

PO Box 1749 Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3A5 Canada

> Peninsula Community Council January 11, 2010

TO:

Chairman and Members of Peninsula Community Council

SUBMITTED BY:

Andrew Faulkner - Development Officer

DATE:

January 4, 2010

**SUBJECT:** 

Appeal of the Development Officer's decision to approve an application for

a Variance -5236 South St, Halifax

#### **ORIGIN**

This report deals with an appeal of the Development Officer's decision to approve a variance for the rear yard setback, lot coverage and side yard setback of the Halifax Peninsula Land Use Bylaw to permit a rear yard addition to convert an illegal rooming house to a six unit residential building.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that Council uphold the Development Officer's decision to approve the variance.

### **BACKGROUND**

In 2004, an unauthorized rooming house was identified at 5236 South St. The property is regulated under the *Halifax Peninsula Land Use By-Law* and is zoned R-2A (General Residential Conversion Zone). The property owner is in the process of being prosecuted for the illegal use. The above noted application by a potential new owner, proposes constructing a rear addition and converting to a six unit residential building. In the R2-A Zone, a Converted Multiple Dwelling House is permitted to a maximum of 14 self contained dwelling units.

In order to convert to the six unit dwelling, the following requirements must be met prior to approval:

Section 43AD Buildings altered or used for R-2A uses in the R-2A zone shall comply with the following requirements:

iv) Minimum side yard for additions	6 ft
v) Minimum rear yard setback	20 ft
vi) Maximum lot coverage	40%

The variance application proposes:

Side yard setback	4 ft
Rear yard setback	5 ft 2 inches
Lot coverage	43 %

The variance application was approved by the Development Officer and the property owners within 30 metres were notified in accordance with the Charter. One appeal was received.

To address the appeal: The site plan provided with the application shows that the proposed addition is contained within the boundary lines of 5236 South St. (See attachment #3)

#### **DISCUSSION**

The Halifax Regional Municipal Charter sets out guidelines under which the Development Officer may consider variances to Land Use Bylaw requirements. Those guidelines are as follows:

"A variance may not be granted where the:

- (a) variance violates the intent of the land use bylaw;
- (b) difficulty experienced is general to the properties in the area;
- (c) difficulty experienced results from an intentional disregard for the requirements of the land use bylaw."

In order to be approved, the proposed variance must not conflict with any of the above statutory guidelines. An assessment of the proposal relative to these stipulations is set out below.

## Variance Appeal - 5236 South St, Halifax

Council Report

- 3 -

January 11, 2010

#### Does the proposed variance violate the intent of the land use bylaw?

In many cases, the intent of a specific regulation in a land use bylaw can be quite general in nature and determining the intent sometimes requires subjective judgement.

The intent of the bylaw is to keep buildings 20 feet from the rear property line. However, few of the dwellings in this neighbourhood appear to meet this setback.

The intent of the bylaw is to keep the lot coverage maximum at 40%. Again, few of the dwellings in the surrounding area, appear to meet this requirement.

Setbacks from property lines are intended to provide adequate building separation to maintain access, safety and privacy. The variance for the sideyard setback was required for the exterior side landing and steps. Staff do not consider that the proposal represents a level of departure from the intent of the bylaw that justifies refusal.

As the bylaw allows for consideration of conversion for up to 14 residential units, the proposal of 6 units to maximize the use of this property does not violate the intent of the bylaw.

#### Is the difficulty experienced general to the properties in the area?

Based on provincial mapping, it appears that most nearby properties may meet rear yard setbacks. However, when looking at the larger neighborhood on South St and Tobin St there are many buildings within the required rear yard setback. Therefore, the difficulty experienced is not necessarily general to the properties in the same block.

Is the difficulty the result of intentional disregard for the requirements of the land use bylaw? There is no intentional disregard.

#### **BUDGET IMPLICATIONS**

None

#### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT POLICIES/BUSINESS PLAN

This report complies with the Municipality's Multi-Year Financial Strategy, the approved Operating, Capital and Reserve budgets, policies and procedures regarding withdrawals from the utilization of Capital and Operating reserves, as well as any relevant legislation.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

- 1. Council could uphold the decision of the Development Officer to grant the variance. This is the recommended alternative.
- 2. Council could overturn the decision of the Development Officer and refuse the variance.

# Variance Appeal - 5236 South St, Halifax

Council Report -4- January 11, 2010

# **ATTACHMENTS**

- 1. Location Map
- 2. Appeal letter
- 3. Site Plan
- 4. Elevations

A copy of this report can be obtained online at <a href="http://www.halifax.ca/commcoun//cc/agenda.html">http://www.halifax.ca/commcoun//cc/agenda.html</a>, or by contacting the Office of the Municipal Clerk at 490-4210, or Fax 490-4208.

Report Prepared by: Brend

Brenda Seymour - Development Technician (490-4046)

Report Approved by:

Andrew Faulkner - Development Officer (490-4402)



# Variance #15707



1:1,000

# User: Brenda Seymour

Print Date: Jan 5, 2010

This map was produced for the internal use of Halifax Regional Municipality(HRM). HRM takes no responsibility for errors or omissions.

For further information on civic address, street, street name or community(GSA) data please contact HRM Civic Addressing at 490-5347 or email civicadd@halifax.ca.

For further information on sewer infrastructure please contact Halifax Water Engineering at 490-6204 or email engineering\_dept@halifaxwater.ca

For further information or coning data please contact HRM Panning & Development Services at 490-4494 or email zoninginquiries@halifax.ca.

For further information regarding any other aspect of this plot please contact HRM Geographic information Systems & Services at 490-5558 or email geomfo@halifax.ca.

Date of map is not indeative of the date of data creation. Scale of map is valid only if printed at 11x8.5 inches.

Projection is Modified Transverse Mercator Zone 5.





FILE REFERENCE: DELIVERY VIA:

107526 COURIER Allen A. Campbell Direct Dial: (902) 460-3462 Facsimile: (902) 463-7500

E-mail: acampbell@boyneclarke.ca

December 14, 2009

Municipal Clerk c/o Andrew Faulkner **Development Officer** HRM Planning and Development - Western Region P.O. Box 1749, Halifax N.S. **B3J3A5** 

33 Alderney Dr. Suite 700 Dartmouth, NS Canada B2Y 2N4

Dear Mr. Faulkner:

CORRESPONDENCE P.O. Box 876. Dartmouth Main Halifax, NS Canada B2Y 3Z5

Tel: (902) 469-9500

Fax: (902) 463-7500

www.boyneclarke.ca

Appeal of Variance, File No. 15707 - 5236 South St., Halifax Re:

We are the solicitors for Wilson Lee Hallett and Trevor Stienberg, the owners of property at 5228 South St. and adjacent to the noted lands.

Our clients are appealing the approval of the variance for the noted property. Our clients' basis for the appeal is that the variance will adversely affect our clients use and enjoyment of their property. In particular, our clients and their guests and invitees have accessed their property and parking area via the driveway located on their property, as well as through the driveway located on 5236 South St. and/or 5243 South St. and through the parking area located on 5236 South St..

Our clients, and their predecessors in title have used this access for their property in excess of 20 years, and believe they have acquired prescriptive rights allowing the continued use of this access. The variance effectively cuts off this access and as such our clients will experience undue difficulty and hardship if the variance is allowed to remain.

Our client looks forward to providing further information with respect to this appeal as required.

Yours truly,

len Campbell

AAC/mhl



PL# 107526/1492560

DATE:

November 30, 2009

SUBJECT:

RE: Application for Variance, File No. 15707 - 5236 South St, Halifax


SITE PLAN

**Project Proposal:** 

to convert a rooming house to a six unit residential building

Approved Variance: Vary rear yard setback from 20 ft to 5ft 2 inches

Vary lot coverage from 40% to 43 % Vary side yard setback from 6 ft to 4 ft



DATE:

November 30, 2009

RE: Application for Variance, File No. 15707 - 5236 South St, Halifax

#### **ELEVATION**

Project Proposal:

to convert a rooming house to a six unit residential building

Approved Variance: Vary rear yard setback from 20 ft to 5ft 2 inches

Vary lot coverage from 40% to 43 % Vary side yard setback from 6 ft to 4 ft

