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Item No. 6<br>Halifax Regiomal Council<br>Committee of the Whole<br>November 10, 2009<br>November 17, 2009

TO: Mayor Kelly and Members of Halifax Regional Council

SUBMITTED BY:


DATE:
November 5, 2009
SUBJECT: Committees of Council Reform

## ORIGIN

At Halifax Regional Council January 17, 2006 (Item 9.2.1 ii) Council directed the Membership Selection Committee to review all committees of Council and make recommendations regarding whether some should be added, removed or moved as advisory committees to Council or Community Council.

At Committee of the Whole February 3, 2009 (Item 3) Council confirmed that Committees of Council Reform was one of Council's three priorities under Council's governance priorities.

At the Membership Selection Committee meeting of August 4, 2009 the following recommendations for revising the Committees of Council structure were adopted:

1. to adopt six (6) Standing Committees of Council as outlined in the staff presentation
2. to adopt in principle the consolidation of the mandates and terms of reference of the current committees of Council under the six (6) Standing Committees of Council
3. to hold an information session with Council as soon as possible in preparation for a formal report to Council
4. and, to direct staff to commence to prepare the detailed Terms of Reference for the six (6) Standing Committees, ensuring compliance with the MGA, Charter and applicable legislation
(Recommendations on Page 2)

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Council confirm the direction adopted by the Membership Selection Committee and:

1. Adopt in Principle the six (6) Standing Committees of Council, as recommended by the Membership Selection Committee.
2. Direct staff to prepare the detailed Terms of Reference and implementation plan for the six (6) standing committees and related subcommittees, ensuring compliance with the Municipal Government Act (MGA), HRM Charter, and any applicable legislation.

## BACKGROUND

Council has reviewed its committee structure on a number of occasions.

## In the Beginning: Formation of Committee of the Whole

One of the first issues dealt with by Regional Council at the time of Municipal Amalgamation was to examine its advisory and decision-making structure. In a March 27, 1996 report to Regional Council entitled, "Standing \& Advisory Committees," Council made the following decisions:

- Council will meet as Committee of the Whole (COW) for standing committee purposes in the near term.
- [That there would be] a few region-wide citizen advisory committees which were few in number and broad in scope.

As a result of this meeting, Committee of the Whole was adopted for the purpose. The decision on region-wide citizen advisory committees was not implemented at that time.

## Proposed Advisory Committee Structure: Roundtables

On June 17, 1997, a COW report entitled "Proposed Advisory Committee Structure" proposed the adoption of a Round Table model which would act as Regional Council's primary liaison with the public. The Round Table would report to Council, reduce the number of advisory committees to Council, reduce the number of committees on which Councillors sat, and increase the opportunity for input by citizens. Six roundtables were proposed to be comprised of elected officials, citizens and organizations:

## Committees of Council Reform

## Council Report

- Community Resources \& Relations
- Economic Development
- Environment \& Natural Resources
- Heritage \& Culture
- Development \& Transportation
- Administrative \& Corporate

This concept was debated in COW, but was never formally adopted.

## Membership Selection Committee: Assigned to conduct Committee Review

At the meeting of Regional Council January 17, 2006 (Item 9.2.1ii) the Membership Selection Committee was given the mandate to review the advisory and ad hoc committees of Council \& Community Council and make recommendations regarding whether some should be added, removed or moved as advisory committees to Council or Community Council.

Staff were requested to conduct the review and bring back to the Membership Selection Committee a report on best practice, consideration of the Municipal Government Act, and linkages to Council's priority areas, and bring forward recommendations for the consideration of the Committee, which they did in the fall of 2008. The review determined that a) many municipalities across Canada are looking at best practice to make Committees of Council as effective as possible and, b) that Standing Committees of Council, focusing on functional areas of priority for council are becoming a more common practice. At that time the Membership Selection Committee was not ready to bring forward a recommendation to Council without further discussion and consultation

In January 2009 Regional Council confirmed that Committees of Council Reform was one of Council's three priorities under Council's governance priorities. The Membership Selection Committee undertook a review and discussion of the options for Committees of Council Reform including:

- Consolidation of existing Committees under the current structure
- Adopting an Advisory Committee Structure (Councillors \& citizens)
- Adopting a Standing Committee Structure (Councillor Committees with citizen advisory \& consultation). Under the Standing Committee Structure a number of options for the number and focus of the proposed Standing Committees were reviewed.

At the Membership Selection Committee meeting of August 4, 2009 the proposed standing Committee Structure of Council, as presented in this report, was endorsed.

## DISCUSSION

The Membership Selection Committee has recommended adoption of six (6) Standing Committees of Council as the best recommended structure to meet the objectives of Council.

In January 2009 Council adopted the following objective for deliberations regarding governance reform:

To meet council's objective of creating a council \& committee governance structure that is efficient, effective, scaled appropriately, and where decisions are made at the appropriate level.

Council has indicated a clear desire to review and implement revisions to the Council Committee Structure that better supports the strategic requirements of the Municipality and matches the appropriate community input to the tasks and challenges at hand.

## Linkage to other HRM initiatives:

- HRM's Community Engagement Strategy
- The recommendations from the review of the Planning Application Process, led by Austin French \& Kurt Pyle have been incorporated into the proposed Committee Structure.


## Best Practice Survey

- Most municipalities struggle to some extent with advisory committees.
- Most cities / municipalities studied use a Standing Committee structure.
- Advisory committees generally report to a Standing Committee and not Regional Council.
- A table outlining the findings on comparative Municipalities' committee structure is included as Attachment "A."


## Councillor Consultation

While there appears to be no general consensus among Council, general themes have emerged:

- Councillors believe they can be more effective by focusing on a smaller number of strategically focused Standing Committees.
- Councillors see a need to improve and broaden the committee selection process for advisory Committees.
- Councillors want public participation on committees to match their purpose and be mindful of the commitment of time \& energy being made by the public.

The recommendations coming from that discussion are as follows:

## 1. HRM should adopt a Standing Committee Structure

A Standing Committee structure is a municipal best practice and should be adopted. Committees which currently report to Council would instead report to, or be collapsed into the mandate of a Standing Committee. Most, but not all external committees would be realigned to have a liaison relationship with a Standing committee. The Standing Committees would report to Council through Committee of the Whole.

## The Benefits of Standing Committees

- Reduce number and complexity of advisory committees.
- Improve efficiency and effectiveness of Council decision-making.
- Bring strategic Council focus to well defined policy \& program areas.
- Fill in any current gaps we have in policy development (Ex. Holistic policy approaches to transportation, economic development, environment, etc)
- Accountability / oversight to the committee structure.


## Powers of Standing Committees

- The HRM Charter currently does not enable Regional Council to delegate final decision making authority to a Committee. Establishment of Standing Committees would not override Council's current decision making authority. However, by entrusting Standing Committees to undertake appropriate review and consultation in their respective areas Council's decision-making ability could be enhanced.
- Duties of Standing Committee would include: monitoring of current program delivery, service levels, emerging issues; recommending to Council policy \& program changes; and providing a forum for public participation and for detailed discussion to facilitate Council's decision-making.
- Each Standing Committee would have oversight over distinct Municipal policy areas impacting public service delivery and corporate operations.
- Each Standing Committee would have full power to create, disband and manage all advisory committees / groups, ad hoc committees / groups.
- Advisory Committees would report to the Standing Committees.


## Alignment of Standing Committee with HRM Outcomes

The adoption of Standing Committees could provide an opportunity for alignment of the efforts of Council, staff and residents by serving to better align HRM's governance and public input
with strategic outcomes, the services delivered in those outcome areas, and the performance measures that Council and the public expect to be achieved. The recommended six (6) outcome areas for Standing Committees are as follows:

## - Executive Committee

- Expanded Appeals Committee
- Finance and Audit
- Environment and Sustainability
- Planning and Transportation
- Community and Economic Development


## 2. Committees of Council Consolidation and Re-establishment

The Membership Selection Committee has suggested how the current Advisory Committees could be consolidated under a Standing Committee structure. Further details would be provided in the implementation plan and Terms of Reference developed for adoption by Council. What is critical is that guiding principles should be followed in establishing advisory or sub committees reporting to the Standing Committees of Council. Those may include:

- Relevance to Municipal work
- Clear mandate \& purpose
- Appropriate membership \& structure
- Resources (including Clerks' Office impact)
- Level of empowerment / accountability
- Reporting relationships with Council and / or staff

In addition, Standing Committees would be in the position to make informed recommendations on citizen appointments to the external boards and committees with liaison relationship to the mandate of the Standing Committee. For example: recommendations on appointments to committees related to Transportation would be made by the Planning and Transportation Standing Committee.

## 3. Next Steps

The direction provided by the Membership Selection Committee, if adopted by Regional Council, would allow staff the direction required to establish a staff team dedicated to:
a) complete the detailed work defined by the framework, including commencing work on the detailed Terms of Reference, policy and by-law changes, and,
b) develop a transition and implementation plan for approval by Regional Council.

## BUDGET IMPLICATIONS

Staff do not anticipate budget impacts for implementation of the recommendations as proposed. Additional staff resources are not anticipated unless substantial new subcommittees are established at the direction of the new Standing Committees of Council.

## FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT POLICIES/BUSINESS PLAN

This report complies with the Municipality's Multi-Year Financial Strategy, the approved Operating, Capital and Reserve budgets, policies and procedures regarding withdrawals from the utilization of Capital and Operating reserves, as well as any relevant legislation.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Comparative Municipalities Committee Structure
Attachment B: Proposed Consolidation of Committees
A copy of this report can be obtained online at littp://www.halifax.ca/council/agendasc/cagenda.html then choose the appropriate meeting date, or by contacting the Office of the Municipal Clerk at 4904210, or Fax 490-4208.

Report Prepared by: Cathy J. Mellett, A/Clerk Manager, Municipal Clerk's Office 490-6456


Report Approved by:
Geri Kaiser, Deputy CAO, Corporate Services \& Strategy
Committees of Council Structure - Comparative Municipalities -Attachment "A"

| Municipality (HRM's reference Municipalities) | Current Structure of Committees | Reporting \& Review Periods | Does Council have a community engagement Strategy? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winnipeg | Council approves the creation/dissolution of Advisory Committees (AC's). Council has adopted a Standing Committee Structure. | A review was attempted in 2005. Council did not reach agreement on downsizing or restructuring. Most AC's report directly to Council some report to a Standing Committee of Council | No |
| Vancouver | Each new Council identifies their desired areas in which to establish Advisory Committees (AC's) based on the Council's focus areas. Each Advisory Committee receives a commissioning letter specifically outlining purpose, function, responsibilities, reporting, duration \& resourcing | All Advisory Committees are disbanded before the $1^{\text {st }}$ Monday in December in the year of an election. Each new Council reviews and establishes AC's that best suite their needs by March $31^{\text {st }}$ of the year following an election. May report directly to Council or through a Standing Committees | Yes |
| Surrey | Council approves the creation/dissolution of Advisory Committees (AC's). Council is now leaning more towards "Task Force" model - more time \& task defined. <br> Council has 3 Standing Committees of Council/ 4 Select Committees (Council \& Community) and 3 Advisory Committees | AC's report directly to Council. Informal review if AC's are not meeting regularly or combining of mandates. | No |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { London } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Council approves the creation/dissolution of Advisory } \\ \text { Committees (AC's). Council as a Board of Control and 3 } \\ \text { Standing Committees. Also leaning more towards "Task } \\ \text { Force" model- more time \& task specific for Advisory } \\ \text { Committees. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Reviewed AC's in 2000 and } \\ \text { reduced. Considering another } \\ \text { review. AC's report to } \\ \text { Council. }\end{array} & \text { No } \\ \hline \text { Brampton } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Council approves the creation/dissolution of Advisory } \\ \text { Committees. Brampton Council recently moved to a } \\ \text { Standing Committee structure -Committee of the Whole and } \\ \text { Planning Standing Committees, 3 Advisory Committees. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { A review was conducted } \\ \text { earlier in 2000 and moved to a } \\ \text { Standing Committees of } \\ \text { Council structure which } \\ \text { absorbed the focus areas of a } \\ \text { number of Advisory } \\ \text { Committees. Advisory } \\ \text { Committees report through } \\ \text { their assigned Standing } \\ \text { Committees of Council }\end{array} & \text { No }\end{array}\right\}$

| Regina (added) | Conducted a review similar to HRM in 2008. Council <br> approves the creation/dissolution of Main \& Advisory <br> Committees. <br> Revised the Standing/Main committee of Council model to <br> move from 8 to 6 Committees aligned with Council focus <br> areas.- reduced and aligned citizen advisory committees to <br> Standing Committees. <br> Moved other committees to "Task Force" - to be more time <br> $\&$ \& task specific. | Citizen Advisory Committees <br> report through the associated <br> Main/Standing Committee of <br> Council. <br> Main committees report <br> directly to Council. <br> Task Forces may report either <br> to Council or Main <br> Committee depending on their <br> Terms of Reference. <br> Implementation of <br> recommendations will occur <br> over the next year. | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |\(\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l} <br>

\hline\end{array}\right.\)

## Proposed Consolidation of Existing Committees of Council under a Standing Committee

 Structure-ATTACHMENT "B"| Committee Names | Action Recommended | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE | Revised TOR |  |
| CAO Review | Consolidate in TOR |  |
| Membership Selection | Revise \& Consolidate in TOR |  |
| Additional mandate | Oversight of progress on HRM scorecard \& Council's priority areas Other as provided by Council to be consolidated in TOR |  |
| Volunteer Awards Recipients | Consolidated into TOR |  |
| EMO Committee (required by legislation) | Consolidate into TOR |  |
| QUASI- JUDICLAL REVIEW COMMITTEE | Revised TOR |  |
| Appeals Committee | Consolidate into TOR |  |
| Dangerous \& Unsightly | Consolidate into TOR |  |
| Additional Mandate | Appeals of Bylaws (as directed by Council) not requiring judicial processes |  |
| AUDIT \& FINANCE COMMITTEE (STANDING) | Review Terms of Reference to ensure |  |
| Audit | As per MGA-incorporate in TOR |  |
| Investment Policy Advisory | Advisory committee/expert/citizen members |  |
| Grants Committee | Advisory Committee/citizen members |  |
| Special Events Grants Advisory | Advisory Committee/citizen members |  |
| transportation \& Planning standing COMMITTEE | Create Terms of Reference | TOR |
| Accessible Transportation | Consolidate into main TOR | Consultation on active |
| Bikeways Advisory | Consolidate into main TOR | would be in TOR |
| Active Transportation | Consolidate into main TOR |  |
| Canadian Urban Transit Association | Member appointed from Committee |  |
| Halifax - Dartmouth Bridge Commission | Members appointed from the Committee |  |
| Transportation Association of Canada | Members appointed from Committee |  |
| Halifax International Airport Authority | Committee recommend appointments to RC |  |

TABLE A - Proposed Consolidation of Existing Committees of Councill under a Standing Committee

| Structure |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North West Transit Advisory | Move to Community Council | Consultation on Transit issues would be included in Standing Committee's TOR |
| Halifax Port Authority | Appointments recommended from the Committee |  |
| Taxi \& Limousine Advisory Committee | Advisory committee of industry members | Revise Admin Order 38 |
| Private Roads Committee | Consolidate into TOR as working group |  |
| Regional Planning (Advisory) | Consolidate into main committee TOR retain Advisory Committee during transition | Policy G1-Regional plan |
| Urban Design Task Force | Ad Hoc Committee | TOR - time limited |
| Heritage Advisory | Must report directly to Regional Council unless legislation is amended | Amend bylaw H-200\& make <br> recommendation on amendment to Heritage Act |
| Mobile Home Park Advisory | Consolidate into main TOR |  |
| COMMUNITY \& ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE | Create Terms of Reference | TOR |
| New Mandate | Focus on bringing forward action on Economic development \& Community issues <br> Active \& passive recreation \& related facilities |  |
| Business Districts -Downtown, Dartmouth, Quinpool, Spring Garden, etc | Move to Community Council with taxation recommendations to Regional Council |  |
| Halifax Regional Library Board | Appointments recommended from the Committee |  |
| Trade Centre Limited |  | Liaison |
| Destination Halifax \& GHP |  | Liaison |
| Alderney Landing Board of Directors |  | Liaison |
| Neptune Theatre Foundation |  | L.iaison |
| Marketing Levy Board | Appointments recommended from the Committee |  |

## TABLE A-Proposed Consolidation of Existing Committees of Council under a Standing Committee Structure

| Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities | Advisory Committee - citizen representative only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 Winter Games Board | No Change | Time limited |
| Canada Day/Natal Day/other specific Events committees | Working Committees | new TOR required |
| ENVIRONMENT \& SUSTAINABILITY STANDING COMMITTEE | Create Terms of Reference | TOR |
| Solid Waste/Resource Advisory | Consolidate into TOR |  |
| Energy \& Underground Services Committee | Incorporate into TOR | revise TOR |
| Halifax Water | Appointments recommended from Council Members of the Committee | to create synergy/liaison |
| Regional Watershed Policy Advisory Group | Create as advisory - new policy based TOR |  |
| Western Region Common Wilderness Advisory Committee | Move to Committee of Community Council | Apts from Community Council |
| Community Monitoring Committee | Move to Committee of Community Council | Apts from Community Council |
| New mandate - |  |  |
| Focus on Parks, Trails \& Open spaces |  |  |
| Focus on Energy \& Sustainability issues coming out SEMO or Council |  |  |
| OTHER COMMITTEES | - |  |
| Watershed Advisory Committees | Consolidate into one policy based advisory under E \& S | development issues controlled by policy |
| Dartmouth Lakes Advisory Board | cease as per planning recommendation | Conduct a visioning exercise with Waters |
| Halifax Watershed Advisory Board | cease as per planning recommendation | Boards as to new policy role under |
| Bedford Watershed advisory Board | cease as per planning recommendation | Sustainability Standing Committee |
| Board of Police Commissioners | Unchanged |  |
| Planning Advisory Committees | At Community Council Only (no Regional or District PACs) - at discretion of the Community Council |  |
| Planning Advisory Committees | No PAC's to be established at the District level as per Council's direction to streamline processes PAC as Community Council level at the discretion of the CC. |  |


| North West Planning Advisory | Continue as discretion of Community <br> Council/under CC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District 12 PAC | District PAC NOT recommended - <br> Community Council Level only at <br> discretion of CC |  |
| Point Pleasant Park Advisory Committee | Move to Community Council | amend TOR |
| AD HOC COMMITITEES or Counclu/TASK <br> FORCE | Time Limited | Report - Council decision to continue |
| Tax Reform | Motion of Council <br> September/09 |  |
| District Boundary Review | New - time limited | Motion of Council <br> August/09 |
| FCM 2011 Conference Planning Committee | New - time limited | Motion of Council <br> August/09 |
| OTHERS |  | TBD |
| Appointment to External Committees - except as <br> relates to liaison with Standing Committees outside of <br> scope of this exercise | Move to Community Council | TBD |
| Shubenacadie Canal Commission | Suggest renegotiating number of <br> citizen appointments to Board | TBD |
| Metro Regional Housing Authority |  |  |

