

intention was that this land would be used for residential purposes, which was the wish of the residents of Howe Avenue. The lot can be serviced with on-site services and therefore staff recommend that the application be approved.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

No questions from council.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

No speakers in favour.

SPEAKER IN OPPOSITION

No speakers in opposition.

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION BE APPROVED"

MOTION CARRIED

2. RA-8&9-19-94-09 - APPLICATION BY EARL WILCOX AND DOUGLAS BETHUNE TO REZONE THREE PROPERTIES ON THE EAST CHEZZETCOOK ROAD FROM RA (RESIDENTIAL A) ZONE TO RE (RURAL ENTERPRISE) ZONE

The Staff report was presented by Tony O'Carrol. He stated that the application is by Earl Wilcox and Douglas Bethune which abut each other in East Chezzetcook. He stated that this is a rural area of the Municipality.

Mr. O'Carrol showed slides to illustrate.

Mr. O'Carrol said that between the house of Douglas Bethune and the property of Earl Wilcox there is a vacant lot. The surrounding properties are mainly single dwellings, which are quite old. There is a mixture of old and new homes and summer cottages. There also appears to be a new residential subdivision with a half a dozen homes.

The plan in this area was meant to support a mixture of rural and resource type uses, at the same time it allowed residents to put a more restrictive type of zoning on their property, which is what the applicants chose to do. The plan does make allowance for lands to be rezoned back provided that the residential area is not negatively impacted, and therefore some requirements were put on such as the minimum lot size must be an acre and that it must be accessed through the existing provincial highway and not accessed through a local road. The three lots meet these requirements,

there is also an area close by which is already zoned rural enterprise, which is the base zone which would allow for a mix of uses. The proposal by the applicant is that mobile homes would be located on his lots for family members at this time and clearly there is a parcel right now that could be used for this purpose, and there is a possibility that one or two more lots may be able to be subdivided under current subdivision regulations which would allow for this, if this was the intent. Staff therefore recommends approval of this application in that it meets the primary intent of the plan for this area and does not propose or indicate that the lands and surrounding areas would be negatively impacted.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

No Questions from Council

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

No Speakers.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

No Speakers.

It was moved by Councillor Levy, seconded by Councillor Smiley:

"THAT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION BE APPROVED"

MOTION CARRIED

3. ZA-EPCB-09-94 - APPLICATION BY HALIFAX COUNTY MUNICIPALITY TO AMEND THE LAND USE BY-LAW FOR EASTERN PASSAGE/COW BAY TO PERMIT SERVICE STATIONS WITHIN THE C-2 (GENERAL BUSINESS) ZONE.

Councillor Deveaux declared a conflict of interest.

The Staff report was presented by Maureen Ryan who stated that this application is the result of a recent plan review process for Eastern Passage/Cow Bay. During the process the Ratepayers and Residents Association recommended that service stations should be permitted within the C-2 General business zone. The planning strategy was amended to facilitate this direction, and the commercial designation surrounding the Quigley's Corner area supports the provision of a wide range of goods and services including gas stations. The C-2 General business zone however was never amended to implement this objective, it was inadvertently overlooked during the plan review, and staff became aware of this when we had an inquiry from Irving Oil to develop a service station within the Quigley's corner area last summer. In order to implement the intention of the commercial designation and the original recommendations of the Ratepayers and Residents Association as was supported by the Plan Review Committee it is

recommended that the Municipality amend the C-2 General business zone to permit service stations as shown on Appendix A of the staff report.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

Mr. Allan Hayman on behalf of Irving Oil Limited stated that they support the application as proposed by the Planning Department. He stated that Irving Oil Ltd. has proposed to build a convenience store service station in the Eastern Passage area on land that is zoned C-Z, and with the change to the zoning by-law they would be able to proceed with their development.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

No Speakers.

DECISION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Snow

"THAT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION BE APPROVED"

MOTION CARRIED

4. DA-F&S-05-94-17 - APPLICATION BY MOIRA AND WILLIAM CHERRETT TO ENTER INTO A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT TO ALLOW FOR AN EXPANSION TO AN EXISTING COTTAGE LOCATED ON LAKE WILLIAM IN WAVERLEY

The staff report was presented by Paul Morgan. The Cherrett property has an existing cottage which they would like to enlarge. In the immediate future, they would use it as a seasonal residence, they indicated that possibly when they retire it would become their full time residence. This area is designated for residential development and the proposal is consistent with that general intent, the difficulty they have is with the size of the property.

Mr. Morgan stated that most of the zoning in the area is zoned R-1C waterfront residential zone, and under this zone permits can only be issued for such things as swimming pools, a wharf or accessory structure. Any requests to enlarge a structure is subjected to a development agreement by Council. The reason for this is that with the size of the lot, it will be serviced by an on-site sewage disposal system, this lot is serviced by central water. It does not comply with the regulations for disposal systems under the current Department of Environment regulations, under today's standards any waterfront lot in which you intend to put a house would have to have under the best soil conditions, a minimum of 40,000 square feet in area. There are under the Health Act provisions to allow for special considerations for older lots, (Section 39 of the Health Act). The Cherrett's took a proposal to the Board of Health, prepared a proposal by a professional Engineer



and the Board of Health approved their application for an on-site sewage disposal system.

There were stipulations put into effect that the house could be no more than a total of 600 square feet, the cottage that is located there now is 400 square feet. It also could not be more than two bedrooms.

Mr. Morgan showed slides of the property.

He stated that a shed will have to be torn down to allow for a new disposal system. He stated there is a parking structure that has been built that extends into the highway right-of-way, vehicles are parked in the top level, the bottom section is not used at the current time. Most of the land around the cottage is about 15 or 20 feet below the road surface elevation.

He stated that the Development Agreement would comply with the Board of Health stipulations in that the total floor area of the dwelling could not be more than 600 square feet. The building would have to be in the same location, and their intention is to add an additional 200 square feet on second story.

He stated that provisions have been put in the agreement where Council could consider by resolution an additional 200 square feet of floor area. If this was to be considered it would have to come again before Council for an amendment to the agreement. The building must not have more than two bedrooms, and the building height is limited to 28 feet. The agreement would allow for an un enclosed deck provided it does not encroach further towards the lake then the existing structure does. The parking structure could be used as a storage shed, it can not be used for human habitation, and it could not be enlarged. The agreement would allow for another storage shed if the applicant so choose, provided it is not more than 75 square feet in area. There are minor provisions which allow the Cherret's to put in a dock provided they are not extending fill in towards the lake, any more than is needed to allow the dock to be installed. There can be earth retaining walls not more than four (4) feet in height. Compliance would be checked under the approval requirements, they would have to apply for a development building permit, and would have to prepare a location certificate to show that the building was located in accordance with the agreement. The final check would be at the occupancy permit stage, which would include a report from the Department of Environment inspectors advising the Municipality that the disposal system was installed in accordance with the plans approved by the Board of Health. Staff recommend that the agreement attached to this staff report be approved.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL

Councillor Harvey asked if the addition is to go as a second story



on the existing building.

Mr. Morgan stated that this is correct.

Councillor Harvey asked how far above the road level this would bring the new building.

Mr. Morgan stated that if they wanted the maximum allowed by the agreement he would estimate roughly eight or ten feet, according to the staff report and the engineers reports most of the grade, ground elevation is somewhere between fifteen and twenty feet below the road elevation, so it could be sticking up the peak of the roof.

Councillor Harvey asked Mr. Morgan if in his knowledge of the area would that interfere with the house across the street seeing the lake, are they further up again.

Mr. Morgan stated that they are at quite a higher elevation, and this would not interfere with their view plane.

Councillor Harvey asked if the existing parking structure required a minor variance to be built.

Mr. Morgan stated that it did not require any permits from the Municipality, it is not defined as a building or structure under our land use by-law, the only concern raised by our staff is the safety of access to highway. It is immediately below the crest of the hill and there is limited visibility in the direction of Waverley. Department of Transportation officials felt that it was better to have the structure there than no structure at all because otherwise vehicles would be parking along the shoulder of the road.

Councillor Harvey asked if it was built legally according to the Municipality and the Department of Transportation

Mr. Morgan stated he did not now if a permit was ever obtained from the Department of Transportation. He stated that Department of Transportation officials inspected it and were satisfied.

Councillor Brill asked why we can't entertain the additional 200 square feet now or why can't the Public Health give their okay now.

Mr. Morgan stated that the applicants went back to the Board of Health and they said no. He stated that our staff put this provision in, in case there was a change of mind. It seemed to him that 200 square feet on the top floor would not seem unreasonable to be used as a solarium or sundeck. The Board of Health's main concern was the greater the floor area, the greater the potential for more people living inside and more people using the disposal system.

Councillor McInroy asked if we approved the document as presented are we agreeing to an additional extra 200 square as a third story without there having to be any input or any amendment to the development agreement.

Mr. Morgan stated that if the applicants wanted another 200 square feet they would have to come back to Council. The agreement is also conditional that if Council is going to entertain it, that a recommendation of approval is received from the Board of Health. He said if application was made staff, before presenting it to Council, would check with the Board of Health to make sure that they were satisfied. The maximum height still stands at twenty eight (28) feet.

Councillor McInroy asked if that twenty eight feet would take it eight to ten to twelve feet above the road level

Mr. Morgan agreed that it would take it eight to ten to twelve feet above the road level.

Deputy Mayor Cooper asked if there was provision for additional sleeping units in the building.

Mr. Morgan stated no. He said the Board of Health was very concerned with this issue that if there were more bedrooms, there would be more loading on the disposal system due to more people and it is a very small lot.

Deputy Mayor Cooper asked if the parking area was enclosed to be a storage shed, where would the parking go.

Mr. Morgan stated that the parking is up top of that, and the cars cannot get down to that area. Vehicles are never parked there, there is a steep embankment. They park up on top of the deck.

Deputy Mayor Cooper asked that in the agreement it states it can be enclosed, does that mean the underneath part.

Mr. Morgan replied yes.

Councillor Sutherland asked if there was any correspondence received with regard to the application.

Nancy Dempsey Crossman read the correspondence from W. K. Shin, Waverley, who lives across from the said property. He states his concerns are with the view of the lake and the opportunity this may have for blocking his view and the problems with septic disposal, he is asking that Council consider his concerns.

Councillor Snow stated he does not think Bill Shin lives anywhere near this property.

Mr. Morgan said the applicants will clarify when they speak at the hearing.

Councillor Brill wanted to know what was meant in the correspondence by "septic disposal thereof, a general area used for swimming".

Deputy Mayor Cooper stated that he was probably concerned with the possible pollution or contamination of the water in the swimming area.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

William Gerald Cherret wanted to reply to Mr. Shin's letter, he stated that he shared his concerns with having a proper septic system. He stated he checked with the County and was assured he did not need a permit for the driveway. He said he lived nine and a half kilometres from the cottage. He stated he has been a resident, paying taxes for twenty one years.

Mr. Cherret read a letter from Christopher MacCulay a abutter to his property, and stated that Mr. MacCulay and his wife were in favour of the application because the property would look much better, and would increase the value of their home. They had hoped to be at the meeting to give their support but were unable to attend.

Mr. Cherret read a letter from Mr. & Mrs. Harold Munroe which stated that they had no concerns with the changes and that they felt it would improve the look of the area and be of no negative consequences. Mr. & Mrs. Harold Munroe live directly across the street and do not feel this will mar our view of the lake in any way.

Mr. Cherret read a fax from Mr. Claude Birk which stated that he is an abutting property owner on Portobello Road. In the letter Mr. Birk stated he supported the application.

Mr. Cherret read a letter from Earl & Marian Smith, 1860 Portobello Road. The letter stated that they would like to give their support to the application.

Mr. Cherret read a letter from Gary & Cindy Rogers, 1930 Portobello Road. They stated they have no objection to the expansion, and that it will no infringe on the view of the lake, and will improve and increase the value of the area.

Deputy Mayor Cooper asked about future expansions, and future loading on the septic system, he wanted to know if additional bedroom units were anticipated.

Mr. Cherret replied no.



Councillor Snow wanted to make clear that the septic system is a state of the art system, very costly and in his estimation you could put a four unit apartment building on this system. He stated there should be no fear from anyone that this system would pollute the lake.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

No Speakers.

DECISION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Snow, seconded by Councillor Brill

"THAT THE APPLICATION BE APPROVED"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Hache

"THAT THE MEETING BE ADJOURNED"

MOTION CARRIED

**MINUTES & REPORTS  
OF THE  
FIRST YEAR MEETINGS  
OF THE  
FORTY-FIFTH COUNCIL  
OF  
HALIFAX COUNTY MUNICIPALITY  
DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION  
TUESDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1994  
&  
PUBLIC HEARINGS  
DECEMBER 12 & 19, 1994  
&  
SPECIAL COUNCIL SESSION  
DECEMBER 12 & 19, 1994**

INDEX

DECEMBER COUNCIL SESSION, 1994

A.		
B.	Boards of Health . . . . .	4-6
C.	Canada/Nova Scotia Infrastructure Application . . . . .	17-18
	Coverall Home Services . . . . .	19
	Crosswalk - District #6 . . . . .	24-25
	CN Right-of-way . . . . .	25
D.	Debenture Issue . . . . .	19
E.		
F.	Ferry By-law . . . . .	3-4
G.	Glengarry Estates, Timberlea . . . . .	11-12
	Grants . . . . .	18
	Greenwood Heights Subd. . . . .	22
H.	Hubbards Square . . . . .	21
I.		
J.		
K.	Kiwanis Club, Cole Harbour . . . . .	18-19
L.	Letters and Correspondence . . . . .	2-10
	Landfill Extension Agreement . . . . .	13-17
	Loan - West Chezzetcook/Grand Desert Recreation Society . . . . .	18
	Lakeside Industrial Park . . . . .	19
M.	Motion - Appointment of Recording Secretary . . . . .	1
	Motion - Policing . . . . .	1-2
	Motion - Letters and Correspondence . . . . .	2-10
	Motion - International Human Rights Day . . . . .	2
	Motion - National Infrastructure Program . . . . .	3
	Motion - Ferry By-law . . . . .	3-4
	Motion - Video Retail Outlet Licensing . . . . .	4
	Motion - Boards of Health . . . . .	4-6
	Motion - Stakeholders Committee re: School Board . . . . .	7-8
	Motion - Funding School Board . . . . .	8
	Motion - Intersection Eastern Passage Hwy. & Howard Avenue . . . . .	10
	Motion - Dates for Public Hearings . . . . .	10-11
	Motion - Parkland Dedication . . . . .	11
	Motion - Glengarry Estates, Timberlea . . . . .	13-17
	Motion - Landfill Extension Agreement . . . . .	13-17
	Motion - Water Extension Broom Road . . . . .	17
	Motion - Water Extension Cole Harbour . . . . .	17
	Motion - Auditors Appointment . . . . .	17



	Motion - Canada/Nova Scotia Infrastructure Application . . . . .	17-18
	Motion - Grants . . . . .	18
	Motion - Loan, West Chezzetcook/Grand Desert Recreation Society	18
	Motion - Kiwanis Club, Cole Harbour . . . . .	18-19
	Motion - Lakeside Industrial Park . . . . .	19
	Motion - Debenture Issue . . . . .	19
	Motion - Sackville and area Victim Assistance Proposal . . . . .	19
	Motion - Coverall Home Services . . . . .	19
	Motion - Village Services Act, North Preston . . . . .	19-20
	Motion - Western Region Community . . . . .	20-21
	Motion - Nominating Committee Report . . . . .	21
	Motion - Joint Council Session . . . . .	21
	Motion - Hubbards Square . . . . .	21
	Motion - Standing Committees . . . . .	21
	Motion - Millwood STP . . . . .	21-22
	Motion - G-7 . . . . .	22
	Motion - Greenwood Hts. Subd. . . . .	22
	Motion - Traffic Lights, Quigley's Corner . . . . .	23
	Motion - Senior Citizens' Complex, Tantallon . . . . .	24
	Motion - Crosswalks, District #6 . . . . .	24-25
	Motion - CN Right of Way . . . . .	25
	Motion - Ultramar . . . . .	25-26
	Motion - 911 Mapping . . . . .	26
	Motion - Adjournment . . . . .	26
N.	Nominating Committee Report . . . . .	21
O.		
P.	Policing . . . . .	1-2
	Public Hearings - Dates . . . . .	10-11
	Parkland Dedication . . . . .	11
Q.		
R.		
S.	Sackville and area Victim Assistance Proposal . . . . .	19
	Standing Committees . . . . .	21
	Senior Citizens' Complex, Tantallon . . . . .	24
T.		
U.	Ultramar . . . . .	25-26
V.	Video Retail Outlet Licensing . . . . .	4
	Village Services Act, North Preston . . . . .	19-20
W.	Water Extension, Broom Road . . . . .	17
	Water Extension, Cole Harbour . . . . .	17
	Western Region Community . . . . .	20-21
X.		
Y.		
Z.		

COUNCIL SESSION

December 6, 1994

PRESENT WERE: Mayor Ball  
Councillor Meade  
Councillor Rankin  
Councillor Fralick  
Councillor Mitchell  
Councillor Deveaux  
Councillor Bates  
Councillor Hendsbee  
Councillor Levy  
Councillor Dooks  
Councillor Smiley  
Councillor Naugle  
Councillor Peters  
Councillor Merrigan  
Councillor Brill  
Councillor Snow  
Councillor Giffin  
Councillor Hache  
Councillor Scratch  
Councillor Harvey  
Councillor Sutherland  
Councillor Turner  
Councillor McInroy  
Deputy Mayor Cooper

ALSO PRESENT: K. R. Meech, Chief Administrative Officer  
Nancy Dempsey Crossman, Municipal Clerk  
Fred Crooks, Municipal Solicitor

=====  
The meeting was called to Order at 6:00 p.m with the Lord's  
Prayer. Council observed a moment of silence in memory of the  
Montreal Massacre. Ms. Dempsey Crossman called roll.

APPOINTMENT OF RECORDING SECRETARY

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor  
Sutherland:

'THAT JULIA HORNCastle BE APPOINTED AS RECORDING  
SECRETARY"

MOTION CARRIED

SERVICE STANDARDS COMMITTEE REPORT

Extended Police Service

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE ADDITIONAL ELEVEN (11) OFFICERS FOR THE 1995/96 FISCAL YEAR"

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

1. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from UNSM requesting council proclaim and recognize December 10th as International Human Rights Day.

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT COUNCIL RECEIVE THE LETTER AND PROCLAIM DECEMBER 10, 1994 AS INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS DAY"

MOTION CARRIED

2. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Municipal Affairs to the Minister of Natural Resources with respect to the Pits and Quarry operation.

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Peters asked if Halifax County was going to be a part of the ongoing communications.

Mayor Ball confirmed this.

3. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Transportation and Communications in response to council's letter with regards to a request for traffic lights at the intersection of Trunk 3 and Route 333 in Upper Tantallon.

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Meade:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Fralick said for the record that since the intersection has been widened and upgraded the traffic is moving at a faster pace and the first person that is carried away from that area in a canvas bag he personally don't want to be



responsible.

4. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Community Services with regards to Social Services municipal staff and the provincial takeover of municipal Social Services.

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

5. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from FCM requesting municipal council to mark December 21, 1994 as the first anniversary of the National Infrastructure Program.

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT COUNCIL RECEIVE THE LETTER AND ADOPT THE RESOLUTION"

MOTION CARRIED

6. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Director of Provincial Affairs to the Manager of Metro Transit with regards to the ferry competing with harbour cruises.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

Mayor Ball said that Metro Authority, some time ago, passed a motion that asked all councils to pass an effective By-law so that Metro Transit could operate a charter ferry system. That was passed unanimously by Metro Authority. Since that time the only community that dealt with it was the Town of Bedford who rejected a change in the by-law in order to allow the ferrys to be chartered out for special services. He said he had suggested at the last Metro Authority meeting that as far as Halifax County was concerned, it would be a moot point at this point until Bedford reneges. He said if Bedford reneges then council will have to deal with it but until such time he does not see any point in Halifax County taking any kind of position on it because it is defeated at this point as a result of Bedford having rejected it.

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Harvey, seconded by Councillor

Fralick:

'THAT THE ISSUE OF THE FERRY BY-LAW BE REFERRED TO SERVICE STANDARDS'

MOTION CARRIED

7. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Deputy Minister, Department of Housing and Consumer Affairs in response to council's correspondence on the Forest Hills PUD.

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED'

MOTION CARRIED

8. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Minister of Fisheries and Oceans in response to council's letter on the wharf at Ecum Secum.

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED'

MOTION CARRIED

9. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Minister, Department of Housing and Consumer Affairs with regards the licensing process for video retail outlets.

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Snow:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED AND REFERRED TO THE CLERKS DEPARTMENT FOR A STAFF REPORT'

MOTION CARRIED

10. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of the Environment in response to council's letter concerning the elimination of local Boards of Health.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Snow:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED'

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Merrigan said he would suggest that a letter be written to the Minister of the Environment saying now that they

are going to be responsible for Boards of Health and licensing and insuring that proper sewage disposal systems are in place. He said the county should ask if they are going to pick up the total cost if sewage systems malfunction. He said as it stands now the county has the responsibility for the licensing and have some control and when there is a malfunctioning system the county tries to fix it by bringing in sewer and water. He said now that the province is going to take complete control he would like to know if they are going to ensure that if there is a problem they are going to go in and straighten it out.

Councillor Peters asked how are Section 39's going to be dealt with once the province takes over the Boards of Health.

Mayor Ball said the province is taking on the responsibility and their plan on how they are going to deal with the process has not been made clear at this point.

Councillor Peters said she would like to have senior executives from the Department of the Environment meet with council. She said she would like to find out what the directive is, what the implication is and what the structure is.

Mayor Ball said that perhaps a letter could be written to Mr. Harison inviting them to send somebody to talk to council in relation to these kinds of issues.

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Snow:

'THAT A LETTER BE WRITTEN REQUESTING A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PROVINCE ATTEND A COUNCIL SESSION TO ANSWER QUESTIONS WITH REGARDS TO THE PROVINCIAL TAKEOVER OF BOARDS OF HEALTH'

Councillor Merrigan said it is his understanding based on legislation that there will no longer be Section 39's nor will there be a cause for requiring that.

#### MOTION CARRIED

#### NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT

##### Membership Board of Health

Councillor Merrigan said the Board of Health is recommending that the structure of the Board not be changed because it would only be for three meetings before it is eliminated. He said the Board feels it is better to keep the people who are presently on the Board so there is continuity.

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Brill:



'THAT THE MEMBERSHIP ON THE BOARD OF HEALTH REMAIN THE SAME UP TO THE TIME THE BOARD COMES UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT"

Councillor Peters said if there happens to be sitting members, on the Provincial Board, in the future, that it be opened up to a broader perspective and membership.

MOTION CARRIED

11. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a news release with regards to approval for repairs to the Terrence Bay wharf.

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

12. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from Eric Haynes, New Dawn Charters with respect to Metro Transits intention to run harbour tours.

It was moved by Councillor Brill, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

Councillor Hendsbee said he would like to see all correspondence regarding chartering of ferry's to go to Service Standards and Metro Transit if they haven't received it.

MOTION CARRIED

13. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from Peter Murphy, Operations Manager, Murphy's On the Water with regards to the chartering of ferry's.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

14. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Canadian Passenger Vessel Association to Metropolitan Authority with regards to the chartering of ferry's.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

SUPPLEMENTARY LETTERS AND CORRESPONDENCE

1. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Halifax County - Bedford District School Board in response to council's letter concerning community use of schools.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

Deputy Mayor Cooper said he is disappointed by the reply. He said they mention in their letter that all community groups have access to their schools but they seem to be changing the terms of reference with regards to groups having access to the schools. He said they are now saying that these schools can be used for a fee. He said in his opinion it is getting to the point where the School Board is detrimental to community groups and development of community. He said it may be at the point where the School Board runs the education section from eight to four and maybe someone else should be running them after that time. He said he does not feel the School Board is doing anything to meet the needs.

Mayor Ball said it is his understanding that there is a committee which is looking at a method by which the schools can be opened and made accessible year round. He said it is his understanding that this report will be brought forward in April or May of next year.

MOTION CARRIED

2. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Halifax County - Bedford District School Board with respect to a stakeholders committee.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Dooks:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Dooks, seconded by Councillor Levy:

'THAT COUNCILLOR HENSBEE BE NOMINATED AS REPRESENTATIVE ON THIS COMMITTEE"

MOTION CARRIED

3. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the firm of Coffin and Cook with regards to the East Preston Ratepayers Association requesting that the municipality revisit the lease arrangement between the municipality and the East Preston Recreation Association.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Hendsbee said he would like to have it for the record that he took exception to the letter that was received by council previously from the organization that held themselves out as the East Preston Ratepayers Association. He said care should be taken in accepting letters that may give legitimacy to these types of organizations. He said that he would be meeting the Mayor and community representatives to resolve the lease situation.

4. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Mayor, Town of Bedford with regards to providing supplementary funding to reduce primary class size and requesting a meeting of the two councils.

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Hache:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

Mayor Ball asked if it was council's wish that he contact Mayor Kelly to arrange a meeting.

It was moved by Councillor Dooks, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT A MEETING BE ARRANGED"

MOTION DEFEATED

Mayor Ball said that a letter could be written to Mayor Kelly indicating that council, at this time, does not wish to entertain that funding request.

5. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Mayor, Town of Bedford, with regards to the proposed amalgamation of the Metro area.



It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

6. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Minister, Economic Renewal Agency, in response to council's letter concerning the Ultramar oil refinery.

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

7. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Natural Resources in response to council's letter regarding the status of the CN rail line on the South Shore.

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

8. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter and petition from Miss Anita Mullins, Musquodoboit Harbour, regarding the restoration of the full primary program.

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

Councillor Dooks said he is in support of the primary day being reinstated.

9. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Transportation and Communications in response to council's letter on transportation related issues.

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Meade:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT A LETTER, WITH A COPY TO THE MLA, BE WRITTEN TO THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION REQUESTING THAT ANOTHER STUDY BE DONE AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE EASTERN PASSAGE HIGHWAY AND HOWARD AVENUE"

MOTION CARRIED

10. Ms. Dempsey Crossman outlined a letter from the Department of Transportation and Communications in response to council's request for the installation of three crosswalks in the Lakeside area.

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

'THAT THE LETTER BE RECEIVED"

MOTION CARRIED

PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE REPORT

File No. ZA-LM-16-94 - Amendments to the provisions of the Land Use By-law for North Preston, Lake Major, Lake Loon/Cherry Brook and East Preston

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS BE APPROVED AND THAT A PUBLIC HEARING BE SCHEDULED FOR JANUARY 9, 1995, AT 6:00 P.M."

MOTION CARRIED

Staff Report - File No. DA-FEN-13-94-15-A1 - Application to enter into an Amending Agreement to allow for additional commercial recreation uses on the lands of Lane MacDonald at 324 Tucker Lake Road in Beaver Bank

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Hache:

'THAT THE PROPOSED AMENDING AGREEMENT BE CONSIDERED AT A PUBLIC HEARING ON JANUARY 9, 1995, AT 6:00 P.M."

MOTION CARRIED

Staff Report - Subdivision Parkland Dedication - Narrows Lane & Cheviot Hills Subdivisions, Porters Lake

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Rankin:

'THAT COUNCIL APPROVE STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO ACQUIRE A PARCEL OF LAND IN THE CHEVIOT HILLS SUBDIVISION IN PORTERS LAKE AS THE REQUIRED PARKLAND DEDICATION FOR THE NARROWS LANE SUBDIVISION IN PORTERS LAKE"

MOTION CARRIED

File No. RA-TLB-24-94-02 - Application by Theresa MacLean and Daniel Mannett to rezone the property at 1627 St. Margarets Bay Road in Lakeside

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT A PUBLIC HEARING BE SCHEDULED FOR JANUARY 9, 1995, AT 6:00 P.M."

MOTION CARRIED

FILE NO. CDD-TLB-02-94-02-A1 - PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT APPLIED TO LANDS ZONED AS COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT AT GLENGARRY ESTATES IN TIMBERLEA

Mr. Paul Morgan gave the staff report. He said council, earlier this year, approved an application by the Armoyan Group to have it's lands, in Timberlea, designated as a Comprehensive Development District. He said the decision of council was appealed to the Utilities and Review but, in the interim, Mr. Armoyan, Councillor Rankin and the Glengarry Homeowners Association met to see if they could come up with a compromise. The amendments before council tonight came about as a result of these negotiations with both the residents and subsequently negotiations with staff. The main thrust of the amendments, from the residents point of view, was to reduce the number of semi detached housing units within this development and replace them with single unit dwellings. He said a number of various lot categories have been introduced. These range from lots with a thirty foot frontage with a minimum area lot of 3,400 square feet up to standard lot sizes. He said there will be two units less in the number of units but there will be a greater proportion of single unit dwellings. Mr. Armoyan has also requested that the provision for a road allowance be reduced from 66 to 55 feet within two roads. This recommendation of approval has been received from the Department of Transportation as well as staff. He said standards have been incorporated to allow for future sidewalk extension, if requested, and also ensure that the vehicles are going to be parked off the road to allow for snow removal. He said under the original agreement these amendments could be considered by resolution of council. He said council



did make a commitment under the first agreement to notify anyone within 500 feet and allow them to have an opportunity to speak on the matter before a decision was made. He said notice has been given to the residents. He said staff is recommending that council approve the amendments attached to the report subject to the appeal being withdrawn by the Residents Association. He said he would advise that the solicitor for the residents has written and advised that if the amendments are approved the appeal will be withdrawn.

Councillor Brill asked how the variation on the width of the road might impact on other parts of the county. He asked if this may be setting a precedent.

Mr. Morgan said it is possible that future applicants may require variations to the standards. He said this is a variation in the road right of way. He said the actual distance curb to curb is going to be thirty feet.

SPEAKERS IN FAVOUR

No speakers in favour.

SPEAKERS IN OPPOSITION

No speakers in opposition.

DECISION OF COUNCIL

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE AMENDED AGREEMENT BE APPROVED BY COUNCIL  
CONTINGENT UPON THE WITHDRAWAL OF THE APPEAL BY THE  
GLENGARRY ESTATES RATEPAYERS ASSOCIATION "

MOTION CARRIED

PA-LAW-18-94 - AND PLAN REVIEW FOR PLANNING DISTRICT 1 AND 3

Ms. Dempsey Crossman said this item deals with amendments for Municipal Planning Strategy for both Lawrencetown and Planning Districts 1 and 3 in order to delete policies which prohibit landfill siting within these plan areas. She said it is being suggested that a public hearing be scheduled for January 23, 1995 for the planning amendments for districts 1 and 3 and that Lawrencetown be deferred until after municipal PAC meets.

Councillor Rankin said council was concerned that no area of the County was exempted from consideration for landfill. He said he is concerned with regards to Lawrencetown because there appears to be a requirement to go to Lawrencetown to discuss this amendment. He asked if the position of council is that the

legislation relative to waste management supersedes the MPS's and that they are not a relevant document at the time of landfill siting.

Mayor Ball said all that is being said is that if the legislation that has been put into the province to take over solid waste management is enacted by the legislature it is not necessary to make any fundamental changes to any of the MPS's because that legislation will supersede the Planning Act and Municipal Planning Strategies.

Mr. Crooks said that if the province enacts the requested exemption from the Planning Act, neither the Planning Act nor any Municipal Planning Strategy or Land Use By-law or the Subdivision By-law will have any application to the landfill siting process or the operation of the landfill. He said that will be the position assuming the enactment of that legislation.

Mayor Ball said even though council gave staff direction to come back with some proposed changes if the legislation is brought forward it is not necessary to make those changes. He said this is just an update.

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE REPORT

Highway 101 Landfill Extension Agreement

Ms. Dempsey Crossman said that the Metropolitan Authority is requesting that the agreement be executed.

Mr. Meech said the Authority has executed the agreement and has sent it to Halifax County asking for approval to the agreement. He said he is recommending that the Agreement be executed and suggesting that there be two qualifiers a) that it be approved for execution on the condition that they make some minor changes to Clause 7 of the agreement by removing reference to the March 31, 1995 date and b) that the \$10,000 per day penalty clause could be changed to reflect in the wording that it is for each and every day.

Councillor Merrigan asked if there was any protection for Sackville under the new proposed municipal structure.

Mr. Crooks said if the units are including the Metropolitan Authority as being rolled into one body many of the provisions of this agreement are meaningless. There is an obligation on the part of the Authority to pay to the Municipality that penalty under certain circumstances. If the Authority and the Municipality are, together with other bodies, one and the same then that obligation ceases to have any meaning.

Mr. Meech said this proposed draft legislation with respect to

delegating authority to the Municipality for the assumption of solid waste, will have incorporated in it that if Amalgamation should not take place the Municipality will assume responsibility to do all these with regards to a comprehensive solid waste program. He said the other units are obligated to pay their respective share of those costs. He said the legislation will make it clear that the county is not assuming any obligations of the existing Metropolitan Authority as it relates to the existing landfill.

Councillor Hache said she had an objection to the wording of the last sentence on page 5, Clause 8 of the Agreement as well as with the wording in the memorandum dated November 3, 1994 from the municipal solicitor to the Mayor and Members of Council which states in the second paragraph "in that it does not absolutely require the closure of the Landfill by December 31, 1996." She asked if there was any way in which this can be omitted.

Mayor Ball said the county was aiming at a legislative closure with no penalty clause. The counter to that is that the Community of Sackville or the county will be paid \$10,000 a day for every day it is open beyond that.

Mr. Crooks said the memorandum simply records the fact that the Agreement is not an acceptance or endorsement but simply a description for council of what is in the agreement which is proposed by the Metropolitan Authority and how it differs from the legislation which had been prepared on behalf of the council. He said that describes what the Metropolitan Authority's suggested draft agreement does.

Councillor Hache said that should there be a penalty of \$10,000 she would like that to be paid to District 19 rather than to the municipality.

Mr. Meech said that from Metropolitan Authority's point of view they believe the agreement should be executed with the municipality and if council agrees that it should be paid to district 19 then it is within the municipality's jurisdiction to make that decision regardless of what position the Authority is taking.

It was moved by Councillor Hache,

'THAT THE PENALTY OF \$10,000 A DAY PER DAY BE PAID TO  
DISTRICT 19'

Councillor Hache said it could be managed by the municipality but the funds to be set up for District 19.

Mayor Ball confirmed that she was amending that the \$10,000 penalty per day go to District 19 in trust of the municipality.



He said council can make the decision to give it to district 19 regardless of any agreement that is made. He said if the penalty comes into the municipality as a collection agency, the council can make the decision as to District 19 and the community of Sackville. He said it is of no value in the agreement at this point.

Councillor Hache said she would like to have it noted for the record that once the penalties start coming in then it would be discussed with relation to District 19.

Mr. Crooks said that if the Metropolitan Authority is amalgamated into one unit then there is no obligation to make any payment to anyone, in effect, under this.

Councillor Hendsbee said he would like to see this information brought to the attention of the Community Stakeholders committee.

Mr. Meech said if the province continues to proceed with amalgamation and after the coordinator is appointed he/she will have the job of looking at all these existing arrangements and at that time, in his opinion, the community should put forward it's position as to how this issue should be dealt with in the Amalgamation. If the coordinator was persuaded that this was something that should be addressed the coordinator would recommend to the province that it be built into the new legislation to incorporate the amalgamated unit.

Mayor Ball said these are the kinds of issues that will have to be dealt with, if amalgamation takes place, to ensure that the residents are protected.

Councillor Scratch referred to page 5, paragraph 7. She asked if the county becomes liable after March 31, 1995 if they are not prepared to accept what might be considered, by one of the other parties, as a viable disposal alternative.

Mayor Ball said the date of March 31, 1995 is a suggested deletion because a new timetable has been established with the takeover of solid waste management. He said because of the proposed enacting legislation the Authority is absolved of solid waste management effective December 31, 1996. He said effective that date Halifax County has the garbage problem.

Councillor Harvey said two of the partners in this agreement may not exist when the extended period comes to an end. He said the responsibility for getting the new site up and running will be in the jurisdiction of the uni-city. He said the closing of the site will then pass to the super city and he has concerns with what that will mean and how meaningless this agreement could become by the end of December, 1996. He said any veto power with regards to future solid waste facilities in the Sackville area

has now passed to the municipality which won't exist by the end of 1996. He said he has concerns but to reject the agreement would leave the community with no agreement at all which may be worse.

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT COUNCIL ACCEPT THE AGREEMENT"

It was moved by Councillor Hache, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

"THAT ON PAGE 5, SECTION 8 THE LAST SENTENCE BE AMENDED TO READ "UNLESS SUCH A SITE IS PROPOSED BY THE SACKVILLE COMMUNITY COUNCIL"

Councillor Rankin said he would recommend that council when voting on the motion to keep in mind what is in the best interest of the county because when the motion was made it was not based on the county managing the waste. He said it has to be kept in mind that there is infrastructure there that can be used in connection with another proposal that may come forward. He said the county has to have regard to what is the bottom line costs.

Councillor Bates said it was clearly understood by county council and all members of Metropolitan Authority that any new landfill, once the Sackville landfill served it's time, would not go into any of the districts in Sackville.

Mr. Crooks said the Metropolitan Authority would be binding itself to live by the wishes of the community council. He said that is a decision of the Metropolitan Authority and not a decision of the community council. He said the community council would have an opportunity, under this proposal, to express or not to express if they wish to have a landfill site in Sackville. The Authority would then act on that request in accordance with the agreement.

Councillor Brill asked if the county was legally liable to the property owners.

Mr. Crooks said the county was not.

Councillor Merrigan said he was not in agreement with the amendment.

Mayor Ball said all the proposed amendment was saying is that Sackville will not be the home of a future landfill site unless they ask Halifax County to entertain the thought that they would host one.

Councillor Peters asked how the wishes of the people would be addressed if the Sackville Community Council made the decision to host a future landfill site.

Mayor Ball said they are elected and are answerable to the public of Sackville. He said municipal council could reserve the right to either accept or deny any recommendation that is brought forward.

AMENDMENT TO MOTION CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

Water Extension - Broom Road Area

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT THE BROOM ROAD WATER EXTENSION CONTACT BE AWARDED TO THE LOW TENDERER, OCEAN CONTRACTING LTD, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$639,801.15'

MOTION CARRIED

Cole Harbour Water Extension

It was moved by Deputy Mayor Cooper, seconded by Councillor Turner:

"THAT THE COLE HARBOUR WATER EXTENSION CONTRACT BE AWARDED TO THE LOW TENDERER, CHISHOLM DEVELOPMENTS LTD, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,192,857.40 (GST INCLUDED)"

MOTION CARRIED

Appointment of Auditors

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT PEAT MARWICK THORNE BE APPOINTED AS HALIFAX COUNTY MUNICIPALITY AUDITORS FOR THE 1994/95 FISCAL YEAR"

MOTION CARRIED

Canada/Nova Scotia Infrastructure Assistance Applications

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT COUNCIL ENDORSE AN APPLICATION FOR CANADA NOVA SCOTIA INFRASTRUCTURE ASSISTANCE ON BEHALF OF THE



DISTRICT 4 RECREATION ASSOCIATION FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THE ANNEX LANDS AT BROOKSIDE JUNIOR HIGH COMMUNITY SCHOOL, HATCHETT LAKE, HALIFAX COUNTY"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Smiley, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT COUNCIL ENDORSE AN APPLICATION FOR CANADA NOVA SCOTIA INFRASTRUCTURE ASSISTANCE ON BEHALF OF THE SHEET HARBOUR FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THEIR BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT"

MOTION CARRIED

Capital Grant Request

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT GENERAL PARKLAND GRANT, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$500.00 AND DISTRICT PARKLAND GRANT, DISTRICT 8, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$500.00 BE APPROVED

MOTION CARRIED

\$15,000 Loan Request - West Chezzetcook & Grand Desert Recreation Society

It was moved by Councillor Levy, seconded by Councillor Dooks:

"THAT COUNCIL APPROVE A \$15,000 LOAN ADVANCE TO THE WEST CHEZZETCOOK/GRAND DESERT RECREATION SOCIETY FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTING A MULTI-PURPOSE TENNIS/BASKETBALL COURT ON COUNTY OWNED LAND IN GRAND DESERT. THE LOAN IS REPAYABLE WITH INTEREST OVER A MAXIMUM TEN (10) YEAR TERM WITH COUNCIL RESERVING THE RIGHT TO LEVY AN AREA RATE IN DEFAULT OF PRINCIPAL AND/OR INTEREST REPAYMENT"

MOTION CARRIED

Capital Grants Re: Cultural and Recreation Facilities - Kiwanis Club of Cole Harbour/Westphal.

It was moved by Councillor McInroy, seconded by Councillor Turner:

'THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE APPLICATION FROM THE KIWANIS CLUB OF COLE HARBOUR/WESTPHAL, FOR FUNDING OF PHASES II AND III UNDER THE COUNTY CAPITAL GRANT POLICY IN THE

AMOUNT OF \$60,000"

MOTION CARRIED

Withdrawal from Special Reserve - \$19,000 - Lakeside

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE WITHDRAWAL FROM SPECIAL RESERVE WSR94/95-02 - LAKESIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK STREET - \$19,000"

MOTION CARRIED

Debenture Issue - Dated December 8, 1994

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE DEBENTURE ISSUE"

MOTION CARRIED

SERVICE STANDARDS COMMITTEE REPORT

RCMP Sackville and Area Victim Assistance Proposal

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Meade:

'THAT COUNCIL NOT APPROVE THE SACKVILLE AND AREA VICTIM ASSISTANCE PROPOSAL REQUEST FOR THE 1994/95 FISCAL YEAR; FURTHERMORE, THAT STAFF INVESTIGATE WHETHER SUCH A PROPOSAL IS AN APPROPRIATE POLICING EXPENDITURE"

MOTION CARRIED

Coverall Home Services

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

'THAT THE PROPOSAL, AS PRESENTED, NOT BE APPROVED"

MOTION CARRIED

APPLICATION UNDER VILLAGE SERVICES ACT - NORTH PRESTON

It was moved by Councillor Hendsbee, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

'THAT THIS BE RECEIVED"

Councillor Hendsbee said he would like to be provided with any information that comes forward with respect to this issue.

Councillor Peters asked which community did they talk to, which residents did they talk to, what does the map look like, where did they get the right to come up into, perhaps, district 14. She said she has no idea of it's impact. She said the letter is signed by a Wayne Desmond and she would like to know who Wayne Desmond is.

Mayor Ball said that it is his understanding that under the Village Act only a certain number of names is required to apply, regardless of any association or not. He said the county has nothing to do with this. It goes to the Sherriff's Department.

Councillor Peters said she would like to formally request that the Sherriff's Office provide the county with a copy of the Act and the full file and maps.

Mayor Ball asked if the any request had been made to the clerk's office.

Ms. Dempsey Crossman said that the sheriff he has requested an election list for the district as well as the assessment. She said the only other information that the sheriff has, other than what is before council, is a map.

Councillor Hendsbee said he would request that he be informed of what information is provided.

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Merrigan, seconded by Councillor Hendsbee:

'THAT A LETTER BE WRITTEN TO THE MINISTER OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS INDICATING THAT DUE TO THE IMPENDING AMALGAMATION AND THE ELIMINATION OF VILLAGES WITHIN THE CONFINES OF HALIFAX COUNTY MUNICIPALITY TO FOLLOW THROUGH ON THIS PROCESS MAY BE AN UNNECESSARY EXPENSE'

MOTION CARRIED

MEMORANDUM RE: DARTMOUTH WATER TREATMENT FACILITY WORK GROUP

Council received this memorandum for information.

RECORDED RESOLUTION - COMMUNITY OF THE WESTER REGION

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor Meade:

'THAT THE RESOLUTION, AS CIRCULATED, BE APPROVED'



Councillor Rankin said this would give a request to the province to enact legislation similar to the community council in Sackville and Cole Harbour.

MOTION CARRIED

NOMINATING COMMITTEE REPORT - REDISTRIBUTION COMMITTEE

It was moved by Councillor Bates, seconded by Councillor Peters:

'THAT THE REPORT, BY RECORDED RESOLUTION, BE APPROVED'

MOTION CARRIED

RECOMMENDATIONS - JOINT COUNCIL SESSION

It was moved by Councillor Fralick, seconded by Councillor Mitchell:

"THAT THE MOTIONS BE RATIFIED"

MOTION CARRIED

UPDATE - HUBBARDS SQUARE

It was moved by Councillor Meade, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT THE REPORT BE RECEIVED'

MOTION CARRIED

RECORDED RESOLUTION RE: MEMBERSHIP OF THE STANDING COMMITTEES

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

'THAT THE RECORDED RESOLUTION WITH THE WORDING "EX OFFICIO" AFTER DEPUTY MAYOR BEING REMOVED'

MOTION CARRIED

MEMORANDUM RE: SIDEWALKS - MILLWOOD PUD

Ms. Dempsey Crossman stated that the Department of Planning and Development has advised that his matter has been resolved because the developer has agreed to install the sidewalks.

MILL COVE STP 2ND EXPANSION PHASE 1 HEADWORKS PRE-SELECTED EQUIPMENT

It was moved by Councillor Giffin, seconded by Councillor Fralick:

'THAT THE PURCHASE OF THE MECHANICALLY CLEANED

BARSCREENS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT, ALONG WITH THE GRIT REMOVAL EQUIPMENT BE AWARDED COMBINED TO THE FIRM OF JOHN MEIUNIER INCORPORATED IN THE AMOUNT OF \$267,276.00"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Mitchell, seconded by Councillor Deveaux:

"THAT THE TENDER FOR THE GRIT PUMPS BE AWARDED TO THE FIRM OF SAMSON EQUIPMENT LTD. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$13,822.00"

MOTION CARRIED

It was moved by Councillor Sutherland, seconded by Councillor Giffin:

"THAT THE TENDER FOR THE SLUDGE PLUNGER PUMPS BE AWARDED TO THE FIRM OF ENVIROSEAL ENGINEERING PRODUCTS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$63,000.00"

MOTION CARRIED

REPORT RE: G-7 ECONOMIC SUMMIT

It was moved by Councillor Peters, seconded by Councillor Sutherland:

"THAT A COUNTY G-7 COMMITTEE BE ESTABLISHED"

MOTION CARRIED

DOT - COUNCILLOR RANKIN

It was moved by Councillor Rankin, seconded by Councillor

'THAT A LETTER, WITH A COPY TO THE MLA, BE WRITTEN TO THE MINISTER OF TRANSPORTATION REMINDING HIM OF THE URGENCY OF CONSTRUCTING A SECOND EXIT FROM THE GREENWOOD HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION"

MOTION CARRIED

CAO REPORT - APPOINTMENT OF PROJECT STEERING COMMITTEE - SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Mr. Meech said the report has to do with the composition of the project steering committee. As a result of discussions and debates at the stakeholders committee on solid waste it has been suggested that the council defer the formal appointment of this

group until December 12, 1994 when a special council session could be held.

It was agreed that a Special Council Session would be held on December 12, 1994 at 4:30 p.m. to discuss this issue.

Traffic Lights, Quigleys Corner - Councillor Deveaux

It was moved by Councillor Deveaux, seconded by Councillor Naugle:

"THAT A LETTER BE WRITTEN TO THE DEPARTMENT OF  
TRANSPORTATION REQUESTING TRAFFIC LIGHTS BE INSTALLED  
AT QUIGLEYS CORNER IN EASTERN PASSAGE"

MOTION CARRIED

DOT - Councillor Peters

Councillor Peters said she would like to have an update, in January, on how the county is going to take care of class "J" roads and what the impact on Halifax County will be.

Canada Post - Councillor Peters

Councillor Peters said she had met with Canada Post and they would like to be involved with the county's planning strategy process. She said she would like to request that staff meet with Canada Post people and discuss this with them. She said this is dealing with 911 numbering.

Dangerous and Unsightly Premises - Councillor Peters

Councillor Peters said she has repeat offenders with regards to this. She said there are three families in her district that buy old cars, strip them and leave them on the front street until somebody complains which results in the car being hauled away. She said there are some families that go through this process with five or ten vehicles per year. She said there should be some process that if you are a repeat offender you are fined regardless. She said she would like to have this examined by the solicitor.

Mr. Meech said this issue had been examined on a couple of occasions in the past and the alternative is there that if it is on guilty party's property the county does not have to remove the vehicle but rather take them to court and charge them for being in contravention of the Unsightly Premises Act. He said as a result the courts would apply a fine.

Mr. Crooks said there is provision, under the Charter, whereby someone who, on an ongoing basis, is in violation of a by-law is