifax

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning Shubie study.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Woodbine Mobile Home Park

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter from Mr. Havill concerning hook up.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Morgan:

"THAT this letter be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

Council agreed to let Mr. Havill speak to Council briefly about this subject.

Mr. Havill thanked Council for the opportunity to speak. He stated that there is a big concern that Woodbine has been held for ransom and used as a political football. He stated that there has been a lot of politics between Councillor Merrigan and the Sackville Councillors. He stated that the Health Department wanted this hooked up regardless. He stated that in the meantime Woodbine has not been given an operating permit. He stated that the Health Department has to give a letter of consent before the operating permit can be issued.

Mr. Havill stated that he did over \$200,000 worth of work last year to the Woodbine system. He stated that the Health Department won't give him the letter until the agreement for hook-up to Mill Cove is signed. He stated that he is expected to play a big part in this agreement, but has not seen it and does not know what is in

it. He stated that now the biggest problem is that people in the park are being denied permits to fix fences or make any improvements to their property. He stated that on top of this he has been summonsed to be charged for operating without a permit he can't get. He stated that this is unfair and unjust. He stated that he is willing to comply with anything. He stated that the Minister of Health will not give authorization on an annual basis.

Mr. Havill stated that over 600 people are in the park illegally and asked that Council give them their immediate attention.

Warden Lichter stated that Council is close to a breakthrough and are waiting for a reply from Ministers Leefe and Nantes. He stated that in the meantime the county is responsible to uphold the mobile home park by-law that was signed by the Minister of Municipal Affairs. He stated that Council is not in the position to withdraw the by-law.

Councillor MacDonald asked Mr. Havill if he had a permit for Springfield Estates to which he responded yes. Councillor MacDonald stated that this is a squeeze play on the part of the province and he did not appreciate it. He stated that it is ridiculous to have 600+ homes in the park and then say they can't operate it.

Councillor Merrigan asked if he had a permit for 1989. Mr. Havill stated yes it was a temporary one conditional on the work being done. Councillor Merrigan stated that it is unfair to charge Mr. Havill and asked if we could stop the prosecution. He stated that the people of Woodbine should not suffer, but neither should Council accept the situation.

Warden Lichter stated that this would be a matter for the solicitor and Department of Development. He stated that it should not be done at the direction of Council.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Morgan:

"THAT staff meet with Health and Environment for temporary approval for Woodbine, knowing that we are trying to sign the agreement."

Councillor Boutilier stated that discussions with the province state \$500,000 worth of work on the park to bring it up to standard and asked how that would impact on Mr. Havill.

Mr. Havill stated that he spoke to Mr. Nantes and he claims that whether there is some way to word the situation until the agreement in place is the question. He stated that the \$500,000 figure would not mean bankruptcy. He stated that this would require \$350,000

more worth of work on top of the \$200,000 worth done last year.

Councillor Boutilier stated that about one year ago when Mr. Havill's representatives came, they already had the joint-certificate and the situation has not changed.

Mr. Havill stated that the plant is improved over last year, but there has been so much politics involved. He stated that it has greatly improved over last year, but the Health Department has changed the rules form when the system was put in. He advised that sewage is also chlorinated.

Councillor Boutilier stated that it is political, and Sackville Councillors have been brought into this. He stated that this is because there is a serviceable boundary and those within the boundary or close to the edge are looking forward to services. He stated that there is also an agreement with Bedford and the capacity of Mill Cove is also a concern. He stated that other things have to be considered and there is no easy answer to the problem.

Mr. Havill stated that he was asking that Council respect the people of the park.

MOTION CARRIED

Beaverbank/Kinsac Sports Association Applications

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning a septic disposal system at the Sports Field and proposal for a liquor license.

Councillor Merrigan stated that the issue of the disposal system is before the Board of Health and not open for discussion at this time.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT the letter and petitions be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Merrigan stated that the residents are not interested in having a liquor license there at this point in time. He stated that he would like to have Council's support.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT a letter go to the Nova Scotia Liquor Licensing Board stating that we do not support a permanent liquor license for this facility until such time that a public



hearing is called in the Beaverbank/Kinsac area, if and when one is filed."

Councillor Cooper asked if the motion also included special licenses. Councillor Merrigan stated that it did not. Councillor Cooper withdrew his seconding.

The motion was then seconded by Councillor Meade.

Councillor Boutilier stated that the special licenses can be used on the weekend. He stated that various groups can apply to use the facility and groups could use the special licenses to get around having a permanent license. He stated that this is of concern. He stated that in the summertime, this could be done every weekend until the end of October. He stated that there was enough liquor taken to ballfields without encouraging sales there.

Councillor Randall stated that there are specific regulations governing the special license. He stated that Council should find out what those special regulations are.

Councillor Boutilier stated that part of the problem is that it is not one group applying, but many groups applying on a rotational basis.

Councillor Sutherland stated that the purpose of the occasional license is that it is occasional.

Deputy Warden Baker stated that he was surprised that the subject was even here before Council. He stated that Council should not support any license, permanent or otherwise. He stated that there are programs in the County advocated by Council against the use of drugs and alcohol. He stated that this subject does not have a place at Council. He stated that sports and alcohol do not mix.

Councillor Morgan stated that he, too, was surprised that this item came to Council. He stated that this is the only sports facility in Beaverbank and the new Sackville Stadium operates with a full time liquor license. He stated that he could not see the difference between Sackville and Beaverbank. He added that the main issue in the correspondence is the disposal system.

Warden Lichter stated that that item is before the Board of Health.

Councillor Boutilier stated that when someone applies for a liquor license, there is automatically a public hearing, but for these special licenses, there is not public hearing.

Councillor Merrigan stated that the community is concerned about a permanent liquor license, but the opinion is that the residents

do not object to a special occasion license. He stated that with licenses there is more control and less people are bringing liquor with them. He stated that if the residents do not want special licenses, then he would not support special licenses either.

Councillor Ball stated that special licenses can also evolve into "x" number of days per week, and there could be a beer garden there every weekend by alternating associations taking out a special license. He stated that this is a community issue and Council should not be involved. He added that this facility is a playing field and there are children involved.

Councillor Cooper stated that by this motion Council may be giving backhand approval to special licenses. He stated that liquor would be available to the spectators, while at the Sackville Stadium, liquor is not allowed in the spectator area. He stated that this may cause a flood of these situations. He stated that this should not be allowed on our ball diamonds because there is not enough control. He stated that liquor could cause problems during the game and this motion would set a bad precedent.

Councillor Deveaux stated that this is a community issue and should not be before Council.

Councillor Eisenhower stated that few issues are black and white. He stated that we either support this or don't and he stated that he would not support neither a permanent license nor a special one.

Councillor Merrigan stated that drinking is confined to the building. He stated that if there was a problem the Liquor Licensing Board would not re-issue another license.

MOTION CARRIED 10 FOR  
7 AGAINST

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT Council does not support special liquor licenses."

Councillor MacDonald stated that licenses give more control over the intake at functions.

Councillor Merrigan stated that this control is with the liquor licensing board.

Councillor Cooper stated that every weekend is not the purpose of the special license. He stated that he supported the motion and asked that the motion include the phrase, on county owned fields.

Councillor Bates stated that this was ridiculous as the liquor licensing board would decide.

Councillor Ball stated that we are not the regulators of liquor and the Liquor Licensing Board should decide.

Councillor Sutherland stated that there is no difference between a full time license and a special license if it goes on every weekend.

MOTION WITHDRAWN

Department of Housing

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter concerning the conveyance of parkland to the Municipality.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Halifax County Animal Control

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letter.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Council request that Marcel Roger Gignac be appointed as an Animal Control Officer/By-Law Enforcement Officer and also cancel the appointment of Angela Larocque."

MOTION CARRIED

Memorandum: PUB Hearing regarding Application by Acadian Lines Limited

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT this letter be received."

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor MacDonald volunteered to attend on behalf of the County.



## PAC REPORT

File No. DA-EP/CB-03-90-06 - Application by Joseph Conrad to Enter into a development agreement to permit the expansion of Conrad's Auto Salvage Operation, Located on Lot X-B of the F. J. Bissett Subdivision, on the Dyke Road in Cow Bay.

Mr. Kelly reviewed the letters concerning DA-EP/CB-03-90-06 which involves a decision as to whether or not a public hearing will be held relating to Conrad's Auto Salvage requesting deferral for one month.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT these two letters be received."  
MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT Council uphold the decision of PAC to deny the application."

Councillor Boutilier stated that the concern with the smelter operation is that there is no information to base it on. He stated that there is no data or information as it is a new type of affair. He stated that Councillor Deveaux held an area meeting and there was strong opinion that this is not wanted in the community. He stated that if this went to a public hearing, it would be the same outcome.

Councillor Deveaux stated that about a year and a half ago the applicants were going to upgrade their salvage yard and the issue of the smelter did not come to his attention until the development agreement and the matter was deferred. The applicant made a written presentation to PAC. The area ratepayers held a meeting at which there was over 100 people. There was not one person who supported the smelter operation. Councillor Deveaux stated he recognized one's right for a public hearing, but after the area meeting he felt that another public hearing would be an exercise in futility.

Councillor Ball stated that he supported Councillor Deveaux and added that there just isn't the expertise right now on this subject to deal with it.

MOTION CARRIED

File No. ZA-8&9-15-90 - Amendments to the Land Use By-Law for Planning Districts 8&9

It was moved by Councillor Randall, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT this item be dealt with at a public hearing, July 9, 1990 at 7:00 p.m."

MOTION CARRIED

File Nos: ZA-SA-27-89; ZA-CH/W-28-89; ZA-TLB-29-89; ZA-EP/CB-30-89; ZA-LM-31-89; ZA-1&3-32-89; ZA-PD5-33-89; ZA-F&S-34-89; ZA-8&9-35-89; ZA-FEN-53-89 - Amendments to the Land Use By-Laws for Sackville, Cole Harbour/Westphal; Timberlea/Lakeside/Beechville; Eastern Passage/Cow Bay; The Communities of North Preston, Lake Major, Lake Loon/Cherry Brook and East Preston; Planning Districts 1 and 3; 5; 14 and 17; 8 and 9; 15, 18, and 19

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT a public hearing be held on this issue on July 9, 1990 at 7:00 p.m."

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT this item be deferred pending clarification of accessory building."

MOTION CARRIED

#### SUPPLEMENTARY PAC REPORT

##### Illegal Dumping, Harrietsfield

Mr. Kelly reviewed the report.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT Council write to Metro Authority and the Solid Waste Management Advisory committee expressing concern about the increased tipping charges which are believed to have a direct effect on the increased dumping activity;

AND ALSO THAT Council write to the Department of Environment and Health requesting that a moratorium be placed on dumping in the Harrietsfield area."

Councillor Ball stated that northern Harrietsfield is becoming a commercial dumping ground. He stated that materials are being dumped close to the watershed area and it is affecting people's wells. He stated that there seems to be some question of the

legality of the dumping of the so-called "clean" materials.

Councillor Eisenhower stated that he agreed. He stated that contractors who are law abiding have a problem in the tendering process because after they include the tipping fees, they are not competitive. He stated that the province should clarify where the dumping should take place.

Councillor MacDonald stated that a condition of the tender should include the tipping fees.

Councillor Bates questioned requesting a moratorium on illegal dumping.

Councillor Ball stated that there is the question of legality of dumping these "clean" construction materials. He stated that he is requesting the moratorium whether it is legal or not.

Warden Lichter suggested also getting a staff report on this item.

Councillor Ball stated that it should be done as soon as possible.

MOTION CARRIED

File No. ZA-Sa-01-90-21 - Amendment to the C-2 (General Business) Zone of the Land Use By-law for Sackville to Permit Existing Transport Operations in the C-2 (General Business) Zone

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT a public hearing be held on this issue on July 30, 1990, at 7:00 p.m."

Councillor Cooper asked that the background information be distributed to Council immediately.

MOTION CARRIED

BUILDING INSPECTOR'S REPORT - LESSER SETBACKS

Eric Harnish

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT Council approve the lesser setback of 25 feet from lot. Property located at Lot CT, Lands of Stelman Isenor, Lantz, Hants Co., N.S. as an error was made in measuring when pouring the footings."



MOTION CARRIED.

Ritchie MacInnis

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT Council approve the lesser setback of 13.5 feet, Lot 6B, Lands of Gerrard and Newcombe, Pope's Harbour, as footings were placed in error."

MOTION CARRIED

DATE FOR MINOR VARIANCE APPEAL

Mr. Kelly reviewed the request from R. W. Slauenwhite MV 22-02-90.

It was moved by Councillor Poirier, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT the date for this minor variance appeal be July 17th, 1990 at 7:00 p.m."

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Kelly reviewed the request from F. Hemeon MV 16-22-90.

It was moved by Councillor Boutilier, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT the date for this minor variance appeal be July 17, 1990, at 7:00 p.m."

MOTION CARRIED

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Shubenacadie Lakes: Planning/Pollution Control Study

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Deputy Warden Baker:

"THAT Council approve the awarding the contract for research analysis of the Shubenacadie Lakes to the Centre for Water Resource Studies (CWRS) in the amount of \$37,429."

MOTION CARRIED

Request for Grants:

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Bates:

"THAT the following grants be approved by Council:

- a) District Capital Grant, District 1, \$700.00, for

- improvements to County of Halifax Parkland in Allen Heights;
- b) District Parkland Grant, District 10, and General Parkland Grant, \$1000 each for Little Harbour Ball Field.
- c) General County Parkland Grant, \$10,000, recreational facilities, phase I, Hatchet Lake.
- d) General County Parkland Grant, in the amount of \$1,850 and a District 9 Parkland Grant in the amount of \$1,800 for improvements, County owned parkland, Restful Vista Subdivision, Gaetz Brook.
- e) General County Parkland Fund, \$2,000 and District 9 Parkland Fund, \$2,000 for expansion of recreation building at Nathan Smith Park (County owned, East Chezzetcook)."
- MOTION CARRIED

Loan Request:

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Boutilier:

"THAT the following loan request be approved:

\$28,000 District loan for the purpose of construction of a tennis court in Herring Cove. The loan, plus interest, is repayable over a maximum 10 year period with Council reserving the right to levy an area rate in default of principal and/or interest repayment."

MOTION CARRIED

Issuing Resolution, Debenture

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Council approve an issuing resolution for debenture as per attached copy."

MOTION CARRIED

Erection of Sign, Tribute to Murdock MacKay

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Eisenhauer:

"THAT Council approve that for the second sign to be erected in Memorial of Murdock MacKay, that the Municipality provide funding in the amount of \$1,200 for the sign and also the text design."

MOTION CARRIED

Request for Tax Exemption

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT Council approve an amendment to the Tax Exemption By-law, Schedule "B", to provide a property tax reduction on property assessed to the United Baptist Church at Bayside from the Commercial tax rate to the residential tax rate."

MOTION CARRIED

Halifax County Regional Rehabilitation Centre By-laws

It was moved by Deputy Warden Baker, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT Council approve the revised Halifax County Regional Rehabilitation Centre by-laws."

Deputy Warden Baker stated that in the press he was quoted as voting against the By-law in Executive. He stated that he was not against it, but rather the fact that Council would just be rubber stamping such an important document.

MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

East Preston Recreation Centre

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT Council approve the request by the East Preston Recreation Association to lease the subject property for a 10 year term with an option to renew for an additional 10 years at \$1.00 per year."

MOTION CARRIED

Council took a 5 minute recess.

REPORT OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT this report be received."

MOTION CARRIED

MILL COVE PLANT STUDY