

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

its own efforts regarding urban renewal projects. He did not think Council would have enough time to fully discuss the matter and have the proper public hearings that would be necessary before the Legislature rises.

Alderman Richard stated that all he was interested in was permissive legislation in case Council decided to take advantage of such legislation.

Alderman Matheson was of the opinion that this matter could be debated by Council during budget meetings to which His Worship the Mayor agreed.

Question - Alderman LeBlanc Re: Date for Natal Day

Alderman LeBlanc asked when the date for Natal Day would be selected by the Committee and Council.

Alderman Connolly felt that the City might forego the Natal Day celebration because of the budget and a possible tax increase. He said the celebration comes to approximately \$5,000.00 and it was his opinion that the citizens want to go to the beaches rather than stay in the City on that day.

His Worship the Mayor instructed the Natal Day Committee to meet and recommend a date for the celebration of Natal Day.

Alderman Moir was charged with the responsibility of calling the meeting.

Question - Alderman O'Brien Re: 1964 Conventions, Short Courses, Etc.

Alderman O'Brien requested a report listing the total amount expended in 1964 for conventions, short courses, etc., paid for by the City.

The City Manager was directed to prepare and submit such a report.

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Question - Alderman Connolly Re: Ice Conditions on  
Gottingen Street Sidewalks

Alderman Connolly asked if anything could be done to alleviate ice conditions on the Gottingen Street sidewalks.

NOTICE OF MOTION - ALDERMAN LEBLANC RE: THANKS AND  
APPRECIATION TO OFFICIALS OF THE WINTER CARNIVAL

Alderman LeBlanc gave notice that at the next meeting of Council he would move that Council express its thanks and appreciation to the Officials of the Winter Carnival for the excellent effort on behalf of the City.

His Worship the Mayor was of the opinion that Council would agree to waive notice and deal with the matter at this meeting which was agreed to by Council.

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Richard, that Council express its thanks and appreciation to the Officials of the Winter Carnival for the excellent effort they put forth on behalf of the City. Motion passed unanimously.

ACCOUNTS OVER \$500.00

An account amounting to \$720.78 payable to R. L. Crain Limited for Poll Tax bills, was submitted.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman A. M. Butler, that the account be approved for payment. Motion passed.

COLLECTIVE AGREEMENT - LOCAL UNION #143

A report was submitted from the City Manager attaching a draft copy of a proposed new agreement between the City of Halifax and Local Union #143.

Copies of the proposed agreement had been furnished the members of Council prior to the meeting.

The City Manager recommended that the agreement be approved and the Mayor and City Clerk authorized to sign the

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

agreement on behalf of the City of Halifax.

Alderman Trainor stated he would have to vote against the recommendation.

Alderman A. M. Butler asked the question if Council was going to increase salaries, 2%, 3% or 4% year in and year out and must the Union, in its negotiations, always win. He asked if there were no recognition given to the high level salaries being paid by the City of Halifax at the present time but he admitted there are a few exceptions when certain adjustments are justified. He did not think the time was appropriate for a wholesale increase. He suggested the appointment of a Committee of members of Council and officials to determine salaries in a way similar meted out by the Provincial Government. He stated he was going to vote against the recommendation for these reasons.

Alderman Black felt that the City Manager would welcome such a Committee but under the law, the City Manager is the Bargaining Agent for the Council. He said he was going to vote for this agreement but what Alderman A. M. Butler said has a lot of merit and Council does have some control over the person doing the bargaining for the City of Halifax.

His Worship the Mayor stated that Council empowered the City Manager to negotiate on behalf of the City and a directive was given to him by Council after opening negotiations with the various Unions and he has managed to stay within the guide lines given to him. He did not think the City Manager should be made the person who is responsible for salary increase and let him stand alone. He felt the Council should stand behind the Manager since it so directed him at a previous meeting.

Alderman A. M. Butler said his remarks were not intended

Council,

February 11, 1965.

as a lack of confidence in the City Manager but with respect to the proposal. He said that at some early meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee he would lay out a definite proposal for reform in this matter.

Alderman Matheson stated that Council appointed the City Manager as the City Bargaining Agent but it does not have to accept his recommendation no more than the members of the Bargaining Unit have to accept the recommendation of those who bargain on behalf of the Union. He stated that something could be said for some consultation between the City Manager and some Committee, and he felt that the suggestion might be well worth looking into. He said he had one or two questions about the agreement which he would like to put forward at this time as being rather unusual.

1. Payment of full salary in the event of injury covered by compensation; and
2. Three months severance pay on retirement.

The City Manager stated that generally the severance is recognized by Provincial and Municipal Governments but it did not go as high as Provincial and Federal regulations which are better than what this agreement contains.

With respect to full salary in the event of injury, he stated that it is a fairly well accepted fact that if an employee is injured while in the course of his work and qualifies for Workmen's Compensation; for a specific period of time the employee would get his full rate of pay and the Workmen's Compensation cheque would be tendered to the City and deposited to the credit of the City after endorsement by the employee. Such a provision is in many agreements throughout Canada.

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Black, that the proposed new working agreement between the City of Halifax

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

and Local Union #143 be approved and that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the agreement on behalf of the City of Halifax.

Alderman LeBlanc stated that the increase recommended was a reasonable one to give and he assumed that such increases are based on merit to which the City Manager replied in the affirmative. He was of the opinion that the employees are deserving of the increase.

The motion was put and passed with Aldermen A.M. Butler and Trainor voting against.

#### COLLECTIVE AGREEMENT - LOCAL UNION #108

A report was submitted from the City Manager attaching a copy of a proposed new agreement between the City of Halifax and Local Union #108.

Copies of the proposed agreement had been furnished the members of Council prior to the meeting.

MOVED by Alderman H.W. Butler, seconded by Alderman Connolly, that the proposed new working agreement between the City of Halifax and Local Union #108, for the period January 1, 1965 to December 31, 1967, be approved and that the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign the agreement on behalf of the City of Halifax.

The motion was put and passed with Aldermen A.M. Butler and Trainor voting against.

#### 1965 LEGISLATION

To: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

From: Leonard Mitchell, Q.C., City Solicitor

Date: February 9, 1965

Subject: Amendment to Halifax-Dartmouth Regional Authority Act

By Chapter 72 of the Acts of 1962, the Halifax-Dartmouth Regional Authority was created. Section 3 provided that the

Council,  
February 11, 1965

Authority shall consist of 6 members, two members to be appointed by the Council of each of the participating bodies, i.e., City of Halifax, City of Dartmouth, and the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

The Section further provided that the two appointees of the City of Halifax must be the Mayor and an Alderman, and the two appointees of the Municipality of the County of Halifax must be the Warden and a Councillor. At the express request of the City of Dartmouth, the two appointees from the City of Dartmouth were not confined to members of its Council.

The City Solicitor of Dartmouth has now written to me, a copy of which is attached hereto, enclosing draft legislation, a copy of which is also attached, which would confine the appointees of the City of Dartmouth to the Aldermen of its Council.

However, it should be pointed out that this legislation would prohibit the Mayor of Dartmouth from serving on such Authority.

The Deputy Minister of Municipal Affairs, as indicated in the attached letter, would like to know if the City of Halifax wishes to make any representation with respect to this proposed legislation.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Leonard Mitchell,  
City Solicitor.

His Worship the Mayor stated that Council should oppose the legislation as he felt that the Regional Authority, to be effective, should have the Head of the various Municipal Councils on it.

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Moir, that the suggestion of His Worship the Mayor be approved and that the legislation submitted by the City of Dartmouth be opposed by the City of Halifax. Motion passed.

PLANS FOR PROPOSED NEW TOURIST BUREAU

His Worship the Mayor and  
Members of the City Council.

On August 27, 1964, the City Council authorized that plans be drawn for a new Tourist Bureau, to be located at the Bicentennial Entrance to the City, on Ralston Avenue, and that the Director of Town Planning prepare plans for a suitable building.

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

In compliance with this resolution, the Staff of the Director of Town Planning has drawn up plans of the new Tourist Bureau, which were studied by members of the Halifax Tourist and Convention Committee at a meeting on February 4, 1965.

Your Committee now makes the following recommendation to City Council: That subject to a larger office for the Director, the Plans submitted by the Director of Town Planning be approved and action taken for construction of the building.

Respectfully submitted,

Roy Bowman,  
Chairman,  
Halifax Tourist and  
Convention Committee.

Plans for the proposed Tourist Bureau and a model of same were displayed by the Director of Planning for the information of the members of Council.

Alderman O'Brien requested an explanation of the traffic circulation both for people coming into the Bureau and coming out and whether they are coming in via Bicentennial Drive or going out of the City.

The Director of Planning then proceeded to give an explanation of a requested traffic circulation.

Alderman Matheson contended:

1. That the proposed site for the Bureau would create traffic congestion;
2. It is to be located in one of the extreme ends of the City;
3. According to the Traffic Engineer's survey on the number of cars using the Bicentennial Drive 20 years from now, unless people use the Bicentennial Drive to get to the Bureau, the traffic coming into the City will not be coming this way.

Alderman Lane stated that a very careful survey of traffic count had been considered by the Tourist Committee and all the points brought up have been covered and this position has been selected. She advised that the building can be moved from one

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

location to another.

Alderman A. M. Butler suggested the use of Kempt Road for the site.

The Director of Planning stated that he considered the location to be a fairly good one as it would be fairly difficult to cover all the entrances to the City with one Bureau but this site seemed to be a fairly central location which could be reached by other entrances with Bicentennial Drive being the most important one.

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Moir, that the recommendation of the Tourist Committee and the plans submitted be approved. Motion passed with Alderman O'Brien voting against the site.

**TERMS OF REFERENCE - ADVISORY COMMITTEE**  
**PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS**

Office of the Mayor,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
February 9, 1965.

To the Members of City Council.

Dear Aldermen:

On Thursday, February 11, 1965, I will submit to Council the names of the persons who I feel should serve on the Advisory Committee for the Preservation of Historic Buildings. I would hope that my nominations meet with the approval of Council.

If the composition of the Advisory Committee is approved by Council, I suggest that the attached Terms of Reference serve as a basis for the work of the Committee. If Council so desires perhaps these Terms of Reference could be approved.

Yours very truly,

CHARLES A. VAUGHAN  
MAYOR



Council,  
February 11, 1965.

TERMS OF REFERENCE

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON THE PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS

The City of Halifax is undertaking a substantial redevelopment program designed to improve living conditions, traffic routings, and land uses. Inevitably the program affects older parts of the City which contain buildings of historic and architectural value.

The area of particular concern at the moment is the area on both sides of Upper Water Street between George Street and Buckingham Street. This area is affected by the proposed Water-front Development, the proposed Harbour Drive, and the Central Redevelopment Area.

The matter of retaining historic buildings has been the subject of considerable discussion and consideration. The Federal Department of Northern Affairs has undertaken a survey of many of the older properties in the City. The Department has indicated its willingness to assist in the preservation of buildings of historical and architectural merit. The Heritage Trust has also been active.

The City of Halifax is interested in the preservation and restoration of buildings with historic and/or architectural merit in all cases in which it is feasible to do so. The fundamental criteria which would be applied by the City are:

- (a) The building must have recognized architectural or historical merit;
- (b) The building must be capable of restoration;
- (c) The building, when restored, must be capable of use with reasonable assurance of permanent preservation;
- (d) Retention and restoration will not result in undue direct costs to the City in respect of acquisition, restoration or maintenance of the buildings;
- (e) Retention and restoration of the building will not result in undue difficulties to the City either financially or physically in carrying out development proposals, including traffic improvements.

Recognizing the general interests of the community in the preservation and maintenance of old buildings and safeguarding the character of the City and work already done by private persons and associations, Council has resolved to appoint an Advisory Committee to assist the City in dealing with the matter outlined above.

The Advisory Committee on the Preservation of Historic Buildings has now been appointed. The Committee is requested to examine the buildings in the area on both sides of Upper Water Street between George Street and Buckingham Street. The purpose of the examination is to prepare a report to the City indicating the opinions of the Committee on:

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

- (a) which buildings are considered to have recognized architectural or historical merit;
- (b) which of the buildings so considered are capable of restoration and a procedure for financing the capital cost of such restoration;
- (c) which buildings, when restored, are capable of continued use in their restored condition, type of use, and a general view as to how this use might be attained.

The City on receipt of the Committee's report would correlate the opinions and views contained within the report with technical and financial information that is or will be prepared by other sources.

Alderman Matheson stated that he approved of the Terms of Reference with the exception that the Committee should be given the privilege of making recommendations to Council concerning other buildings in other areas. He suggested another section to read as follows:

"The Committee might if it deems it desirable, make recommendations concerning the preservation, restoration, and future possible use of other buildings or groups of buildings outside this area".

His Worship the Mayor stated he was not opposed to the suggestion but he was more or less bound by what he had said to all the persons he approached to serve on the Committee and it was their understanding that they were being asked to serve on the Committee which would be dealing with one area only.

Alderman Matheson suggested that it be left to the members of the Committee to which His Worship the Mayor and members of Council agreed.

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman H.W. Butler, that the Terms of Reference as submitted be approved. Motion passed.

NOMINATIONS TO THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR  
THE PRESERVATION OF HISTORIC BUILDINGS

His Worship the Mayor nominated the following:

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Alderman J. L. Connolly - Chairman  
Alderman R. A. O'Brien  
Alderman L. E. Moir  
Mr. Louis W. Collins  
Mr. George T. Bates, President, Nova Scotia Historical Society  
Mr. J. H. Haylock, First Vice-President, Halifax Board of Trade  
Mr. J. Philip Vaughan, President of the Association of Professional  
Engineers of Nova Scotia  
Professor Douglas Shadbolt, School of Architecture,  
Nova Scotia Technical College,  
Mr. George Unwin, Regional General Manager,  
Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce  
Dr. Bruce Fergusson, Provincial Archivist  
Mr. Charles A. Richardson, Manager, Toronto-Dominion Bank  
Mr. R. B. Grant, Development Officer, City of Halifax  
Mr. C. J. McLellan, Deputy Building Inspector, City of Halifax  
A nominee of the Department of Northern Affairs.

MOVED by Alderman H. W. Butler, seconded by Alderman Lane,  
that the nominations be approved. Motion passed.

PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS - TRANSIT SERVICE AND FARES

A letter was submitted from His Worship the Mayor advising that the Nova Scotia Light and Power Company Limited contemplates applying to the Board of Commissioners of the Public Utilities for an adjustment in transit service and a fare increase late in 1965 or early 1966.

The Company requested the support of the City of Halifax with respect to the application.

Alderman H. W. Butler said he was against any increase in fares and suggested that the Transit losses be offset by the profits of the Power Utility. He referred to the legislation which was enacted whereby the Company could increase the light bill to make up the Transit deficiency and expressed the opinion that this was not good legislation.

The City Solicitor advised that the original legislation intended that any deficit in the Transit Operation could be written off as an expense in the Power Operation. He then read the present legislation for the information of the members of Council.

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Alderman O'Brien suggested joint Staff meetings between the City and the Company and then joint policy making consideration.

His Worship the Mayor agreed with Alderman O'Brien that something should be done about a long term policy because the Company can't make policy until it knows in which direction the City is headed.

Alderman O'Brien said he wanted some convincing evidence that the Company proposals are going to improve the situation rather than to deteriorate it.

His Worship the Mayor stated that he felt sure the Company would welcome the opportunity to meet with a Council Committee to discuss the matter.

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Meagher, that the application be referred to the Finance and Executive Committee and that Staff be directed to submit a report with recommendations. Motion passed.

LETTER - MINISTER OF LABOUR RE: AMENDMENTS TO CANADA LABOUR CODE

Office of the Mayor,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
February 3, 1965.

Dear Aldermen:

For the information of Council, I attach hereto a copy of the Honourable A. J. MacEachen's letter to me, dated January 28, 1965, in reply to the resolution of City Council respecting the proposed amendments to the Canada Labour (Standards) Code.

Yours very truly,

CHARLES A. VAUGHAN  
MAYOR

FILED.

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Minister of Labour,  
Canada,  
Ottawa 4, January 28, 1965.

Dear Mr. Vaughan:

I acknowledge with thanks the receipt of your letter of January 14, quoting in full a motion passed by the City Council of the City of Halifax last December 17, requesting that the interests of the waterfront workers of Halifax be safeguarded in drafting amendments to the Canada Labour (Standards) Code.

I would like you to assure the City Council that I am very well aware of the problems that can be expected in the application of the Canada Labour Code to the stevedoring industry, and that these problems will be kept constantly in mind as the regulations to the Act are being drafted.

Yours sincerely,

(sgd) Allan J. MacEachen

His Worship Mayor Charles A. Vaughan  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.

FILED.

LETTER - BOARD OF TRADE - RETAIL COMMITTEE RE:  
ERECTION MEDIUM-PRICED RENTAL HOUSING

Board of Trade,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
January 22, 1965.

Mayor C. A. Vaughan and  
Members of City Council,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.

Dear Mayor Vaughan and Gentlemen:

At a meeting of the Retail Committee of the Halifax Board of Trade, the Committee which represents all retail sections of the City of Halifax, a resolution was passed urging that the City of Halifax give encouragement for the erection of medium-priced rental housing in the Central Redevelopment Area.

Information obtained from the Halifax Income Tax Department shows that there are 34,642 persons whose earnings were less than \$6,000 in 1962. There were 5,836 persons whose earnings were above \$6,000. The breakdown of the above figures is as follows:

6112	earned under	\$2,000
8316	"	between 2,000 - 2,999
9217	"	" 3,000 - 3,999
7538	"	" 4,000 - 4,999
3459	"	" 5,000 - 5,999

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Since it is generally accepted that people should not pay more than one-quarter of their income for accommodation, we suggest that there is a great need for private enterprise or a combination of private and public funds to invest in providing housing accommodation for our people.

In our opinion a great many people can be attracted into the Central Redevelopment Area with resulting economic gains to the entire community.

Yours very truly,

K. W. WINSBY, Chairman  
Retail Committee

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Meagher,  
that the letter be accepted. Motion passed.

REPORT - GRAND JURY

Supreme Court of Nova Scotia,  
January 29, 1965.

His Worship the Mayor C. A. Vaughan  
City Hall  
Halifax

Dear Mr. Mayor:

I am enclosing herewith a copy of the report of the Grand Jury for the County of Halifax made on the 27th day of January, 1965, as directed by His Lordship Chief Justice J.L. Ilsley.

Yours respectfully,

CLERK OF THE CROWN

We, the members of the Grand Jury, at the suggestion of Your Lordship, have inspected the Halifax County Jail, and we beg to submit the following findings and recommendations:

1. that the Jailer and his assistants are performing as satisfactory a task as they are able in view of the conditions under which they operate;
2. that the building and accommodations in the Jail are obsolete;
3. that the lives of the inmates are placed in serious jeopardy in the event of a sudden fire;
4. that there is no segregation of first offenders or untried prisoners from persistent offenders;
5. that no facilities are available for any work program within the institution;

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

6. that, in view of the foregoing, and the adverse reports on the Jail submitted by successive Provincial Inspectors of penal institutions, and similar adverse reports by the Nova Scotia Royal Commission Concerning Jails (1933), and the Archambault Commission Report (1938), and in view of the recommendations of the United Nations at Geneva regarding Minimum Standard Rules for the Treatment of Offenders (1955), and in view of the recommendation to the Government of Nova Scotia on August 21, 1964, by its own consultant, Touche, Ross, Bailey and Smart, that the Province assume completely responsibility for the administration of justice (page 132), the following recommendations are submitted:

1. that the jurisdiction of all jails in Nova Scotia be taken over by the Attorney General's Department of the Provincial Government in the manner presently practised by the neighboring Provinces of New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Newfoundland, in order that there be a unified and co-ordinated system of penal practice;
2. that the construction of a combined penal institution for Halifax City, Dartmouth City, and the County of Halifax be no longer delayed;
3. that the Halifax County Jail be demolished as soon as possible, and that the prisoners therein be transferred to the new institution, which should be under the jurisdiction of the Provincial Government.

We request Your Lordship to forward these recommendations to the appropriate authorities, preferably the Premier of Nova Scotia.

Respectfully submitted,

GRAND JURY

Halifax, Nova Scotia,  
January 27, 1965.

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Matheson,  
that the report and recommendations be approved. Motion passed.

LETTER - SPRING GARDEN ROAD AREA BUSINESS  
ASSOCIATION RE: ATLANTIC WINTER FAIR

January 29, 1965.

His Worship Mayor Charles A. Vaughan,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.

Dear Sir: Subject: Atlantic Winter Fair

At a recent meeting of the Executive of the Association,

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

the subject of the possible loss of the Atlantic Winter Fair as a continuing event was discussed in depth.

In our opinion the Fair has been a major attraction to the Halifax area, and of definite benefit to the rural and urban population of the Atlantic Provinces as a whole; and certainly something that must be continued, even under public subsidy, if necessary

We are taking this opportunity of expressing our feelings to the Council and yourself, and ask that every possible avenue be explored to assure the continuation of this event.

There may be areas in which we as individual retailers, or as an Association as a whole, can be of assistance. We would welcome any opportunity to discuss this matter with your Committee at any time.

Yours sincerely,

SPRING GARDEN ROAD AREA BUSINESS ASSOC.,

R. E. BUELL, CHAIRMAN

R.E.Buell,  
c/o The House of Rodney,  
Lord Nelson Shopping Arcade,  
Halifax, N. S.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that the letter be forwarded to the Forum Commission for consideration. Motion passed.

APPOINTMENT - CITY REPRESENTATIVE TO WELFARE COUNCIL

At this time, His Worship the Mayor nominated Alderman Gerald Doyle as the Council representative on the Welfare Council of Halifax.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that the nomination be approved. Motion passed.

APPOINTMENT TO VOCATIONAL SCHOOL BOARD

Alderman Meagher asked His Worship the Mayor if an appointment would be made to the Vocational School Board in view of the fact that he understood that Alderman Black had resigned.

His Worship the Mayor advised that Alderman Black had withdrawn his resignation and no vacancy exists.



Council,  
February 11, 1965.

MOTION - ALDERMAN BLACK TO RESCIND RESOLUTION OF COUNCIL  
DECEMBER 17, 1964, RE: ENGAGEMENT OF LARRY SMITH & CO.

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Abbott,  
that the resolution approved by Council at a meeting held on  
December 17, 1964, respecting the engagement of Larry Smith and  
Company, be rescinded. Motion passed.

PROPOSAL - LARRY SMITH AND COMPANY RE: ECONOMIC STUDY AND ANALYSIS  
OF THE HALIFAX AREA

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Abbott  
that, as recommended by the Committee of the Whole Council,

1. that the firm of Larry Smith and Company be engaged to undertake Phase I of the Proposal submitted by them pertaining to a basic research and analysis of the economic and physical characteristics of the Halifax Area, generally, and the project (Central Business District), in particular, including an evaluation of the economy and development pattern in terms of population growth and characteristics, employment projections to the year 1986; and

that the Company be required to submit its report to the City within 120 days following authorization to commence the study;

2. that the Company undertake Phase II of the proposal pertaining to Redevelopment Planning and Implementation; and

that the Company submit this report 60 days after receipt of the revised development plan for the project area;

3. that the costs involved be shared as follows:

Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation	- \$17,500.00
City of Halifax	- <u>11,500.00</u>
Total	- <u>\$29,000.00</u>

Alderman Matheson asked how long it would be before building would start in the Central Redevelopment Area after the survey has been carried out by Larry Smith and Company.

The City Manager stated it would be his guess at about 8 months from the time everything is ready but he preferred to take note of the question and give a proper answer at a later time.

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

The motion was put and passed.

THE HALIFAX FORUM COMMISSION OPERATION

The following recommendation was submitted from the Finance and Executive Committee at a meeting held on January 26, 1965.

"That approval in principle be given to the continuance of the Atlantic Winter Fair for 1965, and that the Forum Commission be requested to enter into a new agreement with the Atlantic Winter Fair to include a provision that the Forum Commission will not assume any deficit incurred by the Fair".

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that the recommendation be approved. Motion passed.

Meeting adjourned: 10:50 p.m.

HEADLINES

Public Hearing Re: Modification of Front & Sideyard Requirements - #6155-6157 Lawrence Street	131
Public Hearing Re: Amendment to Section #1 of Part XV of the Zoning By-law - Professional Offices, R-2 Zones	133
Petition - Property Owners - Bicentennial Drive Area	135
Petition - Respecting Traffic Problem on Peter Lowe Avenue	136
Expropriation Settlement - #11-15 Jacob Street	137
Halifax Senior Citizen's Housing Corporation - First Year Rental	137
Authority to Expend Over \$500.00	137
Tenders - License Plates and Badges	137
Salary - City Solicitor	138
Salary - Assistant Planner #2	138
Possible Acquisition - #2336 Maitland Street	139
Widow's Pension - Mrs. Alice Curran	139
The Halifax Forum Commission - Advance	139
The Halifax Forum Commission - Financial Statement	140
Request for Permission for Use of Quinpool Road Landing Bluenose Water Tours	140
Final Certificates	140
Tenders Demolitions: #5180 Gray Street	
#2243 Maitland Street	
#694-700 Barrington Street	141
Tenders - Traffic Signal Control Equipment	141
Snow Removal from Business Areas	141
Specifications - Crushed Stone - New Tenders	142
Request to Withdraw Tendered Quotations on Gasoline Products	142
Gift of New Flag and Ceremony	142
Property #46-52 Hollis Street: (a) Alteration to Subdivision (b) Erection of more than one building on one lot	143
Emscote Subdivision - Francklyn Street - Alteration to Subdivision	143

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES  
SPECIAL MEETING

Council,  
February 11, 1965.

Volatile Fuel Delivery	144
Purchase - "Scotchlite" Sign Sheeting	145
Ordinance #103 "Rules of Order of Council" - Second Reading	145
Release of Information re: Development Proposal	145
Appointment - Architects Proposed New Building to Replace Basinview Home	146
Sidewalk Snow Removal	146
Legislation re: Sewer Utility	148
Question - Alderman Doyle re: Tax on Apartments	149
Question - Alderman Doyle re: Appointments to Commissions & Boards	149
Question - Alderman Connolly re: Tax Rate on Apartments	150
Question - Alderman Richard re: Taxation on Apartment Buildings	150
Question - Alderman LeBlanc re: Date for Natal Day	151
Question - Alderman O'Brien re: 1964 Conventions, Short Courses, Etc.	151
Question - Alderman Connolly re: Ice Conditions on Gottingen Street Sidewalks	152
Notice of Motion - Alderman LeBlanc re: Thanks and Appreciation to Officials of the Winter Carnival	152
Accounts over \$500.00	152
Collective Agreement - Local Union #143	152
Collective Agreement - Local Union #108	155
1965 Legislation	155
Plans for Proposed New Tourist Bureau	156
Terms of Reference - Advisory Committee, Preservation of Historic Buildings	158
Nominations to the Advisory Committee for the Preservation of Historic Buildings	160
Proposed Adjustments - Transit Service and Fares	161
Letter - Minister of Labour re: Amendments to Canada Labour Code	162
Letter - Board of Trade - Retail Committee re: Erection Medium-priced Rental Housing	163
Report - Grand Jury	164
Letter - Spring Garden Road Area Business Association re: Atlantic Winter Fair	165
Appointment - City Representative to Welfare Council	166
Appointment to Vocational School Board	166
Motion - Alderman Black to Rescind Resolution of Council, December 17, 1964, re: Engagement of Larry Smith & Co.	167
Proposal - Larry Smith and Company re: Economic Study and Analysis of the Halifax Area	167
The Halifax Forum Commission Operation	168

Welfare

MOVED by Alderman A. N. Daller, seconded by Alderman

Abbott, that, \$10,000 be deleted from

CHARLES A. VAUGHAN  
MAYOR AND CHAIRMAN

R. H. STODDARD  
CITY CLERK

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman

Mr. E. M. Fogo

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES  
SPECIAL MEETING

Committee of the Whole  
February 17, 1965.

Council Chamber,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
February 17, 1965,  
7:50 p.m.

A special meeting of the City Council was held on the above date.

After the meeting was called to order, the members of Council, led by the City Clerk, joined in reciting the Lord's Prayer.

There were present His Worship, Mayor C. A. Vaughan, Chairman; and Aldermen H. W. Butler, Meagher, Doyle, Abbott, A. M. Butler, LeBlanc, Black and Matheson.

Also present were Messrs. P. F. C. Byars, L. Mitchell, J. L. Leitch, R. H. Stoddard, G. F. West, Hector Brennan, G. H. Brundige, J. MacLellan, H. K. Randall and Dr. E. M. Fogo.

The meeting was called specially to consider the 1965 Current Budget.

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Meagher, that Council adjourn and meet as a Committee of the Whole.

Motion passed.

7:55 p.m. Council met as a Committee of the Whole.

Health - Grants - 310J

MOVED by Alderman Doyle, seconded by Alderman H. W. Butler, that the Health Grants as set out in the Budget be approved

Motion passed.

Welfare

MOVED by Alderman A. M. Butler, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that, \$10,000 be deleted from Account No. 6-250-76.

Motion passed.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman

H. W. Butler, that \$3,643.84 be added to the budget to cover costing of administration services. Motion passed.

MOVED by Alderman Doyle, seconded by Alderman Black, that the Budget, as amended, be approved. Motion passed.

Basinview Home

MOVED by Alderman H. W. Butler, seconded by Alderman Black, that \$10,000 be deleted from Account No. 6-251-1 (Salaries) because of existing unfilled positions. Motion passed.

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Black, that \$10,870.36 be added to the budget to cover costing of other services such as administration of costs by the Finance Department, snowplowing, health services, etc. Motion passed.

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Black, that the Budget, as amended, be approved. Motion passed.

Aldermen O'Brien and Trainor arrived 8:20 p.m.

Social Welfare - Sundries

Grants

Grants - 310J

MOVED by Alderman A. M. Butler, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that the Budgets, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed.

Mental Hospital

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that \$24,260.89 be added to the Mental Hospital Budget to cover costing of other administration costs and other services provided. Motion passed.

Convalescent Hospital

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman H. W. Butler, that \$5,615.51 be added to the Convalescent Hospital Budget to cover costing of other administrative costs and other services provided. Motion passed.

City Prison

MOVED by Alderman Doyle, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that \$1,700 be deleted from the salary account in view of unfilled positions. Motion passed.

Fleming MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that \$6,683.60 be added to the Budget for Superannuation Requirements and the same sum be deleted from Account No. 1-9-561. Motion passed.

Boulevard MOVED by Alderman A. M. Butler, seconded by Alderman Black, that \$3,582.31 be added for administrative costs and Service Charges. Motion passed.

MOVED by Alderman Doyle, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that the Budget, as amended, be approved. Motion passed.

Community Services

City Property (Market Building)

MOVED by Alderman H. W. Butler, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that the Budget, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed.

Quinpool Ferry Landing

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that the Budget, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed.

Oakland Road Ferry Landing

MOVED by Alderman A. M. Butler, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that the Budget, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed.

Grand Parade

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Black, that the Budget, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed.

Public Gardens

Approved, as submitted.

Alderman Lane arrived.

Flinn Park

Approved, as submitted.

Fort Needham Park

Approved, as submitted.

Fleming Park

Approved, as submitted.

Trees

Approved, as submitted.

Boulevards

Approved, as submitted.

Fairview Cemetery

Approved, as submitted.

Camphill Cemetery

Approved, as submitted.

Community Services

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman H. W. Butler, that \$25,000.00 be deleted from the overall budget of \$56,500, Community Services -- the allocations of the deletions to be determined by the City Manager. Motion passed.

Alderman A. M. Butler suggested that Staff review the charges made for the cost of cemetery lots and perpetual care at Fairview Cemetery. He advocated that the rates should be increased. It was agreed that staff be directed to review and report on the matter.

Community Services - Sundries

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that, the Budget, as submitted, be approved and that the City Manager consider the possibility of purchasing Public Address Systems rather than rentals of same. Motion passed.

Committee of the Whole,  
February 17, 1965.

Community Services - Grants

Approved.

Community Services - Grants - 310J

His Worship the Mayor reported that requests for additional grants in the amount of \$18,746.00 have been received from the following:

\$1,500 - Halifax Symphony Society  
\$ 500 - Halifax Music Festival  
\$ 700 - N. S. Hockey Hall of Fame  
\$5,000 - Salvation Army Home, Inglis St.  
\$11,000 - N. S. College of Art  
\$ 46 - Paraplegic Association.

It was agreed that the requests be referred to the Grants Committee for report and that these grants (including those in the Budget under 310J) be considered following the report of the Grants Committee.

Sundry Expenditures - Appropriation for Reserves

Approved.

Alderman LeBlanc requested that a report be compiled by the Commissioner of Finance giving the loss experience on an average for the last ten years, based on the percentage of the taxes levied.

Contributions to General Capital and Loan Fund  
Sundry Expenditures - Joint and Special Expenditures  
Sundry Expenditures - Housing

Approved.

Sundry Expenditures - Grants

It was suggested that an inspection of the Little Dutch Church be carried out and that it be ascertained whether or not the Vestry of St. George's Anglican Church has applied the grant toward the upkeep of the Little Dutch Church.

Alderman Trainor further suggested that the grant to St.



Committee of the Whole,  
February 17, 1965.

George's Anglican Church be not released until after the inspection and check.

Approved.

Sundry Expenditures - Superannuation Grants

Approved.

Debt Charges

Approved.

Alderman O'Brien referred to the Deferred Revenue Account and he requested a written report showing the sources of funds going into the account over the last 10 years as well as any funds taken out of the account over the period and a projection ahead as to where it would go if used at the rate used last year.

Alderman Black (1) complimented the City Manager on the excellent preparation of the budget; (2) congratulated Alderman Trainor for his interest and enthusiasm in trying to ferret out any inefficiencies in the administration of the City; (3) suggested that one or two positions cut out of the budget may be replaced if sources of revenue are found; (4) pointed out that the one million dollar increase includes 20% for education, 2% on the Forum Commission, 5% on Basinview Home, 4%, City Prison, but all for good sufficient explainable reasons.

He rejected emphatically any suggestion of empire building. He cited the approaches of Alderman Trainor and the Deputy Mayor as amounting to an indictment by innuendo. He commended Staff and His Worship the Mayor for the budget preparation and felt that these things should be brought to the attention of the citizens that empire building in City Hall is not what it may be pointed out to be.

Council,  
February 17, 1965.

Mental Convalescent Hospital  
Community Services  
Quinpool Ferry Landing  
Grand Parade  
Flinn Park  
Fleming Park  
Boulevards  
Carrhill Cemetery  
Sundries

Alderman LeBlanc said he, as an elected representative of the people of the City, would not rubber stamp anything, but reserve the right to question at all times and when he is not satisfied and convinced at all times with the information given, he then becomes skeptical and he said -- "If this thing builds up, you lose faith which I have to a degree in some departments; and I think it's known, then you must express concern or become a hypocrite or remain a hypocrite. You either are for something or against it."

Contributions to General Capital  
Housing  
Superannuation Grants

Alderman Trainor said he would stand behind his statements respecting empire building. He said he observed a lot of extras in the City of Halifax, extra staff, extra equipment and so on and he was going to strive to stop building structures with increased personnel. He referred to chauffeur driven vehicles for certain staff personnel and urged that a policy should be set for those persons who have access to City-owned vehicles. He was of the opinion that the ratio of civic employees per capita is one of the highest in Canada. He felt that the City has reached the highest possible tax rate and the time has come for a close examination of the administration of the City.

10:05 p.m. Council reconvened, the same members being present.

The Committee of the Whole Council reported progress in its budget deliberations.

10:10 p.m. Meeting adjourned.

#### HEADLINES

1965 Current Budget	
Health - Grants - 310J	188
Welfare	188
Basinview Home	189
Social Welfare - Sundries	189
Grants	189
Grants - 310J	189

CITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES

Council,  
February 17, 1965.

Mental Hospital	Council Chamber,	189
Convalescent Hospital	City Hall,	189
City Prison	Halifax, N. S.,	190
Community Services	February 25, 1965,	8:00 p.m.
City Property (Market Building)		190
Quinpool Ferry Landing		190
Oakland Road Ferry Landing		190
Grand Parade		190
Public Gardens		190
Flinn Park		191
Fort Needham Park		191
Fleming Park		191
Trees		191
Boulevards		191
Fairview Cemetery		191
Camphill Cemetery		191
Community Services		191
Sundries		191
Grants		192
Grants - 310J		192
Sundry Expenditures - Appropriation for Reserves		192
Contributions to General Capital and Loan Fund		192
Sundry Expenditures - Joint and Special Expenditures		192
Housing		192
Grants		192
Superannuation Grants		193
Debt Charges		193

MINUTES - JANUARY 28, 1965

MOVED by Alderman  
CHARLES A. VAUGHAN,  
MAYOR AND CHAIRMAN.

approved. Motion passed.

MOTION - ALDERMAN TRAINOR TO RECONSIDER  
MATTER OF SIDEWALK SNOW REMOVAL

MOVED by Alderman Trainor

that the matter relating to the City of

lation which would enable it to

noval, be reconsidered.

Alderman Trainor then briefly outlined his reasons  
for his reconsideration.

The motion was

*D. M. Fogo*

CITY COUNCIL  
MINUTES

Council,  
February 25, 1965.  
Council Chamber,  
City Hall,  
Halifax, N. S.,  
February 25, 1965,  
8:00 p.m.

PUBLIC HEARING RE: REZONING PROPOSAL  
STREET FROM 2-3

A public hearing into the  
#6305-6307 A meeting of the City Council was held on the above  
date.

After the meeting was called to order, the members  
of Council attending, led by the City Clerk, joined in reciting  
the Lord's Prayer.

There were present Mayor C. A. Vaughan, Chairman;  
Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Lane, A. M. Butler, Meagher,  
LeBlanc, Trainor, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

Also present were Messrs. P.F.C. Byars, L. Mitchell, QC.,  
R. H. Stoddard, W. J. Clancey, J. L. Leitch, G. F. West,  
J. F. Thomson, G. H. Brundige, K. M. Munnich, R. B. Grant,  
V. W. Mitchell and Dr. E. M. Fogo.

MINUTES - JANUARY 28, 1965

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Lane,  
that the minutes of City Council held on January 28, 1965, be  
approved. Motion passed.

MOTION - ALDERMAN TRAINOR TO RECONSIDER THE  
MATTER OF SIDEWALK SNOW REMOVAL

(c) MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Meagher,  
that the matter relating to the City of Halifax seeking legis-  
lation which would enable it to levy a tax for sidewalk snow re-  
moval, be reconsidered.

Alderman Trainor then briefly outlined his reasons  
for his reconsideration.

The motion was then put and lost.

#6305-6307 Edinburgh Street from 2-3 to 2-4, as shown on Drawing

Council,  
February 25, 1965.

PUBLIC HEARING RE: REZONING PROPERTY #6305-6307 EDINBURGH  
STREET FROM R-2 ZONE TO R-3 ZONE

A public hearing into the rezoning of the property #6305-6307 Edinburgh Street from R-2 Zone to R-3 Zone was held at this time.

The Town Planning Board, at a meeting held on January 5, 1965, considered a report from the City Manager respecting a request made on behalf of the prospective purchaser of the above-mentioned property for rezoning from R-2 residential to R-3 residential to allow the demolition of the existing building and the erection of a four-storey eighteen-unit apartment building. (Drawings #P200/1622-25 refer). The report stated that while it would be preferable to defer any further rezoning in the City until the completion of the Overall Development Plan, with the accompanying By-laws, it was felt that the Board could accept the proposal for the following reasons:

- (a) The existing R-2 zoning controls limit any development to four units per lot. It is considered that this limit should be reviewed to permit more efficient use of land in the City.
- (b) There is precedent in rezoning to R-3 density of a similar site in the area; and
- (c) The applicants are prepared to design the building to conform with the proposed R-3 regulations and there have been consultations with City Staff since February 1964 in an effort to design the building in accordance with these regulations.

Mr. D. J. Amiro, Solicitor for the applicant, appeared before the Board in favor of the application.

On motion of Alderman Butler, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, the Board approved an application for the rezoning of #6305-6307 Edinburgh Street from R-2 to R-3, as shown on Drawing #P200/1622-25; requested that a date be set for a public hearing.

Council,  
February 25, 1965.

The City Clerk advised that the matter had been duly advertised as required by the Town Planning Act and that a petition had been received from 33 property owners opposing the rezoning application which was endorsed by Alderman O'Brien.

The Director of Planning displayed the drawing and outlined the proposal for the information of those present.

8:05 p.m. Alderman Matheson arrives.

Mr. Martin Bushell addressed the Council on behalf of the petitioners and submitted a brief against the proposed rezoning application.

8:25 p.m. Aldermen H. W. Butler and Connolly arrive.

Mr. T. Arab addressed Council and requested that his name be withdrawn from the petition filed against the rezoning.

Mr. D. J. Amiro addressed Council in favour of the application, outlined various aspects of the proposal which would improve the area and urged approval of the rezoning.

His Worship the Mayor, speaking on the written submission from Mr. Martin Bushell against the proposed rezoning application, wherein he quoted a Supreme Court judgment of January 25, 1965, where the Court held that the area affected meant properties in the neighbourhood on which the zoning change would have an effect, said it was his belief that Council should be informed, prior to the advertisement calling for a public hearing, what constitutes the area affected. In his opinion, it could not be arbitrarily decided by any official of the City but by the Council and must be fully known to all persons who may wish to be heard on the subject.

As he looked at the plan displayed, he was of the opinion that in the immediate neighbourhood of the properties,

Council,  
February 25, 1965.

there are more than 20% of the persons who might fall within the area affected. Using the Court's decision as the basis for his decision, he ruled that a two-thirds' vote of the whole City Council would be required to approve the rezoning application.

Alderman O'Brien stated that on previous occasions, he had heard this question raised, and it was his understanding that the City Solicitor ruled that it was in the judgment of some particular member of Staff, but he had never known it to be in the judgment of the Mayor. He asked what the City Solicitor had to say about the wording of the Town Planning Act.

His Worship the Mayor stated that the law is silent as to whose view the affected area is.

The City Solicitor stated that in the case where a map is displayed before all members of Council, the owners of the properties who are protesting are shown in red; every member of Council can see the map and make up his or her mind whether they think 20% of the properties in the area would be affected. If the Chairman rules that 20% are affected and a two-third vote is required, it is the privilege of any member of Council to appeal that ruling. The Council would then vote on it and determine whether or not 20% were affected and that a two-third vote is required.

Alderman O'Brien stated that the suggestion of His Worship the Mayor to determine the area in advance should be adopted in future and that when the matter comes before the Town Planning Board, Staff could indicate in the report suggesting what the affected area might be.

The City Solicitor ruled that Aldermen Connolly and H. W. Butler would not be permitted to vote on this matter as