

Council
March 31, 1966.

on this matter would be those attending this meeting.

The City Solicitor advised that where the Council is acting as a quasi judicial body, hearing evidence and will make a decision affecting rights of people, it is only proper that any Alderman who is voting should be present to hear such evidence and make the decision. He ruled that any member of Council not present at this meeting, could not vote on this matter.

Alderman Richard stated that the rezoning application had given him a certain amount of concern since it was first brought up and that he had studied the matter of implications. He continued and said that Council appreciates the problems that the University is facing at the present time and said that Council would generally be most hesitant to do anything to delay any of the expansion plans which the University has. He then read an extract from the staff report "it is inconceivable that the City could disregard the benefits produced by Dalhousie University". He also read a paragraph of an editorial in the Mail-Star - "The rezoning of the area in question will not affect the rights of a single resident to sell his property to whom he may choose but it will prevent the erection of apartment houses and the threat of apartment houses in this area".

He contended that this is the fear that the University representatives have expressed up to this time. The fact that some large high density apartment unit will come into the area and frustrate the plans which Dalhousie University has for its development. On the other hand, the bargaining position regarding the value of land acquisition costs has a tendency to drive the value considerably above what is normal.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Council has to give consideration to the rights of the residents of the area and the effect that the rezoning will have on their properties. He said he was not that convinced that the Park and Institutional zoning will have a serious effect, but felt that the fears of the owners are quite well justified from the point of view of the Park and Institutional zoning as opposed to some other zoning.

He suggested that Council consider an alternative which he felt will provide the University with the protection that it desires and also give the property owners in the area a certain amount of security. That alternative would be to rezone to R-2 zone rather than Park and Institutional Zone. He contended that the R-2 Zoning would be compatible with the present use in the area. There will be a preservation of the zoning of that particular use and a freedom to modify or add to their homes within the limits of the R-2 Zone. In the case of destruction or major overhaul, the owners would not have to worry about non-conforming use restrictions.

The advantages, as far as Dalhousie University is concerned, are the same as Park and Institutional zoning would have. There would not be any more fear of high density development in the area because a developer would be precluded from amassing a certain area of land with the intention of erecting thereon such a development because the R-2 Zoning is quite restrictive. He also felt that there will be a stability of land values in the area as a result of any R-2 Zoning because the high density developments would be eliminated. He did not think that the University would run into any problems of rezoning

Council,
March 31, 1966.

when it did acquire these properties. He pointed out that the University could expand its facilities in an R-2 zone. He stated that Council might be able to secure legislation to the effect that any land the University acquires in the area would automatically be zoned Park and Institutional. He felt his suggestion was a good balance between the interested parties involved and nobody will be any worse off than they would be under the Park and Institutional zoning.

Council was advised that if the area is to be rezoned to R-2, another public hearing would be required.

MOVED by Alderman Richard that the matter be referred to the Town Planning Board for further consideration.

Alderman O'Brien: "I will second the motion; but I want to put forward an alternative proposal for study at the same time; and I would like the members of Council to think about it and for Dalhousie University and those who are opposed to think about it.

"The R-2 compromise seems to me to be the use of a rather rough tool for the control of the development of these lands where a more precise instrument, I believe, is available to us that would serve the interests of the three affected parties-- the property owners in the area, Dalhousie University and the City, as represented by the Council. The City's interest was well expressed by Dr. Hicks when he spoke of the aesthetics of the City as a whole and particularly the Dalhousie area. I think that we should be concerned about that aspect of the development as we go along. If it is R-2, we could have a number of these

Council,
March 31, 1966.

four-unit apartment buildings constructed here and there throughout the area, we might still have these odd fingers of incompatible developments that would spoil the appearance of the area.

"Under the new City Charter, in Sections that have been proclaimed by the Province there are some powers which the City has not yet used, which I think may be appropriate for this purpose. Section 535 says the Council may have development plans prepared or revised from time to time. Such plans may relate to the whole City or to any particular area of the City, or to a specific project or projects within the City. Such plans may prescribe the manner in which the lands in the City or any part thereof may be developed or redeveloped, whether for public or other purposes, and the stages or sequences in which any such development or redevelopment may be carried out.

"Section 536 says the Council may by ordinance adopt as an official development plan, or as a part thereof, any development plan prepared under Section 535; and by ordinance we may revise or amend it from time to time.

"Section 539 provides for ordinances to be made by the Council which would permit the issuance of development permits -- a procedure that would come before building permits and would allow Council to issue permits with conditions attached about the nature of development so that in a given area with R-3 Zoning, or Park and Institutional Zoning, or R-2, as long as it were within the zoning, we could put further restrictions on by means of the development permit to keep it in line with some development plan of the City.

"You may say that it would take time to get a development plan, suitable to the University, to City Council and to most

Council,
March 31, 1966.

of the property owners in the area, adopted. But, there is a further provision in Section 538 which says that prior to the adoption of an official development plan or an amendment to an ordinance made under this part, or of an alteration, addition or extension to any official development plan, the Council may cause the issuance of any development or building permit to be withheld for a period of six months from the date of application for such permit; or, the Council may impose such conditions on the granting of the development permit as may appear to the Council to be in the public interest. It is then stated that if the development plan is not proceeded with after six months, it would be necessary to compensate owners who have been held up for this period of time.

"If we were to use this legislation, it would be possible for us to have a plan which would be gradually put into effect; and if the property owners in the area would not be affected earlier than they wanted to but, supposing, at a certain time, a property owner wanted to sell his property for any purpose, Dalhousie University might say: 'That's really contrary to this particular plan.'; and, if Dalhousie is not prepared to purchase this property at this time, then the City will amend the development plan so that a building permit may be issued to this particular property owner; and the property owner would, thus, be able to get compensation from Dalhousie immediately, or have the freedom to develop the property in a way which would be consistent with the City's overall zoning; but it might be a modification of the University's development plan of the area, if Dalhousie was unwilling to purchase at that time.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Alderman LeBlanc contended that the combined suggestions of Alderman Richard and O'Brien would be the logical solution. In reply to a question of Alderman LeBlanc, the City Solicitor advised that he could not answer at the present time whether the Council has the power to carry out Alderman O'Brien's suggestion. If, at the time of the University's expansion in a given block, there was a property owner who was holding out for an unreasonable sum, I would then use the City's expropriation powers, providing Dalhousie was prepared to pay to the City whatever the Courts awarded that particular property owner. And it seems to me that the combination of these possibilities does provide a possible solution which ought to be studied by the City, by Dalhousie and by the solicitors for the property owners in the area."

Alderman Black stated that Alderman O'Brien's proposal combined with Alderman Richard's suggestion, the Town Planning Board could very well consider and might come up with some equitable arrangement that will satisfy the needs of all the citizens involved. He said that Council is cognizant of the needs and desires of the University as well as the rights of the property owners.

Alderman Ivany agreed with Alderman O'Brien when he stated that the proposed R-2 Zoning would be a rough tool. He pointed out that if the members of Council would read the staff report presented to the Town Planning Board on October 20, 1965, Mr. Cluney stated that he was concerned about the possible building of high rise apartments in the area and for that reason wanted Park and Institutional zoning. Dr. Hicks has re-affirmed that point. The Alderman said he was prepared to support the motion as moved by Alderman Richard, and for consideration of the suggestion of Alderman O'Brien.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Alderman LeBlanc contended that the combined suggestions of Aldermen Richard and O'Brien would be the logical solution.

In reply to a question of Alderman LeBlanc, the City Solicitor advised that he could not answer at the present time, whether the Council has the power to carry out Alderman O'Brien's suggestion.

Alderman Matheson suggested that the Council would want an opinion from the City Solicitor when this matter comes before the Town Planning Board. He felt there might be some conflict between the City Charter and the Town Planning Act and Alderman O'Brien's suggested device may not be intended for this purpose. He said the way the Charter is worded does not sound like it is intended to provide a vehicle whereby an institution can acquire land in an area where it wishes to expand. He felt it would be most unwise to proceed with such a suggestion without having careful consideration being given to it by the Legal Department.

The motion to refer was then put and passed unanimously.

10:40 p.m. Council recessed.

10:50 p.m. Council reconvened, all members of Council being present with the exception of Alderman Abbott.

PETITIONS AND DELEGATIONS

Request to Address Council - Mr. Murray Warrington

A letter was submitted from Mr. Murray Warrington requesting permission to address Council with respect to the unco-operative attitude of the Property Management Supervisor relating to the acquisition of his property, #2369 Maitland Street.

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman Meagher

Council,
March 31, 1966.

that Mr. Warrington be heard.

It was pointed out that Mr. Warrington had already been heard at the meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee and the City Manager was requested to submit a report at a subsequent meeting.

After a short discussion, the motion was put and passed.

Mr. Warrington then addressed the Council with respect to the City Staff negotiating with him or his solicitor concerning the acquisition of the property #2369 Maitland Street. He contended that the Property Management Supervisor was not willing to arrange a meeting with his solicitor which was substantiated by his correspondence unless Mr. Warrington and his solicitor were willing to pare down the asking price to close proximity to the assessed value. He said he had asked for a settlement of \$20,000. He then listed the following properties with assessed value and settlement prices:

<u>Property</u>	<u>Assessed Value</u>	<u>Settled Price</u>
5355 Prince William St.	\$6,300.00	\$14,875.
5485-7 Clyde St.	\$13,950.00	\$25,000.
2374 Maitland St.	\$3,250.00	\$6,500.
2372 Maitland St.	\$2,600.00	\$5,600.

In answer to a question from the Chairman, Mr. Warrington advised that his property is assessed at \$9,900.

The City Manager then outlined a history of events concerning the acquisition of this property since 1963 to the present date. He pointed out that the property is outside the redevelopment area and is not required immediately for redevelopment purposes, but if people feel they would like to dispose of their properties because the area will eventually be required for redevelopment purposes, the City is prepared to negotiate a reason-

Council,
March 31, 1966.

able settlement and if such settlement is not reached, the Staff will recommend that the property be expropriated.

The Property Management Supervisor advised that appraisers had been appointed to appraise Mr. Warrington's property which certainly indicated that he and Mr. Warrington had discussed the matter of acquisition. Mr. Warrington stated that he had been in Mr. Smith's office a dozen times.

It was then MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that Council proceed to the next item of business. Motion passed.

Petition Re: Parking Facilities -- Hospital -
University Avenue Area

A Petition was submitted from the Staff of the Hospital, Medical and Pathology Institute pointing out that the parking facilities in the area of University Avenue are inadequate and should be considered by the Traffic Authority or City planners.

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that the petition be referred to the Traffic Engineer for study and report. Motion passed.

REPORT - FINANCE & EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Council considered the report of the Finance and Executive Committee at a meeting held March 24, 1966, as follows:

Debentures, Municipal Development & Loan Fund
(1) Barrington Street Storm Sewer
(2) Chebucto Road Storm Sewer
(3) Revitalization & Development - North Common
(4) Street Widening - Brunswick Street

As this item required a two-thirds vote of the members of Council and such number not being present, the matter was deferred for consideration at an adjourned meeting to be held on Friday, April 1, 1966 at 4:45 p.m.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Capital Funds - Board of School Commissioners

As this item required a two-thirds vote of the members of Council and such number not being present, the matter was deferred for consideration at an adjourned meeting to be held on Friday, April 1, 1966, at 4:45 p.m.

Property Settlement - #2420-24 Brunswick Street

MOVED by Alderman Richard, seconded by Alderman Moir, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, the sum of \$15,500 be paid to Mrs. Bertha Cappell as settlement in full for all claims arising from the acquisition by the City of her property at 2420-2424 Brunswick Street located in the Uniacke Square Redevelopment Area. Motion passed.

Property Acquisition - #5454-56 Gerrish Street

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Black, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, the sum of \$17,900.00 be paid to Mr. & Mrs. Harry Gordon as settlement in full for all claims arising from the acquisition by the City of their property at 5454-56 Gerrish Street located in the Uniacke Square Redevelopment Area. Motion passed.

Property Acquisition - #2083 Barrington Street

As this item required a two-thirds vote of the members of Council and such number not being present, the matter was deferred for consideration at an adjourned meeting to be held on Friday, April 1, 1966 at 4:45 p.m.

Expropriation - Bellevue Property

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, the City Solicitor be authorized to take whatever legal steps

Council,
March 31, 1966.

he deems necessary to protect the City's interest in the event that the Halifax Infirmary endeavours to have a special act passed by the Nova Scotia Legislature vesting title in the Infirmary to lands forming part of the Bellevue property which the Infirmary had purchased from the Province of Nova Scotia after the Bellevue property had been expropriated by the Province. Motion passed.

Honoraria - Members of Board of Management
Halifax Mental Hospital

MOVED by Alderman Richard, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, honoraria be paid to non-elected members of the Board of Management of the Halifax Mental Hospital at the rate of \$20.00 per member per session, payment to any one member not to exceed \$400. per year; and that a supplementary appropriation in the amount of \$2,800 be approved for this purpose under the authority of Section 316C of the City Charter. Motion passed.

Borrowing - \$88,085.37 - Neptune Theatre

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Moir, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, the condition imposed by the Minister of Municipal Affairs in approving the Borrowing Resolution for the sum of \$88,085.37 covering the City's share of the guarantee of the financial obligations of Neptune Theatre, to the effect that the loan be repaid over a period of five years without the issuing of debentures, be accepted; and that funds for this purpose be provided in the annual budgets for the year 1967 and subsequent years. Motion passed unanimously.

Swimming Pool - Capital Funds - \$400,000.00

As this item required a two-thirds vote of the members

Council,
March 31, 1966.

of Council and such number not being present, the matter was deferred for consideration at an adjourned meeting to be held on Friday, April 1, 1966, at 4:45 p.m.

Travelling Expenses Re: Inspection Homes for
Special Care

MOVED by Alderman Richard, seconded by Alderman Moir, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, funds in the amount of \$500.00 be provided under the authority of Section 316C of the City Charter to cover travelling expenses of Deputy Mayor A. M. Butler and Dr. E. M. Fogo for the purpose of viewing recently-constructed institutions in the New York-Boston area to assist in developing the program requirements of the proposed new Basinview Home. Motion passed.

Permission to Expend in Excess of \$1,000

MOVED by Alderman Moir, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee, the City Manager be authorized to expend the sum of \$4,196.70 for the purchase of one Graphotype machine for use in the City Assessor's Office. Motion passed.

REPORT - COMMITTEE ON WORKS

Council considered the report of the Committee on Works at a meeting held March 22, 1966, as follows:

Tenders for Demolition: (1) #2359 Gottingen Street
(2) #2385 Gottingen Street
(3) #2540 Brunswick Street

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman Meagher, that, as recommended by the Committee on Works, the tender of George A. Redmond, the lower tender received, be accepted for the demolition of the following buildings:

- (1) 2359 Gottingen Street - in the amount of \$647. provided a Performance Bond in the amount of \$1,300 is submitted by him to the City

Council,
March 31, 1966.

(2) 2385 Gottingen Street - in the amount of \$626 provided a Performance Bond in the amount of \$1,300 is submitted by him to the City.

Motion passed.

The City Clerk advised that he had received word from the Property Management Division to request Council to withdraw the recommendation dealing with the demolition of #2540 Brunswick because repairs can be made to the property.

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman Meagher, that the recommendation dealing with the demolition of #2540 Brunswick Street be withdrawn. Motion passed.

Tabulation of Tenders - Demolition

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Ivany, that, as recommended by the Committee on Works, that the tender of Roy Judge Co. Ltd., the lowest tender received, be accepted for the demolition of buildings in Group (1) - #2351-55-57 Gottingen Street and 2373 Gottingen Street in the amount of \$2,886, provided a Performance Bond in the amount of \$5,800 is submitted by Roy Judge Co. Ltd. to the City; and that the tender of the City of Halifax, the lowest tender meeting specifications, be accepted for the demolition of buildings in: Group (2) - #5423, 5425, 5431, 5437, 5439-41-45, 5453 and 5455 Gerrish Street in the amount of \$9,755.00; and Group (3) - #2420-2424 Brunswick Street, in the amount of \$1,267.00. Motion passed.

REPORT - SAFETY COMMITTEE

Council considered the following report of the Safety Committee:

Salary - Corporal G. Herman - Police Department

The Safety Committee at a meeting held on March 8, 1966

Council,
March 31, 1966.

considered a report from the Chief of Police respecting the fulfillment of the rank of Corporal as approved by the Safety Committee and City Council at recent meetings.

It was also pointed out in the Chief's report that for one of the Constables being promoted, Cst. G. Herman, this promotion at this time means a reduction of \$70 per annum in his salary as he presently receives Special Skills pay of \$460 per annum and Long Service pay of \$200 per annum; and this situation would not be corrected until he attains the top step in the Corporals' pay scale.

MOVED by Alderman Ivany, seconded by Alderman Black, that, as recommended by the Safety Committee, Cst. G. Herman be carried at the same rate of total pay that he now receives until such time as he attains the top step in the Corporals' pay scale when the amount he will then receive will be greater than the amount he would receive as a Constable. Motion passed.

REPORT - PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

Council considered the report of the Public Health and Welfare Committee at a meeting held March 24, 1966, as follows:

Tenders for Dental Equipment

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Richard, that, as recommended by the Public Health and Welfare Committee, the tender of Unident Limited for the supply of an Airotor Dental Unit for use at the Westmount School, at a total cost of \$2,214.00, being the lowest tender submitted, be accepted. Motion passed.

Dalhousie University Sponsored Public Health Pediatric Clinics

A letter was submitted from the Administrator of the Children's Hospital respecting the termination on May 31, 1966, of the Dalhousie University sponsored Public Health Pediatric Clinics. The letter outlined an alternate method of carrying out these services following that date.

MOVED by Alderman Richard, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc,

Council,
March 31, 1966.

that, as recommended by the Public Health and Welfare
Committee,

- (1) the City of Halifax continue its support of the Public Health Pediatric Clinic;
- (2) the City Manager be requested to investigate whether or not Dalhousie University is free of any obligation by any deed or gift conveyed to the University over the years, particularly those years immediately following the Halifax explosion when a surplus fund may have been left over from the Halifax-Massachusetts Relief Fund raised in the State of Massachusetts in 1917-1918 for the aid and rehabilitation of the citizens of Halifax following the Halifax Explosion; and that the City Manager report back to the next meeting of the Health Committee;
- (3) His Worship the Mayor and Alderman Trainor be appointed to meet with representatives of the City of Dartmouth, Municipality of the County of Halifax, Dalhousie University and the Children's Hospital at a meeting to be called in the near future to discuss the proposal outlined in the submission of Mr. Matheson, Administrator of the Children's Hospital, on behalf of representatives of Dalhousie University; Commissioner of Health and Welfare of the City of Halifax; the Executive Director of the Nova Scotia Hospital Insurance Commission, the Board of Management; Medical Staff and Administration Staff of the Hospital, and that the City representatives report back to this Committee.

Motion passed.

REPORT - COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL, BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

None.

REPORT - TOWN PLANNING BOARD

Council considered the report of the Town Planning Board, at a meeting held on March 22, 1966 as follows:

Modification of Set Back Requirements - Robie Street, Quinpool Road - Service Station, Parking Unit and Office Building

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that, as recommended by the Town Planning Board, the modification of set back requirements for a 12 storey office building at the northwest corner of Robie Street and Quinpool Road, as shown on

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Drawing Nos. P200/2014-21, be approved.

Alderman LeBlanc asked if there was an assurance given by the developer that cars will not be seen from the street.

Mr. Gregory Lambros, the architect, stated that a person passing by will not be able to see the cars except for quite a distance away.

Alderman LeBlanc suggested that assurance be given that a full wall will be built. He said he was leary when people make submissions and then come back and request that two storeys be added to the building and the Council gives certain concessions which were not given at the time. He asked if the Acting Director of Planning had any comments to make in this connection.

Mr. Latham stated that the Staff have been concerned with this point. The question that was raised has been discussed with Mr. Lambros who has given assurance and the Staff is perfectly satisfied that the action the architect intends taking will be perfectly adequate to cover the point raised by Alderman LeBlanc.

Alderman LeBlanc stated that Council approved the application in good faith initially and it was an attractive building. He felt that the applicants have an obligation to fulfill their initial commitment that they will have an attractive building. He said he hoped that the modification to be approved would not in any way allow deterioration of the original plan.

Alderman Meagher asked if, at a later date, the Building Inspector or Staff saw that the modification was making an unsightly building, could it be revised to cut down the parking on the garage roof to which the answer was given in the negative.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Alderman Matheson suggested a wall be constructed around the roof to obscure the view of the cars.

Mr. Lambros then stated that the original plan approved by Council over a year ago provided for a partially closed-in garage and since then, the applicant has spent over \$30,000 in precasting exposed stone aggregate. He further stated that there is nothing in the plans which have been submitted that indicate anything but an upgrading of the structure.

Alderman LeBlanc felt that due to the fact that a competent architect makes a submission and then comes back for two additional storeys entitled him to have second thoughts and ask additional questions. He pointed out that the original application was for 10 storeys and now it is 12.

The motion was then put and passed.

Amendment to Part VII, Zoning By-law - C-1 Zone

MOVED by Alderman Matheson seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that, as recommended by the Town Planning Board, the following amendment to Part VII of the Zoning By-law be approved:

"Part VII be amended by adding:

2. Buildings erected, altered or used for C-1 uses in a C-1 zone shall comply with the following requisites

Minimum Requirements

<u>Lot Frontage</u> <u>Ft.</u>	<u>Lot Area</u> <u>Sq. Ft.</u>	<u>Side Yard</u> <u>Ft.</u>
40	4,000	4

and by adding:

7. Lot coverage - Maximum lot coverage shall be 35 per cent.

and that the existing subsections 2, 3, 4 and 5 be re-numbered 3, 4, 5 and 6. Moreover that the existing subsection 6 which reads:

Council,
March 31, 1966.

2. "Side yards are not required for C-1 uses in C-1, C-2
or C-3 zones"

be deleted."

and that a date be fixed for a public hearing.

Motion passed.

MOTIONS

Motion - Alderman Richard Re: Amendment
to Ordinance #111, "Early Closing Ordinance"

MOVED by Alderman Richard, seconded by Alderman Matheson,
that the following amendment to Ordinance 111 "Early Closing
Ordinance" be read and passed a first time:

"BE IT ENACTED by the City Council of the City of Halifax,
as follows:

1. Clause (d) of Section 4 of Ordinance Number 111, the Early
Closing Ordinance, is amended by striking out the words, figures
and symbols, "Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00)" in the last
line thereof, and substituting therefor the words "Nine Thousand
Five Hundred Dollars".

Motion passed.

Motion - Alderman Moir Re: Amendments
to Ordinance #106, "Election Ordinance"

MOVED by Alderman Moir, seconded by Alderman Matheson,
that the following amendments to Ordinance #106 "Election
Ordinance" be read and passed a first time:

"BE IT ENACTED by the City Council of the City of Halifax,
as follows:

1. Subsection (2) and (3) of Section 4 of Ordinance
Number 106, the Election Ordinance, is repealed, and the
following substituted therefor:

" (2) The Returning Officer shall, in addition to
Nomination Day, accept nominations during the period of
four days immediately preceding Nomination Day, for all
general and special elections of the City.

(3) The Returning Officer shall accept nominations
on Nomination Day and on any other day that such
Returning Officer may receive nominations, from nine
o'clock in the forenoon until five o'clock in the
afternoon. "

Council,
March 31, 1966.

2. Section 5 of said Ordinance Number 106 is repealed, and the following substituted therefor:

"5. An advance poll, for all general or special elections of the City, shall be held on the Friday and Saturday immediately preceding Election Day for any such election, from eight o'clock in the forenoon until seven o'clock in the afternoon."

Motion passed.

Motion - Alderman O'Brien, Re: Amendment to Ordinance #109, "Lord's Day Ordinance"

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that the following amendment to Ordinance No. 109, "Lord's Day Ordinance" be read and passed a first time:

"BE IT ENACTED by the City Council of the City of Halifax, as follows:

1. Subsection (2) of Section 3 of Ordinance Number 109, the Lord's Day Ordinance, is amended, by striking out the words "five thousand dollars" in the fifth line thereof, and substituting therefor the words "nine thousand five hundred dollars".

Motion passed.

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS

Accounts over \$1,000

The following accounts were submitted:

<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Eastern & Chartered Trust	Administration costs - Halifax Superannuation Fund	\$8,265.43
Halifax-Dartmouth and County Regional Planning Commission	50% of City's Share of the Commission Budget for 1966	5,198.48
Canadian Pittsburgh Industries Limited	Replacing Incinerator windows	2,226.11
		<u>\$15,690.02</u>

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that the Accounts be approved for payment. Motion passed.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Applications - Tag Days, Door-to-door
Canvasses, Campaigns, etc.

The following report was submitted from the City Clerk:

"To: His Worship the Mayor and
Members of City Council

The City Clerk was requested to attend a meeting of the Agency Review Committee of the Halifax Welfare Council on March 29, 1966, to discuss difficulties the Committee was encountering while studying organizations requesting solicitation of funds under the provisions of Ordinance #55.

There were two contributing factors:

- (1) The study of the United Appeal Agencies had run over into March, which was not anticipated by the Agency Review Committee.
- (2) The organizations under study by the Committee could not be processed quickly enough to coincide with the timetable of dates requested.

In view of the foregoing, the following applications are submitted to Council for consideration, but in the meantime, the Agency Review Committee will continue its study and prepare the necessary reports on the organizations to determine whether or not they meet acceptable standards. By the Fall, the Agency Review Committee anticipates that it will be processing applications sufficiently in advance to notify the City Council for its final decision.

R. H. Stoddard,
CITY CLERK.

Applications for Tag Days, Door-to-Door Canvasses, etc.
for April and May, 1966, only

March to May		Nova Scotia Project
April 9	Saturday	N. S. Amateur Swimming Assoc.
April 4-9	Mon. to Sat.	Halifax Jr. Chamber of Commerce
April 15	Friday	Halifax Unit, Can. Cancer Soc.
April 25-30	Mon. to Sat.	Kinsmen Club (Light Bulb Sale)
April 29-30	Fri. & Sat.	Halifax Air Cadets
April 30	Saturday	Girl Guides of Canada
May 5-7, incl.	Thurs. Fri. & Sat.	Y.M.C.A. - World Service
May 6 & 7	Fri. & Sat	Retarded Children's Assoc (Halifax Branch) (Special Names)
May 7	Saturday	Beta Sigma Phi (on behalf of Cystic Fibrosis Soc.)
May 13 & 14	Fri. & Sat.	Halifax Track & Field Club
May 27	Friday	Kiwanis Club of Armdale-Hfx.

Alderman O'Brien referred to the duplication of dates and contended that if the list was approved as submitted, the

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Against: Alderman Black, Moir and O'Brien - 3 -
Council would be abdicating its responsibility to keep some control over such matters. He suggested that all groups be told they will be granted one day only and only one agency on any one day.

Commit: Alderman Richard pointed out that the City asked for the assistance of the Agency Review Committee of the Halifax Welfare Council to cut down on the applications. He suggested that the applications be deferred and that an appropriate Committee review all applications.

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman LeBlanc, that the report and list be approved.

MOVED IN AMENDMENT by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Black, that Council request the Nova Scotia Project to choose one day in the period; to let the Council know that it approves in order from April 2, 9, 15, 25, 29, 30, May 5, 6, 7, 14, and 27; that they separate them out and limit them to one day.

Alderman LeBlanc stated that Alderman O'Brien's point merits some consideration, but at this date, there would not be much chance for some organizations confining their activities to one day as they have made their plans.

The amendment was put and lost, three voting for the same and five against it as follows:

For: Aldermen Black, Moir and O'Brien - 3 -

Against: Aldermen Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, LeBlanc, and Richard - 5 -

The motion was then put and passed, five voting for the same and three against it as follows:

For: Aldermen Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, LeBlanc, and Richard - 5

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Against: Aldermen Black, Moir and O'Brien - 3 -

QUESTIONS

Question - Alderman O'Brien Re:
Street Lighting Program

Alderman O'Brien asked at what meeting of Council or Committee the street lighting program would be submitted to which the City Manager replied the next meeting of the Committee on Works.

Question - Alderman Richard Re: Number of Building
Permits Issued in the R-3 Inner Zone

Alderman Richard asked for a report advising of the number of building permits issued in the R-3 Inner Zone since the passing of the high density By-Laws.

Question - Alderman Richard Re: Poll
Tax Collection Procedure

Alderman Richard asked for a report with respect to the collection procedure in connection with arrears of Poll Taxes. He suggested that the collection of Poll Taxes through a collection agency is a very costly procedure and that there have been five or six complaints received. He referred to notices being sent out to persons advising that they owe Poll Taxes for the year 1958 in the year 1966.

The matter was referred to staff for a report.

Question - Alderman Black Re: Distribution of
Literature from Equitable Tax Foundation

Alderman Black asked who was responsible for sending out the above literature to which the City Clerk replied that he sent it out as it was addressed to the Members of the Council.

The City Clerk was then directed to refrain from this practice.

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Question - Alderman LeBlanc Re: Appointment
Committee to meet with Members of Legislature

Alderman LeBlanc asked if the above Committee had been appointed by His Worship the Mayor as yet and if not, would it be so appointed before the House adjourns.

The Chairman advised that the Whole Council had held a dinner meeting with all members of the Legislature. He said the answer to the question would have to be deferred until the return of His Worship the Mayor.

Question - Alderman O'Brien Re: Noise -
Tastee Freeze - Dutch Village Road

Alderman O'Brien asked the City Manager or the City Solicitor if the Noise Ordinance would give any control to cut down the noise associated with the Tastee Freeze on Dutch Village Road; car noises basically either during the evening or after 11:00 p.m.

NOTICES OF MOTION

Alderman Black Re: Amendment to
Ordinance #105 - "Committee Ordinance"

Alderman Black gave notice that at the next meeting of Council he would move the introduction of the following amendment to Ordinance #105 "Committee Ordinance":

1. Ordinance Number 105, the Committee Ordinance, is amended, by adding immediately following Section 15 the following Section:

"16. Notwithstanding anything contained in this Ordinance, the Council may, by a majority vote, refer any of the matters assigned to any of the Standing Committees or Boards to the Committee of the Whole Council for consideration, and the Committee shall report to the Council its findings and recommendations with respect to any matter brought before it."

JOSEPH HOWE SCHOOL - CAPITAL BORROWING

As this item required a two-thirds vote of the members

Council,
March 31, 1966.

of Council and such number not being present, the matter was deferred for consideration at an adjourned meeting to be held on Friday, April 1, 1966, at 4:45 p.m.

NOVA SCOTIA PROJECT

Alderman LeBlanc referred to the fact that the members of the Nova Scotia Project have to vacate their premises. He asked if there is any building that could be made available, on a temporary basis, to this organization. He suggested that the matter be drawn to the attention of the Development Officer or the Commissioner of Works.

Alderman Black suggested that possibly some space may be available in the Industrial Building.

Staff was directed to look into the matter.

MOVED by Alderman LeBlanc, seconded by Alderman Black, that Council adjourn until Friday, April 1, 1966 at 4:45 p.m. to consider the financial matters deferred at this meeting which require a two-thirds vote of the members of Council. Motion passed.

12:00 midnight.

HEADLINES

Approval of Order of Business - Additions and Deletions	225
Report - Town Planning Board	
C-1 Uses within C-1 Zones and as Non-conforming Uses	
Outside these Zones	226
Deferred Business	
Rezoning of Land, Southeast Corner of South Park	
and Fenwick Streets from C-1 Zone to R-3 Zone	226
Rezoning of Land, Northwest Corner of Tower Road	
and Point Pleasant Drive from C-1 Zone to R-2 Zone	226
Appointments to Housing Authority of Halifax	227
Urwick Currie Recommendations	227
Public Hearing - Rezoning Land between South Street	
Coburg Road, College Street and Spring Garden Road	
from R-3 Zone to Park and Institutional Zone	227
Petitions and Delegations	
Request to Address Council - Mr. Murray Warrington	244

CITY COUNCIL
MINUTES
ADJOURNED MEETING

Council,
March 31, 1966.

Notices of Motion

Alderman Black Re: Amendment to Ordinance #105 "Committee Ordinance"	260
Joseph Howe School - Capital Borrowing	260
Nova Scotia Project	261

An adjourned meeting of the City Council was held on the above date.

There were present Deputy Mayor A. M. Butler, Chairman;

Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, A. M. Butler, Messrs. Meagher, Doyle,

Richard and O'Brien. DEPUTY MAYOR & CHAIRMAN.

R. H. Stoddard,
CITY CLERK.

W. J. Clancey, J. L. Litch, and S. P. Ryan

DEBENTURES, MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT AND LOAN FUND
BARRINGTON STREET STORM SEWER

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at a meeting held March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$52,082.24, the amount of the borrowing from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the construction of the Barrington Street Storm Sewer, the said debenture to bear interest at the rate of 5 3/8% per annum, repayable in forty semi-annual instalments of principal and interest of \$1,401.51 each, commencing November 1, 1966. Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council being present and voting thereon: Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

DEBENTURES, MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT AND LOAN FUND
CHANDLER ROAD STORM SEWER

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Richard, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at its meeting March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$20,119.27, the amount borrowed by the City from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the construction of the

CITY COUNCIL
M I N U T E S
ADJOURNED MEETING

City Council,
City Hall,
Halifax, N. S.,
April 1, 1966,
5:00 p.m.

An adjourned meeting of the City Council was held on the above date.

There were present Deputy Mayor A. M. Butler, Chairman; Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

Also present were Messrs. P. F. C. Byars, R. H. Stoddard, W. J. Clancey, J. L. Leitch, and G. F. West.

DEBENTURES, MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT AND LOAN FUND
BARRINGTON STREET STORM SEWER

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at a meeting held March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$52,082.24, the amount of the borrowing from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the construction of the Barrington Street Storm Sewer, the said debenture to bear interest at the rate of 5 3/8% per annum, repayable in forty semi-annual instalments of principal and interest of \$2,140.81 each, commencing November 1, 1966. Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council being present and voting therefor: Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

DEBENTURES, MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT AND LOAN FUND
CHEBUCTO ROAD STORM SEWER

MOVED by Alderman O'Brien, seconded by Alderman Richard, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at its meeting March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$20,119.37, the amount borrowed by the City from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the construction of the

Council,
April 1, 1966.

Chebucto Road Storm Sewer, the said debenture to bear interest at the rate of 5 3/8% per annum, repayable in forty semi-annual instalments of principal and interest of \$826.99 each, commencing November 1, 1966. Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council being present and voting therefor: Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

DEBENTURES, MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT & LOAN FUND
REVITALIZATION & DEVELOPMENT - NORTH COMMON

MOVED by Alderman Meagher, seconded by Alderman Matheson, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at its meeting March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$50,000.00, the amount borrowed by the City from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the program of Revitalization and Development of the North Common, the said debenture to bear interest at the rate of 5 3/8% per annum, repayable in twenty semi-annual instalments of principal and interest of \$3,264.44 each, commencing November 1, 1966. Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council being present and voting therefor: Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

DEBENTURES - MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT & LOAN FUND
STREET WIDENING - BRUNSWICK STREET

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Ivany, that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at its meeting March 24, 1966, a debenture dated May 1, 1966 be issued for the sum of \$23,558.93, the amount borrowed by the City from the Municipal Development and Loan Fund for the widening of Brunswick Street, the said debenture to bear interest at the rate of 5 3/8% per annum, repayable in forty semi-annual instalments of principal and interest of \$968.37 each, commencing November 1, 1966. Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council being present and voting therefor:

Council,
April 1, 1966.

Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle,
Richard and O'Brien.

CAPITAL FUNDS - BOARD OF SCHOOL COMMISSIONERS

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman Abbott,
that, as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at its
meeting March 24, 1966, capital funds in the amount of \$41,900.00 be
approved to cover the cost of the following 1966 capital projects of
the Board of School Commissioners:

Items to be deleted from Maintenance Budget		
1. Conversion to Light Oil - Gorsebrook	\$6,300	
2. Ventilation - St. Pat's High - (Labs)	6,900	
3. New Boiler - Ardmore	4,000	
4. New Heating Installation - St. Stephen Annex School	<u>5,800</u>	\$23,000
Paving & Improvements to Grounds		<u>18,900</u>
		\$41,900

Motion passed unanimously, the following members of Council
being present and voting therefor: Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir,
Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richard and O'Brien.

A formal resolution in the amount of \$42,000 to give effect
to the foregoing motion of Council was submitted.

MOVED by Alderman Matheson, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that
the resolution, as submitted, be approved. Motion passed unanimously
the following members of Council being present and voting therefor:
Aldermen Black, Abbott, Moir, Ivany, Matheson, Meagher, Doyle, Richar
and O'Brien.

PROPERTY ACQUISITION - #2083 BARRINGTON STREET

MOVED by Alderman Black, seconded by Alderman Moir, that,
as recommended by the Finance and Executive Committee at a meeting
held March 24, 1966, the sum of \$39,250 be paid to Mr. Harry Goldberg
as settlement in full for all claims arising from the acquisition by
the City of his property at 2083 Barrington Street located on the
east side of Barrington Street between the Uniacke Square Redevelop-