Regularly called meeting of City Council held this date at 8:00 p.m.

Present - Mayor Savage

Ald. Billard MacFarlane Connors Levandier Withers McCluskey Pye Woods Hawley Greenough Hetherington Bregante Thompson City Solicitor, S. Hood City Administrator, J. Burke Deputy City Clerk, G. D. Brady.

At the opening of the meeting, Ald. Connors received permission to add a petition to the agenda, concerning the naming of the corner property at Prince Albert Road and Hawthorne Street.

Ald. Billard raised a matter pertaining to the footbridge that crosses over the Rotary highway to Main Street, requesting that a small barricade be erected at the end of the bridge to direct school children to the right, out of the way of traffic. He directed this request to the City Engineer.

On motion of Ald. Hetherington and Thompson, Council ratified the action taken in camera at the meeting on this date.

Ald. Levandier rose at this point to advise that items raised in a letter from Mrs. Roy of Portland Street, are being acted upon by him and Ald. Connors. Department heads concerned have been contacted, including the Minimum Standards inspectors. Mrs. Roy was present in the gallery for the meeting.

The minutes of Council meetings held on August 18th and 25th were approved, on motion of Ald. Hetherington and McCluskey.

A petition was presented by Mr. Carl Demmons, on behalf of the Ward 4 Residents Assn., requesting that the City proceed as soon as possible with the at grade, controlled intersection planned for MicMac Blvd. and Woodland Ave. The petition outlines the various reasons for making the request at this time,

MINUTES

PETITION: WARD L RESIDENTS ASSN. and in his presentation, Mr. Demmons said the indecision that still exists about the Albro Lake lands development should not be used as a reason for delaying the project any longer. He noted that there are plans for expansion of the MicMac Mall and when this takes place, additional traffic will be created in what is already a heavily-congested area.

Responding to a question about the capital budget projection for this item, Mr. Burke and Mr. Fougere advised that it was included in the 1985 capital budget. Ald. Withers elaborated further on the chronological sequence leading up to the point where the project received approval from the Dept. of Transportation and provision for the funding was subsequently approved by the City. Since that time, plans for the Albro Lake lands have come to Council and were rejected because of the street patterns proposed, which were not in accord with recommendations of Planning Dept. staff. Ald. Withers indicated his intention to introduce a motion, once any members wishing to do so had spoken on the subject of the petition.

Ald. McCluskey supported the position of the residents. She said people living in the Crichton Park area should not continue to be inconvenienced by traffic problems that could be alleviated with the MicMac Blvd/Woodland Ave. improvements, regardless of what happens ultimately with the Albro Lake lands.

Ald. Withers proceeded to introduce the following motion, which was seconded by Ald. Hawley:

WHEREAS Woodland Ave. east of Ryland Ave. constitutes part of the Provincial highway network;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that City Council direct staff to contact the Provincial Dept. of Transportation and request as follows:

That the Department authorize a fully signalized intersection at Woodland Ave. and MicMac Blvd., thus permitting left and right-hand turns at said intersection;

And further that Planning staff be directed to report to Council on the feasibility of expending for such purposes, funds earmarked in the capital budget for extension of MicMac Blvd. to Albro Lake Road.

Ald. Greenough felt that Council should have a report from staff on what needs to be done before the project can proceed, including funding, Provincial approval, cost-sharing, etc. He was prepared to have this presented as an amendment to the main motion, but Council agreed to incorporate the request for information with the motion and in that form, it carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Withers and Hawley:
Text on page 2 of minutes and including the addition requested by Ald. Greenough, as detailed above.

PETITION: SIDEWALK REPLACEMENT

A second petition was then presented on behalf of residents living on Sinclair, Berwick, Highwood, Medford, Canterbury and Camden Streets, seeking to have existing concrete sidewalks and sections of sidewalks, replaced where this work is needed. Mr. Donald Duncan, a resident of Sinclair Steet, made the presentation to Council, and he explained the hazardous condition of the sidewalks as they exist now, a constant danger to pedestrians who use them, especially in the case of wheelchairs, strollers, tricycles, etc.

Ald. MacFarlane spoke in support of the petition. He said the concern of the residents is genuine, and they have not made requests for services in the past. He noted that sections of the sidewalks on the streets listed, are considerably out of place and the height variations in the sidewalk blocks are not just minor ones. He moved referral of the petition for inclusion in the 1988 capital budget, seconded by Ald. Billard. The motion was later changed to read 'consideration' instead of inclusion'.

Ald. Billard referred to the fact that he brought the condition of these sidewalks to the attention of Council during this year's budget discussions, pointing out the need for improvements to them at that time. He commented on the importance of good sidewalk systems for pedestrian traffic, thereby encouraging people to walk to the ferry and other transit services instead of using their cars. Another point made by

Ald. Billard concerned the choice of trees planted next to sidewalks and the effect of root systems on sidewalk construction as the trees grow. He asked Mr. Burke to take this point up with the Parks & Recreation Dept., so it can be considered in future tree plantings.

Members of Council who spoke on the motion had similar sidewalk problems in their own areas, particularly in Wards 3 and 4, but indicated they would not oppose the motion, provided it calls only for consideration of the expenditure for improvements on the streets where the petition originated, and not for any decision on including a specific item in the capital budget at this point in time. The mover and seconder of the motion were therefore willing to revise the motion in order to facilitate its acceptance by Council.

The vote was taken on the reworded motion and it carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. MacFarlane & Billard that the petition from residents of Sinclair, Berwick, Highwood, Medford, Canterbury and Camden Streets (re sidewalk improvements) be referred for consideration in the 1988 capital budget.

The third petition received at this meeting, bearing eight signatures, pertained to the naming of the park area at the corner of Prince Albert Road and Hawthorne Street. At the July 14th meeting, Council gave the name 'Charman's Corner' to this park, and the petition objects to this choice of name, proposing instead that the park be named after the Bremner family, the original owners of the property.

Mr. Charles Pye of Dahlia Street presented the petition, which provides historical data on the ownership of the land since it was originally purchased by Mr. John R. Bremner at the turn of the century.

Mr. Pye noted that Mr. Charman, who operated a store on the site, was in fact, a Halifax resident and came back and forth to Dartmouth only to attend to his business

PETITION: NAMING OF PARK interests.

Council was requested to reconsider the decision taken in naming the park, and to give consideration to naming it the Bremner Park, for historical reasons.

Ald. Connors and Hetherington moved that the naming of this corner be referred to the Heritage Advisory Committee to recommend an appropriate designation for the property, having a particular regard for its history. The motion carried.

A second motion was then adopted, moved by
Ald. Levandier and Connors, to rescind the action taken
by Council at the July 14th meeting in approving the
name 'Charman's Corner' for the property in question.
The necessary two-thirds majority vote of Council was
received for this motion and it carried.

- MOTIONS: (1) Moved by Ald. Connors & Hetherington that the naming of this corner be referred to the Heritage Advisory Committee, to recommend an appropriate designation for the property, having a particular regard for its history.
  - (2) Moved by Ald. Levandier & Connors that the action taken by Council at the July 14th meeting, in approving the name 'Charman's Corner' for the property in question, be rescinded.

Ald. Levandier advised that he has received a petition from Nova Court, requesting a crosswalk at that location for the safety of school children.

Ald. Levandier and Connors moved that the crosswalk be painted on the street immediately, at the designated location. The motion was seconded by Ald. Connors and it carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Levandier & Connors that a crosswalk be painted on the street at Nova Court for the safety of school children going back and forth across the street.

A letter from the Metropolitan Authority was before Council, requesting that financial contributions from the City of Dartmouth be increased by \$36,500.50 per month, effective as of Sept. 1/87. All of the other local municipalities are also being asked to increase their contributions to cover the projected

PETITION FOR CROSSWALK

METRO TRANSIT DEFICIT

deficit for transit service in 1987. Ridership revenue is projected to be \$997,000. under budget this year.

Ald. Thompson and Bregante moved that Council authorize this additional expenditure per month, from September until the end of the year, to offset the projected deficit. As one of the City's representatives on the Authority, Ald. Thompson explained the efforts that have been made to cut back on expenditures required to operate the transit service. A deficit is still projected in spite of these efforts and therefore additional funds have to be sought from the municipalities to make up the loss in revenue.

Mr. Burke noted that since this additional expenditure was not budgeted for, the cost will add further to the City's projected deficit for 1987 as well.

Ald. Levandier did not feel that Council should just authorize the additional funding without first having representatives of the Authority present to respond to some of the questions members have about transit services, both from the point of view of financing and operations. He moved deferral until such a meeting, with Metropolitan Authority representatives in attendance, can be arranged.

Ald. Hawley was concerned that Dartmouth is subsidizing transit routes with ridership figures far below ours; he considered this to be unfair to the City. Ald. Connors questioned the way the transit service is being marketed and what kind of plan, if any, is in place to address this important aspect of marketing.

Ald. Hetherington suggested that members should be provided with an updated list of routes, with ridership percentage figures. Also, he questioned the cost of the Go Time system and its effectiveness, and felt there are ways of increasing ridership, such as student passes, that are not being looked at seriously enough.

Ald. Pye pointed out that transit services cost

money everywhere they are provided and transit is a necessary service in the community.

When the vote was taken on the motion to defer, it carried with Ald. Pye voting against.

> MOTION: Moved by Ald. Levandier & Connors that a decision on the additional funding for transit be deferred until a meeting is arranged with Metropolitan Authority representatives in attendance to answer questions about transit finances

and operation.

AWARD TENDERS: WORKS DEPT. EQUIPMENT

The Acting Purchasing Agent, Mr. George, has recommended the awarding of tenders for small equipment items for the Works Dept., as follows:

- 1) Diaphragm Pump: low bid from Coastal Rentals & Sales Ltd., in the amount of \$1,033.
- 2) Concrete Saw: low bid from W.N. White & Co., in the amount of \$5,366.
- 3) Vibratory Plate Compactor: low bid from Halifax Equipment Rentals, in the amount of \$1,425. each.
- 4) Vibratory Rammers: low bid from W.N. White & Co., in the amount of \$2,194.

Mr. Burke has concurred in the above recommendations, and the tenders were awarded, on motion of Ald. Withers and McCluskey.

> Moved by Ald. Withers & McCluskey MOTION: that tenders for small equipment items for the Works Dept., as listed above, be awarded, as recommended.

Tenders have been received as follows for Contract 87530, Burnside Signage:

> Black & McDonald Ltd. \$735,077. Seaport Contractors Ltd. 757,850. Edmonds Brothers Landscape Ltd. 841,171.50 Gillespie Contracting Ltd. 892,250.00

Acceptance of the low bid, from Black & McDonald Ltd., has been recommended, and further, that an amount of \$7,500. be paid to the Dept. of Transportation for signs on Windmill Road, part of the signage program for the Burnside Park.

The tender was awarded as recommended, on motion of Ald. Greenough and Withers. Ald. Levandier asked to be provided with information on the funding for the signage program - whether it was a capital item or whether it came from the Burnside Sale of Land Account. Mr. Burke will check on this inquiry.

AWARD TENDER: CONTRACT 87530 NORTH DARTMOUTH TRUNK SEWER

A report from the City Engineer was considered on the subject of the North Dartmouth trunk sewer project, in particular that portion of it from the end of the existing sewer along Lake Banook and across Highway 111. In 1988, the work on the Rotary and the roadways leading into it will be carried out by the Dept. of Highways, and the report points out that the trunk sewer extension should be carried out before the Rotary project is completed. The cost of doing it in future, with the new highway in place, would be considerably higher.

Also, it is proposed that Lake Banook and Lake MicMac be lowered in the fall of 1987 to carry out work required for the World Junior Canoe Championships in 1989, and this would be a good time to extend the trunk sewer along the shores of Lake Banook and across Highway 111 while the lake levels are down.

It has therefore been recommended that Interprovincial Engineering Ltd. be engaged to immediately begin the design and the preparation of tender documents to permit the calling of tenders on the trunk sewer extension along Lake Banook, from its existing location to the east side of Highway 111, at the earliest possible date. Further, that the consultant be authorized to select the route and to carry out preliminary design for the extension of the sewer to Port Wallace and Burnside. Mr. Burke has concurred in the recommendation of the City Engineer.

Ald. Levandier and Thompson moved the adoption of the recommendation, but Ald. Billard and Connors first wanted to have a cost estimate for the hiring of consultants and moved deferral for that purpose.

Debate followed and while members generally recognized the urgency of proceeding with the trunk sewer installation across Highway 111, they were not prepared to make a decision on any further extension of the North Dartmouth sewer line at this time.

It was explained to Council that the design plan has to be for the total system and not just one section of it; otherwise, it will not all tie in together to form a complete service. Decisions on what sections are to be constructed at a given time can be made later, but the priority now is for a total plan that will include the section which crosses the Rotary roadway.

Discussion also centered around the participation of Country View Estates in cost sharing and the recouping of installation costs from other property owners, if the trunk sewer is extended into the Burnside and Port Wallace lands eventually in years to come.

When the vote was taken on the motion to defer, it was defeated. The main motion carried, on the understanding that the consultants' report will come back to Council before any decision is made on the section of trunk sewer that is to be approved for installation at this time.

MOTION:

Moved by Ald. Levandier & Thompson that Council approve the recommendation of the City Engineer, as set out on page 8 of the minutes, with Mr. Burke's concurrence, on the understanding that the consultants' report will come back to Council before any decision is made on the section of the North Dartmouth trunk sewer that is to be approved for installation at this time.

DRAINAGE PROBLEM: LUCIEN DR.

In a report to Council, the City Engineer has recommended approval of a drainage project to resolve a drainage problem affecting Lucien Drive properties, as a result of stormwater runoff from the Mt. Edward Road water reservoir property. Estimated cost of the project is \$22,000. and it is further recommended that funding for the project be provided from the 1987 capital budget item 'Drainage - Various locations in the City'.

The recommendation was adopted by Council, on motion of Ald. Thompson and Greenough.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Thompson & Greenough that the recommendation of the City Engineer, with respect to the Lucien Drive drainage problem, as detailed above, be adopted.

LEASE OF PORTION
OF SITE 70:
BURNSIDE PARK

RESOLUTION 87-38

On motion of Ald. Levandier and McCluskey,

Council approved Resolution 87-38, authorizing the
lease of a one-acre portion of Site 70 (Simmonds Dr.)
in the Burnside Park, to Seaboard Transport Group, at
a rate of \$7,088. per year, and at \$600. per month
after the first minimum six-month period. Leasing is
subject to the terms and conditions set out in Mr. Rath's
report to Council on this item, dated Aug. 26/87, as
recommended by the Industrial Commission.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Levandier & McCluskey that Council adopt Resolution 87-38, covering the lease of a one-acre portion of Site 70 in the Burnside Park, to Seaborad Transport Group; details of leasing arrangement as noted above.

On motion of Ald. Connors and Pye, Council approved the appointment of Ms. Marianne Feetham as a member of the M.P.S. Implementation & Regulations Sub-Committee.

Ald. Hetherington requested a listing of members now serving on the main M.P.S. Committee, also the areas of the City they represent, plus an updated list of members serving on sub-committees. The Mayor noted that the M.P.S. Committee will be giving an update report to Council at the Sept. 29th meeting, and he will ask the Committee to provide this updated information for Council.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Connors and Pye that Council approve the appointment of Ms. Marianne Feetham to the M.P.S. Implementation & Regulations Sub-Committee, as requested by the M.P.S. Review Committee.

Meeting adjourned.

G. D Brady, Deputy City Clerk.

## City Council, Sept. 8/87

#### ITEMS:

- 1) Petition: Ward 4 Residents Assn., page 1 to 3.
- 2) Petition: Sidewalk replacement, page 3.
- 3) Petition: Naming of park, page 4.
- 4) Petition for crosswalk, page 5. 5) Metro Transit deficit, page 5 to 7.
- 6) Award tenders: Works Dept. equipment, page 7.
- 7) Award tender: Contract 87530, page 7.
- 8) North Dartmouth trunk sewer, page 8 & 9.
- 9) Drainage problem: Lucien Dr., page 9. 10) Lease of portion of Site 70: Burnside Park, page 10
- Resolution 87-38, page 10.
  11) Appointment to M.P.S. Committee, page 10.

APPOINTMENT
TO M.P.S.
COMMITTEE

Dartmouth, N. S.

Sept. 15/87.

Regularly called meeting of City Council held this date at 7:30~p.m.

Present - Mayor Savage

Ald. Sarto Thompson
Billard MacFarlane
Connors Levandier
Withers McCluskey
Pye Hetherington
Hawley Greenough
City Solicitor, M. Moreash
City Administrator, J. Burke
Deputy City Clerk, G. D. Brady

PUBLIC HEARING: NANTUCKET SUBDIVISION REGAL ROAD h

This meeting of Council constituted the public hearing of an application from Redemption Properties Ltd. to amend the Nantucket Contract Development Agreement by zoning two remaining parcels of land (61 and 65 Regal Road) R-2, thereby permitting the development of two semi-detached buildings (4 units) on these lots. The Planning Dept. has recommended in favour of the application and the Department's report, along with related documentation has been circulated. Also circulated were copies of a letter from A. Stevens of 127 Regal Road and another one from Catherine Covey of 59 Regal Road, objecting to the rezoning.

Mr. L'Esperance made the Planning Dept. presentation to Council. He provided a breakdown on the number of residential units that have already been developed in the Nantucket Subdivision, advising that the maximum number of units designated for rowhousing for individual ownership (64) has already been reached. Development of additional rowhousing units on the remaining parcel of undeveloped land in question would represent four more units over and above that original maximum of 64. The proposed development is consistent, however, with the existing land use in the area and is not in conflict with the Municipal Planning Strategy. Therefore, the Planning Dept. recommends in favour of the rezoning application.

Ms. Marjorie Hickey, Solicitor for the developer,

addressed Council, speaking in support of the application. She said the proposed development is in accord with the type of housing all around the parcel of land under consideration. She went on to discuss the concerns of area residents, noted as being (1) the steepness of the site; (2) the question of drainage; (3) property devaluation; (4) the need for playground facilities; and (5) street congestion due to cars parked on the street. Mr. Emms, an engineer with U.M.A. Engineering, followed her presentation with additional comments on the aspects of steepness (of the site) and drainage.

In her summation, Ms. Hickey pointed out that the units being proposed for 61 and 65 Regal Road will improve the appearance of these properties from their present condition.

Mr. Emms of U.M.A. Engineering was heard next by Council. He noted that the features of the lots proposed for development are not unusual in the Nantucket context, where drainage and grading challenges have already been met as the existing units have been developed. He addressed both issues of steepness and drainage and gave the opinion that the property below, on Collins Grove will not be adversely impacted upon by the drainage provisions for 61 and 65 Regal Road.

Members of Council asked Mr. L'Esperance a number of questions about density, the original development agreement and compliance with it, and the park reserve taken in conjunction with the Nantucket Subdivision. Further to this last question, Mr. L'Esperance advised that the park land requirements in this situation were targeted primarily toward the land on the west side of Bell Lake and its protection; therefore, that was the land taken to satisfy the subdivision requirements for park purposes.

The Mayor called three more times for any speakers in favour of the application and when there was no response, he opened the public hearing to speakers opposed to it.

Mr. David Fisher of 70 Regal Road spoke on his own behalf and also on behalf of area residents. He described the issue involved as being one of density, and the information he presented on street congestion that already exists, was intended to substantiate his point. He said the population on Regal Road is too dense already and there is not sufficient room in the driveways to get all of the cars off the street. Therefore, cars have to be parked on the street, creating congestion which is further aggravated in the wintertime by ice on the street. The ice results from drainage problems in the area. He noted that children play on the street and it is their main route to and from school; there are no sidewalks for them to walk on at present.

Council heard from two owners of condominium units at 70 Collins Grove and 80 Collins Grove.

Ms. Debbie Gill of 70 Collins Grove circulated snapshots taken to show the storm drains and gabion wall required at the back of the parking lot which serves 70 Collins Grove, to relieve drainage problems experienced there already. She was concerned that further development on Regal Road will only aggravate the drainage problem further.

Mr. John Tobin of 80 Collins Grove substantiated this information about the drainage problems at 70 Collins Grove, and stated that residents where he is living also had to deal with drainage problems at their location. His concerns about a further aggravation of these conditions were similar.

Mr. Currell of 64 Regal Road presented a petition signed by about 98% of area residents opposed to the rezoning. He also commented on the continuing difficulties with drainage, some of which seems to originate with springs or run-off from Bell Lake. His other main point was about the cars that have to be parked on steet, due to the fact that driveways could not be made long enough to accommodate two cars.

Mr. John Brownrigg of 80 Collins Grove was concerned that if trees and vegetation are removed from the bank at 61 and 65 Regal Road, it could cause the bank to give way. Others speakers heard, with concerns similar to the ones already noted, were: Donna Boutlier of 70 Regal Road, Dave Vincent of 66 Regal Road, and Joan Keith of 14 Regal Road. Several residents who spoke said they had bought their properties on the understanding that there was not7be any further development on the properties in question, and the other concern raised was about property devaluation if further development is allowed to take place.

The Mayor called three more times for speakers opposed to the application, and when there were no further representations to be made, he declared the public hearing to be over, on motion of Ald. Levandier and Hetherington.

Proposed By-law C-627 was before Council for consideration in connection with the rezoning application.

It was moved by Ald. Sarto and Hetherington and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-627 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Hetherington and Pye that By-law C-627 be read a second time.

Ald. McCluskey asked several questions about potential drainage problems when a development proposal is being processed, and Mr. Bayer advised that in cases where such problems are anticipated, site drainage plans can be requested. However, the enforcement powers of the Development Officer and the Building Inspector, with respect to private properties, are quite limited.

Ald. McCluskey felt that residents living in this area have already experienced enough problems with drainage and should not be faced with any more. She pointed out that the developer has already been allowed the maximum number of units for rowhousing, under the terms of the original agreement, and that should be enough.

BY-LAW C-627

With the exception of Ald. Pye, members of Council tended to feel that the concerns of the residents are valid reasons for rejecting the rezoning request. Ald. Levandier agreed that the density in this area has already reached the saturation point, and other members were of the opinion that the general quality of life in the local community will be adversely affected by additional development. Ald. Greenough said the terms of the original development agreement should be upheld, as expected of the developer in the first place. (It was noted during the hearing and in debate, that the original developer was Steed & Evans, not the present developer.) Ald. Pye supported the rezoning application and suggested that any potential drainage difficulties could be overcome with new techniques, such as a step-down drainage system.

When the vote was taken on second reading, the motion was defeated.

Ald. Connors was present from this/onward in the meeting and since he was not present for the public hearing, was unable to vote on the motions for first and second reading of By-law C-627. Ald. Bregante and Woods, being absent, were the other two members not voting.

Proposed By-law C-630, the amended Dog By-law, was presented, with an accompanying report from the Solicitor, recommending that Council enact the by-law. Changes from the original Dog By-law have been underlined for the information of members of Council.

It was moved by Ald. Greenough and Hawley and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-630 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Hetherington and Sarto that By-law C-630 be read a second time.

Three changes were made and brought to Council's attention. The word 'suspects' is to be deleted wherever it appears in the by-law. Schedule A is amended by

DOG BY-LAW: C-630 changing the figure for the Dog License fee from \$20. to \$25. In Schedule B, the word 'prays' is changed to read 'seeks'. All three changes will appear in the final draft of the by-law.

Ald. Thompson requested that the matter of dogs in public places and their control (ie. at events such as the Hydroplane Races) be looked at, and with respect to the definition of specific breeds of dogs and the difficulty associated with this point, Ald. Billard asked that the same point be addressed with respect to By-law C-415, which applies to snakes, scorpions, etc.

The motion for second reading carried and unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

It was moved by Ald. Hetherington and Hawley and carried that By-law C-630 be read a third time and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

MOTIONS: Three readings given to By-law C-630, the Dog By-law.

The monthly reports were approved by Council as follows:

- 1) Social Services (Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Hetherington & Greenough.
- 2) Development Officer (Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Greenough and Thompson.
- 3) Building Inspection (Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Sarto and McCluskey.
- 4) Minimum Standards (Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Hawley and Thompson.
- 5) Fire Chief (Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Sarto and Thompson. \*\*

Ald. Hetherington requested the formation of a committee, consisting of Mr. Burke, the Solicitor, the Fire Chief (or his designate), the Police Chief (or his designate), and two members of Council, to discuss regulations applicable to alarm systems. The Mayor agreed to arrange for a meeting as requested.

- 6) Dog Control(Aug.): approved on motion of Ald. Hetherington and Sarto.
- \*\* (Further to the Fire Chief's report, Ald. McCluskey asked for a response to her question about the fire call to 9 Grove Street, a Federal property which is supposed to be served by their own fire services.)

MONTHLY REPORTS

City Council, Sept. 15/87.

Page 7 .

MOTIONS: To approve monthly reports, as detailed on page 6 of the minutes.

UNTRACT 86526C: UNDERGROUND SERVICES BUSINESS PARK

Tenders have been received for Contract 86526C, Underground Services: Brownlow Ave. and Eileen Stubbs Drive. Acceptance of the low bid, received from Harbour Construction Co. Ltd., in the amount of \$1,077,873., has been recommended by Mr. Purdy, with Mr. Burke's concurrence.

The tender was awarded by Council, as recommended, on motion of Ald. Sarto and Hetherington.

Ald. Levandier had some concerns about the number of capital projects allocated for the Burnside Park, suggesting that other City-wide projects are being delayed to accommodate them.

The motion carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto & Hetherington that the tender for Contract 86526C be awarded to the low bidder, Harbour Construction Co. Ltd., as recommended.

AWARD TENDER: GRAY ARENA CEILING

A single tender has been received, from Sugar Shack Maple Products Ltd., for the supply and install-ation of a low emissivity ceiling for the Gray Arena. The bid price for this tender is \$27,950.

Mr. Purdy and Mr. Burke have recommended acceptance of the tender, and it was awarded by Council, as recommended, on motion of Ald. Sarto and Hetherington.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto & Hetherington that the tender for a ceiling for the Gray Arena, be awarded to the single bidder, Sugar Shack Maple Products Ltd. in the same Maple

Products Ltd., in the amount of \$27,950., as recommended to Council.

PENHORN MALL PROPERTY

Members have received copies of a letter from Atlantic Shopping Centres and the report previously circulated from Mr. Burke, on the subject of lands adjacent to the Penhorn Mall, which the Atlantic Shopping Centres people are interested in acquiring from the City. A plan of survey for Parcel P has also been circulated, as requested.

Mr. Burke gave a verbal update at this time of the negotiations which have been ongoing for some

time with the Atlantic Shopping Centre representatives, this information having been previously communicated to members when the item was discussed several times at in camera meetings. It was his recommendation to Council that Parcel P be sold to Atlantic Shopping Centres, based on the restrictive use appraisal of \$384,000., which takes into account the portions of the site taken up with two easements and rights-of-way. It is the feeling% staff that to sell the land as a marketable building lot would open problems with traffic circulation, the particular concern being for any additional traffic access point onto Portland Street. In conclusion, Mr. Burke set out the three options Council can consider: (1) to sell the land as recommended; (2) to consider the land as a marketable building lot, in which case some conditions should be set on its sale and development; or (3) not to sell the land at all.

Ald. Connors and Hetherington moved that the recommendation be rejected, and that the issue of negotiating instructions be referred to an in camera meeting of Council.

Ald. Connors did not consider \$384,000. to be a fair market value for the land and was completely opposed to the sale of it for that price. He questioned whether the City needs another superstore, which is what will ultimately be developed by Sobeys if they have sufficient land to proceed. Ald. Billard did not share this opinion. He felt the utilitarian uses affecting Parcel P should be reflected in determining a selling price for the land. He was in favour of trying to cooperate with Sobeys and encouraging their development, as a preferable course of action.

Ald. McCluskey maintained that Parcel P is a building lot and the appraisal of the land should be based on the regular criteria of the 'highest and best use' for it, not on terms of reference that produce a lower appraisal and hence, a lower selling price.

Ald. Greenough said he was not convinced that the land should be sold for any other purpose than parking, and Council should be careful not to drive potential development out to the boundaries of the City where Sobeys might decide to expand their other store in the Cole Harbour area instead.

Ald. MacFarlane and Hetherington's concerns were about the area residents who do not want to see any further expansion of the Penhorn Mall next to their residential properties. Ald. Hetherington said they should have the opportunity to express their views through a public hearing process.

Ald. Hawley's questions to Mr. Bayer were about the actual useable portion of the land for development purposes, if it were to be sold as a building lot. Mr. Bayer indicated on a plan the central portion of the main body of land as the part that is not encumbered by easements or right-of-way. The section he indicated represented only about a third of the total land holding. Asked if Sobeys could proceed with expansion anyway, without the land, Mr. Bayer said they could go ahead with some expansion already since they presently have more parking than required.

Ald. Pye's questions were about the ownership of the land and Mr. Burke advised that it is presently owned by the Province and is to be conveyed to the City as part of a land exchange with the Province.

Ald. Thompson asked why the idea of leasing the land was given up in the negotiations, which started out that way. Mr. Burke acknowledged that leasing was being considered, but the value of the land was still unsettled in that instance as well. He agreed that perhaps leasing is a consideration.

During the course of debate on the motion, Council agreed to continue meeting after 11:00 p.m. to complete this one item, on motion of Ald. Levandier and Pye.

When the vote was taken on the motion on the floor, it carried with Ald. Billard voting against.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Connors & Hetherington that the recommendation on the sale of Parcel P be rejected, and that the issue of negotiating instructions be referred to an in camera meeting of Council.

Meeting adjourned.

G. D.\Brady, City Clerk.

# City Council, Sept. 1t/87

### ITEMS:

1) Public hearing: Nantucket Subdivision, Regal Rd, page 1 to 5 incl.

By-law C-627, page 4.
2) Dog By-law: C-630, page 5.

3) Monthly reports, page 6.
4) Contract 86526C: Underground services, Business Park, page 7.

5) Award tender: Gray Arena ceiling, page 7. 6) Penhorn Mall property, page 7 to 10 incl.

When the vote was taken on the motion on the floor, it carried with Ald. Billard voting against.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Connors & Hetherington that the recommendation on the sale of Parcel P be rejected, and that the issue of negotiating instructions be referred to an in camera meeting of Council.

Meeting adjourned.

G. D. City Clerk.

# City Council, Sept. 1t/87

## ITEMS:

1) Public hearing: Nantucket Subdivision, Regal Rd, page 1 to 5 incl.

By-law C-627, page 4.
2) Dog By-law: C-630, page 5. 3) Monthly reports, page 6.

4) Contract 86526C: Underground services, Business Park, page 7.

5) Award tender: Gray Arena ceiling, page 7. 6) Penhorn Mall property, page 7 to 10 incl.

Sept. 22/87.

Dartmouth, N. S.

Regularly called meeting of City Council held this date at 8:00 p.m.

Present - Mayor Savage

Ald. Sarto
Billard
Withers
Pye
Hawley
Bregante
Connors

City Solicitor, M. Moreash City Administrator, J. Burke Deputy City Clerk, G. D. Brady.

At the opening of the meeting, Ald. Bregante presented a framed poster to be hung in City Hall, commemorating the International Year of Shelter for the Homeless. Ald. Bregante has just returned from this conference and he provided Council with background information on the designer of the poster, a formerly homeless person.

Notice of motion having been duly given, the following motions were introduced at this time for Council to consider:

1) Moved by Ald. Pye, seconded by Ald. McCluskey, that:

WHEREAS there appears to be individuals in our society who go about destroying public property; AND WHEREAS it is often difficult to apprehend such individuals;

AND WHEREAS the cost of repairing or replacing public property is borne by the taxpayer;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this municipal government institute a reward system of no less than \$200. leading to the arrest and conviction of an individual or individuals found destroying public property.

In presenting his motion, Ald. Pye referred to several recent acts of vandalism in Ward 5 and what they have cost the City. He noted that a successful reward system of the kind he proposes is offered by Crime Stoppers, and he explained that a committee, comprised of two Council members, a member of the public, and a member of the Police Dept. would assess the information received from an individual and determine the reward that should be received for it. Ald. Pye proposed

MOTIONS: ALD. PYE

that a maximum of \$5,000. be included in the 1988

Police Dept. budget, on an experimental basis initially,
and that the reward system be monitored to determine how
successful it is in the first year.

Members who spoke on the motion were not opposed to it, but had some questions about the aspect of confidentiality (as in the case of the Neighborhood Watch Program) and how a reward system would work under those circumstances. Ald. Thompson felt that a report from the Chief of Police would be in order before Council makes a decision on a reward system, and he moved deferral for a report from the Police Chief to the Police Commission meeting of Sept. 24th. The motion to defer was seconded by Ald. Greenough and it carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Thompson & Greenough that a decision on a reward system for information on acts of vandalism, be deferred for a report from the Police Chief on this subject, to the Police Commission meeting of Sept. 24th.

2) Ald. Pye moved, seconded by Ald. Woods, that:

WHEREAS residents of Leaman Drive often find their street frontage property and driveways with approx. two feet of water after a rainstorm, and ice during the winter;

AND WHEREAS this problem occurs because of sunken or broken curbs;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering Dept. prepare a cost estimate on curb replacement and re-surfacing of Leaman Drive, with the intent of having this item considered in the 1988 Capital Expenditure Budget.

There was no debate on the motion and it carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Pye & Woods: text as above.

3) Ald. MacFarlane moved, seconded by Ald. Billard, that:

WHEREAS the present system of representation in Dartmouth designates two Aldermen per ward; AND WHEREAS this system results in confusion for constituents and duplication of effort; AND WHEREAS the people of Dartmouth could be more effectively served by redefined ward boundaries, with smaller, more intimate jurisdictions for elected representatives; BE IT RESOLVED that ward boundaries will be redefined to reduce the size of each by half, with one representative per ward;

AND BE IT ALSO RESOLVED that this consideration begin immediately so as to be completed prior to the next municipal election in 1988.

ALD. MACFARLANE

1

Ald. MacFarlane stated a number of reasons for proposing his motion, including duplication of effort, fragile communication, conflict of objectives, confusion among residents as to who represents them at the municipal level, etc. He felt that a single system of representation would make for improved availability of a member to the people he or she represents, better communication and less confusion about who represents the people in a given area, and it would be possible to double the service to constituents by halving the number of people served.

Ald. Greenough commented on the lack of success he had previously in attempting to change the system of representation in the City. He felt the only time such a change would be acceptable to Council and could work, would be at the time of another amalgamation, if and when one takes place.

Other members tended to feel that the present system is as satisfactory as any, even though dual representation is no longer in effect in other Nova Scotian municipalities. Ald. Connors said the important thing basically is that Council is comprised of people with the best interest of the City at heart, regardless of what form of representation is in place. Most members have found that working with another Alderman to resolve problems in a ward meets the needs of their constituents adequately.

When the vote was taken on the motion, it was defeated.

ALD. HETHERINGTON

4) Ald. Hetherington moved, seconded by Ald. Thompson, that:

WHEREAS the City Charter allows deferral of taxes for widows, widowers, single parents supporting dependents, and those age 65 and over;

AND WHEREAS the Provincial Government uses age 55 as the qualifying age for many programs for senior citizens;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that the City Charter be amended to allow deferral of taxes for persons age 55 or over.

Speaking in support of his motion, Ald. Hetherington explained the need for this tax deferral change in situations where people are trying to maintain their homes and stay in them, on incomes of under \$14,000.

Ald. Pye wished to have disabled persons who come within the \$14,000. income level restriction, included in the change proposed, and he moved in amendment that disabled persons who qualify be granted the tax deferral provision as well. The amendment was seconded by Ald. Hetherington and it carried. The Solicitor suggested that for purposes of amending the Charter, it would be advisable not to get into detail with respect to the definition of disabled persons; this detail can be spelled out in the by-law. (Ald. Billard left the meeting at this point.)

The amended motion carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Hetherington & Thompson: text on page 3 of these minutes.

AMENDMENT: Moved by Ald. Pye & Hetherington in amendment that the change proposed also include disabled persons who qualify for tax deferral.

5) Ald. Hetherington moved, seconded by Ald. Thompson, that:

WHEREAS the World Health Organization's "Healthy Communities Project" provides a framework for bringing together key sectors that can influence healthy public policies and programs;

WHEREAS making Dartmouth a healthy and vibrant city is a priority for all involved in the City; WHEREAS it is vital for coordination in all

sectors to promote this concept;
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City of Dartmouth approve in principle the "Healthy Communities Project" toward a healthier Dartmouth.

The Mayor asked Deputy Mayor Hawley to take the chair for this item so that he could speak on the motion. He addressed Council on the subject of the "Healthy Communities Project" which has already been adopted by a number of other municipalities. The Project sets out health as a priority issue in all aspects of community planning and development so that it is regularly taken into account in decisions affecting the community. He advised that he and Ald. Hetherington will be bringing back specific recommendations for

Council to consider at a later time.

The vote was taken on the motion and it carried.

The Mayor then resumed the chair and Deputy Mayor Hawley returned to his place on Council.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Hetherington & Thompson: text on page 4 of these minutes.

Ald. Hetherington passed a report to Mr. Brady on the subject of his motion and asked to have copies of it circulated to members of Council.

NOTICES OF MOTION

The following notices of motion were given for the next regular meeting of Council:

ALD. BILLARD

### 1) Ald. Billard

WHEREAS the operation of the Shubie Campground has become a well-established tourist attraction, and therefore no longer a risky business;

AND WHEREAS the City has an established procedure of letting out concessions for canteens and other such services;

AND WHEREAS the City's philosophy has often been stated as encouraging private business to join the government in delivering public services effectively and efficiently;

BE IT RESOLVED that staff explore the pros and cons of leasing out the operation of Shubie Campground on an annual basis for a flat fee, plus profit sharing, for the budget year 1988.

ALD. WOODS

#### 2) Ald. Woods

WHEREAS many of the students of John MacNeil School cross the intersection at Leaman Drive and Crystal Drive on their way to and from school;

AND WHEREAS both the parents and the principal have expressed concern about the number of cars, buses and trucks that pass through this intersection;

BE IT RESOLVED that the Police Dept. be directed to conduct a student/vehicle survey and provide a recommendation on the necessity of a school crossing guard at this intersection.

ALD. PYE

#### 3) Ald. Pye

WHEREAS Courtney Road is in serious need of upgrading;

AND WHEREAS Courtney Road is a route to and from school for children in the area below Windmill Road;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Engineering Dept. prepare a cost estimate on upgrading Courtney Road, with the consideration of having it placed within the 1988 Capital Budget.

ALD. CONNORS

#### 4) Ald. Connors

WHEREAS the downtown commercial area of Dartmouth is an important commercial, retail and residential area of our City;

AND WHEREAS many of the private and public properties are often ill-kept, littered or in less than desirable condition;

AND WHEREAS the responsibility for this rests both with private and public landowners; BE IT RESOLVED that:

Staff prepare and bring to Council the appropriate by-law to compel commercial abuttors in the downtown to clean, on a daily basis, litter that has accumulated on the public sidewalks and private property abutting such sidewalks;

That the Engineering Dept. include in its 1988 budget submission, a proposal for the regular cleaning of downtown streets during the spring, summer and fall, either through the hiring of students or otherwise;

That the Minimum Standards staff inventory commercial building exteriors and property in the Central Business District, and move immediately to have corrected, deficiencies exposed as a result of such survey;

That the City, in cooperation with the Downtown Development Corp., embark on a specific campaign to promote a clean downtown and to include estimates for such a campaign in the 1988 budget of the City, so that Council may consider such in time for implementation during the spring of 1988.

INQUIRIES:

ALD PYE

Ald. Pye's first inquiry concerned Greenbank

Court and Cove Lane; Mr. Fougere advised that a meeting

has taken place with National Gypsum representatives,

and they are contacting their head office regarding the

possibility of the City connecting a line to their water

system. They expect a response within the next week.

Ald. Pye asked about the status of the by-law handbook. Mr. Moreash advised that it should be ready and available before the end of the year.

Ald. Pye asked about the holding of a public meeting to hear the concerns of citizens about a containment site and transfer facility for hazardous waste.

The Mayor said he will take the inquiry under advisement and get back to Ald. Pye.

LD. HETHERINGTON

Ald. Hetherington said the overhead crosswalk sign at John's Lunch (Pleasant St.) is burned out; he asked to have it put back in operation.

Ald. Hetherington also asked to have attention

given to the lights at the entrance to Imperial Oil; he requested that after 7:00 p.m. they be put on flashing amber instead of the regular sequence they are in during the daytime hours.

Ald. Hetherington inquired about the policy of the School Board on the busing of children within the City. Ald. Connors responded as Chairman of the School Board. He said the Board has just received a report from the Dept. of Transportation on school bus transportation, and the entire subject will be coming before the Board's next meeting for discussion.

Ald. Connors agreed to have copies of the report provided for members of Council, after the Board has had an opportunity to deal with it, and he will keep Council informed of the Board's action on the report.

(Ald. Hetherington left the meeting at this point.)

Ald. Withers said traffic is being impeded in the morning rush hour on Wyse Road by the alignment of traffic travelling south to enter the MacDonald Bridge. The left-turn markings in the area of Harveys are affecting the traffic flow on Wyse Road, and he asked the T.M.G. to look at the problem.

Ald. Withers asked about the re-surfacing of Hester Street and whether or not this project will be included in the 1988 capital budget; Mr. Fougere agreed to put Hester Street on the list of projects.

Ald. Hawley asked if a letter could be forwarded on Council's behalf to radio station CFDR, concerning their recent change in program format. The Mayor said he will be meeting with station representatives and he would convey the concerns expressed to them.

Ald. Hawley's second inquiry was about traffic patterns at the Caledonia/Main Street intersection and problems with the light sequence. The Mayor suggested that Ald. Hawley meet with the T.M.G. to explain the problem in detail and discuss it with them. Ald. Greenough later indicated that he would like to be present for that discussion as well.

ALD. WITHERS

ALD. HAWLEY

City Council, Sept. 22/87.

Page 8 .

ALD. SARTO

Ald. Sarto asked about the delay in the overhead crosswalk sign approved for the location on Mount Edward Road at Spring Ave.

ALD. MACFARLANE

Inquiries made by Ald. MacFarlane were as

#### follows:

- 1) when is the work on Murray Hill Drive to be started and can it be completed before frost is in the ground? Mr. Fougere advised that tenders for that project closed today and a recommendation will go to next Tuesday's Council meeting. He could not give assurance, however, that the project will be completed before winter.
- 2) concerning three recent water breaks in the Hawthorne Street area, and the fact that there was no response from the 24-hour emergency number for reporting such breaks after hours. Mr. Fougere was asked to check on this inquiry.
- 3) when can Council expect the re-drafted Nuisance By-law? Mr. Moreash will check on the status of the by-law.
- 4) Ald. MacFarlane sought the concurrence of Council for a change in the crosswalk location at Maynard and Portland Streets. The relocation has been checked out with staff already. Members of Council were willing to authorize the change as requested.
- 5) requested that the Police Dept. monitor the walking route from the Penhorn area to Admiral Westphal School for a month, and report back at the end of that time.

Inquiries made by Ald. McCluskey were as follows:

- 1) asked that she and Ald. Withers be provided with a report on the work tendered in Ward 4, when it will be started and completed. Mr. Fougere to provide this information.
- 2) asked if there has been a response to date on the signalized intersection at MicMac Blvd.; Mr. Fougere advised that no letter has been received as yet from the Transportation Dept.
- 3) asked why the lights at Ridgecrest and Main Street are still in a flashing mode; Mr. Fougere to take this inquiry up with Mr. Connors.
- 4) what is the status of the lighted crosswalk requested in the Woodland/Pinehill/Frederick Street area?
- 5) asked who is responsible for trimming trees when they are affecting the visibility of street lights; Mr. Atkinson said a crew is starting this work at locations throughout the City where it is required.
- 6) asked that Council 6) asked be informed on the status of outstanding assessment appeals.
- 7) asked if any response has been received to the letter forwarded re assessment concerns raised by Ald. MacFarlane. The Mayor advised that the letter was sent, but there is no response to date.

ALD. MCCLUSKEY

ALD. GREENOUGH

Ald. Greenough requested that the T.M.G. deal with a request from the Montebello Residents Assn. for a crosswalk on Waverley Road to link up with the new sidewalk on Montebello Drive. He asked to have the item dealt with as soon as possible, suggesting that it be discussed at the time when he and Ald. Hawley are meeting with the T.M.G. re the traffic patterns and problems at the Caledonia/Main Street intersection.

ALD. THOMPSON

Ald. Thompson asked if steel plates are put down for traffic to drive over when streets have to be opened in the case of water main breaks, etc.

Mr. Fougere explained the safety measures that are taken in these situations.

HEALTH PROMOTION STUDY

A report from Mr. Burke was before Council on the subject of a Health Promotion Study to be conducted in Dartmouth, with federal funding in the first year, intended to promote the health of children through improved health instruction and services. Details of the study are outlined in Mr. Burke's report.

RESOLUTION 87-40

He has recommended the Council approve Resolution 87-40, authorizing the services of a Coordinator of Planning & Implementation for the project. The resolution received Council's approval, on motion of Ald. Connors and Withers.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Connors and Withers that Council approve Resolution 87-40, in connection with the Health Promotion Study to be carried out in the City, as per the recommendation noted above.

TEMPORARY BORROWING RESOLUTIONS

On motion of Ald. Sarto and Thompson, Council approved the following Temporary Borrowing Resolutions, on which additional information has been provided, as requested when this item was previously before Council:

Resolutions 87-01 to 87-07 inclusive 87-08 to 87-20 inclusive 84-01, 84-02, 84-08, 84-11, 84-12, 84-13 85-01 to 85-06 incl., 85-08, 85-09

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto & Thompson that the above-noted Temporary Borrowing Resolutions be approved as recommended by the Deputy City Treasurer. Additional information has been provided as requested by Council.

City Council, Sept. 22/87.

CELLULAR CARD-READER TELEPHONES Mr. Smith has submitted a report to Council on the proposed installation of cellular card-reader telephones on the Dartmouth ferries, by Maritime Tel & Tel, on a trial basis, at no cost to the City. Any and all costs associated with the installation and maintenance of this equipment would be assumed by Maritime Tel & Tel. It is the view of staff that this equipment would represent an improved level of service to ferry patrons, and Mr. Smith has recommended that Council approve the installation of the new system on a trial basis.

Council approved the recommendation, on motion of Ald. Sarto and Greenough.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto and Greenough that Council approve Mr. Smith's recommendation on the installation by Maritime Tel & Tel of cellular card-reader telephones on the Dartmouth ferries, on a trial basis.

LETTER: CITY OF EDMONTON

On motion of Ald. Thompson and Sarto, Council received & filed a letter of thanks from the Mayor of the City of Edmonton for the assistance they received following the tornado experienced by their city in July of this year.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Thompson and Sarto that Council receive and file the letter of thanks received from the Mayor if the City of Edmonton for assistance following the tornado that struck their city in July.

AWARD TENDER: CONTRACT 87-11

Tenders have been received for Contract 87-11,

Main Street slope stabilization and Ian Forsyth School

sports field. Acceptance of the low bid, received from

H. S. Walker Construction Ltd., in the amount of \$127,350.,

has been recommended by Mr. Purdy, with Mr. Burke's

concurrence.

The tender was awarded, as recommended, on motion of Ald. Greenough and Thompson.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Greenough & Thompson that the tender for Contract 87-11 be awarded to the low bidder, H. S. Walker Construction Ltd., as recommended by Mr. Purdy, with Mr. Burke's concurrence.

Tenders have been received as follows for Contract 87-09, Scenic Gardens fence repairs:

AWARD TENDER: CONTRACT 87-09

OCTOBER

Conex Ltd.
Blunden Construction

\$119,108.55 273,807.00

It has been recommended by Mr. Purdy that the tender be awarded to the low bidder, Conex Ltd., in the reduced amount of \$104,639.41 (achieved by eliminating the section of wall along Windmill Road & applying tendered unit prices) in order to stay within the budgeted amount for this project (\$105,000.) Mr. Burke has concurred with this recommendation.

The tender was awarded, as recommended, on motion of Ald. Sarto and Greenough.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto & Greenough that the tender for Contract 87-09 be awarded to Conex Ltd., in the reduced amount of \$104,639.41, as recommended by Mr. Purdy and Mr. Burke.

Mr. Brian Smith, Mr. Mort Jackson, and Mr. Chris
Prentice were in attendance to make the Metropolitan
Authority presentation requested by Council, in connection
with the additional funding the City has been asked to
provide in 1987 to make up the transit deficit.

The presentation itself was made by Mr. Jackson, assisted by Mr. Smith. He first explained to Council why supplementary funding is needed from the local municipalities, over and above the contributions budgeted for, to make up a projected shortfall in revenue amounting to \$997,000., resulting from a decrease in ridership. He said this decline in transit use is being experienced as a national trend and in fact, the metropolitan transit system is notpas bad a financial position as many other transit operations. Figures were presented to show where revenue losses occurred, one being in the Go Time projection for increased ridership, and another significant shortfall, in the projected revenue from the Woodside ferry operation.

Mr. Jackson went on to review the goals and objectives that have been set for metro transit, and transit service policies that are proposed. Two points noted in particular were the proposed change in cost-sharing formula (from mileage to assessment) and the

PRESENTATION: METRO. AUTHORITY

proposal that for budgetary purposes, no more than 35% of revenues required to operate the transit system is to be charged to the participating municipalities.

Other aspects of the transit operation dealt with by Mr. Jackson in his presentation were: (1) the five-year plan being formulated, to be updated annually at budget time; (2) system improvements, including an examination of the entire routing system; (3) marketing, to include a marketing analysis, a review of the fare structure, advertising; and (4) performance monitoring.

He pointed out that all of these things are going to take time, and said he hoped Council would be patient until the plans for an improved system can be carried out and better means are in place for keeping the budget under control.

When Mr. Jackson had completed his presentation, members had an opportunity to ask any questions they wanted to about the transit operation. A summary of questions is as follows:

- 1) concerning Provincial assistance. It is a per capita grant, based on the population figure of 250,000, which amounts to approx.

  1.4 million dollars per year for operating.

  This is in addition to capital funding received from the Province, but the level of provincial assistance in other provinces is considerably higher.
- 2) how did the transit system get into the present difficulties without any efforts to change direction before this time?

  Mr. Jackson noted that the system was previously administered by the Metro.

  Transit Commission. He said there is a higher degree of public awareness and debate being generated since it came under the Metro. Authority, where meetings are open to the press. Meetings of the Metro. Transit Commission were held in camera.
- 3) what change is planned for the bus terminal at the Dartmouth Shopping Centre? Mr. Jackson commented on some of the difficulties in trying to find an alternate location for the terminal, now that the Commons legislation is in place, preventing the use of lands at the bridgehead.
- 4) will there be a closer integration of bus and ferry services? Mr. Jackson agreed there should be a closer integration of these two services.

- 5) several questions related to the inequities of the present cost-sharing arrangement, whereby one municipality ends up paying the large portion of costs for a transit route that serves people from a neighboring municipality. Mr. Jackson pointed out that the proposed new basis for cost-sharing, to be determined by assessment, is considered more equitable.
- 6) there were also a number of questions about the obsolescence of some old established routes that do not come anywhere near the 40% cost-recovery figure, and about the fact that when routes are discontinued, people on those routes complain to their local elected representative; this also happens in the case of new routes that are not able to achieve the cost-recovery minimum and decisions have to be made on whether or not they will continue. It was suggested to Mr. Jackson that perhaps these decisions on route reductions and changes should be made at the Metropolitan Authority level and taken out of the hands of local councils. Constituents would then not see council members as being responsible, and it would be easier to carry through on decisions affecting individual transit routes.
- 7) what has been the success with Go Time in increasing ridership? Mr. Jackson said it was anticipated that ridership would increase by 5% in the first year with Go Time, based on experience with the system elsewhere. This success has not been achieved up to now.
- 8) there were also questions to Mr. Jackson about the impact of the latest fare increase. He felt it was detrimental and one of the reasons that ridership has fallen off, but a fare reduction at this point would not bring the people who stopped using transit back to it. A number of ideas are being looked at with respect to bus fares, such as discounted passes, undiscounted passes, lower fares for shorter distance travelled, etc., to make transit a more attractive alternative.
- 9) the idea of using smaller buses on routes with low ridership, was suggested as one way of continuing to provide transit service at a lower cost. Mr. Jackson agreed the idea is worth considering.
- 10) Ald. Greenough said he would like to be invited to a meeting when transit service in the Waverley Road area would be discussed. He commented on the importance of adequate bus service in a rapidly-expanding area such as this one is, with the potential for increasing ridership if the hours of service are satisfactory.
- 11) one question concerned the increasing demand for Access-a-Bus services and associated costs. Mr. Jackson advised that this service is to be tendered again this year. Mr. Smith noted that where possible, taxis are contracted to offset the expense of operating the Access-a-Bus

(During the question period, Council agreed to continue meeting beyond the hour of 11:00 p.m., on motion of Ald. Sarto and Bregante.)

At the conclusion of the question period, Mr. Jackson introduced the new transit manager, Mr. Prentice, and he addressed Council briefly on his objectives for improving the transit operation and its financial position.

The Mayor thanked all of the Metro. Authority representatives for their attendance and discussion with Council.

No further action was taken by Council at this meeting on the request for additional funding. It was indicated by Mr. Jackson, in response to a question from Ald. Thompson, that the Authority has secured a line of credit for the one million dollars required, and the immediacy of the request to the City has therefore been relieved. Some members felt the City's additional contribution should be delayed until the end of the year when the exact financial figures for the transit operation are known.

REGIONAL TRANSIT ADVISORY BOARD

The Transit Advisory Board has recommended that Council endorse the formation of a Regional Transit Advisory Board, subject to ratification on an annual basis. Council previously referred this item to the Transit Advisory Board for a recommendation.

The recommendation was adopted, on motion of Ald. Pye and Sarto.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Pye and Sarto that Council endorse the formation of a Regional Transit Advisory Board, as recommended by the Dartmouth Transit Advisory Board.

WOODSIDE FERRY SCHEDULE CHANGES The Transit Advisory Board has discussed the subject of the Woodside ferry schedule and has recommended that it be changed to commence service at 3:37 p.m., with a corresponding drop of the last ferry, now scheduled for 6:37 p.m. There would be no cost involved to make this change.

The recommendation was adopted by Council, on motion of Ald. Woods and Bregante.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Woods & Bregante that Council approve the recommended change in the Woodside ferry schedule, as noted above.

on of time

FERRY REPORTS: MAY, JUNE & JULY

Ald. Greenough referred to a Transit Advisory
Board recommendation from the Sept. 3rd meeting, on
the new ferry turnstiles which have been a source of
problems for some time now. He said it was about time
the manufacturers of these turnstiles were asked to
appear before Council and called to account for their
product. The Mayor said he would follow up on this
matter.

On motion of Ald. Sarto and Pye, Council approved the ferry operation reports for the months of May, June and July, as recommended by the Transit Advisory Board.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto and Pye that Council approve the ferry operation reports for May, June & July, as recommended by the Transit Advisory Board.

On motion of Ald. McCluskey and Sarto, Council ratified action that was taken in camera prior to the regularly called open meeting of this date.

Meeting adjourned.

G. D. Brady, Deputy City Clerk.

#### City Council, Sept. 22/87

#### ITEMS:

1) Motions: Ald. Pye, page 1 & 2.

MacFarlane, page 2 & 3.

Hetherington, page 3 & 4.

2) Notices of Motion: Ald. Billard Woods } page 5 Pye

Connors page 6

3) Inquiries, page 6 to 9 incl.

4) Health Promotion Study, page 9
Resolution 87-40, page 9

5) Temporary Borrowing Resolutions, page 9.

6) Cellular card reader telephones, page 10.

7) Letter: City of Edmonton, page 10.

8) Award tender: Contract 87-11, page 10.

9) " " : Contract 87-09, page 10.

10) Presentation: Metro. Authority, page 11 to 14 incl.

11) Regional Transit Advisory Board, page 14.

12) Woodside Ferry schedule changes, page 14.

13) Ferry reports: May, June & July, page 15.

Dartmouth, N. S.

Sept. 29/87.

Regularly called meeting of City Council held this date at  $7:30\ \mathrm{p.m.}$ 

Present - Mayor Savage

Ald. Sarto Thompson
Billard MacFarlane
Withers McCluskey
Pye Woods
Hawley Greenough
Bregante Hetherington

Levandier City Solicitor, S. Hood

City Administrator, J. Burke

City Clerk-Treasurer, Bruce S. Smith.

PUBLIC HEARING: M.P.S. AMENDMENT PORTLAND ESTATES

This date was set by Council for public hearing of an application from Portland Estates Ltd. to amend the Municipal Planning Strategy so that commercial development can take place on lands that have been designated for residential development. Commercial zoning is being requested in conjunction with this M.P.S. amendment, along with zoning changes that would permit R-4 and Townhouse development, all on lands presently zoned H (Holding Zone). This development package proposed is to be known as Phase 4 of the Portland Estates project. The M.P.S. amendment is applicable only to the proposed commercial development, since the residential portion is already in compliance with existing M.P.S. policies.

The Planning Dept. report to Council recommends in favour of the M.P.S. amendment.

Ald. McCluskey declared a conflict of interest, due to the fact that she does work for Bonaventure Homes, and she withdrew from her place on Council for this hearing and for the subsequent hearings with respect to rezoning. Ald. Connors was not present for this meeting of Council and therefore did not participate in any of the public hearings held on this date.

The Solicitor advised that in the case of these three related public hearings, it would be wise to permit some latitude in the discussion so that speakers do not feel they have to wait for the land use amendment to address Council.

Mr. Lukan made the Planning Dept. presentation

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

to Council, indicating on a map the lands that are involved in the M.P.S. amendment request, and stating the Department's recommendation in favour of it. Reports have also been circulated on the neighbourhood information meetings held on July 29th (rezoning request) and on Sept. 23rd (M.P.S. amendment).

The developer's presentation was made by Mr.

Allen Stockall, President of Portland Estates Ltd.,
assisted by associates of his company. He gave an overview of the process followed to date in making application
for the necessary amendments to proceed with Phase 4 of
his development. He stated his intent to continue a
policy of lake protection, in conjunction with Phase 4,
such as he has been willing to do in the case of other
development phases up to this point. He noted that a
letter to this effect, guaranteeing a willingness to
work closely with the Lakes Advisory Board, dated
Sept. 28/87, has been forwarded to the Mayor. Copies
of this letter have been circulated to all members of
Council.

Mr. STockall used a series of photographs to show Council the extent of the Phase 4 development and the lands it encompasses, and their relation to Russell Lake. One of the photographs was used to indicate the location of the settling pond created to prevent siltation run-off during construction in the previous phases of development, and a drawing was also shown to illustrate a protective construction measure that is planned for buildings in Phase 4 so that excavations will not have to take place and potential danger to the lake from construction run off will be minimized.

Mr. Woolworth, the Architect for the commercial component of Phase 4, presented concept plans and drawings for a town centre/village square type of development that is proposed to include a fitness centre, a medical centre, and a small-scale office complex. He said the design is intended to conform to the topographical features of the site and to tie in with the overall

Portland Estates development concept.

Following the developer's presentation, the Mayor asked Mrs. Manzer, Chairman of the Lakes Advisory Board, to make a presentation, as Council's advisory body in a capacity similar to that of staff.

Copies of Mrs. Manzer's submission were circulated and she proceeded to make a presentation verbally as well, on behalf of the Board. The Board has indicated concerns about siltation problems that have already occurred in Morris Lake, as a result of previous phases of development and lake protection measures that were not as effective as they should have been. There is also a concern about the steep slope of land where the R-4 development in Phase 4 is planned, and about the clay soil, which is highly susceptible to erosion. The other area of concern is about run off from the site proposed for commercial development, and the Board has recommended that commercial zoning be allowed only if stringent lake protection guidelines are imposed, and if measures are taken in the design development to ensure minimum increases in runoff during and after rainfall. The Board has stated that it is imperative that concerns expressed be satisfactorily addressed in a lake protection package, as referred to in Mr. Stockall's letter of Sept. 28/87, including:

- 1) enforcement of lake protection guidelines.
- 2) the inclusion of an adequate buffer zone around Russell Lake.
- 3) an environmentally sensitive design of the commercial area, including protection of its stream.

After completion of the Lakes Advisory Board submission, the Mayor called for speakers in favour of the M.P.S. amendment request. A number of area residents were heard at this time, including a resident of 13 Ralph Edward Court, Mr. Bob Scott, a resident of 32 Thomas Dr., Mr. Lyle Williams, Mr. Hedley Myers, Ms. Sharon Driscoll, a resident of 49 Portland Estates Blvd., Mr. Terry MacPherson. Except for Mr. Scott, who spoke on behalf of his parents, the other speakers are people living

in Portland Estates, who are happy with the way development has proceeded there, and indicated to Council their satisfaction with the way in which the developer has complied with development standards and expectations so far. Some of the speakers have previously lived elsewhere in Canada and in other countries, and they considered Portland Estates to be a model development which compares favourably with residential communities where they lived in the past. Several of the speakers commended Mr. Stockall for his integrity and for keeping his word to them.

When there were no further speakers in favour wanting to be heard, the Mayor called for speakers opposed to the application.

Mr. Peter McDonah addressed Council on behalf of Atlantic Wholesalers Ltd., the developers of the Atlantic Superstore on lands adjacent to Portland Estates. This company is opposed to the commercial component proposed for Phase 4, based on their concern that a 35-acre commercial development will be situated on land the company understood was designated for residential use, when the decision was taken to proceed with a superstore in east Dartmouth.

Mr. McDonah commented on the negotiations with Atlantic Wholesalers at the time their application was before Council. He said '. . . Council played hardball with my client on that occasion', and he went on to list the various requirements imposed by the City before permission was granted for the superstore to proceed. He felt that his clients have a right to be advised as to why a change from residential to commercial designation is now acceptable, when previously, a residential designation was in place.

Other points made by him in his presentation were: (1) the decision of Council on an M.P.S. amendment application cannot be appealed as rezoning applications can; (2) many types of commercial uses are permitted in a C-3 Zone, and Council could end up with some

undesirable operations such as fast food outlets, etc.
if the land were to be sold to another developer after
it has been changed to commercial uses; (3) 35 additional
acres of commercial land is not needed in this area of
Dartmouth, especially with the 32-acre site Atlantic
Wholesalers has made available for development.

Mr. McDonah requested Council's careful consideration of the points he made in his presentation.

Mr. Hunter, speaking on his own behalf and for another or another. Dr. Crouse, had concerns in particular about the protection of the lake from pollution and runoff. He would have preferred a procedure whereby some specific assurances and a detailed lake protection plan were in place as part of the rezoning process.

Mr. Rick Boomer of 151 Pleasant Street questioned the need for additional commercial land in the City, and he also recommended that Council consider the matter of traffic congestion on the Portland Street traffic corridor and the additional traffic volumes that will be generated if further commercial development takes place in conjunction with Portland Estates.

Mr. John Major of Bayswater Road had several questions he felt members of Council should address; these were: (1) the density of development proposed for the main flight path to Shearwater; (2) should a performance bond be required, in the event that environmental controls are not effective; (3) is a playground planned for this particular phase of development; (4) is there a need for additional commercial space; (5) concern that all of this large potential development area is being developed in a piecemeal fashion, plus two or three others.

When there were no other speakers opposed, the Mayor opened the floor to members of Council, giving them an opportunity for questions.

Ald. Billard had a number of questions for Mrs. Manzer about the effectiveness of holding ponds in this development and in others where they have been tried.

Mrs. Manzer said they are not always the answer and do not serve the purpose for which they were intended in all situations. She noted that the problems which occurred in Phase 2 of Portland Estates appeared to have resulted at the level of development involving builders and contractors. Responding to further questions about specific recommendations the Board would like to have in place for Phase 4, Mrs. Manzer said the Board would want to sit down with the consultants and work out specifically what course of action would be best for the area under consideration. Each site is different and has to be treated accordingly and dealt with on its own merits. Ald. Billard asked what assurances the Board would want to have from this developer, and Mrs. Manzer noted that a letter has been received from Mr. Stockall, giving some assurance of his intention to work closely with the Board in developing a lake protection package, and the Board would want to make sure that such details, once negotiated, would be carried out to the letter.

Ald. Woods and Levandier also directed questions to Mrs. Manzer, both with respect to this particular request, and whether the expectations of the Board in this instance are applicable as well in all other development proposals where lake protection is required.

Several members directed questions to Mr. McDonah, pertaining to his reference to Council's action where the superstore application was concerned, and whether he felt, or meant to imply, that Atlantic Wholesalers were not treated fairly by Council. Mr. McDonah said he had not meant to imply that and it was only natural for Council to try to get the best possible deal they could for the City. He said he just hoped that Council would take a similarly forceful approach toward the Portland Estates application, and again stated that the objection is not to the residential component of the Portland Estates request, but to the commercial portion only. His client does not feel there is a need for another

35 acres of commercially-zoned land when Atlantic Wholesalers already have 32 acres in the same area available for commercial development.

Ald. Hetherington advised that neither he nor Ald. Sarto were present at the Lakes Advisory Board meeting of Sept. 23rd when the Portland Estates proposal was discussed.

Ald. Billard sought assurance from Mr. Stockall verbally at this time that he is prepared to comply with lake protection guidlines to the satisfaction of the Lakes Advisory Board, and that there will be an on-going liaison with the Board to make sure that measures put in place are functioning properly. Mr. Stockall was willing to give this assurance to Council, and he again explained the new innovative concept he is proposing for building lots on the steep slope about which the Board is concerned, so that excavations do not have to be carried out. The concept was described as being a gravel catchment berm, with pile-driven foundations. The cost per unit to follow through with this new idea is \$1,000. per unit, or a total cost of \$100,000. for all the buildings.

Mr. Stockall provided information on siltation entering Russell Lake from sources other than his own development. Mr. Barry Stockall confirmed that he followed a course of muddy water entering the Russell Lake watershed, to a source upstream in the Ellenvale system, originating in the Kuhn marsh area. Reference was also made to runoff from the superstore site, where a settling pond was not required. Photographs were used again by Mr. Stockall to show Council other development sites throughout the City without settling ponds, where runoff problems are still occurring, such as the Highfield park development, and even in Sullivan's Pond, where a bulldozer was operating when that project was in progress.

The other main point to which Mr. Stockall responded was with reference to possible traffic improvements at the Alpine/Donegal exit onto Portland Street,

at a point opposite Regal Road, where traffic signals could then be installed to improve existing traffic difficulties at Regal Road. Mr. Stockall also used a large photograph to show the alternate access route to Woodside, which he expects to have in place within three or four years time.

At the conclusion of the general question period, the Mayor declared the public hearing to be closed, on motion of Ald. Greenough and Levandier.

Proposed By-law C-631 was then presented for Council's consideration. This by-law would amend C-356 by changing the land use designation as requested.

It was moved by Ald. MacFarlane and Greenough and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-631 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Withers and Thompson that By-law C-631 be read a second time.

Debate on second reading was mostly in support of the Portland Estates application. Members felt that Mr. Stockall has demonstrated faith in the community with his development proposals to date, and they felt that the presence of Portland Estate residents, speaking in support of the request, shows a general satisfaction with what has been done so far and a confidence in the word of the developer. They were willing to let the marketplace itself decide whether or not an additional 35 acres of commercial space will be occupied, their position being that we are in a free enterprise system that will dictate how much commercial land is needed.

Ald. Withers reported briefly to Council as Chairman of the neighborhood information meetings, indicating that the concerns brought forward at the meetings were minimal and were addressed by the developer. Ald. Sarto thought that the Lakes Advisory Board presentation had cleared the air on the subject of environmental protection and clarified the commitment of the developer in this regard.

When the vote was taken on second reading, the motion carried.

BY-LAW C-631

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

It was moved by Ald. Greenough and Thompson and carried that By-law C-631 be read a third time and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

> Three readings given to By-law C-631: M.P.S. Amendment, Portland Estate lands. MOTIONS:

PUBLIC HEARING: REZONING REQUEST PORTLAND ESTATES

Council has also set this date for public hearing of an application from Portland Estates Ltd. to rezone lands contained within the Phase 4 development area (35 acres) from the present Holding Zone to C-3 (Commercial) The previous public hearing concerned these same lands and the M.P.S. Amendment requested for them.

Ald. Connors was the only Alderman absent for this public hearing, and Ald. McCluskey did not take part in it, having declared a conflict of interest at the outset of the M.P.S. hearing.

The Planning Dept. has recommended in favour of the rezoning, on the basis that the lands involved are immediately adjacent to and form a natural extension of the commercial uses designated for the superstore lands. Market impact information and other related documentation was circulated with the agenda for this meeting of Council.

A detailed Planning Dept. presentation was not required; instead, Mr. Lukan made a brief statement of the Department's approval of the rezoning.

The Mayor called three times for speakers in favour of the request and three times for any representations from people opposed. There being no speakers either for or against, the public hearing was declared to be closed, on motion of Ald. Hetherington and Levandier.

Council then proceeded to By-law C-633, which will accomplish the zoning change that has been requested.

It was moved by Ald. Greenough and Thompson and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-633 and that it now be read a first time.

BY-LAW C-633

It was moved by Ald. MacFarlane and Withers and carried that By-law C-633 be read a second time.

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

It was moved by Ald. Billard and Levandier and carried that By-law C-633 be read a third time and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

MOTIONS: Three readings given to By-law C-633: rezoning from H Zone to C-3 Zone, Portland Estate lands.

PUBLIC HEARING: REZONING REQUEST PORTLAND ESTATES This meeting of Council constituted a third public hearing involving Portland Estate lands, this request being to rezone 20 acres from H Zone to R-4 and 13 acres to TH (Townhouse) Zone. The latter zone will permit construction of 175 to 185 townhouse units, and the R-4 zoning is requested to permit construction of apartment buildings along Russell Lake. These buildings are likely to include a mix of condominium and rental projects.

Ald. Connors was the only Alderman absent for this public hearing, and Ald. McCluskey did not take part in it, having declared a conflict of interest at the outset of the M.P.S. hearing.

The Planning Dept. report to Council indicates that adequate buffers have been provided to ensure compatibility with existing and proposed uses, and the servicing infrastructure is sufficient to handle the development proposal. The Department has therefore recommended that the rezoning request be granted, and this recommendation was re-stated verbally to Council at this time.

The Mayor called three times for speakers in favour of the request and three times for any representations from people opposed. There being no speakers either for or against, the public hearing was declared to be closed, on motion of Ald. Greenough & Hetherington.

Proposed By-law C-632, to accomplish the rezoning, was before Council for consideration.

It was moved by Ald. Sarto and Bregante and carried that leave be given to introduce the said by-law C-632 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Withers and Pye and carried that By-law C-632 be read a second time.

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

It was moved by Ald. Thompson and Bregante and carried that By-law C-632 be read a third time and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

MOTIONS: Three readings given to By-law C-632: rezoning from H Zone to R-4 Zone and TH Zone, Portland Estate lands.

AWARD TENDERS: POLICE & FIRE DEPT. VEHICLES Tenders were advertised for six unmarked Police units, one mini van for Police use, and one station wagon for the Fire Dept. It has been recommended that in each case, the tender be awarded to the low bidder as follows:

Six unmarked Police cars: Dartmouth Dodge Chrysler - total tender price after trade of \$61,606.

Mini Van: Dartmouth Dodge Chrysler - total tender price of \$16,319.

Station Wagon: Chebucto Ford Sales - total tender price after trade of \$12,047.

Council awarded these tenders, as recommended by Mr. George and Mr. Burke, on motion of Ald. Pye and Withers.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Pye and Withers that tenders be awarded, as noted above, for Police and Fire Dept. vehicles.

CONTRACT 87-06: STREET CONSTRUCTION Tenders have been received as follows for Contract 87-06, Street Construction:

Basin Contractors Ltd.	\$693,393.00
Municipal Contracting Ltd.	698,566.00
Ocean Contractors Ltd.	763,030.00
Steed & Evans Ltd.	829,484.50

Acceptance of the bid received from Basin
Contractors Ltd. has been recommended, to include the
repaving of View Street, as explained in Mr. Purdy's

Page 12.

City Council, Sept. 29/87.

report to Council, dated Sept. 23/87. Mr. Burke has concurred with the recommendation on awarding the tender.

Ald. McCluskey and Greenough moved that the tender be awarded, as per the recommendation. Ald. Sarto had questions about the inclusion of Regal Road and giving this project some priority, in view of the extreme need for sidewalk protection for children. Ald. MacFarlane was concerned about the Murray Hill Drive project and its completion date, and Ald. McCluskey asked that the Engineering Dept. give some attention to compliance on the part of contractors with completion dates they quote. Ald. Hawley was concerned that projects not started in 1987 will be unduly delayed or perhaps omitted altogether from the 1988 capital budget. It was noted that projects for which tenders have been awarded in 1987 will not require subsequent re-approval next year.

The vote was taken and the motion carried.

MOTION: Moved by Ald.McCluskey & Greenough that the tender for Contract 87-06: Street Construction, be awarded to Basin Contractors Ltd., as recommended (to include the repaving of View St.).

Tenders have been received for three 66-passenger school buses. Acceptance of the tender from Kiley Distributors Ltd., in the total amount of \$115,688. has been recommended by Mr. George, with Mr. Burke's concurrence. The tender was awarded, as recommended, on motion of Ald. Thompson and Pye.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Thompson & Pye that the tender for three 66-passenger school buses be awarded to Kiley Distributors Ltd., as recommended by Mr. George and Mr. Burke.

A report was considered on tenders received for highway salt for the 1987/88 winter season. The recommendation to Council is that the tender be awarded to the Canadian Salt Co. Ltd. at the price of \$29.90 per tonne (early and winter delivery).

The tender was awarded as recommended by Mr. George and Mr. Burke, on motion of Ald. Greenough & Sarto.

AWARD TENDER: SCHOOL BUSES

AWARD TENDER: HIGHWAY SALT

1

MOTION:

Moved by Ald. Greenough and Sarto that the tender for highway salt for the 1987/88 winter season, be awarded to the Canadian Salt Co. Ltd., as recommended.

AWARD TENDER: CONTRACT 87-13

Tenders have been received for Contract 87-13, repainting of the surge tank on the Lake Major water transmission main. Acceptance of the low bid, received from Marine Oil Services Ltd., in the amount of \$5,850., has been recommended, and the tender was awarded, on motion of Ald. Greenough and MacFarlane.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Greenough & MacFarlane that the tender for Contract 87-13 be awarded to the low bidder, Marine Oil Services Ltd., as recommended.

M.P.S. AMEND-IENT REQUEST MICMAC PLAZA On motion of Ald. Sarto and Greenough, Council directed the Planning Dept. to proceed with a neighborhood information meeting, in connection with an application from the owners of the MicMac Mall for an M.P.S. Amendment and rezoning request, for lands situated on the Woodland Ave. side of the existing mall. These changes would be to permit construction of a new shopping area containing approx. 147,000 sq. ft.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Sarto & Greenough that
Council direct the Planning Dept. to
proceed with a neighborhood information
meeting, in connection with an M.P.S.
Amendment request and a rezoning request
for lands owned by the MicMac Mall interests

RCADE APPLICATION:

On motion of Ald. Thompson and McCluskey, Council deferred for two weeks, a response to the application for license to operate an arcade at 114 Woodlawn Road (Mall), so this matter can be brought before the local Home & School Assn. by the Ward 1 Aldermen. A report will then come back to Council.

The Dept. of Consumer Affairs will be requested to wait for a response before proceeding to issue a license.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Thompson & McCluskey that Council defer for two weeks, a response to the application for

a response to the application for license to operate an arcade at 114 Woodlawn Road, in order to give the Ward 1 Aldermen an opportunity to bring this matter to the attention of the local Home & School Assn. in the area.

Page 14 .

City Council, Sept. 29/87.

At this point in the meeting, the Mayor introduced to Council, Mr. Frank Boyd, the new Communications
Officer, and Ms. Gillian Osborne, the new EMO Coordinator.
He and Mr. Burke provided Council with background information on both new employees, including details of their training and work experience. Mr. Boyd and Ms. Osborne were welcomed to the City by Mayor Savage and Mr. Burke.

A presentation to Mr. Reg Horner followed, in recognition of his work on the M.P.S. Cable television program. The Mayor noted that Mr. Horner was emcee for 24 shows, and did two tapings every second week for them.

Mr. Horner accepted the gift from Mr. Kent Robinson, Chairman of the M.P.S. Review Committee, and expressed his thanks for this recognition.

TERMS OF REFERENCE: LAKE SAFETY COMM. Terms of reference have been prepared and recommended by the Solicitor for the Lake Safety Committee, which has operated to date without any.

The terms of reference were approved, as presented and recommended, on motion of Ald. Hetherington and Withers.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Hetherington & Withers that Council approve terms of reference prepared and recommended for the Lake Safety Committee, as per the Solicitor's report of Sept. 21/87.

Proposed By-law C-552, a new Lake Safety By-law, has been recommended by the Solicitor for Council's approval. In an accompanying report, the Solicitor has explained the changes from the existing By-law C-150.

It was moved by Ald. Sarto and Withers and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-552 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Withers and Sarto that By-law C-552 be read a second time.

Ald. Billard advised that there are several groups wishing to have time to review the by-law further before it receives final approval. He therefore moved deferral in second reading for a two-week period. The motion to defer was seconded by Ald. MacFarlane and it carried.

ŽAKE SAFETY
BY-LAW C-552

OCTOBER

NOVE

MOTION: Moved by Ald. Billard & MacFarlane that By-law C-552 be deferred in second reading for a two-week period, to give interested groups time for further study and input, if they want to have it.

BY-LAW C-635: PENSION BY-LAW AMENDMENTS Proposed By-law C-635, to amend and consolidate the City Pension Plan, as explained by the Solicitor in her report of Sept. 22/87, was presented to be approved by Council.

It was moved by Ald. Greenough and Sarto and carried that leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-635 and that it now be read a first time.

It was moved by Ald. Hetherington and Sarto and carried that By-law C-635 be read a second time.

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

It was moved by Ald. Greenough and Withers and carried that By-law C-635 be read a third time and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

MOTIONS: Three readings given to By-law C-635: amendments to Pension Plan.

The Transit Advisory Board has recommended that Route 50 (Penhorn) be changed to permit the reversing of Lakefront penetration. The recommendation was adopted, on motion of Ald. MacFarlane and Sarto.

Ald. Billard predicted that this pattern change will result in reduced ridership figures on this run, an opinion not shared by Ald. MacFarlane.

MOTION: Moved by Ald. MacFarlane and Sarto that Council approve a recommendation from the Transit Advisory Board on a change in bus route 50, as noted above.

Meeting adjourned.

Bruce S. Smith, City Clerk-Treasurer.

( (1)

CHANGE IN BUS ROUTE 50

## ITEMS:

- 1) Public hearing: M.P.S. Amendment, Portland Estates, page 1 to 9 incl.
  - By-law C-631, page 8.
- 2) Public hearing: Rezoning request, Portland Estates, By-law C-633, page 9.
- 3) Public hearing: Rezoning request, Portland Estates, page 10.
- By-law C-632, page 11.
- 4) Award tenders: Police & Fire Dept. vehicles, pg. 11.
- 5) Contract 87-06: Street construction, page 11.
- 6) Award tender: School buses, page 12.
- 7) Award tender: Highway salt, page 12.
- 8) Award tender: Contract 87-13, page 13.
- 9) M.P.S. amendment request: MicMac Plaza, page 13.
- 10) Arcade application: 114 Woodlawn Rd., page 13.
  11) Terms of reference: Lake Safety Committee, page 14.
- 12) Lake Safety By-law C-552, page 14.
- 13) By-law C-635: Pension By-law Amendments, page 15.
- 14) Change in bus Route 50, page 15.