

Council,  
April 13, 1960

graphical location'. I refer to this because I, as one member of Council, had thought and hoped that the study would be of a broader nature than it has turned out to be. Later on in the same Council meeting, Alderman Greenwood is quoted in the minutes as having said: 'There is an absolute necessity for a study of alternative entrances, not just one or two, but alternative entrances'. You had said it should be a thorough study and that there was time. Later on in the meeting, you also said that the City would derive considerable benefit as a result of the survey. As it turned out, I don't feel that we did derive much benefit from the study because of the nature of it.

"Further on, in the same meeting, Alderman Lloyd is quoted as saying in the minutes: 'The purpose is to get an overall study of entrances into the City and the problems associated with the same'. These indications from members of Council wouldn't have led one to believe that we would have such a limited study done in such a short time. The financial authorization from Council to spend funds on the study occurred on July 16th. At that time, I asked of you this question: 'Is this \$1,200.00 item for only the entrance to the Bicentennial Highway at one particular point without relation to other possible points?' His Worship the Mayor: 'No'. I think, perhaps, we might have realized from the \$1,200.00 figure that we weren't going to get a thorough study of any proposals which might be outside the range of the work already done by the Province or the City.

"However, that is what happened and seven days after the Council authorized the spending of funds for the study, a report was dated and presented to yourself and the Minister of Highways. It seems to me that we did not have in that Report the study of the internal traffic picture in the City which the Canadian-British Consultants had failed to do, because they were instructed not to at the time; but they brought the Highway in in their plans and dumped it at the edge of the City and didn't consider the implications or our traffic pattern within the City. During our considerations in the Committee on Works, Alderman Trainor and others proposed serious consideration of a leg into the Fairview area, near the Overpass. This was not considered. You report to us

Council,  
April 13, 1960

tonight that Mr. Burns told you on the 'phone he had impressions, vague impressions, it seems to me. He certainly didn't report in a serious way on the Fairview proposal at all. The various arguments which have been put to us tonight, particularly by the representatives of the Pinehurst Civic Improvement Association, seems to me to be well thought out. I do not propose to go over the same ground of the internal traffic pattern in the City, etc. I would like to present for consideration of Council, a motion on which I've consulted some of my fellow Aldermen before the meeting began and, indeed, it has an amendment incorporated in it proposed by Alderman Fox."

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Greenwood:

1. That Council does not consider the Margison Report of July 23, 1959, a satisfactory basis on which to plan the entry of the Bicentennial Highway to the City of Halifax;
2. That Council authorize the Mayor, the City Manager and the Commissioner of Works to negotiate for the securing of the services of a consultant qualified in both engineering and traffic planning, such consultant to study and report on:
  - A. the feasibility and cost of bringing one spoke or leg in from the Bicentennial Drive to a point at or near the Fairview Overpass;
  - B. the nature of the traffic intersection required at or near the corner of Dutch Village Road and School Avenue, if proposal A. is proceeded with;
  - C. any other proposals for the entry of the Bicentennial Drive to the City;
  - D. the further traffic control measures which will be required within the City as a result of accesses to the City from the Bicentennial Drive at or near the Fairview Overpass, at or near School Avenue, and at the Halifax end of the North West Arm Bridge or at other points.

Alderman O'Brien: "It seems to me that in financial planning, one thing we have overlooked to a degree thus far, is that wherever the Highway comes in, in one point or three or four points, there will be implications on intersections further up the line from wherever it happens to be. These new control measures that are required at other intersections within the City, may

Council,  
April 13, 1960

result in substantial heavy expenditures by the City in order to handle the internal traffic flow. We should not embark on a joint expenditure with the Province on this without having seen the total financial implications for the City; because, it may be that we can have a thawing-off and if there is a further substantial expenditure, say, at or near the Fairview Overpass, perhaps that will be a Provincial responsibility in the light of some of our responsibilities, say, at Kempt Road, Lady Hammond Road intersections. It seems to me that we ought to proceed with the right kind of a study because of the large amount of money that is involved. The study we had did not produce anything of substantial value. I do know that the firm which did the study of the North West Arm Bridge has already gathered some of this traffic information which would be valuable for a study. It may be that they would be available but the motion proposes that you, Your Worship, the City Manager and the Commissioner of Works should consider further who might do such a study."

Alderman Greenwood: "This proposal by Alderman O'Brien appears to be the proper approach to the difficult problem."

Alderman Wyman: "The Margison Report that we have; there has been some criticism of the terms of reference. I notice that they summarize their terms of reference in the Report and say that the Consultant would make a study of the location and nature of the entrance of Bicentennial Drive into the City of Halifax. Then it detailed some of the points of view from which this study would be made. I think perhaps there may be some ambiguity in that, in that the word 'location' may refer to a location that has been spoken of or it may refer to the locating of such. I'm sure that when we, as the City Council, authorized the financing of this study, that our idea was that they would be studying the locating rather than a specific, or one or two locations. I don't think I need to enlarge upon some of the criticisms that have been given of the cursory nature of the study. It certainly did not cover anything like what I had expected and I think what most of us expected. There appears to have been no traffic study in connection with this. There should have been in order to be a realistic approach to the whole traffic problem that the City faces in this connection. There should have been a very

Council,  
April 13, 1960

definite study of where traffic will come from and where it will go to and the internal problems of City streets that will be affected by any such entrance to the City.

"There has been much said of the cost of adjusting traffic routes. There may be considerable cost involved in improving our streets to carry the weight of traffic as well. I would like to make some reference to something which I feel the Margison firm did not take into account at all and that is our problem of dealing with heavy trucks. I would like to recall that approximately four years ago, in this Chamber, I proposed that plans then should be made to deal with a direct truck route into the City which would be a compulsory route for vehicles over a certain weight and which would connect with a suitable truck terminal from which deliveries over the ordinary City streets would be made by a lighter and smaller type of truck. The Barrington Street route which our newspaper and some of the speakers here tonight have advocated, is the route that I mentioned at that time. I think that any plan to deal with the Bicentennial Drive that does not include this truck route is hardly acceptable to the City. I would just add that I heartily endorse the motion that has been made."

The City Clerk then read a letter from the Honourable G. I. Smith, Minister of Highways, as follows:

MINISTER OF HIGHWAYS  
PROVINCE OF NOVA SCOTIA

July 6, 1959

Mr. H. F. Burns,  
A. D. Margison Associates,  
Halifax, N. S.

Dear Mr. Burns:

RE: Halifax City Entrance - Bicentennial Drive

Confirming today's discussion between His Worship Mayor Vaughan, yourself and the writer, the following constitutes your Terms of Reference in respect of the above project:

You are to make a study of the question of the location and nature of the entrance of Bicentennial Drive to the City of Halifax. The study will take into consideration all relevant factors including:

- (a) Present entrances to the City.
- (b) The distribution of traffic in the City.

Council,  
April 13, 1960

- (c) The possibility that in the reasonable future a bridge will be built across the North West Arm.
- (d) Disturbance of developed or improved land.
- (e) Cost of right of way including damage and injurious affection.

The enumeration of the above specific factors is not intended to exclude from consideration any other factors which may be relevant to the study.

The purpose of the study is to provide the basis for a decision by the City of Halifax and the Province of Nova Scotia as to the location and nature of the said entrance.

You will make a joint report to the City and to the Province.

The cost of the study is to be shared equally between the City and the Province.

The above letter follows the written draft read in the presence of Mayor Vaughan, yourself and the writer on the 6th July, 1959.

I am sending this letter to Mayor Vaughan before delivering it to you, and his signature thereon will confirm that he is in agreement with the contents thereof.

Sincerely yours,

(Sgd.) G. I. Smith.

I agree

---

Mayor, City of Halifax.

Alderman Lane: "I was glad to hear the terms of reference read because in the Margison Report, they, themselves, in their own words, admit that it is a limited study. On Page No. 14 they state: 'It is not possible from this limited study to determine the need for or the timing of a second entrance to Halifax from Bicentennial Drive by means of a bridge across the North West Arm'. They, themselves, admit this was a limited study. I would like to concur in Alderman O'Brien's very sensible approach to this. We've heard a good many suggestions tonight and I think they have been wise ones. I'm sorry that some of their engineering services have not been more available to the City until this moment. However, there is no particular point in trying to divorce good ideas from the cost thereto. I think they, themselves, can agree with that as they have not submitted costs. There have been some suggestions, Your Worship, may I say, not in this Council, that this Margison

Council,  
April 13, 1960

Report has not been studied either by a Committee of Council or by Council, itself. Is that correct?"

His Worship the Mayor: "That is correct."

Alderman Lane: "So that those of us who have copies have only had them for information and it has not been given any consideration by the Council at all as a report."

His Worship the Mayor: "Not before tonight."

Alderman Lane: "Can you also give me some information as to what extent the Highway has progressed in this approach toward Halifax from the Provincial viewpoint? Is it within one mile, two miles, five miles of the City at the present time? What I am trying to determine is, can we, at this point, change horses in the middle of the stream, or is the Province going to object?"

His Worship the Mayor: "Mr. Dryden had something to say earlier this evening. He said he was afforded an interview with the Minister of Highways. He stated the Provincial Government was not wedded to the School Avenue approach. Isn't that correct, Mr. Dryden?"

Mr. Dryden: "That is correct."

His Worship the Mayor: "How did you get this information? Was there a meeting with the Minister?"

Mr. Dryden: "Yes, there was a private meeting with Honourable G. I. Smith, Mr. Eric Murray and myself, and that statement was given to both of us."

Alderman Lane: "Does anybody know the answer to exactly where the Highway is at present?"

His Worship the Mayor: "I can't give you that."

Mr. Thompson: "It is about 300 yards from the Dutch Village Road where the present construction is in progress."

Alderman Lloyd: "I like the resolution. It leaves the door open to building on this very excellent study that has been made by the people in the area most directly affected. I think it is good community planning to have the citizens participate as much as possible in what you propose. I think

Council,  
April 13, 1960

that the keen interest, the informed interest which the citizens of this area have portrayed in this matter, certainly warrants us to help them find a better solution. As to Mr. Smith's statement, I might say that at the last meeting when I was referring to these matters, I commented that there was some correspondence that I had seen in the City Manager's files which indicated that the same sentiments that Mr. Dryden expressed was an indication that Mr. Smith was still of the opinion that the matter was not finalized. I judged from that correspondence that he had left the matter open just in case some such situation such as this might arise.

"The resolution will undoubtedly cost us some money. I think the money is well spent because this will be part and parcel of your overall plan with respect to the development of a Master Plan for the entire City. Any professional services we should get to shed light on the matter, all the better. Finally, I do hope we deal with it tonight in the manner that this resolution proposes because it was suggested at a meeting recently, that this matter was cut and dried; and those of us present at the time - Alderman O'Brien, Alderman Lane, Alderman Trainor and myself, on behalf of the Council, indicated the City Council does not act in that fashion. I think we can prove it by voting for this resolution."

Alderman Dunlop: "What is the notice of meeting? Could I have that?"

City Clerk: "The business on the notice was: Public Hearing re: Bicentennial Entrance to the City of Halifax."

Alderman Dunlop: "I would like to see this matter disposed of tonight directly. Ordinarily, I would be strongly in favour for a report of this nature coming through the usual channels; that is, going to the Committee on Works and coming up to Council. I am so convinced that this Report is so unrealistic, that I am quite happy to see it disposed of tonight. I would prefer to have it disposed of once and for all, by a simple motion that the Council reject this Report entirely. I would submit that the sole purpose of this meeting is to discuss this one subject; this entrance and the so-called Margison Report. The other matters are out of order. I'm a little afraid of the consultants. I think we have better consultants here tonight that cost us

Council,  
April 13, 1960

nothing than some of the ones we paid for. I saw a piece in the paper the other day advising against bringing outside consultants. I'm very glad to see that in the Robie Street bridge that the consultants engaged were a local firm."

He then suggested that Council reject the Margison Report and continued: "I think we should do it once and for all. These people are here in regard to this Margison entrance and I believe we are all in agreement that the scheme proposed is not a realistic one. I have in mind the Presbyterian Church there. I would think that if we went ahead with this scheme, we should reimburse that Church for all the money they spent because they certainly built the Church on the faith that no such structure would come near them."

He then repeated his suggestion that Council reject the Margison Report and continued: "I would prefer to do that and deal with these other matters in the regular way. The whole matter of entrances, including the bridge, should be the subject of one study. The difficulty in this particular thing is that someone got in a great, big hurry to get the traffic into the City. It has been coming in for some years and it can come in for another year the way it has been coming without too much disturbance or anything else. If we are going to have the Arm Bridge, which appears to be a reality, that is going to change the picture entirely. I would prefer to see a little more delay here tonight to see that the whole matter is carefully thought out by our planning people and they come up with a recommendation."

MOVED IN AMENDMENT by Alderman Dunlop, seconded by Alderman Connolly, that the Margison Report be rejected by this Council.

Alderman Abbott: "Could I hear the first part of Alderman O'Brien's motion? Doesn't that do exactly the same thing?"

Alderman Lloyd: "I rise to a point of order. Those of us who would like to see action to dispose of the matter permanently, which requires consultation, advice, the services being the same for that purpose, we may vote against the rejection and be placed in the invidious position of voting against the resolution which contains rejection as well as a further action."



Council,  
April 13, 1960

Alderman Dunlop: "I would request that Alderman O'Brien make that his principal motion."

His Worship the Mayor: "I rule the amendment out of order because it is already embodied in the motion."

Alderman O'Brien: "Might I make one point on the reason for drafting the motion the way it is, in not quite a negative fashion as Alderman Dunlop proposes. I certainly agree with his sentiments the way he expressed them, but this is a project in which we have become engaged with the Province. We must consider that they have some feelings even though they are not perhaps individuals. They are individuals which are part of a Government and we must give some consideration to that. The first part of the motion, if you wanted to take the two parts separately, would have the same effect as Alderman Dunlop proposes. I think it takes into consideration that we do have another party to deal with."

His Worship the Mayor: "That is right."

Alderman Dunlop: "Perhaps we could have the rest of the motion read. I would like to see it split up."

His Worship the Mayor: "I think it is best the way it is, to be quite frank. It enables us to take a positive stand with respect to further study."

Alderman Lloyd: "In fairness to Alderman Dunlop and all of us here, the Alderman may be in the very position which I explained a minute ago. He may be for rejection but not in favour of further study. If he requests that it be divided, I am sure he can ask the Council to do so."

Alderman Dunlop: "I would certainly prefer to see it divided for this reason. I don't think that the other matters really arise out of the call for this meeting. I don't think that the other matters in that resolution are in order. I am in favour of them, but I don't want to see us get pushed into where we are now. We got into this thing in rather a hurry. I agree that the terms of reference were wide enough. You explained, Mr. Mayor, that the terms were wide enough to take in everything. We just didn't get it. I hope the next time we will see that we get what we are looking for. I

Council,  
April 13, 1960

would prefer to see the motion split up."

Alderman O'Brien: "I see no objection to splitting this up but I would point out, in defence of the second part of it, that after negotiations, presumably, you or a member of the three mentioned, would report back to us, that you are proposing or recommending that such and such a firm be engaged, that the terms of reference would be spelled out, and that the cost figure would be there. At that time, we would be making the final decision. This only proposes that some negotiation, looking towards the study, be undertaken at the present time. Might I say also that it seems to me that if we, tonight, simply reject the Margison Report without any positive alternative in view, it is then that we are putting our heads in the sand in the view of the Province. I don't want to be in that position."

The motion was then put and passed.

Alderman Dunlop: "I don't think the Province is sold on this idea. After all, the people with problems are only the people who are our people, the people working for us. They have to go by our feelings, not their particular feelings. I would suggest that before we engage a consultant, that we use our own consultants and ask the Department of Highways to use their own consultants. They may come up with a very good solution without engaging consultants."

Alderman Lloyd: "The motion has been passed and its direction is that you proceed, Your Worship."

His Worship the Mayor: "That is right. The idea is that we, the City of Halifax, will spend our own funds to secure consultants for the City of Halifax. Before I adjourn this Hearing and this meeting of Council, I want to commend all those who appeared here tonight in their presentations. I only wish that all matters of public interest in the City of Halifax would be discussed in the manner they were this evening. I do admire the presentations as presented here this evening. I would like to commend all those who were engaged in preparing the briefs. I only hope this sort of thinking will prevail in all matters of major interest in the City of Halifax in the future."

Meeting adjourned

9:00 P. M.

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

C. A. VAUGHAN,  
MAYOR AND CHAIRMAN.

CITY COUNCIL  
M I N U T E S

*A. R. Morton*

Council Chamber,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
April 14, 1960,  
8:00 P. M.

A meeting of the City Council was held on the above date.

After the meeting was called to order, the members of Council attending, led by the City Clerk, joined in repeating the Lord's Prayer.

There were present His Worship the Mayor, Chairman; Aldermen DeWolf, Abbott, Dunlop, Lane, Fox, Ferguson, Trainor, Lloyd, Wyman, Connolly, O'Brien and Greenwood.

Also present were Messrs. A. A. DeBard, Jr., T. C. Doyle, L. M. Romkey, J. F. Thomson, R. H. Stoddard, W. J. Clancey, G. F. West, V. W. Mitchell, Dr. A. R. Morton and K. Munnich.

MAYOR'S VISIT TO ENGLAND AND SCOTLAND - INAUGURAL FLIGHT - T. C. A. -  
HALIFAX TO ENGLAND.

Alderman Lloyd: "Your Worship, all members of the Council, I am sure, join with me in an official 'welcome home' after your recent visit to England. We would also like to join with you in your appreciation to the Officials of T. C. A. for their invitation to be the Company's guest on its inaugural flight from Halifax to London. All of us were pleased when you accepted that invitation to represent the City on such a historic occasion. Your presence at Guildhall, at the reception for General Chas. De Gaulle, the President of France, as the guest of the Lord Mayor of London, was an honour greatly appreciated not only, I am sure, by yourself, but by Haligonians generally, and by the City Council. We should be pleased if you will convey to His Worship, the Lord Mayor of London, the official appreciation of the City Council for his kind consideration and hospitality to you when you were in London. We understand, despite the limited time at your disposal, you had an opportunity to spend some time with Planning Authorities of the London County Council. Perhaps now, Your Worship, you might be willing to recount some of your impressions of that trip."

His Worship the Mayor: "I was met at the London Airport by the Mayor of Halifax, Yorkshire, who was kind enough to drive down, with the Lady Mayoress, to greet me there, and it made me feel right at home. She spoke of the ties

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

that were established here in Halifax, in 1949, when the former Mayor of Halifax, England, Alderman Charles Holdsworth, was here as one of our guests of honour.

"Monday morning I paid my respects to the Mansion House, to the Lord Mayor, the Rt. Honourable Sir Edmund Stockdale. I am also indebted to the Joint Staffs of the Army, Navy and Airforce in London for supplying me with an Aide to go there and, further, the C. N. R. provided the Limousine. The afternoon was taken up with a luncheon tendered by Mr. Roy Thompson, who had as principal guests nearly all the Imperial Cabinet. That evening we attended a reception hosted by the Honourable George Drew, Canadian High Commissioner to Great Britain.

"The next morning, Tuesday, I had an opportunity to spend the whole morning with Mr. Loggie, one of the Senior Planners of the London County Council Planning Authority. I had an opportunity to examine Housing Developments in Putney, Bromley and Wandsworth. I also saw the Richmond Development. I was really amazed at the scale of Housing Development in this part of England because it is contrary to what I had been led to believe, some were twenty storeys high. Their planning problems are much like our own, very little difference. They have problems of slum clearance, which they are tackling on a major scale. Their principal problem, of course, up to now, was re-housing the persons who had lost their homes during the war. I wish to thank the County Council Planning Authority for the guidance they showed me and the explanations they gave me. I was happy to have the information on matters of expropriation and settlement, which we have run into here.

"Most of Wednesday was devoted to Guildhall, and this was really an experience I don't think I will ever duplicate again, no matter how long I live - to have taken part in this wonderful affair. Sir Edmund Stockdale, the Lord Mayor, and all those around him, made you feel at home. You were impressed by the dignity of all the ceremonial associated with the Office of the Lord Mayor. After all, there we have a Municipal Corporation that has existed almost nine hundred years as a continuing Municipal Body; while we have had a little over one hundred and nineteen years, now, as a Municipal Corporation. I was greeted not only by those in high authority, but also by people around me, who welcomed me as a visitor from overseas and who recalled some of the tales

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

they heard about Halifax during the war years, such as had been carried back to them by persons who had visited these shores. I think the treatment they gave me was in repayment for the courtesies extended by the citizens of Halifax during the war years.

"Then on Thursday it was my honoured privilege to present to the Lord Provost of Edinburgh a specially bound volume of Raddall's HALIFAX, WARDEN OF THE NORTH.

"The next day, Friday, which was the day of departure, I was also happy to make a similar presentation to the Lord Provost of Glasgow, and to present on behalf of the visiting Canadians a small sum to be donated to the Firemen's Survivors' Fund.

"I think, all in all, our visit to Great Britain was the means of cementing relations between the Mother Country and Canada. It gave us an opportunity to see much in the way of redevelopment. I was most interested to see this redevelopment, especially that in Edinburgh, where so much is being maintained by solid planning and firm maintenance of the Zoning By-Laws. There is one particular section I did enjoy and that was in Charlotte Square. There, a beautiful example of Georgian Architecture is being maintained in form, shape and character by strict enforcement of the Zoning By-Law. It is not going into stores, it is being used only as permitted by the local Planning Authorities for a particular type of office building - that sort of thing. The big issue, when we were there, was the fact that they were up in arms in Charlotte Square because the local Council was taking away the curb stone that had been put there many years ago. This curbing is causing damage to the low-type English cars.

"Those are some of my impressions of the trip. I did thoroughly enjoy it, and I am very much indebted to T. C. A. for the opportunity of taking part in this historic flight from Halifax to London, and to see something of interest to me. The highlight of my trip was the visit to Guildhall on the occasion of the luncheon for General De Gaulle. It will live long in my memory. I wish to thank you, Alderman, for your kind words. I do think the honours paid to me, there, belong to the City of Halifax."

MINUTES - FEBRUARY 11 AND 25, 1960.

MOVED by Alderman Fox, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the Minutes be approved. Motion passed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

TRANSFER STREET PAINTING APPROPRIATION FROM POLICE DEPARTMENT  
TO WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Safety Committee, held on April 5, 1960, a report was submitted from the Chief of Police respecting the matter of painting crosswalks, stop lines and street laning.

After consultation with the City Manager and Commissioner of Works, he recommended that the matter of street laning, crosswalks, intersection painting, meter stall and post painting be transferred from the Police Department to the Works Department.

Your Committee concurs in this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

APPOINTMENT - ARM PATROL OPERATION

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Safety Committee, held on April 5, 1960, it was agreed to recommend that Mr. George Perry be appointed a Special Constable and operate the Arm Patrol at a rate of \$4.00 per hour.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Connolly, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT - YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1959.

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, the Financial Statement and Annual Report of the City of Halifax for the year ending December 31, 1959, were submitted by the Commissioner of Finance.

Your Committee recommends that the Statements and Report be accepted subject to final audit.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

ORDINANCE #53 RESPECTING BOUNDARIES OF THE CITY OF HALIFAX  
(SECOND READING).

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, Ordinance #53 respecting 'Enlargement of the City's Boundaries', which was read and passed a first time at the last regular meeting of the City Council, was again submitted.

Your Committee recommends that the Ordinance be read and passed a second time and forwarded to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

ORDINANCE NO. 53

Respecting Enlargement of City Boundaries

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

1. That pursuant to Section 6B of the Halifax City Charter, the area of land of the City of Halifax is increased by adding thereto the following real property:

ALL that certain lot, piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being on the southwestern side of the North West Arm in the County of Halifax, Province of Nova Scotia, as shown bordered in red on a plan entitled 'Fleming Park-North West Arm, Halifax County, N.S.', dated September 10th, 1959, and being on file in the office of the Commissioner of Works of the City of Halifax at City Hall as Plan #SS-4-14630, the said land being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point where high water mark on the southwestern shore of the North West Arm is intersected by the southwestern boundary line of land conveyed to the City of Halifax by Thomas J. Wallace and Ada C. Wallace by deed bearing date of June 4th, 1948, and recorded in the office of the Registrar of Deeds at Halifax, N. S., in Book 997, page 969, the said southwestern boundary line being the northeastern boundary line of land now or formerly owned by J. Boutilier;

Thence along the said southwestern boundary line of land conveyed to the City of Halifax by deed bearing date June 4, 1948, to a granite monument marked #2;

Thence S 63° 15' W for a distance of one hundred and seventy-six feet and eight tenths of a foot (176.8') to the southeastern boundary line of land now or formerly owned by the Rector, Wardens and Vestry of the Parish of Saint James;

Thence northeastwardly parallel to and distant southeastwardly twenty feet (20') to the front of the St. Augustine's Church for a distance of thirty-nine feet (39') more or less or to a point distant northeastwardly twenty feet (20') measured at a right angle to the prolongation southeastwardly of the northeastern side of the main part of the said St. Augustine's Church;

Thence northwestwardly parallel to and distant northeastwardly to the said northeastern side of the said main part of St. Augustine's Church for a distance of thirty-six feet (36') more or less or to an angle in the said northern Church boundary;

Thence northeastwardly parallel to the aforesaid front of the St. Augustine's Church for a distance of eight feet and one tenth of a foot (8.1') or to a point distant northeastwardly twenty feet (20') from the prolongation southwardly of

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

the most northeastwardly side of the said St. Augustine's Church;

Thence northwestwardly parallel to and distant northeastwardly twenty feet (20') to the said most northeastwardly side of the St. Augustine's Church for a distance of fifty feet (50') more or less or to a point distant northwestwardly twenty feet (20') from the prolongation northeastwardly of the rear side of St. Augustine's Church;

Thence southwestwardly parallel to and distant northwestwardly twenty feet (20') from the said rear side of St. Augustine's Church for a distance of seventeen feet (17') or to a point distant northwestwardly eighty-five feet (85') from the southern boundary line of property of the Rector, Wardens and Vestry of the Parish of Saint James (St. Augustine's Church property);

Thence S 63° 15' W for a distance of one hundred and eighteen feet (118');

Thence S 26° 15' E for a distance of eighty-five feet (85');

Thence S 63° 15' W for a distance of two thousand and four feet (2004') more or less or to the eastern boundary line of the Purcell's Cove Road;

Thence northwardly along the various courses of the said eastern boundary line of the said Purcell's Cove Road for a distance of one thousand seven hundred and ninety feet (1790') more or less or to the northern boundary line of Fleming Park;

Thence N 62° 52' E for a distance of six hundred and fifty-three feet (653') more or less or to an iron pipe and stones;

Thence S 59° 40' E for a distance of four hundred and ninety feet (490') to an iron pipe and stones;

Thence N 62° 52' E for a distance of five hundred and twenty-eight feet (528') or to the eastern corner of Lot #1 of the Fleming Glen Subdivision;

Thence generally northwestwardly along the northeastern boundary line of the Fleming Glen Subdivision for a distance of fourteen hundred and eighty-seven feet and eight tenths of a foot (1487.8') or to the northeastern boundary line of land conveyed to Her Majesty the Queen by deed bearing date July 10th, 1954;

Thence generally northwestwardly along the said northeastern boundary line of the land conveyed to Her Majesty the Queen by deed dated July 10th, 1954, to the eastern boundary line of the Purcell's Cove Road;

Thence northwardly along the said eastern boundary line of the Purcell's Cove Road to the southeastern boundary line of land now or formerly owned by Borden Clark;

Thence northeastwardly along the said southeastern boundary line of land now or formerly owned by Borden Clark for a distance of ten hundred and seventy feet (1070') or to high water mark on the shore of the North West Arm;

Thence continuing northeastwardly for a distance of three hundred feet (300');

Thence generally southwardly parallel to and distant eastwardly three hundred feet (300') from high water mark on the shore of the North West Arm to the prolongation southeastwardly of the first hereinbefore described course;

Thence northwestwardly along the prolongation southeastwardly of the first hereinbefore described course for a distance of three hundred feet (300') more or less or to the place of beginning.

2. Such area of land added to the City of Halifax shall be included in Ward One.



Council,  
April 14, 1960.

3. This Ordinance shall be known as 'Ordinance Number 53'.

MOVED by Alderman Dunlop, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

ACCOUNTS OVER \$500.00

TO: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and  
Members of the City Council.

FROM: A. A. DeBard, Jr., City Manager.

DATE: April 12, 1960.

SUBJECT: Accounts over \$500.00.

In accordance with Section 119-F of the City Charter, the following accounts are submitted for Council's approval. These accounts have been certified and audited.

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>VENDOR</u>	<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Accounting	W. M. Mercer Limited	Services rendered- consulting actuaries	\$ 2,000.00
	Rolph-Clark Stone Maritimes	Envelopes	543.06
Police	Rockwell Man.Co.Ltd.	Parking Meters & Coin Box Chute	1,316.69
Works	Maritime Steel & Foundries	Asbestos Pipe	996.71
	Moore Business Forms Ltd.	Incinerator Weight Cert.	600.39
	Public Service Comm.	Lowering water mains	3,938.54
Health	Dictaphone Corp. Ltd.	Dictating & Transcrib- ing Machine	916.74
	Ingram & Bell Ltd.	Medical Equipment	654.66
	Parke, Davis & Company	Tuberculin	858.00
Civil Defence	Poly-Perm. Products Ltd.	Sign blanks	734.25
Port of Halifax	Wallace Advertising Ltd.	Advertising	515.35
			<u>\$ 13,074.39</u>

A. A. DE BARD, JR.,  
CITY MANAGER.

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Greenwood, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

BAYERS ROAD HOUSING PROJECT - PROPOSED BUDGET FOR 1960.

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, the proposed budget of the Bayers Road Housing Project for 1960, showing the total income of \$124,092.00 and total expenses of \$140,603.24 was submitted by the City Manager. It also showed the deficit of \$8.55 per unit per month.

He advised that the proposed budget had the recommendation of Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation as well as himself.

Your Committee recommends that the budget as submitted be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman Fox, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

HALIFAX HOUSING AUTHORITY - ANNUAL REPORT

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, a balance sheet respecting the operations of the Housing Authority of Halifax was submitted by the City Manager.

Your Committee recommends that the balance sheet be accepted and filed.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman Fox, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

SUPPLEMENTARY APPROPRIATION - JOB EVALUATION -\$4,750.00- 316 "C".

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising that the sum of \$4,750.00 is required under the authority of Section 316 "C" of the City Charter for the purpose of carrying out the Job Evaluation by Payne-Ross Limited.

Your Committee recommends that the sum of \$4,750.00 be provided under the authority as quoted above.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Dunlop, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

CONSIDERATION - ACTUARIAL VALUATION - SUPERANNUATION FUND

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, a report was submitted from the City Manager respecting an actuarial valuation of the Superannuation Plan as of September 30, 1959, as carried out by the William M. Mercer Limited.

The report contained several comments from the City Manager and your Committee is forwarding same to Council with a recommendation that these comments be referred to the Retirement Committee for consideration and report.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

QUOTATION ON LOCKS - HALIFAX MENTAL HOSPITAL

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Public Health and Welfare Committee, held on the above date, a tabulation of tenders for locks at the Halifax Mental Hospital was submitted by the City Manager as follows:

SUPPLIER	100 FIXED DOOR LOCKS	12 DOOR LOCKS	DELIVERY
James Simmonds Ltd. X.	£ \$ 25.78 each	£ \$ 20.95 each	6 weeks.
A.M. Bell & Co. Ltd.	26.55 "	22.22 "	5 to 6 weeks.
Wm. Robertson & Son, Ltd.	26.72 "	21.53 "	6 weeks.
T.P. Calkin Ltd.	27.24 " 27.96 "	22.86 " 23.58 "	4 weeks.
Wm. Stairs, Son & Morrow Ltd.	28.10 "	21.15 "	25 days.
Alpine Bldg. Supplies Ltd.	34.94 "	29.67 "	4 to 6 weeks.

All are subject to Provincial Hospital Tax, if applicable at the time of purchase.

X.- Subject to 2 per cent discount, payable 15th of the month following.

The City Manager recommended acceptance of the low tender of James Simmonds Limited.

Council,  
April 14, 1960.

Your Committee concurs in this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman DeWolf, seconded by Alderman Wyman, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

PRELIMINARY EXPENSES - SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND  
WESTWOOD PARK PROJECTS.

TO: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and  
Members of the City Council.

FROM: A. A. DeBard, Jr., City Manager.

DATE: April 7, 1960.

SUBJECT: Preliminary Expenses - School for the Deaf.  
- Westwood Park.

There has been some general discussion in the Redevelopment Committee but no approval by Council for the sharing of costs of preliminary work on the referenced projects. They are:

School for the Deaf

		<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Expenditure</u>
Donald Inspection Limited	Soil Tests	\$ 1,800.00	\$ 1,251.40
<u>Westwood Park</u>	Soil Tests	2,000.00	2,064.20
	Survey	1,240.00	1,130.00

We have been billed by the Nova Scotia Housing Commission for our 1/8 share of \$2,073.70 (\$2,064.20 plus \$9.50 interest) but have no authority to pay.

I would suggest that we have borrowing resolutions of \$5,000.00 apiece for preliminary expenses, to be cancelled and incorporated in subsequent borrowing resolutions if we go forward with the projects.

It is also recommended that Council approve payment of \$259.21 for the 1/8 share of \$2,073.70.

A. A. DE BARD, JR.,  
CITY MANAGER.

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

Borrowing Resolutions in the amount of \$5,000.00 each were submitted.

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the Resolutions be approved. Motion passed unanimously, the following Aldermen being present and voting therefor: Aldermen DeWolf, Abbott, Dunlop, Lane, Fox, Ferguson, Trainor, Lloyd, Wyman, Connolly, O'Brien and Greenwood.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

TENDERS - GROCERIES, FISH, ETC.

April 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Public Health and Welfare Committee held on the  
above date, the attached reports from the City Manager respecting tenders for  
groceries, fish, etc. were approved and recommended to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

TABULATION OF GROCERY TENDERS

FOR HALIFAX CONVALESCENT HOSPITAL, HALIFAX MENTAL HOSPITAL

BASINVIEW HOME AND CITY PRISON

PERIOD FROM MAY 1st to OCTOBER 31st, 1960

<u>GROUP</u>	<u>HOWARD'S LIMITED</u>	<u>HALIFAX WHOLESALEERS LIMITED</u>	<u>SCOTIA FLOUR AND FEED LTD.</u>
GROUP 1. BAKING INGREDIENTS	\$ 6,232.41 X	\$ 6,259.94	\$ 6,332.92
GROUP 2. FRUITS - DRY & CANNED	4,116.07 X	4,167.73	4,171.70
GROUP 3. SPICES AND SEASONING, DRY AND PREPARED	1,187.34	1,184.89 X	1,282.27
GROUP 4. VEGETABLES - BULK AND CANNED	2,593.04	2,574.15	2,432.75 X
GROUP 5. BISCUITS, BREAKFAST FOODS, DESSERTS, PUDDINGS AND PIE FILLINGS	1,535.17	1,556.77	1,516.35 X
GROUP 6. BEVERAGES - SOUPS	4,291.42	4,150.61 X	4,158.58
GROUP 7. MISCELLANEOUS GROCERIES, PRESERVES, PICKLES, AND CHOW CHOW.	1,972.73	1,753.35 X	1,773.57
GROUP 8. CLEANING MATERIAL	894.87	980.03	858.15 X
GROUP 9. FRUITS FOR DIABETICS	80.88	82.50	79.08 X
GROUP 10. JUICES	1,335.04	1,327.00	1,315.55 X
GROUP 11. FISH - CANNED	1,648.40	1,337.94 X	2,104.90

X Indicates lowest Group Bid.

Howard's Limited - Lowest in Groups 1 and 2.

Halifax Wholesalers Limited - Lowest in Groups 3, 6, 7 and 11.

Scotia Flour and Feed Co. Ltd. - Lowest in Groups 4, 5, 8, 9 and 10.

A. A. DEBARD, JR.,  
CITY MANAGER.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

TENDER FOR FISH

FOR SUPPLYING FISH TO THE HALIFAX CONVALESCENT HOSPITAL,

HALIFAX MENTAL HOSPITAL, BASINVIEW HOME

AND CITY PRISON

PERIOD FROM MAY 1st, 1960 to OCTOBER 31st, 1960.

ESTIMATED QUANTITY	"A" BURN'S FISHERIES	"B" FISHERMAN'S MARKET	"C" BOUTILIER'S LIMITED	LOW TENDER
7,000 Lbs. Fresh Codfish, thoroughly dressed	.17	.18	.18	"A"
7,000 " Fresh Haddock, thoroughly dressed	.28	.28	.27	"C"
50 " Haddock Fillets.	.41	.42	.40	"C"
200 " Cod Fillets	.28	.30	.29	"A"
500 " Haddock Steak	.33	.32	.33	"B"
300 " Halibut Steak	.54	.55	.57	"A"
200 " Cod Steak	.26	.25	.27	"B"
100 " Sole Fillets	.47	.46	.45	"C"
400 " Smoked Cod Fillets	.35	.34	.36	"B"
200 " Smelts, dressed	.45	.45	.43	"C"
100 " Salmon, frozen	.75	.73	.70	"C"
200 " Herring, dressed (Heads off)	.16½	.16	.17	"B"
50 ½ Barrels Salt Herring #1	9.75	10.00	9.95	"A"
600 " Dressed Mackerel, fresh, heads off	.25	.24	.26	"B"
25 " Kippers	.26	.25	.24	"C"
400 " Finnan Haddie	.39	.37	.38	"B"
3,000 " Boneless Cod	.37	.38	.38	"A"
3,000 " Codfish, salt, none accepted under 4 lbs. 112's per lb.	.26	.25	.27	"B"
100 " Scallops	.57	.60	.58	"A"

Recommended Bidders indicated in 4th column to the right.

A. A. DEBARD, JR.,  
CITY MANAGER.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman Wyman, that the reports be approved. Motion passed.

TENDERS - STEAM COOKER - HALIFAX CONVALESCENT HOSPITAL

April 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Public Health and Welfare Committee held on the above date, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Health listing tenders for a steam cooker to be used at the Halifax Convalescent Hospital as follows:

Cody's Limited	\$1,650.00
Cassidy's Limited	\$1,734.40
Food Equipment Limited	\$1,773.00

The Commissioner recommended acceptance of the tender of Cody's Limited, being the lowest, at \$1,650.00, F. O. B., Halifax.

Your Committee concurs in this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Wyman, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

REPLOTTING -- CORNER OF LADY HAMMOND ROAD AND MACKINTOSH STREET

To: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
From: Town Planning Board  
Date: April 5, 1960  
Subject: Replotting -- Corner of Lady Hammond Road and Mackintosh Street

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending in favour of the replotting of land at the northeast corner of Lady Hammond Road and Mackintosh Street, as shown on Drawing No. P200/123.

On motion of Alderman Butler, seconded by Alderman Connolly, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Connolly, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that the report be approved in principle and only to become effective when the Company

Council,  
April 14, 1960

concerned is in possession of the Deed. Motion passed with Alderman Trainor wishing to be recorded against because of the intended use of the land.

REPEAL - PART XI - (5) - ZONING BY-LAW

To: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

From: Town Planning Board

Date: April 5, 1960

Subject: Repeal - Part XI (5) - Zoning By-Law

---

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending that Section V of Part XI of the Zoning By-Law be repealed even before the new zoning and development by-law comes into operation.

It was moved by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the Board approve the report from the Director of Planning and recommend it to City Council.

The vote on the motion was a 3 - 3 tie.

FOR

AGAINST

Alderman O'Brien  
Alderman Trainor  
Alderman Macdonald

Alderman Butler  
Alderman Connolly  
Alderman Lane

Alderman Abbott, Vice-Chairman, voted in favor of the motion.

Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

His Worship the Mayor: "There will be a Public Hearing?"

Alderman Lloyd: "I think it is advisable. Some efforts were made on this matter by persons who represent the beauty parlours. The President of the Association called me and indicated that they would like an opportunity to appear themselves with their local representatives."

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Lane, that the report be approved and that Council fix May 26th at 8:00 P. M. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, as the time and place for a Public Hearing on this matter. Motion passed.



Council,  
April 14, 1960

MODIFICATION OF SIDEYARD - #578 ROBIE STREET

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
FROM: Town Planning Board  
DATE: April 5, 1960  
SUBJECT: Modification of Sideyard - #578 Robie Street

---

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending against a modification of sideyard at #578 Robie Street.

On motion of Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Lane, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Lane, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

APPOINTMENTS TO COMMISSIONS

Deferred until the next meeting of Council.

REPORTS - REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

No reports were submitted.

USE OF COMMONS - JULY 18-23, 1960 - HALIFAX KINSMEN CLUB

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
FROM: Committee on Works  
DATE: April 5, 1960  
SUBJECT: Use of Commons - July 18-23, 1960 - Halifax Kinsmen Club

---

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date considered a request from the Halifax Kinsmen Club for use of the Commons from July 18 - 23, 1960, for the presentation of a carnival show.

The Committee expressed the feeling that they should be charged the same rate as Lynch's Fair; that is, \$75.00 per day.

On motion of Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Trainor, the Committee approved the request of the Halifax Kinsmen Club for the use of the Commons from July 18 - 23, 1960, for the presentation of a carnival and recommended the same to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

City Manager: "The Works Department have a letter from the Kinsmen Club pointing out that this show has a good reputation."

Alderman Trainor: "Your Worship, I was called upon to support this in the Committee on Works. This show is proposing to come to the City under the sponsorship of the Kinsmen Club. They checked it out with Better Business Bureaus across Canada. This show has been in operation in Ontario and Quebec for about ten or fifteen years, and the Kinsmen, themselves, will be doing the actual ticket selling, and so on. It is strictly a money-making venture for the Kinsmen Club for their charitable work."

Alderman Lane: "Your Worship, I would like to add to that that I have been approached also. The Kinsmen have a minimum guarantee. They are bound to make a minimum amount. The show has been well regarded elsewhere."

Alderman Wyman: "Would you know what the name of the show is?"

His Worship the Mayor: "The name of the show is the Bernard and Barry Amusement Limited of Windsor, Ontario."

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

PERMISSION TO OPERATE FERRY - QUINPOOL ROAD TO DINGLE

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
FROM: Committee on Works  
DATE: April 5, 1960  
SUBJECT: Permission to operate ferry - Quinpool Road to Dingle.

---

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date considered a request from Mr. Foster Boutilier for permission to operate a ferry from the Dingle to Armdale.

On motion of Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Committee approved the request from Mr. Foster Boutilier to operate a ferry from Quinpool Road to the Dingle at a fee of \$10.00 per year, and recommended the same to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

DEED TO IDEAL ALUMINUM PRODUCTS LIMITED

April 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on April 6, 1960, a report was submitted from the City Solicitor advising that Mr. Frank Zebberman has requested that the deed to the Ideal Aluminum Products Limited for land on the Exhibition Grounds be now made out to the Hillcrest Motors Limited.

If this request is granted, the City Solicitor advised he would prepare the deed which will contain the covenants already agreed upon and will endeavour to draft it in such a manner that Ideal Aluminum Products Limited will also be bound.

Your Committee concurs and recommends that the request be granted and the deed made out as suggested by the City Solicitor.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD,  
CITY CLERK.

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
FROM: T. C. Doyle, City Solicitor  
DATE: March 15, 1960  
SUBJECT: Deed to Ideal Aluminum Company Limited

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on March 10, 1960, the proposed covenants to be contained in deed from the City to Ideal Aluminum Company Limited, were submitted and approved, as follows:

1. The Company to construct on the land a prefabricated first-class building at a cost of between \$50,000 and \$60,000.
2. The area of land to be conveyed to the Company is 37,945 square feet, at a price of .77¢ per square foot, for a total of \$29,217.65.
3. Construction is to commence on or before April 15, 1960, and is to be completed by May 1, 1961.
4. The plans of the building to be submitted to the City, including the proposed location of the building on the land.
5. If the Company decides not to build, the land is to be re-conveyed to the City for the sum of \$29,217.65.
6. The Company will manufacture aluminum products on the premises and any part of the building used as a warehouse must be so used in connection with the said manufacturing. The Company shall not, without the consent of Council, change to a different type of manufacturing.
7. The Company is not to sell or lease the land or any part thereof without the consent of Council, prior to or after completion of the building referred to.
8. The covenants are to run with the land for a period of ten years from the date of the deed.

Council,  
April 14, 1960

Alderman Lloyd: "There was some question in the Committee as to whether the Solicitor would have some difficulty in the matter but he assured us he didn't think he would."

City Solicitor: "I just received a letter yesterday from the Solicitor appointed to the firm. All I want is the approval to change it from the Ideal Aluminum to Hillcrest Motors. I want all the safeguards in it."

Alderman Lloyd: "The same covenants will be imposed upon the Hillcrest Company along with the Ideal Aluminum."

Alderman Wyman: "They both will be responsible, the Hillcrest Motors Limited and Ideal Aluminum Products Limited."

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

PLANNING PROJECTS AND PRIORITIES

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council  
FROM: Town Planning Board  
DATE: April 5, 1960  
SUBJECT: Town Planning Staff

---

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered the matter of the establishment of Town Planning staff.

The Board members felt that they did not have sufficient time to study the report, but felt due to its urgency it could be forwarded to the next City Council meeting without a recommendation, and be considered at that time.

On motion of Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, the Board agreed that the report of the Director of Planning be sent to City Council without a recommendation from this Board, and also that the City Manager assemble a list of the projects the Town Planning Office is working on, has planned for the future, and has in the planning stages.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN,  
CLERK OF WORKS.

TO: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and Members of the City Council  
FROM: A. A. DeBard, Jr., City Manager  
DATE: April 11, 1960  
SUBJECT: Planning Projects and Priorities

---

When the matter of Planning staff was discussed at the Town Planning