

MINUTES A N D REPORTS

of the

T H I R D Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - T H I R D C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

April 11th., 1961..

MINUTES A N D REPORTS

of the

T H I R D Y E A R M E E T I N G S

of the

T H I R T Y - T H I R D C O U N C I L

of the

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY
OF HALIFAX

Date of Meeting

April 11th., 1961..

I N D E X O F M I N U T E S

Appointment of Presiding Officer in District No. 10.....44
 Area Rate of .08 for Garbage Collection in School Section
 109 on the Waverley Road and 144 District 14.....44
 Assessment Appeals - Decision of Council.....45
 Assessment Appeals - Lessees at Halifax International
 Airport.....6-17
 Assessment Appeals - Motion re.....17
 Assessment Appeals - Motion to rescind former motion.....45
 Basket of Fruit for Councillor Redmond.....1
 Certificate of Insanity - Motion re payment of.....48
 Decision of Council on Assessment Appeals.....45
 Discussion re Teachers' Resignations in Halifax County.....30
 Discussion re Waverley School Site.....23
 Fees for Peddlars and Salesmen.....34
 Finance and Executive Committee Report.....33
 Invitation - Dr. Waldo Walsh to attend May Session of
 Council.....45
 Issuing Resolution in the amount of \$250,000 for (school
 purposes) in Spryfield.....34
 Issuing Resolution in the amount of \$500,000 for (sewers)
 in Fairview and Armdale.....39
 Jollimore School Site - Public Hearing re.....18
 Kill Condemnations - Report on.....44
 Lessees Appealing Assessments at Halifax International
 Airport.....6-17
 Letter from Bruce E. Nickerson re petitioning of shortage
 in water supply to Eastern Passage area.....1
 Letter from H. A. Frame re school site in Waverley.....1
 Letter from Local Board of Trustees of the Four Harbours'
 School District re Elementary schools for the area.....2
 License Fees for Peddlars and Salesmen.....34
 List of All Municipal and Board Employees' Salaries.....30
 List of Individual Teachers' and Janitors' Salaries -
 Motion re.....30
 Motion re Basket of Fruit for Councillor Redmond.....1
 Motion re Decision on Assessment Appeals.....17
 Motion re List of All Municipal and Board Employees'
 Salaries.....30
 Motion re List of Teachers' Salaries and Janitors'
 Salaries.....30
 Motion re Invitation of Dr. Waldo Walsh to May Session of
 Council.....45
 Motion re Negotiation with City of Dartmouth re Final
 Agreement with the City.....34
 Motion re Payment of Certificate of Insanity.....48
 Motion re Proposed Elementary Schools for the Four
 Harbours' School District.....6
 Motion to Rescind Former Motion on Decision of Council on
 Assessment Appeals.....45
 Municipal School Board Report.....17
 Negotiation with City of Dartmouth re Final Agreement with
 the City.....34
 Petition from the Local Board of Trustees of the Four
 Harbours' School Section.....2

Index of Minutes Continued:

Petition from Residents in Eastern Passage re shortage
in water supply to the Area.....1
Petition from Residents in Waverley Area re School Site.....1
Presiding Officer - Appointment of, in District #10.....44
Public Hearing re Jollimore School Site.....18
Public Works Committee Report.....32
Rate of .08 for Garbage Collection in School Sections
109 on the Waverley Road and 144 in District 14.....44
Report of the Finance and Executive Committee.....33
Report on Kill Condemnations.....44
Report of the Municipal School Board.....17
Report of the Public Works Committee.....32
Report of the Special Committee on Taxation.....44
Resolution - Issuing, in the amount of \$250,000 for (schools)
Spryfield.....34
Resolution - Issuing, in the amount of \$500,000 for sewers
in Fairview and Armdale.....39
Special Committee on Taxation - Report of.....44
Teachers' Resignations - discussion re.....30
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$754,000
for School Purposes.....30
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$180,000
for Armdale School.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$100,000
for Bedford School.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$192,000
for Rockingham 12-room school.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$500,000
for Spryfield High School.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$55,000
for Spry Harbour.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$15,000
for stacking chairs.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$1,800
for the St. Margaret's Bay school heating system.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$4,534
for Main Avenue Extension of Services.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$40,000
for School Avenue Extension of Services.....43
Temporary Borrowing Resolution in the amount of \$6,116.76
for Titus Avenue Extension of Services.....44
Waverley School Site - Discussion re.....23

I N D E X O F R E P O R T S

Finance and Executive Committee Report.....50-53
Halifax County Hospital Revenue & Expenditure Report.....54-56
Municipal School Board Report.....57-60
Ocean View Municipal Home Revenue & Expenditure Report.....61-62
Public Works Committee Report.....63
Revenue & Expenditure Report.....64-67
Special Committee on Taxation Report.....68
Welfare Expenditure Report.....69

MINUTES

of the

THIRD YEAR MEETINGS

of the

Thirty-Third Council

of the

MUNICIPALITY

of the

COUNTY OF HALIFAX

April Session - 1961

April 11

MINUTES OF THE APRIL SESSION OF
THE THIRTY-THIRD COUNCIL OF THE
MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF
HALIFAX.

April 11th., 1961.

FIRST DAY MORNING

Council met at 10:20 a.m.

Council opened with the repeating in unison of the Lord's Prayer. Roll called. Warden Leverman in the Chair.

In reply to a question by the Warden, Councillor Williams said that Councillor Redmond is still in hospital. He said that at present, Councillor Redmond is recuperating from a major operation and is expected to be in hospital for some time. He added that Councillor Redmond is doing as well as can be expected.

Councillors Baker and Daye moved:-

"THAT Councillor Redmond be sent a basket of fruit at the hospital." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk stated that he had three petitions. He said that he had a letter from Bruce E. Nickerson, acting as solicitor for Floyd S. Day, attached to which was a list of names of residents in the Eastern Passage area petitioning the shortage in water supply to the area.

Councillors White and MacKenzie moved:-

"THAT the petition, received from residents in the Eastern Passage area, regarding the shortage in water supply, be referred to the Public Works Committee." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk said that the second letter was from a Mr. H. A. Frame offering land for the new Waverley School, attached to which was a list of names of residents in the Waverley Area, recommending that the new school be constructed on a site closer to Trunk Highway 18. Among many reasons for their recommendation, was one to the effect that the new school would have access to a lake and hence a better water supply than the existing one.

The Warden in directing a question to the Chairman of the School Capital Program Committee, asked if there had been any mention of bad water at the existing school.

Councillor Flawn, in reply to the Warden's question, said that the petition came as a result of complaints concerning the proposed location of the new school.

In reply to a second question by the Warden, Councillor Flawn said that the water had been tested at the school and that it had passed the test. He said that the reason the children were advised not to drink the water, must be one of taste and not a case of health hazard.

Mr. Marriott said that the Municipal School Board was under the impression that the water was safe to drink.

In reply to a question by the Warden, Councillor Flawn said that the water is supplied by an artesian well.

Councillor Spears asked if it were the responsibility of the local board of trustees to have water supplies tested at schools.

Mr. Marriott said in reply to Councillor Spears's question, that the Department of Health tests water supplies at schools, in the event that a hazard is suspected, however, he added that there is no regular program that calls for the testing of the water supply at each school.

Councillor Spears said that he felt all school water supplies should be tested before each term or during the term.

Councillor Curren said that water supplies for all new schools were tested by the School Capital Committee members.

Councillors Flawn and Baker moved:

"THAT the petition pertaining to the Waverley School site, be tabled." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk said that the third petition was from the Local Board of Trustees of the Four Harbours' School District,

petitioning against the hold-up in the construction of the two elementary schools in the area, one of which is to be constructed at Owl's Head.

The Warden asked if the Municipal School Board was including this matter in its report to Council. Mr. Marriott replied no.

In reply to a question by the Warden, Mr. Marriott said that there had been no decision to change their former recommendation, and that the petition was premature.

Councillor Daye said that at a recent meeting of the trustees, a vote was taken and 8 out of 10 approved the building of the two schools in the Four Harbours' area. He said that there was "no kick" from residents, until after the trustees were appointed and teachers selected. He said that at present his home is a "din of confusion", with people inquiring as to the hold-up in the schools' construction. He said that he could not see the School Capital Committee spending \$50,000 on two schools if they were not needed.

Councillor Flawn said that the School Capital Program Committee had received a letter from the Municipal School Board requesting that the construction of the two schools, be delayed for not more than 30 days.

Councillor Burris said that the School Board decided to recommend to the School Capital Committee that the program be delayed for not more than 30 days so that they would be able to give any petitions careful consideration. He said that a meeting is scheduled for Thursday, April 20, between the two boards of trustees and the Municipal School Board, to determine whether or not residents want two schools or just one.

The Warden said that nothing could be done until the May Session, if the School Capital Committee were to await the outcome of the meeting.

In reply to a statement by Councillor Burriss, the Warden said that he questioned the fact of the School Board "by-passing" Council's approval of the commencement of construction of the two schools.

Councillor Burriss said that he did not imply in his statement that the School Board was "by-passing" Council.

Councillor Settle said that he understood that members of the School Board felt that the petitions should be considered carefully and that the hold-up is just to allow School Board members to get at the "root of the petitions".

Councillor Spears said that he hoped that during negotiations, that the matter of petitions was discussed. He said that when a recommendation comes before Council for approval, that it is understood by Councillors that "all kinks are ironed out".

Councillor McGrath asked if the 30 day period was up.

Councillor Curren said that the purpose of the meeting on Thursday with the two local boards of trustees was to determine whether or not the School Capital Committee should commence its building program of two schools in the area.

The Warden then asked if the recommendation to build two elementary schools in the area was made by a body.

In reply to the Warden's question, Councillor Curren said that the majority of members of the Municipal School Board recommended that the two elementary schools be constructed.

Councillor Curren suggested that the School Capital Committee delay its program of building in the area, until the result of Thursday's meeting is known.

The Warden said in reply to Councillor Curren's statement that if the School Capital Committee were to follow this procedure, then nothing could be done until the May Session of Council.

Councillor Daye, reiterating former statements, said that the purpose of Thursday's meeting with trustees, is to determine whether or not the School Capital Committee should commence its program of building two elementary schools in the area. He said that judging by the previous meeting of the trustees, when 8 out of 10 voted in favour of two schools, that the trustees will recommend that the School Capital Committee commence its program, of constructing two elementary schools in the area.

Councillor Henley said that there had been a lot of study and investigation into the matter and also that Councillor Daye should have a concise and accurate view of the matter in his district. He said that irrespective of petitions, that Council should recommend that the School Capital Committee commence the building of the two schools.

In reply to a question by Councillor Williams, Councillor Flawn said that a price has been decided on for land in the Owl's Head area, but that there has been no further action taken to purchase the land.

Councillor Curren pointed out that the Municipal School Board did not have the petition, now before Council, when they decided to hold Thursday's meeting.

In reply to a question by the Warden, the Municipal Clerk said that the petition was signed by members of the local board of trustees for the Four Harbours' School District.

Councillor Flawn said that the petition represented the Owl's Head area only.

The Warden said that Council was replying on the advise of the Municipal School Board, but that Council should rely on the advise of residents living in the area where the schools are to be constructed.

Councillor Hanrahan said that the letter, with petition

attached, was sent to the wrong party and that rather than the School Capital Committee, the petition should have been sent to the Municipal School Board.

Councillors Henley and Moser moved:-

"THAT this Council direct the School Capital Program Committee to proceed with the two schools in District 19." Motion carried.

The item on Assessment Appeals on properties based at the International Airport was introduced by Mr. Hattie.

County Solicitor Cox said these appeals before Council were authorized under Section 25 of the Assessment Act and that the reason this section of the Act was being used was because the normal Board of Appeal had concluded its sittings.

Mr. Gordon Cooper, speaking from the gallery, said he was representing Bowring Bros. Ltd. and Aero Caterers Ltd., and that he understood Mr. Martin Bushell was representing Edwards' Fine Foods. He said he understood the appeals by Airport Transfer Co. Ltd. and Hillcrest Rent-A-Car Limited had been withdrawn.

At this time, no representative of Allied Aviation Service of Newfoundland Ltd. was present.

As there were several solicitors representing the appellants in the gallery Mr. Cox suggested a conference with them to agree upon the procedure this hearing might take.

The Warden declared a five minute recess.

On reconvening, Mr. Cox said the solicitors present had agreed to the procedure the hearing should take. He said the appeals were concerned primarily with evaluation and that the technicalities of the appeals were not in dispute. He said it was agreed to hear all four appeals together as some of the witnesses wished to supply evidence pertaining to all four.

Mr. Cooper then explained to Council that he was acting for Aero Caterers Ltd. and Bowring Bros. Ltd., each of which had

appealed against the assessment.

He said Bowring Bros. were appealing against their assessment on real property and not primarily with respect to their assessment on personal property. The grounds of their appeal, he said, were that the valuation of their real property was in excess of the actual value. Bowring Bros., he continued, occupy space in the Airport building for the purpose of running a retail store in which they sell magazines and gift items. He said they hold the space under lease from the Department of Transport. This, he said, represents 975 sq. feet of un-airconditioned space in the ticket wing.

The Bowring lease, he said, was signed September 8, 1960 for a term of five years at \$5,000 a year or five per cent of gross receipts, whichever is the greater amount. He then outlined in brief the highlights of the lease.

He said that under Section 18, Rule 2 of the Assessment Act, all property shall be assessed at its actual cash value, such cash value to represent the value of the property if offered at auction after reasonable notice.

Regarding Bowring Bros. and Aero Caterers, he said, it was felt the assessments had not been made in compliance with Rule 2, Section 18, in that these assessments were in greater amounts than the actual amounts that could be realized if the properties were put up for auction.

With special regard to Aero Caterers, Mr. Cooper said the principle involved was the same as for Bowring Bros. Their lease was signed August 1, 1960 for a term of two years, but subject to renewal. Aero Caterers, he said, who supply food for consumption on the aircraft, occupy 1,792 sq. feet at a rental of \$5,376 per annum and (not or) a sum of one per cent of the gross revenue derived by the leasee from its operation. He said he would call

Mr. Cecil Whynacht, one well qualified as a real estate assessor, to give evidence regarding the value of the real property in each case. Mr. Whynacht, he said, had made appraisals of the properties assessed under these appeals.

Mr. Cooper said, unlike the case of Bowring Bros., he reserved opinion regarding the appeal of the personal assessment of Aero Caterers and that he would probably speak on this later.

Mr. Martin Bushell, solicitor for Edwards' Fine Foods Ltd., said the evidence Mr. Whynacht would be asked to give later would apply equally to all appellants.

Edwards', he said, operates a restaurant concession in the Airport under a lease signed July 26, 1960, under terms similar to those outlined by Mr. Cooper, but that Edward's space was much greater. The dining room, he said, occupies 4,738 sq. feet, the liquor and beer storage area in the basement, 1,800 sq. feet and the coffee shop and kitchen 4,035 sq. feet; total 10,573 sq. feet (or 1,795 cu. feet.)

Rental for Edwards', he said, was based on eight per cent of the gross revenue up to \$100,000; ten per cent up to \$200,000; and twelve per cent over \$200,000; or \$8,000 per annum, whichever is the greater amount. He said the rent had never exceeded the \$8,000 minimum.

Councillor Archiblad asked if some of the space leased by Edwards' had not been utilized as yet, to which Mr. Bushell replied this was true, and that the facilities for the whole operation were, to date, in excess of what is required for the volume of business.

He said the appellant was appealing against the real property assessment only (\$117,500) and not against the personal assessment.

On motion of Councillors Baker and Hanrahan, Council adjourned at 12:05 p.m., until 2 p.m.

FIRST DAY AFTERNOON

Council reconvened at 2:10 p.m. with Warden Leverman in the Chair.

Roll called.

Mr. John Buchanan, legal counsel for Allied Aviation Service of Newfoundland Limited, said the appellant was appealing the real assessment of \$19,150. He said the premises occupied by the appellant was 17,434 cu. ft., which was rented from the Department of Transport under lease at \$9,593 annually. He said the evidence which Mr. Whynacht will give, will apply equally to his client's assessment, although Mr. Whynacht did not examine this particular space. He added that he would accept, in a general way, the remarks made by Messrs. Cooper and Bushell.

Mr. Cooper introduced Mr. Whynacht and read a list of his qualifications as a consultant on assessment, which included the qualification of a Fellow of the Canadian Institute of Realators.

Answering questions put by Mr. Cooper, Mr. Whynacht said he had made an appraisal of the properties in question, excluding that of Allied Aviation Service of Newfoundland, with the purpose of arriving at market values for the portions occupied under consideration to arrive at values for assessment purposes.

Outlining briefly the basis on which he made the appraisals, Mr. Whynacht said he first attempted to find the market value of the entire building. He then apportioned values to the premises occupied by the appellants. In so doing, he said, he paid attention to Rule 2, Section 18 of the Assessment Act, which in essence states that the market value of a property is the amount it would realize if offered at auction on reasonable notice.

He said there are three approaches to determine value. All were recognized, he added, but he was of the opinion that cost less depreciation was the only method that would apply in this case.

He said he was of the opinion that the only use this building could be put to was as an airport building. Referring to Rule 2, Section 18, he said, this implies a market valuation when the building could be put to other uses, but in this instance, there would normally be only one bidder.

The cost of the terminal building itself, he said, was \$4,700,000, which was the figure used by the County. In applying depreciation, he said, three factors must be considered: physical, functional obsolescence and economic obsolescence.

In physical obsolescence, he said, he applied one per cent depreciation to date. Normally, he added, the building would be depreciated over 50 years at two per cent per year, but he felt the two per cent should not apply in this case for the first year. This amounted to \$4,700, he said.

Discussing functional obsolescence, he said he considered the airport building was constructed for a special or single purpose and that it would cost an appreciable amount to convert it for another use. For this purpose, he said, he applied a thirty per cent rate, or \$1,410,000.

Even in use as an airport, Mr. Whynacht said, it was his opinion by observation that the terminal building is not being used to its maximum potential. He contended that even an airport bidder would not pay for facilities he could not use. The excess space there now, he said, which is apt to be there in the future, comes under functional obsolescence.

Regarding economic obsolescence, he said, the main factor here was location. In the area of the airport, he said there is a lack of industry and services. He said in this instance he applied a forty per cent depreciation, or \$1,880,000.

Total depreciation on the building, he said, would be \$3,337,000. This figure, subtracted from the original cost of the

building, leaves a depreciated or market value of \$1,364,000.

He then estimated the market value of the land which, added to the market value of the building, brought the total market value to \$3,072,000.

Reducing this value to a unit price, he said this amounted to \$.44 per cu. ft.

Using this valuation, he said the market value of Edwards' Fine Foods would be \$47,500, and as the assessed value is normally seventy-five per cent of market value, the assessed value of this property would then be \$35,625.

Regarding Bowring's, with 9,750 cu. ft., he said the market value would be \$4,300 and the assessed value, \$3,225.

Aero Caterers, he said, with 21,504 cu. ft., would be \$9,500 and \$7,125.

Mr. Buchanan asked Mr. Whynacht what assessment, on the basis of this reasoning, he could place on the Allied Aviation premises. As a part of the whole, Mr. Whynacht said its market value would be \$7,670.96 and its assessed value, as seventy-five per cent of the market value, would be \$5,500.

Replying to questions by County Solicitor Cox, Mr. Whynacht said he had given similar evidence in the courts of Nova Scotia, and specifically in Amherst and Chester.

Mr. Cox asked Mr. Whynacht if, in fact, he did not say in Chester that he could not give an assessment other than market value, to which Mr. Whynacht replied that was so. He said he had no experience with comparable buildings, and that he agreed his argument was based on a hypothetical auction.

Asked where he got the figure of 30 per cent in determining functional obsolescence, Mr. Whynacht replied that he arrived at the figure based on his own experience.

He said that as far as resale value was concerned, he was of the opinion that the airport, in less than one year, depreciated to 71 per cent.

In his assessment of the land, he said he took the land on which the Building stands plus a perimeter of 10 feet.

He agreed with Mr. Cox that the basis on which the rents were arrived at were fixed by public tender, but he said he did not inquire to find out how many bidders there were. He said he reached his conclusions regardless of where the space was located in the building.

Mr. Cooper asked Mr. Whynacht in considering the hypothetical auction, did he consider there would be a buyer who could operate the airport, to which Mr. Whynacht replied yes. Replying to Mr. Cox, Mr. Whynacht said he did not consider a bidder competing to buy who would operate the property as an airport.

Mr. Martin Bushell said in dealing with functional obsolescence, Mr. Whynacht mentioned the building itself as an elaborate building.

He asked Mr. Whynacht to describe what he meant and to compare it with air terminal facilities which would be adequate.

Mr. Whynacht replied that he would need to be an airport operation expert to answer this question correctly which he was not.

Councillor Blackburn asked if those people, making representation here realized what they were doing when submitting tenders to do business at the airport.

Mrs. Richardson, manager of the Bowring Bros. Shop, was called by Mr. Cooper and asked to explain to the Warden and Council the business carried on and whether business had come up to expectation. She replied that their business was to serve the travelling public, but that it was not up to expectations. She said her shop is open 16 hours a day for seven days of the week, 365 days a year. She

said the store sells cigars, cigarettes, magazines, gifts, and in fact most things passengers need or might have forgotten in their luggage.

Replying to a question by Mr. Cox, Mrs. Richardson said her company operates three airport stores in Montreal, one at Gander, and one in Halifax. Her company, she said, has been engaged in business for 150 years.

Mr. Berry, of Aero Caterers, said his business was to prepare food for meals served in flight. In reply to a question, he said his firm's personal property was assessed for \$15,100 which, if put up for auction, would bring about \$10,000.

Replying to Mr. Cox, Mr. Berry said his equipment was all new, bought at an estimated cost price of \$23,000 to \$25,000. If sold at auction, he estimated it would bring about \$3,000 to \$4,000. His stock-in-trade, he said, was about \$6,500.

He agreed his firm was very experienced in airport operation, has been so engaged since 1937, and that it is the largest firm of its nature in Canada.

Councillor Blackburn said that as Aero Caterers operate similar services in other airports, could he tell Council what the other assessments are. Mr. Berry said he was unable to answer this question.

Mr. Cooper observed that when these enterprises were operated at Shearwater, there was no assessment on real property. When tendering, he said, they did not figure on this extra cost. He commented that everyone in business is anxious to keep his costs down.

Mr. Bushell called Mr. Edwards, and read the description of each portion covered by his lease and asked him to reply how much he was now using. In regard to the dining room on the second floor, Mr. Edwards said he was not using it at all, but that he had no

choice. He said he had to take everything offered or none at all.

Regarding the liquor and beer storage portion, he said he was using a small portion for stock purposes, and that he was using two of the five walk-in-refrigerators, adding that he would use only one but that one motor runs both.

He said he was using the counter portion of the Coffee Shop, and that the VIP Room was never used.

On the average, he said, he estimated he was using about one fifth of the rented premises.

He said he was in the restaurant business for 32 years, during which time he bought and sold six businesses.

Asked by Mr. Bushell if he would spend \$117,500 for this operation today, Mr. Edwards said no.

Mr. Cox asked Mr. Edwards if the VIP Room was covered by his lease. Mr. Edwards replied no, but the kitchen is.

Councillor Blackburn asked Mr. Edwards if he had a change in assessment (less tax dollars) would he be in a position to improve his services to the public. Mr. Edwards said this was hard to answer, that they have done the best they could. He said if he told Councillor Blackburn how much money he lost every month he would have closed it.

Councillor Williams asked Mr. Edwards if his airport business were a paying proposition would he then be willing to pay the taxes. Mr. Edwards' solicitor, Mr. Bushell said he considered his client should not be required to answer this question as it was not material. Warden Leverman agreed.

Mr. Buchanan then called Mr. Goddin of Allied Aviation Services, who explained his firm supplies alternate servicing for grounded planes. He said their business concerned mainly international plans other than TCA, and that at the Halifax International Airport business had not been extensive. He observed that most jets

did not stop at Halifax.

In reply to a question by Mr. Cox, he said his firm has individual contracts on a cost-plus basis.

Mr. Purcell, the County's Director of Assessment said properties in the County are assessed under three procedures - sales, cost, and income.

He said the sales or income procedures would not apply in this instance, so cost replacement was used. First, he said, his department ascertained the contract price of the building from the federal government. They then determined from the plan the number of cubic feet involved which they divided into the contract price and came up with \$1.53 per cubic foot.

He said the total cubic foot measurement used was 2,623,411.

The next step, he said, was to obtain from the manager of the airport the space in cubic feet occupied by the lessors. They then gave five per cent allowance for depreciation, and then further reduced the figure by 25 per cent to reach the assessment level.

Under this procedure, he said, the real assessments were arrived at for the appellants. In doing this, he said, he had Rule 2, Section 18 of the Assessment Act in mind. Mr. Smiley, of his department made the assessments on personal property under discussion, he said.

In reply to a question by Mr. Cooper, he said he did not agree with Mr. Whynacht's thoughts on functional obsolescence. This term, he said, meant going out of style, and this did not apply to a new airport.

Mr. Cooper asked Mr. Purcell if an ordinary company would have a VIP Room, to which Mr. Purcell said he had no opinion. He added that he considered a buyer would pay the actual cash value which in his opinion was the amount of the assessment - \$2,859,000.

Mr. Bushell asked Mr. Purcell, in view of Mr. Edwards' remarks that he could use only one fifth of the space he had leased, and that he had no choice as to the amount of space he took, would a restaurant entrepreneur buy that total space if he could use only one fifth of it. Mr. Purcell replied probably not.

Replying to Mr. Bushell, Mr. Purcell said they assessed the entire building and then proportioned it out. He said the County must assess all the properties occupied. He said they applied Rule 2, Section 18, and considered there would be bidders available, but that the situation was purely hypothetical, that he could not imagine the airport building would be up for auction as an airport terminal. He agreed with Mr. Bushell that it was possible there would be only one purchaser, the Government of Canada.

Asked by Mr. Bushell if he agreed that Edwards' have five times more space than they can use, Mr. Purcell said he agreed that Mr. Edwards testified to that.

Replying to Mr. Buchanan, Mr. Purcell said Allied Aviation occupy 17,034 cu. ft.

Mr. Cox called Mr. Smiley, the Assistant Director of Assessment. Mr. Smiley said in January, 1961, Aero Caterers supplied him with a list of equipment at \$25,806.45. He said he then applied 25 per cent depreciation. He said he also obtained a figure of \$1,500 in stock.

Replying to Mr. Cooper, Mr. Smiley said the 25 per cent figure was used all over the County.

Mr. Cooper said, in his opinion 25 per cent should be deducted from the \$2,859,000 value of the building according to Mr. Purcell's own evidence. He said Mr. Purcell gave no consideration to functional or economic obsolescence. He said evidence was heard that Edwards uses only one fifth of the space he has under lease, which he considered was evidence that the building has over-capacity, so there is functional obsolescence. Mr. Purcell, he said,

did not take this into account, and any purchase is not going to pay for over-capacity.

Mr. Goddin, Mr. Cooper continued, said he was servicing very few aircraft. The same applied to the VIP Room, so there is over-capacity, here too. Mr. Whynacht had applied functional obsolescence, he said, and Mr. Purcell did not, and he considered Mr. Whynacht's figures were to be preferred.

He said he was not questioning the competence of Mr. Purcell, but that everyone in making an assessment differs. An assessment, he said, must be based on certain rules, and in his opinion, the better rules were applied by Mr. Whynacht.

Mr. Cox said he felt there was a misunderstanding in the evidence given by Mr. Purcell and referred to by Mr. Cooper. He said the procedure was to establish a market value, deduct depreciation, and then to apply a further reduction of 25 per cent.

Councillors Archibald and Williams moved:-

"THAT the decision on Assessment Appeals heard this date be handed down at tomorrow's session of Council." Motion carried.

Council adjourned for a five minute recess and reconvened at 4:20 p.m.

The Warden said that for the benefit of people in the gallery, the next item on the agenda would be the Report of the Municipal School Board.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Municipal School Board.

Deputy Warden Burris and Councillor Henley moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Municipal School Board be adopted."

In reply to a question by Councillor Williams, the Municipal Clerk said that the fifty stacking chairs, listed for Oyster Pond would be for the new school.

Councillor Baker asked about the drapes for Sidney Stephen High School.

The Municipal Clerk said in reply to Councillor Baker's question, that the drapes had not been purchased for the school.

Councillor Baker then asked if drapes will be bought for the school, to which the Municipal Clerk replied no.

Councillor Moser brought up the matter of children from Lunenburg County being educated at the school in Hubbards, at a cost of \$200 per student, to the Municipality of Halifax County. He said that he could not see why school space should be added in Hubbards to accommodate these children at the expense of Halifax County.

The Warden then asked if anybody from the delegation in the gallery wished to speak on the proposed Jollimore School Site.

Mr. R. D. Nickerson spoke on behalf of the ratepayers living near or abutting the proposed school site in Fleming Heights. He listed several objections raised by the ratepayers concerning the erection of a school on the site.

1. That since a school already exists in the Jollimore area, another school would lead to congestion.
2. That no notice was given to ratepayers that another site was being considered.
3. That when lots were purchased in Fleming Heights it was understood that there would be only single dwellings.
4. That an additional school would depreciate the value of the dwellings in that there would be increased traffic.
5. That a bigger and less expensive site was available near Glenholm Avenue, which is high and dry.
6. That there would be only one access route to the school - Randolph Street.
7. That assuming one-half acre would be used per classroom, there would be no room for further expansion as the proposed site contains only 3.5 acres.
8. That the residential sewerage is presently overflowing into Glenora Avenue and that a school would only add to this overflow.

9. That with a school there would be an overload on the water system, and at present residents have been without water for two weeks.

Councillor Baker asked Mr. Nickerson if he had any children of school age.

Mr. Nickerson said that he had two children of school age.

Councillor Baker then asked Mr. Nickerson if the ratepayers were considering their own welfare or the welfare of the children.

Mr. Nickerson said that property owners in Fleming Heights had invested a lot of money in their properties and they were worried mainly about the children defacing the properties. He said that it was not a case of the property owners considering their own welfare first.

Councillor Baker said that he could not agree with the objection raised by the property owners concerning the children depreciating the value of the properties. He said that "healthy children run at large".

The Warden asked if anybody else from the delegation wished to speak on the proposed site.

Mr. Bloomer, Chairman of the Board of Trustees Jollimore School Section, spoke on the proposed site. He said that originally the trustees had considered 3 sites. He said that several factors were considered before the trustees recommended the down-hill site, abutting McLennan Avenue, to the School Capital Committee. He said that the biggest factor considered was the one of safety. He said that the site abutting McLennan Avenue would provide an easy access to the school through Randolph Street, and thus eliminate the hazard of the up-hill climb. He said that the lower site was closer to the centre of the Jollimore School Section. He added that so far as drainage was concerned, he did not feel that the School Capital Committee would approve a school site if there were any possibility

of a health hazard. He submitted in conclusion that he represented the opinions of the majority of the ratepayers in the Jollimore School Section.

Major Fear, a ratepayer from the Fleming Heights area, in directing a question to the Chairman of the School Capital Committee, asked if an engineering survey were made to determine whether it would be cheaper to build on what he termed "a swamp" rather than a dry site.

Councillor Flawn said that Webber-Harrington Associates, made a survey to determine the amount of preparation that the proposed site would need before the school was constructed, but that no engineering survey had been made to determine whether it would be cheaper to build on the upper site rather than the lower site.

Major Fear, said that a survey should be made to "spread the taxpayers dollar further". He said that if a school were constructed on the "swamp site abutting McLennan Avenue", that it would be a greater expense to the County and the taxpayers in the area, than if the school were constructed on the "dry site up the hill".

Councillor Flawn said that both sites were very rocky, but that it was his opinion that the cost of building the school on either site, would not vary.

Dr. Cochran, president of the Fleming Glen ratepayers, spoke to Council. He said that the opinion of the majority of ratepayers in the Fleming Glen area was that the existing school, the John W. MacLeod School, was not adequate, and that another school was needed. He said that the ratepayers felt that the children were not getting a full education. He also said, that the ratepayers felt that the proposed site was satisfactory, and that further consideration be given by the School Capital Committee to the purchasing of the site, so that the school could be built by the next school term

in September.

Dr. Campbell spoke to Council as a ratepayer from Randolph Street. He said that he realized the Fleming Heights area was a residential area, but did not think that ratepayers should object to the construction of a school in the area. He said that prime consideration should be given to the children's education. However, he questioned the fact that Randolph Street was a solution to the children's access to the school. He said that Randolph Street would not alleviate the problem of children having to come down the hill to gain access to the school. He concurred in the objection raised by the ratepayers abutting the proposed site, that if a school were constructed on the site, there would be an overload on the water supply to the area.

The Warden then asked if anybody else from the delegation wished to speak on the proposed site.

In reply to a question by the Warden, Mr. Marriott said that the school would accommodate students from primary to grade six.

Councillor Baker asked how many parents from the delegation would have children in this category going to the school, to which a Mrs. Robinson replied, that she would have children in this category going to the school.

Councillor Baker said that there was too much discrimination against children by ratepayers in the area abutting the proposed site.

Mr. Campbell, a ratepayer from the area, said that he challenged Councillor Baker's remarks, and said that there was no discrimination by ratepayers against the children, who would be going to the school.

Councillors Snair and Stubbs moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn until 10 a.m.,
Thursday."

Councillor Archibald said that since Council was to bring down its decision on Assessment Appeals by 3 o'clock on Wednesday, that Council should deal with the matter of the appeals before it adjourned until Thursday.

The Municipal Solicitor said that Council would have to rescind its former motion on appeals, if they wished to meet on Thursday.

Councillor Baker suggested that Council reconvene at 7 p.m. to deal with the remainder of the agenda.

The Municipal Solicitor said that if Council wished to reconvene at 7 p.m., that it would have to defeat the former motion to reconvene on Thursday at 10 a.m.

The motion to reconvene on Thursday at 10 a.m. was put to a vote and lost by (20) AGAINST; (2) FOR.

Councillors Baker and Spears moved:-

"THAT Council adjourn until 7 p.m."

The motion was carried by a vote of (14) FOR; (8) AGAINST.

FIRST DAY EVENING

Council convened at 7:20 p.m., with Warden F. G. H. Leverman in the Chair. Roll called.

The Warden asked if Council were ready to consider the Report of the Municipal School Board.

Councillor Flawn said that the petition re the Waverley School site that was tabled in the morning should be considered first.

The Municipal Clerk read the petition from Waverley re School site.

Councillor Stubbs stated that there was a man present in the gallery, when this petition came before Council in the morning, to speak on the petition. She asked if the petition specified the piece of land available as an alternate site for the proposed school.

The Municipal Clerk said in reply to Councillor Stubbs' question, that a letter was attached to the petition from a Mr. H. A. Frame offering a piece of land in the Frame Subdivision on Trunk Highway No. 18.

Councillor Stubbs in directing a question to the Chairman of the School Capital Committee, asked if the Committee were aware of this land being available.

In reply to Councillor Stubbs' question, Councillor Flawn said that the Committee was aware of this piece of land. He said that the land would be donated by Mr. Frame, and that the land is situated near Atlantic Aviation on Trunk Highway No. 18, Waverley. He said that if the school were built on this particular site that the problem of conveying students from Montague Mines to the School should be considered. He said that it would be easier for students from Montague Mines to get to the proposed site, because of its being

located nearer the Montague Mines area. He said that before the site in the Frame Subdivision was approved, that it would have to be studied from a transportation angle.

Councillor Flawn said that he had heard unofficially, that there was no urgency in having a school constructed on the site adjacent to the existing Fort Sackville School. He said that the Provincial Government has a new ruling, governing the construction of schools in the Province and that under this ruling the school building program in Halifax County would be slowed down. He said that with such a ruling that it should be understood that schools in the County be constructed on a priority basis. He said that another example of there being no urgency for school construction was the proposed school for the Westphal area.

Councillor Blackburn, in directing a question to Mr. Marriott, asked if the Municipal School Board had made a definite recommendation for the construction of a school in the Goff's - Oldham, Enfield - Grand Lake border sections. He said that it was imperative that a definite recommendation come before September of this year.

Mr. Marriott said in reply to Councillor Blackburn's question, that meetings were held with the trustees of the four border sections and that the trustees agreed to the consolidation, but that trustees from Goff's - Oldham - Enfield border sections agreed to consolidation with the provision that the school be built in Enfield, while trustees from Grand Lake agreed to consolidation with the provision that the school be built in Grand Lake. He said that since the trustees could not agree on the location of the school, that the School Board could not make a definite recommendation. He said that he realized that it was imperative that a definite recommendation come before September, but he added that there was not enough "data" for the School Board to make a recommendation.

Councillor Stubbs asked Mr. Marriott if there were a definite recommendation from the School Board, that a school be constructed in Waverley.

Mr. Marriott in reply to Councillor Stubbs' question said that it was the function of the Council to give final approval to the school site. He said, regarding the proposed school for Waverley, that approximately 44 children living on the Dartmouth-Waverley Road outside the City and 12 children from the Montague Mines area, making a total of 56, would have to be included in the school. He said that the School Board had to consider the problem of transporting these children before they recommended a school in the area to the School Capital Committee.

Councillor Blackburn asked Mr. Marriott what the School Board proposed to do if a school were not built in the Goff's-Oldham-Enfield-Grand Lake border sections by September.

Mr. Marriott said in reply to Councillor Blackburn's question, that the children in the border sections would be moved around to other schools.

Councillor Moser in directing a question to Mr. Marriott, again asked about the School Board requesting that two classrooms be added to the Hubbard's School to accommodate children from Lunenburg County. He also asked why Halifax County should pay \$200.00 to educate children from Lunenburg County. He said that it was time that the County took a definite stand on the matter, and not provide classroom space for Lunenburg children at an expense to the County.

In reply to Councillor Moser's question, Mr. Marriott said that Lunenburg County has been reimbursing Halifax County for the children going to the Hubbard's school at a rate of \$150. per student. He said that the present rate of \$150. per student paid

by Lunenburg, was set forth by an agreement drawn up several years ago. He said, however, that as a result of a recent meeting with officials from Lunenburg County, that a new agreement was made, whereby Lunenburg would reimburse Halifax County fully for the students at a rate of \$200. per student. He said that under this new agreement that the new rate would come into effect by September, 1961. He said in conclusion that it was not a case of the County's providing space for Lunenburg students exclusively, but that the Lunenburg students would help to "round out" the classes.

Councillor Moser asked how many students from Lunenburg County were going to the Hubbard's School.

Mr. Marriott replied that out of a student population of 150, that there were 30 students from Lunenburg going to the Hubbard's School. He said that up to and including Grade 8 there were (12) students, with (2) in Grade 9, (10) in Grade 10, and (6) in Grade 11.

Councillor Blackburn, in directing a question to Mr. Marriott, asked for a rough estimate of the cost for sending students from Halifax County into Hants County.

Mr. Marriott replied that it costs \$128.23 per student attending school in Hants County at the elementary level, and \$263.00 per student attending Grades 7 to 12. He said that transportation was included in the cost. He added that individual parents were being charged for children attending school in Hants County at the elementary level, and that there was an agreement with Hants County that Halifax County would pay on the foundation scale for students attending at the High School level.

In reply to a question by Councillor Baker, the Municipal Clerk replied that Lunenburg County paid for the cost of transportation of children coming into the Hubbard's School.

Councillor Snair asked Mr. Marriott why it cost Halifax County more to educate students in Hants County than it cost Lunenburg to educate students in Halifax County.

Mr. Marriott replied that the difference in cost was due to the fact that Hants County had a much broader program for students attending school.

Councillor Snair then asked about the School Board's request for 3 teachers for the Hubbard's School.

Mr. Marriott replied that in the 1960-61 term, there were 2 teachers teaching 70 students in Grades 9, 10, 11 and that the request was for a third teacher in order that Grade 12 be taught for the 1961-62 term, making a total of 3 teachers that would be teaching 72 students in Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12.

Councillor Snair asked Mr. Marriott if the School Board had considered using part of the Auditorium at the Hubbard's School as possible classroom space. He asked if the Municipal School Board in its recommendation of 2 classrooms, to the School Capital Committee, considered the cheapest possible way of establishing classroom space.

Mr. Marriott said that he could not see how the auditorium could be used for classroom space and added that careful consideration was given, before the School Board made its recommendation, to the construction of the 2 classrooms.

Councillor Williams asked Mr. Marriott if he could have some assurance that a breakdown of janitors' salaries along with their duties, would be circulated. He said that he had asked for the information some time ago and that to date nothing had been done, by Mr. Marriott or the School Board, to have this information circulated.

Mr. Marriott said that there was some question as to whether

this information should be released. He suggested that Councillor Williams approach the School Board personally.

Councillor Williams then said that he was asking that Mr. Marriott approach the School Board about this matter.

The Municipal Solicitor said that Council should be aware of the fact that the Municipal School Board is a separate entity and that Councillors do not have the right to demand any information from the Board. He said that since Mr. Marriott is Executive Head of the Board, that Councillor Williams had no right to demand information from him.

The Warden suggested that Councillor Williams approach the Chairman of the School Board on the matter.

Mr. Marriott said that there was probably some misunderstanding and that it was not a case of the School Board's with-holding the information, but rather that the compilation of such information would entail a considerable amount of work and investigation on behalf of the Board. He said that at the time of Councillor Williams' request that the Board did not have the time to devote to the compilation of the information.

Councillor Stubbs asked if, for the benefit of the delegation in the gallery, the matter of the Jollimore School Site had been settled.

Councillor Snair asked if Council adopted the Report of the Municipal School Board, would the Jollimore School Site be determined.

The Solicitor said that with the adoption of the report that the Jollimore School Site would not be determined.

Councillor Archibald asked the Solicitor for "a clear-cut picture" of the School Board Report and if it required an amendment to determine the Site.

The Municipal Solicitor said that Council did not have the authority to amend the report of the School Board. He said that the matter of the Jollimore School Site would not be changed with the adoption of the report.

The motion to adopt the Report of the Municipal School Board was put and carried.

Councillor Blackburn in directing a question to the Solicitor, asked what authority Council had if there were "one item out of a hundred" that it did approve of in the report.

The Solicitor said that if there happened to be one item out of a dozen items that Council did not approve of, then Council did not have to vote monies for the item, but it could not vote to have the item removed from the School Board Report. In reiterating a former statement, he said that as the Municipal School Board was a separate entity that Council did not have the authority to amend a report of the Board or report of any Board not responsible to the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

Councillor Blackburn expressed concern over the fact that Council could not amend a report that applied to the County and its administration.

Councillor Blackburn then asked if the various Boards in the Municipality were obligated to give information to Council.

The Solicitor, in reply to Councillor Blackburn's question, said that Council could ask information from the various Boards, but it did not have the authority to demand information.

Councillor Baker then requested that a list of County teachers' salaries and benefits be published for the benefit of the public.

Councillor Williams suggested that if this required a motion that it include a list of janitors' salaries, he would make the motion. He said that as this was public information, it should be

published.

Councillors Baker and Williams moved:-

"THAT this Council request the Municipal School Board to provide this Council with the individual Teachers' salaries and the individual Janitors' salaries." Motion carried.

Councillors Blackburn and Baker moved:-

"THAT salaries of all Municipal employees, and all Board employees, be made available to Council once per year."

Councillor MacKenzie then questioned whether or not the information re Municipal employees was needed, as it was already brought forth in the Kellogg-Stevenson Report.

Councillor Baker said that this was Public information and should be made available.

Councillor White said that Councillor Blackburn was just asking, in his motion, that this information be made available to Council each year.

The motion re salaries of Municipal employees, was put and carried.

The Municipal Clerk reviewed the Temporary Borrowing Resolution arising from the Report of the Municipal School Board.

Councillors Hanrahan and White moved:-

"THAT Council approve a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$754,000., for school purposes." Motion carried.

Councillor Stubbs then asked if Council could be brought up to date on the matter of teachers' resignations in the County.

The Warden said that there was nothing on the agenda to cover this matter.

The Municipal Clerk stated that there were no letters or communications from the Teachers' Union concerning the matter of County teachers resigning.

Councillor Blackburn said that the County had spent a tremendous amount of money on schools and particularly high schools and was not making full use of the high schools. He said that a program of night studies should be instituted for adults, and children forced to finish school at an early age. He said that full support should come from the Chief Administrative Officer and the Provincial Government on the matter.

Councillor Hanrahan said that he considered Councillor Blackburn "out-of-order" as Council was discussing teachers' resignations.

The Warden, in correcting Councillor Hanrahan, said that Councillor Blackburn was not "out-of-order" and that the matter brought forth by Councillor Blackburn pertained to County schools and teachers and therefore was open for discussion.

Councillor Stubbs said that Council could not sit by and have empty classrooms in September, and added that there were "6 areas with a red flag up."

The Warden said that the Provincial Government showed no signs of raising the Foundation scale of teachers' salaries in the County and he assumed that since the Provincial Government did not plan to take immediate action, that the matter would go unchanged.

Councillor Stubbs said that in 50 years time the big issue will not be whether the County Council took remedial action but rather will Halifax County children have sufficient education.

In reply to a question by Councillor Blackburn, Mr. Marriott said that presently there are 414 Halifax County teachers with resignations in, at the School Board office.

Councillor Blackburn asked Mr. Marriott if his office had received any applications.

Mr. Marriott said that there were some applications in from

teachers at the elementary level, but that no applications had been received from teachers at the high school level. He said that to date, Halifax West Municipal High School had 1 teacher and no principal.

Councillor Baker commended the Chairman of the Finance and Executive Committee for his press release following the resignation of County teachers.

Councillor Williams asked if he could have information about Halifax County Vocational High School. He wondered why certain pupils were deprived of the opportunity of going to the school. He said that there are certain pupils at the Grade 8 level who are neither high school nor university material, but do have aptitudes for certain vocations, and these pupils, he said, are not permitted to enter Vocational High School. He said that a large percentage of these pupils live in Halifax County.

The Municipal Clerk said that out of 841 applications received by the school last year, that 783 were tested and 547 of these were accepted and put through aptitude tests. He added that before amalgamation 43.4% of the student enrolment represented Halifax County, and that after amalgamation it was 35.4%.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Public Works Committee.

Councillors Hanrahan and Spears moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Public Works Committee, be adopted."

Councillor Blackburn in directing a question to the Chairman of the Public Works Committee, asked if anything further had been done on the proposal for water and sewer installation in the Bedford-Sackville area.

Councillor Hanrahan said that he was not aware of any new developments re proposed water or sewer installation for the Bedford-Sackville area.

Councillor Blackburn said that he had heard from good authority that in certain areas in Halifax County, wells were dug on a co-operative basis at an expense to the Municipality.

The Municipal Clerk replied that in many cases a subdivider may supply water to houses in a subdivision from a central water supply, but that the Municipality does not finance the digging of such wells.

The motion to adopt the Report of the Public Works Committee was put and carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Finance and Executive Committee.

Councillors Archibald and Deputy Warden Burris moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Finance and Executive Committee, be adopted."

Regarding the agreement between the City of Dartmouth and the County, Councillor Blackburn said that he could not understand how some changes came about in the agreement.

The Municipal Solicitor said that these changes came about as a result of the lien law surplus, which was a difference between 25% and 33%.

Councillor Blackburn then asked the Solicitor if he were satisfied with the agreement.

The Solicitor replied that he was not satisfied with the agreement entirely, because of the fact that certain items in the agreement did not tally. He said that the County has the right to arbitrate once a notice of agreement is served on Dartmouth, but added that the arbitrator must be appointed before the 15-day period is up, after the signing of the agreement.

Councillor Archibald asked if negotiations broke down, would a special session of Council have to be called. The Solicitor replied no.

Councillor Blackburn asked how much money this involved.

The Solicitor said not more than \$2,000.

In reply to a question by Councillor Spears, the Municipal Clerk replied that the figures shown for welfare, were actual district expenditures and that the amount of recovery was not shown.

The motion to adopt the Report of the Finance and Executive Committee was put and carried.

Councillor Williams asked about the license fee for magazine salesmen.

The Municipal Clerk replied that the fee for all salesmen was \$50 for non-resident salesmen, and \$10 for resident salesmen.

In reply to a second question by Councillor Williams, the Municipal Clerk said that the license fees were the same for peddlars. He added that there was no license fee for salesmen selling their own produce, such as fish, farm, etc., but that there was a license fee of \$10 for salesmen selling produce of others.

Councillors Archibald and Turner moved:-

"THAT the Warden, Clerk and Solicitor be authorized to negotiate with the City of Dartmouth re final agreement with the City."
Motion carried.

Councillors Henley and Williams moved:-

"THAT (Issuing Resolution - \$250,000-School-Spryfield)

WHEREAS the Municipal Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax is authorized by law to borrow or raise by way of loan by the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality a sum not exceeding One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) for the purpose of erecting, acquiring, purchasing, altering, adding to, improving, furnishing or equipping buildings for a school, garage or other buildings for school purposes and acquiring or purchasing or improving land for such buildings;

AND WHEREAS pursuant to the provisions of Section 148(1) of the Municipal Act and of a resolution passed by the Municipal Council on the 12th day of April A.D. 1960, it did, with the approval of the Minister of Municipal Affairs, borrow from the Royal Bank of Canada at Halifax a sum not exceeding One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) for the purpose aforesaid;

AND WHEREAS such sum was borrowed from the said Bank for a period not exceeding 12 months with interest at the rate of 5 3/4 per centum per annum, and it is now deemed necessary to issue and sell debentures and to repay the said Bank the sum so borrowed;

AND WHEREAS by Section 6 of Chapter 186 of the Revised Statutes of Nova Scotia, 1954, the Municipal Affairs Act, it is enacted among other things, in effect, that subject to the provisions of Section 8 of said Act every Municipality of a county or district shall have full power and authority to borrow or raise by way of loan from time to time on the credit of the Municipality, such sum or sums as the Council thereof deems necessary for the purpose of erecting, purchasing, altering, adding to, improving, furnishing or equipping buildings for schools, garages and other buildings for school purposes and acquiring or purchasing or improving land for such buildings;

AND WHEREAS by Section 8 of said the Municipal Affairs Act it is enacted among other things, in effect, that no money shall be so borrowed until such proposed borrowing has been approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs;

AND WHEREAS by said the Municipal Affairs Act the said sum shall in the discretion of the Municipal Council be borrowed or raised in one sum at one time or in instalments at different times and the sum required shall be borrowed or raised by the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality to such an amount as the

Council thereof deems necessary to raise such sums;

AND WHEREAS it is deemed necessary by the Municipal Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax to borrow a sum not exceeding One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000) for the purpose of constructing, furnishing or equipping a school at Spryfield in addition to the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000) previously authorized to be borrowed for the purpose aforesaid pursuant to the said resolution passed by the said Council on the 12th day of April A.D. 1960;

AND WHEREAS the said Council deems that the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality to an amount not exceeding Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) as hereinafter mentioned will be necessary to raise the sums required;

AND WHEREAS it is provided by Section 7 of said the Municipal Affairs Act that the Council of every Municipality of a county or a district is empowered to authorize such committee as the council may determine, on behalf of the municipality to change the rate of interest from that set out in the resolution of the council which provided for the issue of debentures, to such other rate as the committee may determine;

AND WHEREAS it is further provided that a resolution of the committee under this section must be passed before the debentures are sold and shall not be effective unless a true copy thereof certified by the clerk of the municipality as having been duly passed unanimously, or certified by members purporting to be all the members of the committee, has been filed with the Minister and the Minister has approved thereof;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that under and by virtue of said the Municipal Affairs Act the said Municipality does, subject to the approval of the Minister of Municipal Affairs, borrow or raise by

way of loan on the credit of the Municipality the said sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) for the purpose aforesaid;

THAT under and in accordance with said the Municipal Affairs Act the said sum be borrowed or raised by the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality to an amount not exceeding Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000);

THAT 250 debentures of the said Municipality for One Thousand Dollars each be accordingly issued and sold;

THAT the said debentures be numbered consecutively 61-B-001 to 61-B-250 inclusive, be dated the 1st day of May A.D., 1961, and be payable as follows:

Debenture Numbers:

61-B-001 to 61-B-012 incl. maturing in one year from date thereof;
61-B-013 to 61-B-024 incl. maturing in two years from date thereof;
61-B-025 to 61-B-036 incl. maturing in three years from date thereof;
61-B-037 to 61-B-048 incl. maturing in four years from date thereof;
61-B-049 to 61-B-060 incl. maturing in five years from date thereof;
61-B-061 to 61-B-072 incl. maturing in six years from date thereof;
61-B-073 to 61-B-084 incl. maturing in seven years from date thereof;
61-B-085 to 61-B-096 incl. maturing in eight years from date thereof;
61-B-097 to 61-B-108 incl. maturing in nine years from date thereof;
61-B-109 to 61-B-120 incl. maturing in ten years from date thereof;
61-B-121 to 61-B-133 incl. maturing in eleven years from date thereof;
61-B-134 to 61-B-146 incl. maturing in twelve years from date thereof;
61-B-147 to 61-B-159 incl. maturing in thirteen years from date thereof;
61-B-160 to 61-B-172 incl. maturing in fourteen years from date thereof;
61-B-173 to 61-B-185 incl. maturing in fifteen years from date thereof;
61-B-186 to 61-B-198 incl. maturing in sixteen years from date thereof;
61-B-199 to 61-B-211 incl. maturing in seventeen years from date thereof;
61-B-212 to 61-B-224 incl. maturing in eighteen years from date thereof;
61-B-225 to 61-B-237 incl. maturing in nineteen years from date thereof;
61-B-238 to 61-B-250 incl. maturing in twenty years from date thereof;

THAT the said debentures be payable at any office of the Royal Bank of Canada in Nova Scotia and at the principal office of the said

Bank in Saint John, Charlottetown, Montreal or Toronto at the option of the holder, and debentures numbered 61-B-001 to 61-B-120 inclusive shall bear interest at the rate of 5 1/2 per centum per annum, and debentures numbered 61-B-121 to 61-B-250 inclusive shall bear interest at the rate of 6 per centum per annum, payable semi-annually at any said office at the option of the holder;

THAT the Finance Committee of the Municipality be hereby authorized on behalf of the Municipality to change the rate of interest from the rate set out in this resolution;

THAT a resolution of this Committee changing the interest rate must be passed before the debentures are sold and shall not be effective unless a true copy thereof certified by the clerk of the municipality as having been duly passed unanimously, or certified by members purporting to be all the members of the Committee, has been filed with the Minister and the Minister has approved thereof;

THAT the Warden of the said Municipality do sign the said debentures or have them impressed with a printed facsimile of his signature and the Clerk thereof do countersign the said debentures, that they do seal the same with the corporate seal of the said Municipality, and that the said Clerk do sign the interest coupons or if the same are lithographed, either sign the same or have them impressed with a facsimile of his signature;

THAT the Warden and Clerk of the said Municipality do sell and deliver the said debentures at such price, to such person and in such manner as they shall deem proper;

THAT the proceeds of the debentures when sold be used so far as necessary to repay any sum so borrowed as aforesaid from the said Bank.

Motion carried.

Councillors Archibald and Spears moved:-

"THAT (Issuing Resolution - \$500,000-Sewers-Fairview-Armdale)

WHEREAS the Municipal Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax is authorized by law to borrow or raise by way of loan by the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality a sum not exceeding Five Hundred and Ninety Thousand Dollars (590,000) for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, altering, extending or improving the Fairview High Pressure Area and the Armdale-Fairview Main Trunk Sewer from Springvale Avenue to the Underpass at Fairview in the Municipality of the County of Halifax and acquiring or purchasing materials, machinery, implements or plant deemed requisite or advisable therefor, and acquiring or purchasing or leasing land for such Municipal purposes;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Council of the Municipality of the County of Halifax is authorized by law to borrow or raise by way of loan by the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality a sum not exceeding Three Hundred and Five Thousand Dollars (\$305,000) for the purpose of constructing, acquiring, altering, extending or improving public sewers or drains in the Armdale Gravity Feed Area of the Municipality and acquiring or purchasing materials, machinery, implements or plant deemed requisite or advisable therefore;

AND WHEREAS pursuant to the provisions of Section 148(1) of the Municipal Act and of two resolutions passed by the Municipal Council, the first resolution dated the 2nd day of March A.D. 1960, and approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs on the 25th day of March A.D. 1960, and the second resolution dated the 30th day of October A.D. 1959 and approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs on the 8th day of December A.D. 1959, it did borrow from the Royal Bank of Canada at Halifax a sum not exceeding Eight Hundred and Ninety-Five Thousand Dollars (\$895,000) for the purpose aforesaid;

AND WHEREAS pursuant to a resolution passed by the Municipal Council on the 22nd day of April A.D. 1960 and approved by the Minister of Municipal Affairs on the 26th day of April A.D. 1960, the said Municipal Council borrowed by the issue and sale of debentures a sum of Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000) for the purpose set out in the first paragraph of this resolution leaving the sum of Three Hundred and Forty Thousand Dollars (\$340,000) still authorized to be borrowed by the issue and sale of debentures for the purpose aforesaid;

AND WHEREAS such sum was borrowed from the said Bank for a period not exceeding 12 months with interest at the rates of 5 3/4 and 4 1/4 per centum per annum respectively;

AND WHEREAS the said Council deems that the issue and sale of debentures of the Municipality to the amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) as hereinafter mentioned will be necessary to raise the sums required and that of the said amount of Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$500,000) the sum of One Hundred and Ninety-Six Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars (\$196,500) is to be applied to the purpose of constructing the Armdale-Fairview Main Trunk Sewer from Springvale Avenue to the Underpass at Fairview, and the sum of Three Hundred and Three Thousand, Five Hundred Dollars (\$303,500) is to be applied to the purpose of constructing sewers or drains in the Armdale Gravity Feed Area;

AND WHEREAS it is provided by Section 7 of said the Municipal Affairs Act that the Council of every Municipality of a county or a district is empowered to authorize such committee as the council may determine, on behalf of the municipality to change the rate of interest from that set out in the resolution of the council which provided for the issue of debentures, to such other rate as the committee may determine;

AND WHEREAS it is further provided that a resolution of the committee under this section must be passed before the debentures are sold and shall not be effective unless a true copy thereof certified by the clerk of the municipality as having been duly passed unanimously, or certified by members purporting to be all the members of the committee, has been filed with the Minister and the Minister has approved thereof;

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that 500 debentures of the said Municipality for One Thousand Dollars each be accordingly issued and sold;

THAT the said debentures be numbered consecutively 61-A-001 to 61-A-500 inclusive, be dated the 1st day of May A.D. 1961, and be payable as follows:-

Debenture Numbers:

61-A-001 to 61-A-025 incl. maturing in one year from date thereof;
61-A-026 to 61-A-050 incl. maturing in two years from date thereof;
61-A-051 to 61-A-075 incl. maturing in three years from date thereof;
61-A-076 to 61-A-100 incl. maturing in four years from date thereof;
61-A-101 to 61-A-125 incl. maturing in five years from date thereof;
61-A-126 to 61-A-150 incl. maturing in six years from date thereof;
61-A-151 to 61-A-175 incl. maturing in seven years from date thereof;
61-A-176 to 61-A-200 incl. maturing in eight years from date thereof;
61-A-201 to 61-A-225 incl. maturing in nine years from date thereof;
61-A-226 to 61-A-250 incl. maturing in ten years from date thereof;
61-A-251 to 61-A-275 incl. maturing in eleven years from date thereof;
61-A-276 to 61-A-300 incl. maturing in twelve years from date thereof;
61-A-301 to 61-A-325 incl. maturing in thirteen years from date thereof;
61-A-326 to 61-A-350 incl. maturing in fourteen years from date thereof;
61-A-351 to 61-A-375 incl. maturing in fifteen years from date thereof;
61-A-376 to 61-A-400 incl. maturing in sixteen years from date thereof;
61-A-401 to 61-A-425 incl. maturing in seventeen years from date thereof;
61-A-426 to 61-A-450 incl. maturing in eighteen years from date thereof;
61-A-451 to 61-A-475 incl. maturing in nineteen years from date thereof;
61-A-476 to 61-A-500 incl. maturing in twenty years from date thereof;

THAT the said debentures be payable at any office of the Royal Bank of Canada in Nova Scotia and at the principal office of the

said Bank in Saint, John, Charlottetown, Montreal or Toronto at the option of the holder, and that the debentures numbered 61-A-001 to 61-A-250 inclusive shall bear interest at the rate of 5 1/2 per centum per annum and that debentures numbered 61-A-251 to 61-A-500 inclusive shall bear interest at the rate of 6 per centum per annum payable semi-annually at any said office at the option of the holder;

THAT the Finance Committee of the Municipality be hereby authorized on behalf of the Municipality to change the rate of interest from the rate set out in this resolution;

THAT a resolution of this Committee changing the interest rate must be passed before the debentures are sold and shall not be effective unless a true copy thereof certified by the clerk of the municipality as having been duly passed unanimously, or certified by members purporting to be all the members of the Committee, has been filed with the Minister and the Minister has approved thereof;

THAT the Warden of the said Municipality do sign the said debentures or have them impressed with a printed facsimile of his signature and the Clerk thereof do countersign the said debentures, that they do seal the same with the corporate seal of the said Municipality, and that the said Clerk do sign the interest coupons or if the same are lithographed, either sign the same or have them impressed with a facsimile of his signature;

THAT the Warden and Clerk of the said Municipality do sell and deliver the said debentures at such price, to such person and in such manner as they shall deem proper;

THAT the proceeds of the debentures when sold be used so far as necessary to repay any sum so borrowed as aforesaid from the said Bank.

Motion carried.

Councillors Spears and Hanrahan moved:-

"THAT Council approve the renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$180,000 for the Armdale School." (Downs Ave.)
Motion carried.

Councillors Daye and Archibald moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$100,000 for the Bedford School."
Motion carried.

Councillors Blackburn and White moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$192,000 for the Rockingham 12-room School." Motion carried.

Councillors Spears and Grant moved:-

"THAT Council approve the renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$500,000 for the Spryfield High School." Motion carried.

Councillors MacKenzie and Williams moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$55,000 for Spry Harbour School." Motion carried.

Councillors Archibald and White moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$15,000 for stacking chairs."
Motion carried.

Councillors White and Grant moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$1,800 for the St. Margaret's Bay School Heating System." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and Spears moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$4,534 for Main Avenue Extension of Services." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and Henley moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$40,000 for School Avenue Extension of Services." Motion carried.

Councillors Hanrahan and Spears moved:-

"THAT Council approve a renewal of a temporary borrowing resolution in the amount of \$6,116.76 for Titus Avenue Extension of Services." Motion carried.

Councillors Stubbs and Settle moved:-

"THAT an .08 rate for Garbage Collection be levied in School Sections No. 109 on the Waverley Road and 144 in Dist. 14 providing that if no arrangement can be made for Garbage Collections that these funds accrue to the area." Motion carried.

Councillor Williams asked what right a district had to levy a rate for garbage collection.

The Municipal Clerk replied that a rate can be levied so long as garbage is collected in the whole of a district.

Councillors Baker and Spears moved:-

"THAT Mrs. Wilfred Morash be appointed Deputy Presiding Officer in District No. 10 replacing Mr. Wilfred Morash." Motion carried.

The Municipal Clerk read the Report of the Special Committee on Taxation.

Councillors Settle and Baker moved:-

"THAT the Report of the Special Committee on Taxation, be adopted." Motion carried.

In reply to a question by Councillor Blackburn, the Solicitor replied that under the By-Law a constable can be appointed for a specific duty, with any limitation that a Councillor wants to impose.

Councillor Spears asked about the Report, that was circulated to Councillors, on "Kill Condemnations".

Councillor Baker said that is was a report of food inspectors at the Nova Scotia Abattoir, on meat and meat produce that was condemned at the abattoir. He said that the report was circulated to Council so that when the matter comes before Council in May, that it would have some idea of the need for all Halifax County

meats to pass through the Abattoir for inspection.

Councillors Baker and Williams moved:-

"THAT Dr. Waldo Walsh, Deputy Minister of Agriculture, be invited to the May Session of Council." Motion carried.

Councillors Blackburn and Stubbs moved:-

"THAT Council rescind the motion to deal with the Assessment Appeals at Tomorrow's session, and deal with them now." Motion carried.

In reply to a question by Councillor Blackburn, the Solicitor said that Mr. Cooper did not in his submission suggest that the assessments be based on the figures of the Whynacht Submission, but that they be reduced by 25% due to a misunderstanding of Mr. Purcell's evidence.

Councillors Archibald and White moved:-

"THAT the Appeal of Allied Aviation Ltd., be dismissed and the assessment confirmed." Motion carried.

The Solicitor said that the same recommendation should stand for Aero Caterers, because they stated that \$25,000 worth of equipment, stored on the premises, was not yet in operation.

Councillors Baker and Daye moved:-

"THAT the Appeal of Aero Caterers Ltd., be dismissed and the assessment confirmed." Motion carried.

The Solicitor said that Bowring Brothers did not contend in their submission that they were occupying too much space, and therefore the same recommendation would stand for them.

Councillors Hanrahan and Henley moved:-

"THAT the Appeal of Bowring Bros. Ltd., be dismissed and the assessment confirmed." Motion carried.

The Solicitor said that his recommendation for Edwards' Fine Foods was based more on sympathy than a legal ruling. He said that Mr. Edwards had no choice but to bid on the space he now occupies,

or no space at all. He said that the dominant factor was that assessment should fall equally throughout the Municipality. He added that there was no evidence that the assessment was applied unequally on Edwards' Fine Foods at the airport.

Councillor Williams said that Edwards' was the same as anyone operating a store. He added that they could not accept anything different than the assessment imposed.

Councillor Blackburn said that he did not agree with Councillor Williams. He added that they should not have been assessed on a storage basis, and that Council was wrong to adopt such an adamant attitude. He said that if the assessment were confirmed and Edwards' forced to leave the airport, then some Montreal firm would end up in his place and the County would loose more assessment.

Councillor Blackburn said that he would move an amendment to the original motion that Council reduce Edwards' Fine Foods' assessment by 25%.

The Solicitor said that the amendment was not in order and that Council must defeat or adopt the present motion.

Councillor Archibald said that it would be a reversal of policy if the motion were defeated.

The Solicitor said that Council had the right to uphold or increase the assessment as it saw fit. He added that there were 10 or 12 other lessees that did not appeal.

Councillor Williams said that if they had more space than was required, then they should have considered it before they made a bid on the space. He suggested that they should go back to the Department of Transport and state that they do not require all of the space.

Councillor Blackburn said that Council should not "crucify a man who is from the Maritimes".

Councillor Blackburn asked if the other lessees occupying space at the airport, were paying on the same basis as Edwards' Fine Foods.

Councillor Stubbs said that the "auction sale price", represented the whole building and not each unit.

Councillor Turner asked if the County could give a tax concession.

The Warden replied that the County could not give a tax concession without legislation.

Councillor Flawn said that if the firm only occupied 1/5 of the space and the rest went back to the Department of Transport, then the County would get a grant in lieu of taxes.

Deputy Warden Burris said that while he thought Council was sympathetic with Mr. Edwards, he felt that Mr. Edwards should have bid on the space "with his eyes open". He said if Council were to reduce Mr. Edward's assessment, it would be setting a precedent and therefore would have to do the same for the other lessees.

The motion to dismiss and confirm Edwards' Fine Foods' assessment was put to a recorded vote and carried by (13) FOR; (6) AGAINST.

Councillor Stubbs asked if the County were taxing other property in developments such as Shearwater, Shannon Park, etc.

The Municipal Clerk replied yes, but added that service canteens are not assessed.

Councillor Baker asked for a ruling regarding indigent patients. He said that he was talking to Mr. Rooney, who felt that the payment of such patients, whose families are not able to pay, should be referred to Council.

The Municipal Clerk said that although this was not a welfare

charge it should be handled by the Welfare Department. He said that the Finance and Executive Committee agreed on that general principle.

Councillor Williams asked if, under the former system, the overseers of the poor had the authority to pay a doctor's bill.

The Clerk replied that he did not know of very many cases where the fee was over \$5. He said that to all intents and purposes, doctor bills were out. He said that the district should pay the taxi fare, and the Municipality pay for the Medical Certificate.

Councillor Archibald said that he would move that in such cases the Director be authorized to pay any two doctors the sum of \$5 each in the case of a family not able to pay.

Councillor Williams said that he would move an amendment whereby the Municipality would pay the transportation charges of anyone not financially able to pay them.

The Clerk replied that the Municipality had no authority to pay such charges under the Municipal Act.

Councillor Williams asked the Solicitor to find out if such expenditures could be paid out of Municipal funds, and report to the May Session of Council. The Solicitor agreed.

Councillors Archibald and White moved:-

"THAT in the case of mental patients, the Director of Welfare be authorized to pay the medical officers for the Certificate of Insanity at the rate of \$5 each, when the family cannot pay, but any other charges should be the responsibility of the family concerned or the district concerned."

Councillors Baker and Williams moved an amendment to the motion:

"THAT the amount to be paid in connection with committment of mental patients be left to the discretion of the Director of Welfare, but not to exceed \$20."

The Amendment was put and was lost by a vote of (2) FOR; (12)

AGAINST. The Motion was put and carried.

Councillors Snair and Baker moved:-

"THAT Council defer the approval of the minutes of the Day's Session." Motion carried.

Councillors Spears and Stubbs moved:-

"THAT the April Session of Council adjourn." Motion carried.

The April Session adjourned at 11:35 with the singing of "God Save The Queen", led by Councillor Flawn.

R E P O R T S

of the

THIRD YEAR MEETINGS

of the

Thirty-Third Council

of the

MUNICIPALITY

of the

COUNTY OF HALIFAX

April Session - 1961

April 11

April Council Session - 1961

REPORT OF THE FINANCE AND EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

REFUND - POLL TAX -

Mr. John Beverley Robinson has presented his Birth Certificate, which indicated that he was born March 14, 1939. The Assessment Act states that in order to be liable for a Poll Tax in any year a person must be the full age of 21 years on January 1st of the year in which the Poll Tax is rendered. Mr. Robinson paid his Poll Tax for the year 1960 in the amount of \$15.00 but as he was under age in accordance with the Assessment Act, is requesting a refund of this amount. Your Committee recommends that he be refunded the sum of \$15.00, being the amount of Poll Tax paid in the year 1960.

RELIEF FROM PAYMENT OF TAXES -

Your Committee has had an affidavit from Clarence Curtis Dunn of Lower Sackville, declaring that he is unable to pay rates or taxes for the current year and after full investigation of this matter your Committee recommends that he be relieved from the payment of the current year's taxes which would amount to a Poll Tax of \$15.00 for the year 1961.

FINAL AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY OF DARTMOUTH REGARDING AMALGAMATION -

Council is aware that an agreement has been reached between the Municipality and the City of Dartmouth with respect to the following items:-

- (1) Agreement regarding outstanding taxes has now been executed by officials of both municipal bodies and cheque has been forwarded to the Municipality of the County of Halifax in payment for the taxes assumed.
- (2) Outstanding pavement charges, assumed by the City of Dartmouth, have now been paid to the Municipality of the County of Halifax.

April Council Session - 1961

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee Continued

- (3) Capital Debt Charges for the months of January, February, March and April, 1961, have been paid to the Municipality.
- (4) Temporary Debt Charges (Bank Borrowings) relating to assets in the amalgamated area will be assumed by the City of Dartmouth.

At recent meetings with the City of Dartmouth the following matters have been agreed to between the Municipality and the City:-

<u>Area Rates -</u>	<u>Dr.</u>	<u>Cr.</u>
(a) Commissioners for Fire and Street Lighting - Woodside.....		\$ 2,296.04
Debt Charge Account.....		<u>1,580.98</u>
(Holdback on Building \$2,296.00)		3,877.02
(b) Tufts Cove Service Commission.....		11,661.38
(c) Municipal District 14D - Service Commission.....		--
(d) Local Sewer Levies.....		5,470.25
(e) District 14D Street Lighting.....		--
(f) District 14 & 28 Fire Protection Rate.....		--
(g) District 14 Funds.....		198.02
District 14 Funds - Accounts Payable - Marsh.....	650.00	
- Casavechia.....	1,404.50	
(h) District School Levies		809.73
	<u>\$ 2,054.50</u>	<u>\$ 22,016.40</u>

The accounts payable to Marsh in the amount of \$650.00 and Casavechia in the amount of \$1,404.50 have been accepted by the City.

Other items as listed by the Municipality and agreed to by the City of Dartmouth are as follows:-

(a) Surveys - Canadian-British - Woodside.....	\$ 467.24
Canadian-British - water and sewer - Port Wallis.....	760.73

accepted as soon as these surveys are made available to the City.

April Council Session - 1961

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee Continued

- (b) School Board accounts - topping up oil tanks - \$1,282.80
These accounts are now in the possession of the City of Dartmouth and will be paid directly to the companies concerned.
- (c) Addressograph plates in the possession of the City of Dartmouth - \$275.31.
- (d) Coupon Negotiation Charges and Expenses. The City agrees to pay to the Municipality an amount equal to three-quarters of one percent of the principal maturing and interest paid in each year with respect to assets in area annexed.
- (e) Engineering Accounting 1961 re Utility - \$1,425.00.
- (f) Teachers' Salaries - there was some question in the minds of the representatives of the City of Dartmouth regarding the City's obligation in this matter. However, from information supplied at our previous meeting on March 31st, it would indicate that the City is entitled to share in the 1960 surplus of the Municipality of the County of Halifax in an amount of \$18,377.79. The City would agree to have the Municipality pay the amount of \$17,898.87 to the school teachers in the amalgamated area and to have this amount deducted from their portion of the surplus. This arises because of the difference of amount paid to these teachers and amount they would have received if paid 74/190 of their salaries for the period - September to December 31, 1960.
- (g) The City feels that it should share in the surplus of the Municipal School Board for the year 1960. This would be 31.5451327% or an amount of \$5,249.82.
- (h) The City has agreed to make no claim against Reserves for uncollectable taxes.

Accordingly, your Committee proposes to introduce separately from this report a resolution, authorizing the Warden and Clerk

April Council Session - 1961

Report of the Finance and Executive Committee Continued

to enter into an agreement with the City of Dartmouth, setting forth the items as finally agreed upon as set forth above.

BOND ISSUE -

Council will recall that it was at the April Session last year that the Municipality sold a \$4,000,000 Bond Issue, which brought the Municipality pretty well up to date with Bank obligations at that time for capital expenditures that had been finalized. Your Committee has been talking in terms of a large Issue again this spring, but where there have been a considerable number of Municipal Bond Issues coming on the market (practically every week) and with the other demands for money by the Provincial Government and many Industrial Issues, your Committee recommends calling for tenders for a Bond Issue of \$750,000 - \$500,000 for Sewer and \$250,000 for Schools - which will clear up most of the sewer laterals on this side of the Harbour and will reduce our present overdraft for school purposes. Your Committee has already received a date for the fall and intends to keep a close watch on the Bond Market during the coming months so that in all probability we will be issuing another smaller Issue some time next fall rather than go to the market for a larger Issue at this time.

Your Committee proposes to introduce, separate from this report, a resolution with respect to the issuing of \$750,000 in debentures.

We attach to this report the Revenue and Expenditures of the Municipality to March 31, 1961; Expenditures of the Welfare Department for the months of January, February and March, 1961; together with Expenditure Statements for the Halifax County Hospital and the Ocean View Municipal Home to March 31, 1961.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

REVENUE & EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

FOR THE THREE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961.

REVENUE

Revenue Board of Patients	\$ 130,188.93	
Income on Investments	525.62	
Revenue Board of Staff	1,112.00	
Miscellaneous Revenue	38.04	
Farm Revenue	3,217.79	
T. B. Revenue	460.00	
	<hr/>	\$ 135,542.38
<u>DEFICIT</u> for the three months ended March 31, 1961		\$ 8,341.69
		<hr/>
		\$ 143,884.07
		<hr/> <hr/>

EXPENDITURE

GENERAL EXPENSE:

Including Interest on Bonds, Fuel,
Light, Salaries, etc \$ 98,780.15

FARM:

Truck, Tractor, Bulldozer,
Salaries, etc 7,920.56

SUPPLIES

Groceries, Fruit, Vegetables,
Meat, Fish, Milk, Clothing... 37,183.36

\$ 143,884.07

April Council Session - 1961

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

DETAIL OF GENERAL EXPENSES

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961

General Expense.....	\$ 2,252.18
General Expense - Patients' Salaries.....	66.00
- Furniture Replacement.....	287.78
General Maintenance.....	274.55
Administration.....	101.21
Bedding.....	1,460.01
Car Expense.....	75.36
Cleaning Material.....	545.29
Fuel.....	2,787.27
Committee.....	699.74
Dishes.....	118.31
Electric Bulbs.....	346.15
Electric Light.....	1,380.75
Electric Power.....	822.60
Hardware.....	96.06
Hospital Expense.....	469.24
Insurance.....	320.44
Interest on Bonds.....	4,186.25
Maintenance - Plumbing.....	654.44
- Electrical.....	1,356.49
- Heating.....	1,931.65
- Kitchen.....	552.66
- Laundry.....	193.88
Mops and Brooms.....	98.65
Medical Expense re Patients.....	41.50
Paint.....	758.60
Radio Repair.....	54.87
Salaries.....	76,597.78
Telephone.....	255.88
Transportation.....	(144.05)
Uniforms.....	20.25
X-Ray.....	64.36
Dental Lab. Expense.....	54.00
	<hr/>
	\$ 98,780.15
	<hr/> <hr/>

April Council Session - 1961

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

HALIFAX COUNTY HOSPITAL

DETAIL OF FARM EXPENSES

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961

Pigs.....	\$ 536.00
General Expense.....	1,407.60
Electric Light.....	162.46
Straw and Shavings.....	390.60
Feed - Cattle.....	528.43
- Poultry.....	868.05
- Hogs.....	101.50
Fertilizer.....	3.25
Salaries.....	3,281.64
Truck Expense.....	198.48
Truck Gas.....	77.80
Farm Machinery.....	160.61
Tractor and Dozer Expense.....	70.13
Tractor Gas.....	134.01
	<u>\$ 7,920.56</u>

DETAIL OF SUPPLY

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961

Drugs.....	\$ 1,567.49
Groceries.....	15,546.56
Fruit and Vegetables.....	1,094.28
Meat.....	6,974.03
Fish.....	1,819.88
Flour.....	308.50
Butter and Margarine.....	648.00
Milk.....	4,620.08
Tea and Coffee.....	359.37
Tobacco.....	1,311.80
Boots.....	559.38
Clothing.....	2,373.99
	<u>\$ 37,183.36</u>

REPORT OF THE MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD OF HALIFAX COUNTYMARCH 1961

To His Honour, the Warden, and Members of the Council of the
Municipality of the County of Halifax.

In accordance with the request of Council, the Municipal School Board has reassessed the Capital requests submitted at the February session of Council. Much thought was given to this recommended program and a special meeting of the School Board was held at which time each item was considered separately. It is the opinion of the Board that very few changes are possible and the following recommendations are submitted for your consideration:

JOLLIMORE It is recommended that the four-room school recommended for Jollimore in December 1959 be changed to a six-room school with the necessary facilities to add four more rooms at a future date. This school should have a principal's office, an all-purpose room, a teachers' room and a suitable library. As a result of a petition read at the February session of Council, the Board met with the trustees of Jollimore and it was mutually agreed that this school should be built on a site selected by the Capital Building Committee.

WAVERLEY It is recommended that a six-room school be built near but not connected to the present school. The Waverley school now has two classes on part time and one class in a temporary basement classroom. Besides the normal increase in the section, the Board plans to take pupils from Montague Mines and the Dartmouth Road to Waverley. These pupils are at present attending the Michael Wallis School in Dartmouth. The new school should have an all-purpose room, a teachers' room, a principal's office and a suitable library.

TIMBERLEA It is recommended that the Glengarry School at

-2-

Timberlea be increased from four to eight rooms.

We are now using the crush area as a classroom and every indication is that this school will have to accommodate seven classes next term.

LAKESIDE

It is recommended that the extra room in the basement of the new school be finished as a classroom.

WESTPHAL

It is recommended that an eight-room school with provisions for adding four more rooms at a future date, be built on the #7 highway outside the new boundary line of the City of Dartmouth. There are approximately 180 children, including the grades VII and VIII from the Preston sections in this area. It is the intention of the Board to teach grades Primary to VIII in this school. In addition to the Academic rooms this school should have an all-purpose room, a principal's office, a teachers' room and a suitable library. One of the rooms should have a demonstration table for the teaching of science. If this school is not completed by September, tuition for these pupils must be paid to the City of Dartmouth.

EASTERN PASSAGE

It is recommended that two classrooms be added to the Tallahassee School at Eastern Passage,

LUCASVILLE

It is recommended that one classroom be added to the Lucasville School.

HUBBARDS

It is recommended that two classrooms be added to the Hubbards school. At the present time, this school has 132 pupils in grades primary to VIII inclusive. Next year the trustees estimate that there will be 150 pupils. In addition, there are four pupils from the Municipality of Chester. In grades IX, X and XI there is a total of 70 pupils from Halifax County and 26 from the Municipality of Chester.

At the present time there are six classrooms in Hubbards. Five will be needed for the elementary

-3-

grades and it is suggested that grade XII be taught in this school under three, rather than the present two, teachers.

COW BAY
EASTERN PASSAGE

The School Board has been approached by the trustees of the Eastern Passage-Cow Bay school section and advised that Messrs. Allen and Charles Conrad have offered to transfer a parcel of land 100' x 25' adjacent to the Cow Bay school for school purposes. The Board recommends that the cost of surveying and deeding this land to the Municipality be borne by the Municipality.

ARMDALE

It is recommended that the sewage connections at the West Armdale School be connected to the Municipal sewer main which is now at the property line. It is further recommended that water and sewage connections be made at the Armdale Junior High School. These services are now being installed in front of the school.

EAST CHEZZETCOOK

It is recommended that a drilled well be provided at the East Chezzetcook School.

EAST PRESTON

The water supply at the East Preston School is not adequate now that extra rooms have been added. It is therefore recommended that a drilled well be provided for this school. It is further recommended that one room in the basement be completed for a classroom and one room be completed for a Home Economics room.

INDOOR SANITATION

It is recommended that indoor sanitation be provided for the following schools:

Dutch Settlement (2 rooms) Mushaboom (2 rooms)
Head Jeddore (2 rooms) Tangier El. (2 rooms)

WEST CHEZZETCOOK

It is recommended that an additional water supply be provided for the West Chezzetcook School.

RURAL HIGH
M. MUSQUODOBOIT

On the advice of the Fire Marshall, it is recommended that a complete fire sprinkler system and water supply for same be provided at the

-4-

Musquodoboit Rural High School. This school is a completely wooden structure without fire protection or service for many miles away and a sprinkler system appears to be an absolute necessity.

FURNITURE

In order to accommodate the increased enrollment anticipated for next term the following school furniture, in addition to that for new schools is requested:

Pupils desks (left-handed) 150 (40 large, 60 Medium, 50 small)

Teachers' Desks	6
Teachers' Chairs	6
Project Tables	7
Kindergarten Tables	1
Kindergarten Chairs	10

(These desks should be delivered by September 1, 1961).

STACKING CHAIRS

It is recommended that 1350 stacking chairs be purchased during the year 1961. These chairs to be distributed as follows:

C. Consolidated	25	Lakeview Con.	50
Tallahassee	50	Armdale El.	100
Spryfield (High)	500	Rockingham	100
S. Spryfield (new)	100	M. Beaver Bank	75
Bedford (new)	75	Owl's Head	50
Oyster Pond (new)	50	Spry Harbour	50
Waverley (new)	75	Westphal	50
C. Bedford	50	Total	<u>1350</u>

ESTIMATED COST OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

\$753,945.00

Respectfully submitted,

MUNICIPAL SCHOOL BOARD

G. D. Burris
per E. M.
 G. D. Burris
 Chairman

APRIL 10, 1961

April Council Session - 1961

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

OCEAN VIEW MUNICIPAL HOME

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961

REVENUE

Board of Patients.....	\$ 14,462.00
Deficit for the three months ended March 31, 1961.....	<u>2,650.90</u>
	<u>\$ 17,112.90</u>

EXPENDITURE

General Expense - Including Interest on Bonds, Fuel, Light, Salaries and Maintenance.....	\$ 14,705.87
Supplies - Including Drugs, Groceries, Meat, Fish and Clothing.....	<u>2,407.03</u>
	<u>\$ 17,112.90</u>

April Council Session - 1961

MUNICIPALITY OF THE COUNTY OF HALIFAX

OCEAN VIEW MUNICIPAL HOME

DETAIL OF GENERAL EXPENSES

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 1961

General Expense.....	\$ 565.74
General Maintenance.....	88.00
Administration (Office Expense).....	33.98
Car Expense.....	120.00
Cleaning Material.....	73.90
Fuel.....	1,027.50
Committee.....	238.26
Electric Light.....	379.72
Electric Power.....	22.35
Hardware.....	29.20
Maintenance - Electrical.....	91.99
- Kitchen.....	61.20
- Laundry.....	560.08
Mops and Brooms.....	24.50
Salaries.....	11,267.22
Telephone.....	37.43
Uniforms.....	84.80
	<u>\$ 14,705.87</u>

SUPPLY EXPENSE

Drugs.....	\$ 605.29
Groceries.....	589.98
Fruit and Vegetables.....	176.65
Meat.....	647.50
Fish.....	60.60
Flour.....	21.59
Butter and Margarine.....	105.80
Milk.....	161.92
Tea and Coffee.....	27.00
Clothing.....	10.70
	<u>\$ 2,407.03</u>

April Council Session - 1961

REPORT OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

Your Committee has held preliminary meetings with Mr. B. D. Stevens of the Bridgeview Subdivision and representatives of the Clayton Park Development and Mount Saint Vincent and the Rockingham Ratepayers Association, with regard to a proposed trunk sewer, treatment plant and outfall to serve part of the Rockingham area. While no definite agreement has been reached, there is no doubt in the minds of your Committee that they will be recommending this installation to Council this year, with the cost to be paid by the area that may be serviced by this installation. Your Committee is now preparing to submit this proposal to the Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation, whereby two-thirds of the cost will be borrowed under recent Federal Legislation.

As it takes considerable time to design and construct a treatment plant of this nature and so that there will be no delay because of this time factor, your Committee recommends that it be authorized to proceed with the design of this treatment plant. Your Committee plans to interview Consulting Engineers re treatment plants before this job is placed.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

REVENUE REPORT

MARCH 31, 1961

<u>NAME OF ACCOUNT</u>	<u>ACCOUNT NUMBER</u>	<u>REVENUE TO DATE</u>	<u>BUDGET AMOUNT</u>	<u>BALANCE TO BE COLLECTED</u>
L & PROPERTY TAX	300	907.43	3,039,774.05	3,038,866.62 CR 1
L TAX	302	4,728.00	90,000.00	85,272.00 CR 1
TIME TEL & TEL CO. LTD.	303		36,197.00	36,197.00 CR 1
SPECIAL CHARGES-STREET PAVING	304	8,061.91		8,061.91 * 1
SPECIAL CHARGES SPRINGVALE SEWER TAX	3,041	6,257.83		6,257.83 * 1
DRIVERS LICENSE ETC.	305	682.50	18,000.00	17,317.50 CR 1
FEES & FEES	306	884.48	3,500.00	2,615.52 CR 1
INTEREST ON DEPOSITS & BONDS	308	103.20		103.20 * 1
INTEREST ON SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS	309	1,471.87	6,000.00	4,528.13 CR 1
INTEREST ON TAX ARREARS	3,091	810.70		810.70 * 1
GOVERNMENT OF CANADA IN LIEU OF TAXES	310	4,444.55	19,000.00	14,555.45 CR 1
INCOME TAX, IN LIEU OF TAXES	313		154,000.00	154,000.00 CR 1
SPECIAL GRANT	314		17,500.00	17,500.00 CR 1
SPECIAL GRANT	3,141		200,000.00	200,000.00 CR 1
T. CHARGES ON SCHOOL DEBT.	315	51,554.00	246,275.99	194,721.99 CR 1
GRANT RE MENTAL ILL	3,161	16,581.56	73,941.60	57,360.04 CR 1
GRANT RE POOR RELIEF	3,162		59,334.00	59,334.00 CR 1
V. OF N.S.-REGIONAL LIBRARY	3,163	9,700.00	38,550.00	28,850.00 CR 1
GRANT RE MUN. HOMES	3,164		35,111.60	35,111.60 CR 1
V. OF N.S. RE WELFARE ADM.	3,165		14,360.00	14,360.00 CR 1
LANDS & FORESTS ACT.	317		1,300.00	1,300.00 CR 1
GRANT RE CIVIL DEFENCE	319		3,600.00	3,600.00 CR 1
MUNICIPALITY, CITY OF TOWN	320		2,932.27	2,932.27 CR 1
LIQUOR COMM. IN LIEU OF TAXES	330		695.91	695.91 CR 1
NTY HOSP. ADMIN.	335		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
GRANTS	336		10,500.00	10,500.00 CR 1
D TRANSFER TAX	337	10,420.27	58,000.00	47,579.73 CR 1
E OF BUILDING PERMITS	338	554.00	7,000.00	6,446.00 CR 1
IONAL LIBRARY-FEES & FINES	340	193.19		193.19 * 1
1 SINKING FUND INVESTMENT INT.	341	49.88		49.88 * 1
. HOSP. TAX REBATE	345	725.51	4,700.00	3,974.49 CR 1
DRY REVENUE	346	337.46	3,000.00	2,662.54 CR 1
. BROADCASTING CORP. IN LIEU OF TAXES	348		1,200.00	1,200.00 CR 1
M OLD HOSPITAL ACCOUNTS	356	3,954.92	25,000.00	21,045.08 CR 1
		<u>122,423.26</u>	<u>4,174,472.42</u>	<u>4,052,049.16 CR 1</u>

EXPENDITURE REPORT

MARCH 31, 1961

NAME OF ACCOUNT	ACCOUNT NUMBER	EXPENDITURES TO DATE	BUDGET	UNEXPENDED BALANCE
CITY COUNCIL	400	7,061.40	28,500.00	21,438.60 CR 1
CITY COUNCIL--SECRETARY	4,001	617.46	2,470.00	1,852.54 CR 1
--STATIONERY	4,002		100.00	100.00 CR 1
--POSTAGE	4,003			.00 CR 1
--OTHER EXPENSE	4,004		500.00	500.00 CR 1
PRINTING & PUBLIC RELATIONS	4,005	1,415.69	6,800.00	5,384.31 CR 1
PRINTERS & CLERKS CONTINGENCY FUND	4,006	96.89	750.00	653.11 CR 1
PRINTERS HONORARIUM	401	1,249.98	5,000.00	3,750.02 CR 1
COMMISSIONS;				
CITY & EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE	4,021	1,109.48		
CITY LIBRARY	4,022	174.24		
CITY PLANNING BOARD	4,023	1,156.72		
CITY WORKS	4,024	386.84		
CITY WARE COMMITTEE	4,025	372.96		
CITY WATER CAPITAL PROGRAM	4,026	1,079.56		
CITY WATER RATION COMMITTEE	4,028	30.00		
CITY BOARD OF HEALTH	4,029	125.92		
CITY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COURT HOUSE	4,031	24.32		
CITY DISTRIBUTION COMMITTEE	4,032	288.96		
CITY REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL COMMITTEE	4,033	20.00		
CITY CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL COMMITTEE	4,034	129.48		
CITY SOCIAL COMMITTEE RE TAXATION	4,035	132.20		
CITY ARRARIA & TRAVELLING EXPENSE	402		18,000.00	12,969.32 CR 1
CITY BUILDING INSPECTORS	4,059	7,828.68	31,655.00	23,826.32 CR 1
CITY CLERK & TREASURERS OFFICE	406	8,706.12	34,825.00	26,118.88 CR 1
CITY DIRECTORS OFFICE	4,061	7,253.53	27,800.00	20,546.47 CR 1
CITY PRINTING OFFICE	4,062	6,989.08	27,780.00	20,790.92 CR 1
CITY MEASUREMENTS OFFICE	4,063	13,359.80	49,330.00	35,970.20 CR 1
CITY PRINTING OFFICE	4,064	8,032.56	36,195.00	28,162.44 CR 1
CITY ARCHITECTS OFFICE	4,065	4,213.25	19,000.00	14,786.75 CR 1
CITY ARCHITECTORS FEES	4,066		4,000.00	4,000.00 CR 1
CITY ARCHITECTORS	4,067		2,400.00	2,400.00 CR 1
CITY UTILITIES, ENGINEERING DEPT.	4,068	8,809.50	24,365.00	15,555.50 CR 1
CITY WARE DEPARTMENT	4,069	6,061.50	24,302.00	18,240.50 CR 1
CITY STATIONERY, MUNICIPAL CLERK OFFICE	407	387.69	4,500.00	4,112.31 CR 1
CITY PRINTING	4,071	13.50	1,000.00	986.50 CR 1
CITY PHONE	4,072	1,316.46	5,400.00	4,083.54 CR 1
CITY CLERK OFFICE EXPENSE	4,073	1,258.21	4,000.00	2,741.79 CR 1
CITY TRAVEL EXPENSE	4,074		9,000.00	9,000.00 CR 1
CITY ADVERTISING	4,076		4,000.00	4,000.00 CR 1
CITY DIRECTORS OFFICE--STATIONERY	408		500.00	500.00 CR 1
CITY PRINTING	4,081		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
CITY CLERK OFFICE EXPENSE	4,083	42.21	150.00	107.79 CR 1
CITY COLLECTION EXPENSE	4,084	45.00	200.00	155.00 CR 1
CITY TABLES EXPENSE	4,085	464.95	1,500.00	1,035.05 CR 1
CITY COMMISSIONS RE BUS LICENSE	4,086	150.50	9,000.00	8,849.50 CR 1
CITY EXPENSE	4,087	2,667.65	9,000.00	6,332.35 CR 1
CITY TRAVEL	4,088	1,993.50	7,000.00	5,006.50 CR 1
CITY TRANSFER TAX EXPENSE	4,089	300.60	3,000.00	2,699.40 CR 1
CITY PRINTING OFFICE--STATIONERY	409	21.98	400.00	378.02 CR 1
CITY PRINTING	4,091		150.00	150.00 CR 1
CITY CLERK OFFICE EXPENSE	4,093	88.02	50.00	38.02 * 1
CITY WARE DEPARTMENT--STATIONERY	4,095		25.00	25.00 CR 1
CITY PRINTING	4,096		300.00	300.00 CR 1
CITY CLERK OFFICE EXPENSE	4,097	781.51	4,100.00	3,318.49 CR 1
CITY WARE DEPARTMENT--STATIONERY	410	27.53	100.00	72.47 CR 1
CITY PRINTING	4,101		500.00	500.00 CR 1
CITY CLERK OFFICE EXPENSE	4,103	273.16	6,500.00	6,226.84 CR 1

ANNING OFFICE-STATIONERY	411		100.00	100.00 CR 1
NTING	4,111	275.47	800.00	524.53 CR 1
SURVEY MAPPING	4,112		3,750.00	3,750.00 CR 1
ER OFFICE EXPENSE	4,113	750.05	5,000.00	4,249.95 CR 1
CELLANEOUS EXPENSE	4,114	3.00		3.00 * 1
INEERING OFFICE				
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	4,115	145.50		145.50 * 1
HITECTS OFFICE-STATIONERY	412		50.00	50.00 CR 1
NTING	4,121		100.00	100.00 CR 1
ER OFFICE EXPENSE	4,123	605.44	3,000.00	2,394.56 CR 1
OOLEXP. OTHER THAN CAPITAL	4,124	377.30	1,000.00	622.70 CR 1
MICIPAL OFFICE-JANITOR SALARY	413	647.46	2,690.00	2,042.54 CR 1
ITOR'S ASSISTANT	4,131	600.00	2,500.00	1,900.00 CR 1
ITOR'S SUPPLIES	4,132	200.88	1,500.00	1,299.12 CR 1
T	4,133	598.18	2,600.00	2,001.82 CR 1
HT	4,134	814.91	5,000.00	4,185.09 CR 1
ER	4,135	58.35	225.00	166.65 CR 1
AIRS AND MAINTENANCE	4,137	513.58	1,000.00	486.42 CR 1
IPMENT-NEW BUILDING	4,138	17.78		17.78 * 1
VICE CHARGES-MACHINES	4,139	552.76	2,000.00	1,447.24 CR 1
VENTIONS	416		3,300.00	3,300.00 CR 1
ON OF N.S. MUNICIPALITIES				
CONVENTIONS	4,161		1,290.00	1,290.00 CR 1
RD OF APPEAL	417	544.37	630.00	85.63 CR 1
N LAW EXPENSE	418	601.88		601.88 * 1
LDING BOARD COMMITTEE	419		300.00	300.00 CR 1
TON ARCHIBALD	420	750.00	3,000.00	2,250.00 CR 1
Y ARCHIBALD	4,201	225.00	900.00	675.00 CR 1
V. SMITH	4,202	450.00	1,800.00	1,350.00 CR 1
SIGN FUNDS CONTRIBUTIONS	421		12,000.00	12,000.00 CR 1
MPLOYMENT INSURANCE	422	296.88	2,700.00	2,403.12 CR 1
NTING DEBENTURES	425		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
ERS LISTS	426		200.00	200.00 CR 1
GIAL STUDIES OR SURVEYS	427	781.00	2,356.00	1,575.00 CR 1
ANCIAL COLLECTION AGENCY	4,312	210	25.00	22.90 CR 1
IONAL COLLECTION AGENCY	4,313	583.05	3,000.00	2,416.95 CR 1
ARIES COUNTY CONSTABLES	432	1,224.96	4,900.00	3,675.04 CR 1
ONERS	433	183.60	500.00	316.40 CR 1
UESTS	434	467.80	1,200.00	732.20 CR 1
RECTIONAL OR REFORMATORY INST.	435	88.22	10,000.00	9,911.78 CR 1
EP PROTECTION ACT	437	129.40	400.00	270.60 CR 1
ERINARY ASSISTANCE SUBSIDY	438	325.00	1,300.00	975.00 CR 1
" " "				
MUSQUODOBOIT	4,381	225.00	900.00	675.00 CR 1
SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION				
ILITY TO ANIMALS	4,382		100.00	100.00 CR 1
NTIES-RACOONS	439	72.00	400.00	328.00 CR 1
-FOXES	4,391	26.00	200.00	174.00 CR 1
-WILDCATS	4,392	168.00	400.00	232.00 CR 1
LDING INSPECTION	4,395	2,031.78	9,500.00	7,468.22 CR 1
ARIES-ENGINEERING DEPT.	440	382.76	5,000.00	4,617.24 CR 1
AKMEN'S COMPENSATION	443		200.00	200.00 CR 1
VITATION & WASTE REMOVAL	444	2,335.26		2,335.26 * 1
ICAL HEALTH OFFICERS	445	249.96	1,000.00	750.04 CR 1
STIFICATES OF INSANITY	4,452		200.00	200.00 CR 1
NT TO HFX. VISITING DISPENSARY	447		1,200.00	1,200.00 CR 1
VINCE OF N.S. HEAD TAX	4,487		64,049.00	64,049.00 CR 1
VEYANCE OF PATIENTS TO GEN. HOSP.	450	2.50		2.50 CR 1
HOSPITALS FOR MENTALLY ILL	451	11,806.93	137,652.00	125,845.07 CR 1
VEYANCE OF PATIENTS TO MENTAL				
HOSPITALS	453		50.00	50.00 CR 1
TO PERSONS IN NEED-RELIEF	454	26,576.77	89,000.00	62,423.23 CR 1
OF INDIGENTS-MUNICIPAL HOME	455	7,177.00	49,908.00	42,731.00 CR 1
LDREN'S AID SOCIETIES	457	1,343.06	8,500.00	7,156.94 CR 1
CTOR OF CHILD WELFARE	4,571	298.00	33,000.00	33,298.00 CR 1
TO HALIFAX UNITED APPEAL	458		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
ANT TO SALVATION ARMY	459		500.00	500.00 CR 1
ANT TO C.N.I.B.	460		500.00	500.00 CR 1
ANT N.S. HOME COLORED CHILDREN	4,601		200.00	200.00 CR 1
ADIAN PARAPLEGIC ASSOC.	4,602		500.00	500.00 CR 1
HN HOWARD SOCIETY	4,603		200.00	200.00 CR 1

ADIAN MENTAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION	4,604		1,000.00	1,000.00 CR 1
ACQUISITION OF MUN. SCHOOL BOARD	461	300,000.00	1,997,913.88	1,697,913.88 CR 1
ACQUISITION-1955 LIABILITIES	4,611	415.40	415.40	.00 * 1
ADAMSON COUNCIL SCHOLARSHIPS	462		1,250.00	1,250.00 CR 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF	463		6,750.00	6,750.00 CR 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL FOR THE BLIND	464		10,500.00	10,500.00 CR 1
ADAMSON HIGH SCHOOL	465		38,186.40	38,186.40 CR 1
ADAMSON MARKET-MUN. GRANT	468		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY SALARIES	4,681	6,262.13	31,955.00	25,692.87 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-BOOKS & PERIODICALS	4,682	3,686.59	32,045.00	28,358.41 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-BOOK MOBILE EXP.	4,683	229.99	2,600.00	2,370.01 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY EQUIPMENT	4,684	126.05	3,000.00	2,873.95 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-SUPP. & STATIONERY	4,685	539.36	2,700.00	2,160.64 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-TRAVELLING EXP.	4,686	78.44	1,000.00	921.56 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-BINDING	4,687	1.83	500.00	498.17 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-TELEPHONE	4,688	52.28	300.00	247.72 CR 1
ADAMSON LIBRARY-MISC.	4,689	253.47	4,000.00	3,746.53 CR 1
ADAMSON QUODBOIT EXHIBITION-GRANT	469		250.00	250.00 CR 1
ADAMSON FEDERATION OF AGRICULTURE	470		100.00	100.00 CR 1
ADAMSON WASHINGTON CARVER REC. CENTER	471		200.00	200.00 CR 1
ADAMSON FORD LIONS CLUB GRANT	4,711		200.00	200.00 CR 1
ADAMSON HERST STREET PAVING CAP. ACCT.	472		15,000.00	15,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON PLAN OF DART. DEBT. CHARGES PRINCIPAL	474	16,989.46		16,989.46 * 1
ADAMSON " " " " " INTEREST	4,741	9,421.00		9,421.00 * 1
ADAMSON REVIEW SEWER DEBT.	4,746		2,500.00	2,500.00 CR 1
ADAMSON TUFTS COVE & FAIRVIEW SEWER DEBENTURES	4,747		3,625.00	3,625.00 CR 1
ADAMSON REVIEW SEWER DEB. REDEEMED	4,752		5,000.00	5,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON REVIEW SEWER DEB. INTEREST	4,753		5,700.00	5,700.00 CR 1
ADAMSON REVIEW SEWER DEB. REDEEMED	4,754		12,500.00	12,500.00 CR 1
ADAMSON FAIRVIEW SEWER DEB.	4,755		14,159.38	14,159.38 CR 1
ADAMSON DIST. 12 DEB. (FIRE)	4,763	180.00		180.00 * 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL DEBENTURES	477	8,010.54	265,494.17	257,483.63 CR 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL DEB. INT.	4,771	3,995.25	266,793.55	262,798.30 CR 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL DEB. PRINCIPAL	4,772	18,510.00	127,812.65	109,302.65 CR 1
ADAMSON SCHOOL DEB. INT.	4,773	16,819.30	61,118.45	44,299.15 CR 1
ADAMSON MUN. BUILDING PRINCIPAL	4,774		30,000.00	30,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON MUN. BUILDING INTEREST	4,775		36,512.50	36,512.50 CR 1
ADAMSON STREET PAVING PRINCIPAL	4,786		12,586.53	12,586.53 CR 1
ADAMSON STREET PAVING INTEREST	4,787		6,875.39	6,875.39 CR 1
ADAMSON ON CAPITAL BORROWING, PENDING				
ADAMSON ASSUANCE OF DEB. SCHOOL PURPOSES	4,788		30,000.00	30,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON ON CAPITAL BORROWING	4,789		37,500.00	37,500.00 CR 1
ADAMSON NATIONAL SCHOOL ACT. PRINCIPAL	479		5,256.25	5,256.25 CR 1
ADAMSON NATIONAL SCHOOL ACT. INTEREST	4,791		3,980.75	3,980.75 CR 1
ADAMSON C. ON SALE OF DEBENTURES	4,794		60,000.00	60,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON BANK OVERDRAFT INT.	4,796	7,937.19	60,000.00	52,062.81 CR 1
ADAMSON CHANGE	4,797	75.76	250.00	174.24 CR 1
ADAMSON PQN NEGOTIATION CHARGES	4,798	381.32	2,200.00	1,818.68 CR 1
ADAMSON UNCOLLECTABLE TAXES	480		40,000.00	40,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON ELECTIONS	4,811		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON REVISION OF VOTERS LISTS	4,812		2,000.00	2,000.00 CR 1
ADAMSON TOOLS NOT SHARED BY PROVINCE	4,826			.00 CR 1
ADAMSON EQUIPMENT FOR OFFICES	4,827	336.00		336.00 * 1
ADAMSON MUNICIPAL PURPOSES	4,828		43,704.83	43,704.83 CR 1
ADAMSON MILITARY DEFENSE	4,882	522.35	4,000.00	3,477.65 CR 1
ADAMSON SERVICES-ENGINEERING DEPT.				
ADAMSON CASUAL LABOR	441	175.89		175.89 CR 1

555,520.59 4,196,857.13 3,641,336.54 CR 1

April Council Session - 1961

REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON TAXATION

To His Honor the Warden and Members of the Municipal Council.

Councillors:-

Your Special Committee on Taxation has had several meetings and have gone over in some detail the existing avenues of revenue that are open to Municipal Government and are in the process of examining what other avenues of taxation might be available to take some of the load off real estate. There are many aspects, many of them legal in their nature, that are now being worked on, and your Committee hopes that in the course of the next few months to bring in specific recommendations for the consideration of Council.

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed by the Committee)

WELFARE EXPENDITURES

FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIOD, JANUARY TO MARCH, 1961

<u>District</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Total</u>
7	\$ 242.50	\$ 189.08	\$ 180.62	\$ 612.20
8	527.49	470.09	431.23	1,428.81
9	225.34	465.20	439.79	1,130.33
10	1,356.50	860.16	949.50	3,166.16
11	887.00	467.58	526.58	1,881.16
12	970.91	1,222.79	1,417.98	3,611.68
13	364.50	233.58	257.69	855.77
14	--	44.00	127.00	171.00
15	168.00	82.00	180.00	430.00
16	58.00	173.00	364.50	595.50
17	138.00	102.00	194.00	434.00
18	112.04	179.62	354.62	646.28
19	704.20	322.00	716.66	1,742.86
20	181.00	140.00	218.00	539.00
21	469.50	196.00	392.08	1,057.58
22	81.50	34.00	--	115.50
23	63.00	80.00	100.00	243.00
24	163.00	178.60	195.00	536.60
25	239.00	389.50	375.00	1,003.50
26	217.50	222.50	364.50	804.50
27	<u>791.42</u>	<u>797.86</u>	<u>842.55</u>	<u>2,431.83</u>
	<u>7,960.40</u>	<u>6,849.56</u>	<u>8,627.30</u>	<u>23,437.26</u>