

Councillor Cooper asked for clarification with regards to oversized and overweight material.

Mr. Wdowiak stated that there is a definition for weight limitation. He stated that this refers to garbage. He stated that this applies to such items as stoves and fridges which are also considered garbage.

Councillor Cooper asked why would 24 hours be allowed for a missed pickup. He stated that they should be required to pick up missed garbage within the same collection day.

Mr. Wdowiak stated that they are recommending 24 hours recognizing that in areas that are further removed such as rural areas pickup may not be practical on the same day if there is difficulty in contacting the contractor. He stated that if every effort would be made to have it collected on the same day if possible. He stated that in 14 out of 16 areas the bids received were lower than what the county is presently paying.

Councillor Ball asked if the Set Aside Program applied to garbage collection.

Mr. Meech stated that it wasn't.

Councillor Meade stated that he felt that Green Waste Systems tendered in bad faith.

Deputy Warden Sutherland stated that in future the county should look at favouring the local small contractors.

Councillor Randall asked if there was a termination clause in the contract.

Mr. Crooks stated that there is a revised termination clause. He stated that it gives the Municipality more latitude and discretion with respect to terminating a contract where there is dissatisfaction with a contractor.

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Peters:

"THAT THE MOTION BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE THAT AS GREEN WASTE SYSTEMS BACKED OUT OF THEIR TENDER FOR AREAS 1 AND 2 THAT HALIFAX COUNTY DO NOT ACCEPT THEIR BID FOR AREA 4".

Councillor Ball stated that his concern with Green Waste System was whether or not they could be legally bound to honour their bid.

Mr. Crooks stated that the County is entitled to accept the bids submitted. He stated that a bid bond provides security in the

event that a bidder is not prepared to live by a bid. He stated that the County is in a position to accept bids which are submitted in response to invitation for proposals and where a qualified bid is accepted a contract is formed which the County is entitled to enforce.

Councillor Ball asked how the County could in future prevent a company to bid on something and then pick and choose after the fact. He stated that every contractor was given all the facts and figures in the criteria.

Warden Lichter stated that Mr. Crooks would look into the matter.

Councillor Meade asked if there was a time limit, during the day, for picking up the garbage.

Mr. Wdowiak stated that the garbage should be picked up before 3:00 p.m. except in area 14 where the time is 3:30 p.m.

Warden Lichter stated that if the amendment is approved then Green Waste Systems is eliminated from any of the areas.

3 COUNCILLORS IN FAVOUR OF THE AMENDMENT

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

ORIGINAL MOTION CARRIED

EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Warden Lichter stated that he had taken the issue to the Executive Committee and the Executive Committee recommends that council approve an amount of \$5,628.00 for the remainder of the fiscal year from December 1, 1991 to March 31, 1992 in order to include employees of Ocean View Manor and the Halifax County Rehab in the Employee Assistance Program.

He stated that Council approximately two years ago approved a counselling program for county employees.

It was moved by Councillor Cooper, seconded by Deputy Warden Sutherland:

"THAT COUNCIL APPROVE AN AMOUNT OF \$5,628.00 FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE FISCAL YEAR, FROM DECEMBER 1, 1991 TO MARCH 31, 1992, IN ORDER TO INCLUDE EMPLOYEES OF OCEAN VIEW MANOR AND THE REHAB CENTRE IN THE EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM".

Councillor Ball stated that the volunteer firefighters are also members of the Municipality who put their life on the line on a daily basis and would like to have them incorporated into this

program.

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Rankin:

"THAT THE MUNICIPALITY LOOK AT INCORPORATING THE VOLUNTEER FIRE SERVICE IN AN EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM AND THAT MONIES BE MADE AVAILABLE, WHEN NECESSARY, FOR INDIVIDUAL COUNCILLING TO PARTICULAR FIRE FIGHTERS AND/OR THEIR FAMILIES".

Warden Lichter asked Councillor Ball if what he was looking for in this motion was a study.

Councillor Ball stated that is what he was requesting and maybe see it incorporated in the future budget. He stated that he was asking for individual counselling when required.

MOTION CARRIED

CATS - COUNCILLOR DEVEAUX

Councillor Deveaux stated that this issue has been ongoing for the past couple of months and for various reasons it has been deferred. He stated that he would like to have the item deferred once again as he needs time to puruse a document prepared by the solicitor and come up with a recommendation or solution that will be acceptable to council.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT THE CAT BY-LAW ISSUE BE DEFERRED AND BROUGHT BACK TO COUNCIL AT THE FIRST SESSION IN FEBRUARY, 1992".

MOTION CARRIED

APPROVED DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANTS

It was moved by Councillor Harvey, seconded by Deputy Warden Sutherland:

"THAT THE REPORT ON THE APPROVED CAPITAL GRANTS FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER, 1991 BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

EMERGENCY ITEMS

Metro Transit Dockyard Bus - Councillor Ball

Councillor Ball stated that Metro Transit through route review is

instituting the phasing in of a new route system in District #5 and the Spryfield area. He stated that they had failed to inform the public. He stated that the dockyard workers were told that the route would no longer include the dockyard after November 21, 1991. He stated that the bus leaves Herring Cove at 6:35 a.m. with the intent of being at the dockyard on time for the people who work there. He stated that dockyard had made adjustments for that purpose. He stated there is one run to the dockyard in the morning and one run from the dockyard in the evening.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT A LETTER BE SENT TO MR. CHRIS PRENTISS AT METRO TRANSIT SUGGESTING THAT THE ELIMINATION OF THAT ROUTE BE DELAYED UNTIL MORE STUDY IS DONE".

MOTION CARRIED

E.M.O. - Councillor Peters

Councillor Peters stated that as a result of the rains there was a major flood in Wellington on November 12, 1991. She stated that one of the private roads was washing out and there were a number of bridges at risk. She stated she did not know who to call to acquire the needed sandbags, sand and manpower as this is a private road and she could not call the Department of Transportation. She asked Mr. Meech if the County has an EMO program.

Mr. Meech stated that there is an EMO program and that Mr. Turpin is the staff person responsible for coordinating the EMO.

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT A STAFF REPORT BE MADE AVAILABLE ON THE SUBJECT OF EMO".

Mr. Meech stated that money had been made available in the 1991 budget to consider reviewing and updating the EMO plan. He stated that he will have a staff report prepared and put on the next council agenda.

Councillor Peters asked if a contact person can be made available in the interim. She stated that she would like to commend the community of Wellington and the fire departments of Wellington and LWF in particular Deputy Chief Mike Miller and Chief Rick Boyce.

Councillor Ball stated that Mr. Turpin has 35 fire departments that he has to coordinate. He stated that the County should seriously look at resource staff to allow some of these things to take place.

Councillor MacDonald stated that there should be an EMO Committee to keep the EMO plan current.

Mr. Meech stated that it could be done through the Executive Committee.

Councillor Cooper stated that the county should consider diversification as Halifax County is over such a large area and some problems are not common to all parts of the Municipality.

MOTION CARRIED

Proposed Toll Increases, Halifax Bridge Commission - Councillor Richards

Councillor Richards stated that it has been announced by the chairman of the Halifax Bridge Commission that the Commission is seeking another fare increase. He stated this increase comes within two years of the last one. He stated that the request is 50% for token users and 100% for change users.

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT HALIFAX COUNTY ASK THE BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES NOT TO GRANT ANY INCREASE TO THE HALIFAX-DARTMOUTH BRIDGE COMMISSION AT THIS TIME DUE TO THE FINANCIAL HARDSHIP IT WILL CAUSE THE REGULAR COMMUTERS".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT HALIFAX COUNTY WRITE A LETTER TO THE MINISTER, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS, AND ASK THAT HE REVIEW AND CONSIDER THE TAKEOVER OF THE MANAGEMENT AND FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE HALIFAX-DARTMOUTH BRIDGE COMMISSION".

MOTION CARRIED

Presentation Re: Atlantic Aviation Museum - Councillor Peters

Councillor Peters stated that the museum is a volunteer facility in the tourism information bureau by the airport hotel.

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT SOMETIME IN JANUARY THE ATLANTIC AVIATION MUSEUM BE ALLOWED TO MAKE A PRESENTATION TO COUNCIL".

MOTION CARRIED

Rescindment of Motion - Councillor Brill

It was moved by Councillor Brill served "Notice of Motion" to rescind the motion of September 3, 1991 authorizing the buidling a skateboard park in Sackville.

Mr. Crooks stated that the notice of the intention to rescind be given at this meeting and then the motion to be dealt with at the next council session in order that all councillor have notice of the rescinding of the motion.

Councillor Meade asked if a contract has already been awarded for this project.

Mr. Markisino stated that it has already been tendered but a hold has been put on the project and Halifax County will pay for work that has already been completed.

ADDITION OF ITEMS TO THE DECEMBER 3, 1991 COUNCIL SESSION

Todds Island Sawdust Pile - Councillor Meade
DOT - Camper Down Road - Councillor Ball
DOT - Oldham - Goffs - Councillor Peters

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Harvey:

"THAT COUNCIL MOVE IN-CAMERA".

MOTION CARRIED

CHERRY BROOK WATER TENDER

It was moved by Councillor Harvey, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT COUNCIL ADOPT OPTION 2 OF THE STAFF REPORT RE:
CHERRY BROOK WATER CONTRACT PROVIDING THAT CONTRACT 1
BE ESTABLISHED AS A SEPARATE CONTRACT UNDER THE SET
ASIDE PROGRAM AND FURTHER THAT CONTRACT 2 RETAIN
CONTRACT COMPLIANCE PROVISIONS".

MOTION CARRIED

SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION

November 7, 1991

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lichter
Councillor Meade
Councillor Rankin
Councillor Fralick
Councillor Holland
Councillor Ball
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor Bates
Councillor Adams
Councillor Randall
Councillor Bayers
Councillor Smiley
Councillor Taylor
Councillor Peters
Councillor Brill
Councillor Giffin
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Boutilier
Deputy Warden Sutheland
Councillor Richards
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Cooper

REGRETS: Councillor Harvey
Councillor Merrigan
Councillor Snow

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT JULIA HORNCastle BE APPOINTED AS RECORDING
SECRETARY".

MOTION CARRIED

MATRIX - LANDFILL SITING

Warden Lichter welcomed Jerry Eisner, Porter Dillon and George Hardy to Council.

Mr. Jerry Eisner made the presentation. He stated that the actual criteria work was done in from March through October, 1991. He stated that the reports contain the field review of eleven sites.

He stated some examples of criteria used was 3,000 metres or 3 kilometres from a private residence. He stated other issues such as flood plains, cemeteries, endangered species, designated environmental areas, etc. were looked at. He stated that the work was done by plotting and by using field data. He stated that they

were looking for sites. He stated that most of the work done from March to September was looking at areas to be avoided. He stated that they are now looking at sites where a landfill can be.

He stated that they are looking for three potential sites. He stated that the landfill and the incinerator will not be on the same site. He stated that the landfill will have a direct access from a one hundred series highway and will be 3 kilometres from a permanent residence. He stated that they had avoided the areas where they felt there was more risk.

He stated that the Matrix is an evaluative tool for comparative work. He stated it does not select sites. He stated the site will ultimately be selected through the Metropolitan Authority. He stated that it is just a tool for comparison as there are many issues to work with such as social, land use, environment, etc. He stated that the Matrix is a way of weighing them after the avoidance criteria has been applied.

He stated that the Matrix is not simple because the job it has to do is so complex. He stated that there are twelve points under social concerns, twenty five under natural environment, eleven under physical environment, eleven under land use, two under heritage and archaeology, and nine under economic. He stated that areas that would be highly sensitive have been avoided.

Councillor Rankin stated that there are economic considerations. He asked if it was endorsed by Halifax County Municipal council.

Warden Lichter stated that it was endorsed by the Metro Authority.

Councillor Rankin stated that the County of Halifax, as the recipient of the landfill site, should be very careful that this is fair to the public. He stated that he would like to see this referred back to council even though it may delay a decision. He stated that he would like to see a ratification process.

Warden Lichter stated that this would be a request for Metro Authority, not for Halifax County council.

Councillor Rankin stated that Metro Authority asks Municipal Councils to review recommendations and therefore not expected to be mute on these recommendations.

Warden Lichter stated that the original siting criteria was approved by all four councils. He stated one criteria that was approved was 500 metres away from the nearest permanent dwelling, 5 kilometres from a 100 series highway and no more than 50 kilometres from the centre of generation. He stated that by having adjusted the 500 metres to 3,000 metres the 5 kilometres to 10 kilometres are positive moves on the part of Metro Authority. He stated that the 50 kilometre reduction to 40 kilometres may be

viewed as a negative one. He stated that people are prepared to pay to have waste management.

Warden Lichter stated that at Metro Authority he has asked that each site be judged on each issue individually. He stated that he has asked for a report to Metro Authority indicating how many scores of one (1) each site has received. He stated that if there is a big difference between what is based on the Matrix and the number of ones received based only on the environmental and social, etc. then this might flag one site as being better suited than another.

NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT

Councillor MacDonald, Chairman, Nominating Committee gave the report. He stated that the committee tried to mix new councillors with those who had previously served on these committees. He stated that with regards to Metropolitan Authority the committee decided to refer back to council for a decision.

Warden Lichter asked that the council approve the nominating committee report with the exception of the Metro Authority which is not finalized. He stated then council could concentrate on Metro Authority.

Councillor Cooper asked if the nominating committee gave consideration for members from the Westphal/Cole Harbour area on the Library Board.

Councillor MacDonald stated that with regards to the Library Committee choices had to be made.

Councillor Bayers stated that he understood that there would be a representative on the Police Committee for every area that had a police detachment.

Warden Lichter stated that Council had passed a resolution that there would be two councillors from the Western, Eastern, Sackville, and Cole Harbour/Westphal areas.

Councillor Bayers stated he felt two councillors from the Eastern Shore be on the Police Committee as there are two police detachments in that area.

Councillor Brill asked about being a urban appointment on the rural services. He stated he would prefer to be on the county Industrial Commission because he has an industrial park in his district.

Councillor Meade stated that a council should not spend six to eight years on one committee and he would prefer to be on the Executive Committee.

Councillor Deveaux stated that he has been on PAC for a number of years and would prefer to go on the Executive but he would serve on PAC and Councillor Meade could change with him and serve on the Executive.

Warden Lichter stated that this change could be made if council ratifies it.

Councillor Taylor asked if the terms on these committees were for a three year period.

Warden Lichter stated that each are for one year terms. He stated that at the end of a year the Nominating Committee sits again and make recommendations to council. He stated that at that time council either approves the changes or modifies them.

Mr. Meech stated that in the case of the Industrial Commission certain positions are for a longer period of time than one year.

Warden Lichter asked how many seats had to be guaranteed for Sackville for non-council members.

Mr. Meech stated there were three.

Councillor Deveaux stated that with regards to the Police Committee, Eastern Passage is part of the Cole Harbour/Westphal R.C.M.P.

Councillor Bayers stated that he would like to serve on the Police Committee.

Councillor Fralick stated that the Police Committee should be made up of twelve or left as is.

Warden Lichter stated that for the time being to deal with the Nominating Committee's report concerning the Police Committee as is. He stated that before council does anything more with rating that committee it would examine the possibility to what extent expansion of that committee would be fair.

Councillor Richards stated that the motion he presented in council was a very well thought out motion. He stated that he felt with the issues confronting Halifax County in terms of policing, a new structured committee was necessary for Halifax County to forge ahead. He stated that if Halifax County procrastinates there could be very serious cost implications for the Municipality. He stated that he felt that the proposed structure was in the best interests of all the Municipality.

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT BE APPROVED WITH

THE EXCEPTION OF THE METROPOLITAN AUTHORITY COMMITTEE".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Bayers, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT MOTION BE AMENDED TO READ WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE POLICE COMMITTEE".

Councillor Deveaux stated he could not see where another week or two would make much difference.

Councillor Cooper stated that he was speaking against the motion including the Police Committee. He stated that the process that Halifax County is going through and the expenses being looked at with regards to policing is going to be very important to the Municipality in the next while. He stated that the committee was set up to deal with overall policing items not just those dealing with individual areas.

8 IN FAVOUR
13 AGAINST
AMENDMENT DEFEATED

It was moved by Councillor Bayers, seconded by Councillor Randall:

"THAT THE POLICE COMMITTEE HAVE ITS FIRST MEETING AND EVALUATE ITS JOB AND THEN RECOMMEND WHAT TO DO ABOUT INCLUDING ONE OR TWO MORE MEMBERS BEING INCLUDED ON THE POLICE COMMITTEE".

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Boutilier stated that he felt the Police Committee should be a part of the Executive Committee.

Warden Lichter stated that he has to be on Metro Authority. He stated that Councillors Bates, MacDonald, Meade, Rankin, Holland and Snow indicated interest. He stated that there are two positions to be filled other than his position.

Councillor Boutilier stated any new councillor going in will be lacking a lot of education and background. He stated a new member would not be able to go in and pick up the experience gained by the members over the last two years. He stated that he would support the two current members to go back in. He stated that in this instance a change of face won't necessarily be the greatest advantage. He stated the current members have worked long and hard and there is a lot of information and work done.

Councillor MacDonald asked if there was anyone who would like to

drop off that committee.

Councillor Meade withdrew his name from the Metropolitan Authority Committee list.

Councillor Rankin withdrew his name from the Metropolitan Authority Committee list.

Warden Lichter stated that it would take time for a new councillor to study and become familiar with the amount of material that Metro Authority has already gone through. He stated that the process would not be delayed as a result of new members coming on the Authority. He stated that the present landfill will close in June, 1994.

Councillor Meade stated that the landfill site has to go somewhere and that is why he had his name on the list to represent his area. He stated he wanted to have a say on what's going in there.

Councillor MacDonald stated that he has been on Metropolitan Authority for twelve years. He stated that he felt he was committed to stay there until the landfill in Sackville closes.

A vote was taken and Councillor MacDonald and Councillor Bates were elected to the Metropolitan Authority.

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Holland:

"THAT COUNCIL MOVE IN-CAMERA".

MOTION CARRIED

PUBLIC HEARING

NOVEMBER 25, 1991

PRESENT WERE:

Warden Lichter
Councillor Meade
Councillor Rankin
Councillor Fralick
Councillor Holland
Councillor Ball
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor Bates
Councillor Adams
Councillor Randall
Councillor Bayers
Councillor Smiley
Councillor Taylor
Councillor Peters
Councillor Snow
Councillor Merrigan
Councillor Brill
Councillor Giffin
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Boutilier
Councillor Harvey
Deputy Warden Sutherland
Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT WERE: G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
F. Crooks, Municipal Solicitor

=====
The meeting opened at 7:00 p.m. with the Lord's Prayer. Mr. Kelly called the role.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Snow:

"THAT SANDRA SHUTE BE APPOINTED AS RECORDING SECRETARY".

MOTION CARRIED.

1. RA-FEN-14-91-18 - APPLICATION BY PUBLIC WORKS CANADA TO REZONE LOT 91-1 OF THE LANDS OF LESTER HUBLEY AND PART OF LOT C OF THE LANDS OF HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN, UPPER TANTALLON, FROM R-1 (SINGLE UNIT DWELLING) ZONE TO P-2 (COMMUNITY FACILITY) ZONE IN ORDER TO PERMIT AN R.C.M.P. STATION ON THE PROPERTY.

The Staff Report was presented by Jim Donovan who advised that Public Works Canada had applied to rezone Lot 91-1 of the lands of Lester Hubley and part of Lot C owned by the Crown in Upper Tantallon from R-1 (single unit dwelling zone) to P-2 (community

facility) in order to permit the construction of an R.C.M.P Detachment building on the site.

Mr. Donovan said that the property under consideration consists of approximately 2.87 acres and was located directly opposite the Hubley Centre commercial complex which houses a Sobeys store at the Hammonds Plains intersection. The property in question is also at the entrance to the Haliburton Hills residential community which is located directly off Flat Lake Drive. Subject to rezoning, Public Works Canada has indicated that it intends to acquire this parcel of land from the Crown and Lester Hubley and construct a building of approximately 8,500 sq. ft. which will be used as an R.C.M.P. Detachment.

Mr. Donovan pointed out three inaccuracies in the Staff Report of a minor nature, which did not affect the advertisement of the Public Hearing indicating Council's intention to consider rezoning. Firstly, the arena location for the St. Margarets Bay area was not located as indicated on map 3 on page 6. It was actually a little bit further than that away in the rectangular-shaped parcel near the north arrow on the map. Secondly, the building under consideration for construction was actually 8,500 sq. ft. and not 6,500 sq. ft. as indicated on the first page of the Staff Report. He stated that the 8,500 sq. ft. floor area was consistent, however, with the building footprint that was shown on figure 1 of page 7. Thirdly, the frontage of the site along Hammonds Plains Road was actually 490 ft., not 413.84 feet as indicated on figure 1 of page 7.

Mr. Donovan advised that the building being considered for construction by Public Works Canada consisted of a one-storey building finished with brick or metal veneer or a combination of these materials and would be oriented towards Flat Lake with landscaping along Hammonds Plains Road. Access to the site is to be provided by way of an existing right-of-way access located off Flat Lake Drive.

Mr. Donovan showed slides to illustrate.

He advised that Lot C and Lot 91-1 were both located within the residential designation, according to the Generalized Future Land Use Map of the Municipal Planning Strategy for Planning Districts 15, 18 and 19. The residential designation is intended to protect and safeguard the low density residential environment which has been applied to the larger, suburban-type residential areas such as Haliburton Hills.

Mr. Donovan advised that the property was currently zoned R-1 (single unit dwelling zone) which does not permit police stations. In recognition of the policy intent of the residential designation to protect low density residential areas, institutional uses such as police and fire stations would have to be considered by rezoning

but some consideration is given to criteria whereby an assessment of scale, architectural finish and the relationship of the building to adjacent residential uses must be considered. As is the case with any rezoning application, the general criteria of Policy P-121 would also apply which relates to the overall compatibility of the project with the general intent of the planning strategy. The site is centrally located between Hammonds Plains and St. Margarets Bay and has access to Hammonds Plains Road via Flat Lake Drive. The Department of Transportation and Communications has indicated that the site meets its minimum stopping sight distance requirements for commercial lots, which is the type of criteria used to evaluate this type of proposal. Since the property is a corner lot, the police station should not generate additional traffic through the residential neighbourhood. The intersection itself, on which the site is located, has adequate lighting and good visibility along both roadways. Since there are no major community facilities such as schools nearby, the proposal should not adversely impact on pedestrian traffic. All of these are considerations that the planning strategy indicates should be addressed when evaluating a proposal.

Mr. Donovan said that on the basis of the preliminary drawings submitted by Public Works Canada, the proposed building would be low profile with architectural design and finish which is in keeping with the adjacent residential area. Its orientation towards the lake should serve to emphasize its community function. In terms of physical capability of the site to accommodate the development, the Department of Health and Fitness has evaluated the proposed septic system from the point of view of the initial construction of the building plus a possible expansion at some future date and has indicated that the proposed design of the septic system meets its requirements. The Department of Engineering and Works has indicated that it will require a sedimentation erosion control plan at the time a building permit is applied for. On the basis of the site plan submitted, the application meets or is capable of meeting all of the parking setback requirements of a P-2 zone, which is being applied for in this instance.

Mr. Donovan said it was the opinion of Staff that the proposal was consistent with the strategy and satisfied the intent of the criteria for rezoning to permit a P-2 zone on the site for this use and it was the recommendation that the application should be approved.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

Councillor Boutilier said that whereas Public Works Canada was going to acquire the land, would it be through purchase or would the lands be turned over by Mr. Hubley. Mr. Donovan responded that originally it was his understanding that the lands would be donated

but he was since told that they would be acquiring this land through purchase from Mr. Hubley.

Councillor Fralick asked if there has been any correspondence in favour or opposing this application. Mr. Donovan advised no.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

Mr. Jim Purvis, an architect with Public Works Canada, spoke in favour of the rezoning. He said he was involved with site selection and with environmental assessment. He said the reason Public Works Canada was in favour of this site was that it was very close to Highway 103. It does not represent any hazard to school children when the R.C.M.P. are rushing to get to the highway quickly. It was also a high profile site which should meet the R.C.M.P.'s criteria. He pointed out that the modern series of buildings that were being built since late 1970's were high quality buildings. The sites would be fully landscaped and the buildings represent economic construction activity and a permanent source of high-paying jobs in the community.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

Councillor Boutilier asked if there was a purchase price figure released for what Public Works Canada intends to pay for the parcel of land. Mr. Purvis advised that Public Works Canada was able to pay \$75,000 without going to Treasury Board for approval, which was a long, time-consuming process, and that is the amount they are paying for the property.

Councillor Peters asked if there would be holding cells which were totally secured, ceilings as well. Mr. Purvis advised there would be steel plate on the ceilings but that the cells would only be used overnight.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

None

DECISION OF COUNCIL

Councillor Giffin advised that this facility has been needed for a very long time and that he felt everybody in the area was pleased the facility was going there. He said he had been able to ascertain that \$170,000 was going to be spent for road work and lighting at that corner next spring and that the R.C.M.P. had indicated that the building would be started next spring as well. He said there was a 10-unit seniors home to be built on the piece of land past the one in question, using the same roadway.

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT APPLICATION NO. RA-FEN-14-91-18 - APPLICATION BY PUBLIC WORKS CANADA TO REZONE LOT 91-1 OF THE LANDS OF LESTER HUBLEY AND PART OF LOT C, OWNED BY THE CROWN, IN UPPER TANTALLON, FROM R-1 (SINGLE UNIT DWELLING) ZONE TO P-2 (COMMUNITY FACILITY) ZONE, BE APPROVED BY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL".

MOTION CARRIED.

2. APPLICATION NO. ZA-1&3-49-91 - APPLICATION BY THE MUNICIPALITY TO AMEND THE LAND USE BY-LAW FOR PLANNING DISTRICTS 1 & 3 IN ORDER TO INCLUDE A DEFINITION OF CAMPGROUND.

The Staff Report was presented by Mitch Dickey who advised that this was an application by the Municipality to amend the Land Use By-Law for Planning Districts 1 & 3 to include a definition of campground. The purpose of the amendment was to clarify the intent of the Planning Strategy regarding the regulation of campgrounds and similar uses.

Mr. Dickey advised that campgrounds were considered in District 1 & 3 only under the Development Agreement provisions of the Planning Act but there was currently no explanation in either the Municipal Planning Strategy or the Land Use By-law as to what was considered to be a campground. This amendment was initiated after concerns were raised by a local Councillor regarding tourist cabins and whether or not such a development could proceed by right. Within the plan area, three of the land use designations support the development of tourism related uses, particularly accommodation uses such as motels and campgrounds. Notwithstanding this, concerns were voiced during plan preparation that a use such as campground may have negative impacts on surrounding land uses and, accordingly, it was determined that campgrounds would be permitted only by Development Agreement. Motels, however, were permitted uses within a number of zones and where tourist cabins fall into these regulations was not presently clear. It was not specifically mentioned in either the Municipal Planning Strategy or the By-law.

Mr. Dickey advised that presently, if presented with an application, the County Development Officer would issue the development permit in any zone that permitted motels. This interpretation would be based largely on certain similarities between cabins and motels, primarily that they both provide a certain level of interior accommodation in a fixed structure. He said, however, that there are significant differences between these uses. Motels generally consist of only one or two buildings while the number of buildings in a tourist cabin development was related to the number of units provided and the buildings may be scattered widely over the site or clustered close together. Tourist cabins tended to be seasonal in operation while motels would often be open year round.

He said that the construction of a number of tourist cabins on a site may require large-scale alterations for construction and installation of individual septic systems. This increases the likelihood of such developments affecting abutting properties, roads and watercourses. Traffic circulation and access is also a concern where a number of cabins would be distributed over a lot as opposed to a motel which, in most cases, would have a clearly defined parking area and road access. Tourist cabins, because they more intensively use the site, would have a higher potential for litter and noise problems on surrounding properties.

Mr. Dickey stated that in view of these concerns, tourist cabins should be included with campgrounds under provisions for Development Agreements. This would ensure that such proposals are fully addressed on a site specific basis, resulting in as few negative impacts as possible on surrounding uses. The best means of doing this is adding a definition of "campground" to the Land Use By-law and this definition, which is shown in Appendix A to the Staff Report, includes campgrounds in the traditional sense, tourist cabins, tents, campers and recreational motor vehicles. This would ensure that all uses similar to campgrounds would be permitted only under the Development Agreement provisions of the Planning Strategy.

Mr. Dickey said that, accordingly, staff recommended that the Amendment attached as Appendix A to the Staff Report be approved.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

Councillor Fralick asked if what this amendment meant was that campgrounds and cabins required Development Agreement.

Mr. Dickey replied that currently the situation was that campgrounds could only be considered under Development Agreement whereas the interpretation by the Development Officer with regard to tourist cabins was that they were permitted by right in any zone which permits a motel.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

None

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

None

DECISION BY COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT THE LAND USE BY-LAW FOR DISTRICTS 1 AND 3 BE AMENDED BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING TO SECTION 2:

- '2.7 (a) CAMPGROUND MEANS THE COMMERCIAL, INSTITUTIONAL OR NON-PROFIT USE OF LAND ON WHICH ACCOMMODATIONS FOR TEMPORARY OCCUPANCY ARE LOCATED OR MAY BE PLACED, INCLUDING TENTS, TOURIST CABINS, CAMPER TRAILERS, AND RECREATIONAL VEHICLES, AND WHICH IS PRIMARILY SEASONAL IN OPERATIONS.'

MOTION CARRIED.

3. RA-F&S-15-91-17 - APPLICATION BY THE MUNICIPALITY TO CORRECT MAPPING ERRORS BY REZONING PROPERTIES LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF THE FALL RIVER ROAD AND HIGHWAY NO. 2 INTERSECTION, IN FALL RIVER, FROM R-1B (SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL) ZONE TO C-2 (COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL) ZONE

The Staff Report was presented by Jan Skora who advised that the application had been initiated by the Municipality in order to rezone four properties located on the northwest corner of the intersection of the Fall River/Windsor Junction Road and Highway No. 2 from R-1B (Suburban Residential) zone to C-2 (Community Commercial) zone.

Mr. Skora said the intention was to correct the zoning map in order to reflect the intent of the Planning Strategy for this area. He said the area to be considered for rezoning consisted of four properties - the property to the north owned by Mrs. Marjorie McCulloch (Map 3, page 5 indicates the property was owned by Bob MacDonald Insurance but this was an error) - to the southwest, property owned by Beverly Smith - further south, property owned by Richard Sanderson - and the corner property to the southeast owned by Bob MacDonald Insurance Company. The property across the street, to the south, was occupied by Esso gas station and to the east there was a retail store. He said that three of the four properties were residential at the present time, one commercial.

Mr. Skora showed slides to illustrate.

He said that this area was located in Planning Districts 14 and 17 within the Community Commercial designation. The intention of the designation was to encourage village style commercial development in acceptable locations in the Plan area, according to Policy P-94 of the Plan. The C-2 Community Commercial zone was established as a base zone in this designation - Policy P-95. Initially, not all lands in this designation was zoned for a commercial purpose, as indicated in Policy P-99. The C-2 zone permits low density residential uses; however, for the number of properties the R-1B zone was applied in this designation. To consider proposed rezoning, Council should have regard to all conditions established in Policy P-99 as well as Policy P-155. Staff reviewed all the conditions and established that there were no situations where the rezoning could not be applied. The proposed rezoning was

consistent with the general intention of Policy P-99 and all relevant requirements for P-155. The existing residential uses in this area would not be affected by the proposed rezoning because they are still permitted under C-2. The properties are sufficient in size to accommodate off-street parking and building setback requirements of the C-2 zone in case of redevelopment for commercial purposes.

In conclusion, Mr. Skora stated it was the opinion of Staff that the rezoning of the properties was consistent with the intent of the Planning Strategy and intention of the Community Commercial designation for this area and it was recommended by Staff that rezoning be approved.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

None

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

None

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

None

DECISION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE APPLICATION BY THE MUNICIPALITY TO REZONE PROPERTIES LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF THE FALL RIVER/WINDSOR JUNCTION ROAD AND HIGHWAY NO. 2 INTERSECTION, IN FALL RIVER, FROM R-1B (SUBURBAN RESIDENTIAL ZONE TO C-2 (COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL) ZONE BE APPROVED BY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL".

MOTION CARRIED.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 7:40 p.m.

MINUTES & REPORTS
OF THE
FIRST YEAR MEETINGS
OF THE
FORTY-FOURTH COUNCIL
OF THE
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX
DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 3 & 17, 1991

&

PUBLIC HEARING
DECEMBER 9, 1991

&

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE
DECEMBER 2, 1991

DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION - 1991

INDEX

Atlantic Winter Fair - Appointment - - - - -	10
Building Lots - - - - -	22-23
Cherry Brook Water Distribution System - - - - -	6
Cherry Brook Water - Tender - - - - -	6
Crosswalks - - - - -	19
Cole Harbour/Westphal Fire Dept. - - - - -	24
Communication Committee - - - - -	26
Canada Post Corporation - - - - -	26-27
Development Officer - Appointment - - - - -	11
EMO Plan - - - - -	12-14
Grants - - - - -	7 & 25-26
Hiring Policy - - - - -	6-7
Harbour Cleanup - - - - -	21-22
Home Care Advisory Committee - - - - -	26
Letters & Correspondence - - - - -	2-4&21-24
Landfill Sites - - - - -	23-24&27
Land Exchange - - - - -	24
Loan - Ketch Harbour Recreation - - - - -	24-25
Loan - Mushaboom Fire Dept. - - - - -	25
Lesser Setback - - - - -	36-37
Motion - Recording Secretary - - - - -	1&20
Motion - Approval of Minutes - - - - -	1-2 & 20
Motion - Letters & Correspondence - - - - -	2-4&21-24
Motion - National Day of Remembrance and Action on Violence Against Women - - - - -	3
Motion - Sackville Skateboard Park - - - - -	4
Motion - Dates for Public Hearings - - - - -	4-6
Motion - Bernard Taker Appeal - - - - -	5

DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION - 1991

INDEX

Motion - Solid Waste Management Plan Newsletter - - - - -	5
Motion - Cherry Brook Water Distribution System - - - - -	6
Motion - Cherry Brook Water, Tender - - - - -	6
Motion - Hiring Policy - - - - -	6-7
Motion - Grants - - - - -	7 & 25 - 26
Motion - Stop Dated Accounts - - - - -	7
Motion - Non Council Appointments - - - - -	7-11
Motion - Atlantic Winter Fair Appointment - - - - -	10
Motion - Development Officer, Appointment - - - - -	11
Motion - Recreational Services, Sackville/Beaverbank - - - - -	11-12
Motion - Parkland Maintenance Program - - - - -	12
Motion - EMO Plan - - - - -	12-14
Motion - Sawdust Pile, Todds Island - - - - -	14
Motion - Metro United Way Campaign - - - - -	14-15
Motion - Minor Variance Appeal - - - - -	15 & 33 - 36
Motion - Road Paving, Oldham - - - - -	15
Motion - Race Relations Committee - - - - -	15 - 18
Motion - Crosswalks - - - - -	19
Motion - Water Runoff, Old Sackville Road - - - - -	19
Motion - Adjournment - - - - -	19 & 39
Motion - Irving Truck Stop - - - - -	21
Motion - Harbour Cleanup - - - - -	21 - 22
Motion - Building Lots - - - - -	22 - 23
Motion - Landfill Sites - - - - -	23 & 24 - 27
Motion - Cole Harbour/Westphal Fire Dept. - - - - -	24
Motion - Land Exchange - - - - -	24
Motion - Loan, Ketch Harbour Recreation - - - - -	24 - 25
Motion - Loan, Mushaboom Fire Dept. - - - - -	25
Motion - Home Care Advisory Committed - - - - -	26
Motion - Communication Committee - - - - -	26
Motion - Canada Post Corporation - - - - -	26 - 27
Motion - Speed Limit - - - - -	28 - 29
Motion - Traffic Engineer - - - - -	29 - 32
Motion - Lesser Setback - - - - -	36 - 37
Motion - UNSM Fact Finding Proposal - - - - -	37 - 38
Motion - Ocean View Manor Administrator - - - - -	38 - 39
Non Council Appointments - - - - -	7 - 11
Ocean View Manor - Administrator - - - - -	38 - 39

DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION - 1991

INDEX

Public Hearings - Dates - - - - -	4 - 6
Parkland Maintenance Program - - - - -	12
Recreational Services - Sackville/Beaverbank - - - - -	11 - 12
Race Relations Committee - - - - -	15 - 18
Sackville Skateboard Park - - - - -	4
Stop Dated Accounts - - - - -	7
Traffic Engineer - - - - -	29 - 32
UNSM - Fact Finding Proposal - - - - -	37 - 38

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

DECEMBER 2, 1991

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lichter
 Councillor Meade
 Councillor Rankin
 Councillor Fralick
 Councillor Holland
 Councillor Ball
 Councillor Deveaux
 Councillor Bates
 Councillor Adams
 Councillor Randall
 Councillor Bayers
 Councillor Smiley
 Councillor Taylor
 Councillor Peters
 Councillor Merrigan
 Councillor Brill
 Councillor Giffin
 Councillor MacDonald
 Councillor Boutilier
 Councillor Harvey
 Councillor Richards
 Councillor McInroy
 Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT: K. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
 S. Shute, Recording Secretary

=====

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m.

PRESENTATION - CRITERIA FOR INCINERATOR SITING

Warden Lichter introduced Mort Jackson, Executive Director of the Metropolitan Authority and Anne Muecke of the firm, Griffiths Meucke, consultants re solid waste management. He stated that previously, Councillors had been provided with Discussion Paper #6, Waste to Energy Incineration: Siting Criteria, dated October, 1991 prepared for Metropolitan Authority by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. and Griffiths Meucke Associates.

Ms. Muecke advised that an integrated waste management system had been adopted by Metropolitan Authority in July, 1991 for the four municipalities of Halifax, Dartmouth, Bedford and Halifax County. This included 41% recycling, 40% waste to energy incineration and 19% to the landfill. She said that 41% recycling represented a very ambitious target; most municipalities across the country were not projecting this kind of diversion.

To manage the above, facilities required would include a recycling plant, a composting plant, a household hazardous waste depot, a waste-to-energy incinerator and a landfill. The recycling plant was due to open in April, 1992, the composting plant in 1993/94, the household hazardous waste depot in 1992/93, the waste-to-energy incinerator in 1995 and the landfill in 1994.

It was expected that 500 tonnes per day would be incinerated with a possibility of expansion in the future. The incinerator would cost approximately \$125,000,000 with a reasonable life expectancy of 25 years and at least 35-40 years with retrofit. The incinerator would come in two units of 250 tonnes each so that if the volume to be incinerated did drop, one unit could be shut down.

Ms. Muecke provided Council with a copy of an artist's rendition of what a modern waste-to-energy plant and its interior would look like and she explained the operation of same. She said that the incinerator proposed has undergone extensive testing, that Environment Canada has a five-year testing program out of which they developed design, operating and emission guidelines for this type of incinerator. This was in recognition of past problems such as from a mixture of inappropriate operation, inadequate emission controls and inappropriate treatment of ash. There is on-going examination of ash management by Environment Canada and development of ash management requirements are under way.

Questions regarding incineration posed by Councillors were answered by Ms. Muecke and Mr. Jackson.

Comparative costs of waste management scenarios were presented as of April, 1991 as well as capital cost estimates for the waste to energy facility. At this time, an estimated cost per household could be as high as 32 cents per \$100 of assessment, including collection and recycling collection. It was suggested that Metropolitan Authority should be considering transfer stations in the rural areas.

Ms. Muecke advised that as soon as a site is chosen, the environmental assessment would kick in and that there are two types of environmental assessments, one provincial and one federal. The federal government has decided it wants input into the project; therefore, a joint federal/provincial assessment will be carried out. A health risk assessment will also be carried out by a company that specializes in same.

The main components of the siting process were outlined. They include a 10-20 acre site, within 15 km. of the McKay Bridge, close to waste generation and disposal equity. Serviced industrial land was highly preferred - an industrial park already permits industrial uses. This did not refer to a Business Park. Any sites which do not meet the basic criteria would be eliminated. The remainder are to be compared to one another on a matrix.

Sites which have to be avoided are those which are in areas with unsuitable air mixing, areas with air quality problems, areas with very sensitive or rare features in the natural environment, areas with valued resource land uses such as agriculture, forestry, sand and gravel, lands less than 500 meters from homes and airport and navigational aid areas.

Minimization features and characteristics included areas which require protective structures or buffering, sensitive features in the natural environment and physical features. Desirable features and characteristics would include a site with safe access and visual buffering, reasonable separation distance for road safety and serviced land.

Ms. Muecke advised that a short list would be presented at Metropolitan Authority on December 10, 1991, all of which are suitable in the sense of providing good locations but vary in terms of detail and costs.

Warden Lichter thanked Ms. Muecke and Mr. Jackson for attending and providing an informative information session to Councillors.

RCMP REPORT

Councillors were in receipt of a summary report for RCMP policing in Halifax County.

Warden Lichter welcomed Chief Superintendent Burchill and his officers.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT THE COMMITTEE MOVE IN CAMERA".

MOTION CARRIED.

The Committee moved out of Camera.

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 9:30 p.m.

COUNCIL SESSION

December 3, 1991

PRESENT WERE: Warden Lichter
Councillor Meade
Councillor Rankin
Councillor Fralick
Councillor Holland
Councillor Ball
Councillor Deveaux
Councillor Bates
Councillor Adams
Councillor Randall
Councillor Bayers
Councillor Smiley
Councillor Peters
Councillor Brill
Councillor Giffin
Councillor MacDonald
Councillor Harvey
Deputy Warden Sutherland
Councillor Richards
Councillor McInroy
Councillor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT: K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer
G. J. Kelly, Municipal Clerk
F. Crooks, Municipal Solicitor

REGRETS: Councillor Snow
Councillor Merrigan
Councillor Taylor

The meeting was called to order with the Lord's Prayer. Mr.
Kelly called the roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Deputy Warden Sutherland, seconded by Councillor
Giffin:

"THAT JULIA HORNCastle BE APPOINTED AS RECORDING
SECRETARY".

MOTION CARRIED

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 21, 1991 SPECIAL
COUNCIL SESSION BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Randall, seconded by Councillor McInroy:

"THAT THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 28, 1991 JOINT COUNCIL SESSION BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT THE MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 5, 1991 COUNCIL SESSION BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 7, 1991 PUBLIC HEARING BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Peters:

"THAT THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 28, 1991 PUBLIC HEARING BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

Warden Lichter asked council's permission to allow Councillor Bayers to make a presentation before proceeding with the regular council agenda.

Councillor Bayers stated that at the Metropolitan Authority Meeting held December 3, 1991 the county was called upon to have a representative to make a very brief statement. He stated that he would like to commend Councillor Richards for giving a statement on behalf of the county.

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

1. Mr. Kelly outlined a letter from Mayor Audrey Moore, Third Vice President, FCM regarding a request for resolutions for consideration at the annual conference in 1992.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

2. Mr. Kelly outlined a letter from Hon. Ken Streach, Minister, Department of Transportation regarding the appointment of Mr. Bruce Evans as the County representative on the Halifax Dartmouth Port Development Commission.

It was moved by Deputy Warden Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

3. Mr. Kelly outlined a letter from Marilyn Berry on behalf of the December 6 Committee of Halifax regarding declaring December 6 a National Day of Remembrance and Action on Violence Against Women.

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Richards, seconded by Councillor Peters:

"THAT HALIFAX COUNTY RECOGNIZE DECEMBER 6 AS A NATIONAL DAY OF REMEMBRANCE AND ACTION ON VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN".

MOTION CARRIED

Warden Lichter stated that he has asked the property manager to lower county flags in all buildings to half mast on December 6. He stated that he has also asked the special events coordinator to do whatever they can to recognize the date.

4. Mr. Kelly outlined a letter from Hon. John G. Leefe, Minister, Department of the Environment regarding concerns expressed by Halifax County Council regarding the Irving Truck Stop in Enfield.

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

5. Mr. Kelly outlined a letter from R. Mort Jackson, Executive

Director, Metropolitan Authority regarding the Route 20 Herring Cove trips serving the Dockyard. He also outlined a letter from Brenda Goyetche, Chairperson, Herring Cove Ratepayers regarding the same item.

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT BOTH LETTERS BE RECEIVED".

Councillor Ball stated that he is requesting that at the next Metropolitan Authority meeting that this item be reviewed.

MOTION CARRIED

NOTICE OF MOTION - RESCINDING OF MOTION, SACKVILLE SKATEBOARD PARK - COUNCILLOR BRILL

Councillor Brill stated that there had been no monies allocated to provide supervision for the skateboard park. He stated that skateboards rinks are being closed down due to declining use. He stated that in lieu of that expenditure the monies allocated be used to provide additional parking at the Sackville Stadium. He stated at off peak traffic times the area would be used for basketball, volleyball and street hockey.

It was moved by Councillor Brill, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT THE SEPTEMBER 3, 1991 MOTION OF COUNCIL TO APPROVE FUNDING FOR THE BUILDING OF A SKATEBOARD PARK IN SACKVILLE BE RESCINDED".

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Brill, seconded by Deputy Warden Sutherland:

"THAT COUNCIL AUTHORIZE THE PAYMENT OF \$1,970.00 FROM DISTRICT CAPITAL AND GENERAL PARKLAND FUNDS RESPECTIVELY IN ORDER TO COVER THE COSTS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN INCURRED TOWARD THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SKATEBOARD PARK".

MOTION CARRIED

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

1. File No. RA-SA-08-91-22 - Application by Joanne Hamm to rezone 30 Riverside Drive, Lower Sackville

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Deputy Warden

Sutherland:

"THAT THE PUBLIC HEARING BE SET FOR JANUARY 13, 1992 AT 7:00 P.M."

MOTION CARRIED

2. Order from the Nova Scotia Municipal Board re: Appeal by Bernard Taker respecting property at 191 Hines Road, Eastern Passage

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT THE INFORMATION BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

3. File No. ZA-LM-51-91 - Amendments to the Land Use By-Law for North Preston, Lake Major, Lake Loon/Cherry Brook and East Preston to permit community uses and commercial development on the basis of serviced lot sizes in North Preston

It was moved by Councilor Adams, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT THE PUBLIC HEARING BE SET FOR JANUARY 13, 1992 AT 7:00 P.M."

MOTION CARRIED

4. Solid Waste Management Plan Newsletter dated November, 1991

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Peters:

"THAT THE NEWSLETTER BE RECEIVED".

MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

1. File No.'s PA-SA-35-91 and ZAP-SA-35-91 - Staff Report and Memorandum - Application by the Municipality to amend the Sackville Municipal Planning Strategy and Land Use By-Law re: Multiple Unit Residential development within the commercial core designation

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Brill:

"THAT A PUBLIC HEARING BE HELD IN SACKVILLE ON JANUARY

20, 1991 AND STAFF TO ARRANGE A SUITABLE LOCATION FOR THE HEARING".

MOTION CARRIED

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

CHERRY BROOK WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE CHERRY BROOK WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH HYDRANTS AND SERVICES TO APPROXIMATELY 310 LOTS BE CONSTRUCTED. FURTHER THAT SCHEDULE "A" TO BY-LAW #58 OF THE MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX, A BY-LAW RESPECTING THE CHARGE FOR WATER SERVICE, BE AMENDED BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPH: "THE RATE TO BE ASSESSED TO OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY ABUTTING ON ANY STREET IN THE AREA SERVICED BY THE CHERRY BROOK AREA WATER MAIN EXTENSION IN DISTRICT 7 OF THE MUNICIPALITY SHALL BE \$18.00 PER LINEAL FOOT OF FRONTAGE OR SUCH LESSER AMOUNT DETERMINED BY THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER BASED UPON THE ACTUAL COST OF CONSTRUCTING THE WATER MAIN".

Councillor McInroy stated asked how this related to the actual costs. He asked if this was full recovery.

Warden Lichter stated that he believed this to be 30% recovery.

Mr. Meech stated that it would be 30% recovery based on the estimated cost. He stated that the County is required by the legislation in the By-Law to have council amend that By-Law to provide the specific rate for the project where the county is looking to recover the costs.

MOTION CARRIED

TENDER - CHERRY BROOK WATER

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Adams:

"THAT THE TENDER - CHERRY BROOK WATERMAIN EXTENSION BE AWARDED TO WOODLAWN CONSTRUCTION LIMITED AS THE LOWEST BIDDER AND THAT COUNCIL RATIFY THE DECISION OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE".

MOTION CARRIED

TERMS OF HIRING POLICY

It was moved by Councillor Cooper, seconded by Deputy Warden

Sutherland:

"THAT THE "TERMS OF HIRING POLICY" BE APPROVED".

MOTION CARRIED

CAPITAL GRANT REQUESTS

Councillor Meade stated that before the grants were approved he would like to have the Capital Grant Request - District #1 changed to District Capital Grant instead of District Parkland Grant.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Meade:

"THAT THE FOLLOWING GRANT REQUESTS BE APPROVED:

(A) DISTRICT #1	DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT	\$3,000.00
(B) DISTRICT #7	DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,000.00
(C) DISTRICT #9	DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT	\$ 934.58
(D) DISTRICT #14	DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT	\$1,000.00
	DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT	\$ 500.00
(E) DISTRICT #16	DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT	\$8,000.00
(F) DISTRICT #16	DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,850.47
(G) DISTRICT #17	DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,065.00
	GENERAL PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,065.00
(H) DISTRICT #22	DISTRICT CAPITAL GRANT	\$3,250.00
	GENERAL PARKLAND GRANT	\$3,250.00
(I) DISTRICT #24	DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,075.00
	GENERAL PARKLAND GRANT	\$1,075.00

MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor MacDonald:

"THAT COUNCIL APPROVE WRITE-OFF, STOP DATED ACCOUNTS WITH 1985 BALANCES TOTALLING \$18,949.66"

MOTION CARRIED

APPOINTMENT OF NON-COUNCIL MEMBERS -COMMITTEES AND BOARDS

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT THE APPOINTMENT OF ONE (1) NON-COUNCIL MEMBER TO THE BOARD OF HEALTH BE DEFERRED TO THE FIRST COUNCIL SESSION IN JANUARY".

MOTION CARRIED

Planning Advisory Committee

It was moved by Councillor MacDonald, seconded by Councillor Ball:

"THAT PAUL HYLAND BE NOMINATED AS A NON-COUNCIL MEMBER OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR A TWO (2) YEAR TERM".

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT NOMINATIONS CEASE".

MOTION CARRIED

Paul Hyland declared as a non-council member of the Planning Advisory Committee for a two (2) year term.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Cooper:

"THAT JOHN MORRISON BE NOMINATED AS A NON-COUNCIL MEMBER OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR A ONE (1) YEAR TERM".

It was moved by Councillor Ball, seconded by Councillor Holland:

"THAT RENE GALLANT BE NOMINATED AS A NON-COUNCIL MEMBER OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR A ONE (1) YEAR TERM".

It was moved by Councillor Bayers, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT JIM REID BE NOMINATED AS A NON-COUNCIL MEMBER OF THE PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR A ONE (1) YEAR TERM".

A vote was taken and Jim Reid was appointed as a non-council member for a one (1) year term on the Planning Advisory Committee.

Heritage Advisory Committee (Four non-council members representing different regions of the Municipality).