

Lib. Ref. Dept.

**DARTMOUTH CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA**

TUES., AUG. 16/94

7:30 P.M.

COUNCIL CHAMBER

1. PUBLIC HEARINGS

- i) Amendment to Land Use By-law 242/244 Waverley Road
- ii) Amendment to Land Use By-law C (Conservation) Zone

2. REPORTS

2.1 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

- 2.1.1 Tender, Contract 94-16, Steel Reservoir Painting
- Wright Avenue
- 2.1.2 Tender, Contract 94-17, Richards Drive - Curb & Overlay
Paving
- 2.1.3 Tender, Contract 94-19, Dorothea Drive, Spikenard Street,
Windward Avenue, Sidewalk, Curb, Overlay Paving
- 2.1.4 Tender, Contract 94-20, Graham Street, Concrete Sidewalk

3. Water Quality Status Report - oral

LOCATION: CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TIME: 7:30 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: MAYOR MCCLUSKEY
ALDERMEN MAY, PYE, WOODS
CUNNINGHAM, THOMPSON
HAWLEY, RODGERS, WALTON, SARTO

REGRETS: ALD. HETHERINGTON, MACFARLANE, LEVANDIER
MACDONALD, GREENOUGH

C/A OFFICER: L. CORRIGAN
CITY SOLICITOR: S. HOOD
ASST. CITY CLERK: V. CARMICHAEL
DEPARTMENT HEADS & ASSISTANTS

1.0 PUBLIC HEARINGS

i) AMENDMENT TO LAND USE BY-LAW, 242/244 WAVERLEY ROAD

AMENDMENT:
LAND USE BY-LAW
WAVERLEY ROAD

Council set this date for public hearing of a rezoning application from Imperial Oil Ltd., to rezone lands at 242/244 Waverley Road, from R-1 Zone and C-1 Zone to C-2 (General Business) Zone, thereby permitting a consolidation of the three properties so that the existing service station can be replaced with a canopied pump island and one building containing a car wash and convenience store.; the existing facility has been a non-conforming use since 1978. Mr. Corrigan's report to Council, in conjunction with the Planning Dept. recommendation, recommends in favour of the requested amendment to the Land Use By-law, to permit this zoning change.

Recorded as being present, for purposes of this public hearing, were nine members of Council. Absent were: Ald. Hetherington, Levandier, Greenough, MacFarlane, and MacDonald. Relevant documentation was previously circulated to members of Council, and a further report was received by members with their agenda for this meeting. The most recent report included information from the neighbourhood information meeting, held on August 4/94.

The presentation for Development Services was made by John Lukan. He outlined the use being proposed for the Imperial Oil properties, if they are rezoned, giving some details of the car wash operation included, and advised Council of the department's recommendation in favour of the application.

Responding to questions from Ald. Sarto about the non-conforming property use, the Solicitor advised that a rezoning would not override the non-conforming status.

The Mayor opened the public hearing, calling three times for any speakers in favour of the application. There were no speakers in favour. She then called three times

for speakers opposed, and there being none, the public hearing was declared to be closed, on motion of Ald. Pye and Thompson.

Ald. Cunningham, who chaired the neighbourhood information meeting, gave a brief verbal report to Council. He said that residents with concerns and questions had appeared to be satisfied with the answers and assurances given to them during the course of the information meeting, as reflected in the lack of opposition at this public hearing

BY-LAW C-706

Proposed By-law C-706 was before Council, to amend the lands at 242/244 Waverley Road. Council proceeded with three readings of the by-law, as required.

MOTION: That leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-706 and that it now be read a first time.

Moved: Ald. Cunningham
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

MOTION: That By-law C-706 be read a second time.

Moved: Ald. Pye
Second: Ald. Thompson

Ald. Woods commended staff for the question-and-answer format used to report on the neighbourhood information meeting; he felt this is an improvement over previous reports and should be continued.

Ald. Hawley said that area residents generally feel the new land use will be an improvement over the existing service station operation, and therefore, are not opposed to the rezoning. Ald. May cautioned that water from the car wash should not be allowed to drain onto Waverley Road, where it could freeze and become a safety hazard in winter time. He referred to a situation in the downtown, where this problem is already occurring.

In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third reading of the by-law.

MOTION: That By-law C-706 be read a third time, and that the Mayor and the City Clerk be authorized to sign and seal the said by-law on behalf of the City.

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Cunningham
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

ii) AMENDMENT TO LAND USE BY-LAW, C (CONSERVATION) ZONE

AMENDMENT:
LAND USE BY-LAW
CONSERVATION ZONE

This date was also set by Council for public hearing of a proposed amendment to the Conservation Zone, that would add several permitted uses, including: water distribution facilities, communication facilities and outdoor recreation uses. This amendment was prompted by a request from Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc., for permission to lease approx. 14,500 sq. ft. of public land at the Mount Edward Road reservoir site, for a cellular telephone relay tower and related equipment building. If the amendment were to be approved, leasing arrangements with Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc. would follow.

Recorded as being present, for purposes of this public hearing, were nine members of Council. Absent were: Ald. Hetherington, Levandier, Greenough, MacFarlane, and MacDonald. Relevant documentation was previously circulated to members of Council, and a further report was received by members with their agenda for this meeting. The most recent report included information from the neighbourhood information meeting, held on August 3/94.

The presentation for Development Services was made by John Lukan. He described the tower that Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc. would erect on the reservoir site, where a 90-foot City tower already exists, and explained the proposed change from the passive recreation provision of C Zone, to accommodate more active recreational activities, such as those already existing. Development Services have recommended in favour of the amendment, as indicated in the report from Mr. Corrigan (V. Spencer) to Council, dated June 30/94.

Ald. Sarto asked what staff had in mind when they proposed this recreational use in the C Zone. Mr. Lukan said it is basically intended to clarify the existing recreational uses in the area, such as the tennis courts and the ballfield. These facilities have been available for public use for some years, but their status has never been properly defined, in terms of a land use in the C Zone.

A number of questions to Mr. Lukan and the Solicitor followed, concerning the possible future use of lands in the C Zone for purposes that would be undesirable, including additional recreation facilities that people living in the area would not want. Both the Solicitor and Mr. Lukan pointed out that all of the land in question

is in City ownership, and the built-in protection therefore, is that nothing could take place on the land without City (Council) approval. The spirit of the C Zone would continue to be maintained, especially since the large portion of the land is protected watershed.

Ald. Hawley asked about notification for the neighbourhood information meeting, and was concerned that Ward 6 residents were not among the households receiving notification, since a large part of the C Zone is in Ward 6.

Ald. May asked if a condition could be added, which would stipulate that any of the proposed uses would be 'subject to the approval of Council'. The Solicitor explained why such a provision would not be in order, and again pointed out that all of the conservation lands are in City ownership, which means that control is with the owner of the lands.

Ald. Rodgers' questions were about the possibility of separate zoning provisions for the existing recreational facilities, to clarify their status, so the passive use provided for in the C Zone can be maintained. It was noted that a new amendment and hearing process would be required, to permit this kind of separation.

Ald. Pye was another member with questions, particularly in connection with conservation and environmental issues, associated with C Zone uses. Questions from Ald. Sarto pertained to the concerns of area residents about other recreational facilities that might be allowed in the future, with the change from passive uses to 'outdoor recreational activities'. Asked by Ald. Pye about the leasing arrangements with M.T. & T., Mr. Lukan said these would be worked out once the Land Use Amendment has been decided.

After a lengthy question period, the Mayor opened the public hearing, calling for speakers in favour of the proposed amendment.

Mr. Peter Freeman, an engineer with Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc., was one of three company representatives present for the hearing. He provided information on the company's application to erect a tower, required for purposes of cellular communications in the Woodlawn area. He felt this service would enhance economic activity in this part of the City, and that the tower and building on the reservoir site would not intrude in any way on the local community. Responding to questions from Ald. Sarto, he reviewed information circulated on the safe limits for electromagnetic energy, advising that the energy levels from the proposed installation, will be more than one thousand times lower than Health & Welfare

Safety Code 6 guidelines.

Ald. Woods had questions for Mr. Freeman and Mr. Lukan about any exclusivity arrangements with Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc., considering that there are other telecommunication companies that may want to operate similar towers in the City. Mr. Lukan said he was not aware of any exclusivity arrangements with Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc. Ms. Spencer said '. . . we have a commitment to deal fairly with M.T. & T., but not exclusively.' She referred to other potential sites in the City for towers, and recognized that there may be other companies approaching the City, and '. . . we would not close the door to communication and technological interests'.

Another company representative, Brian Kinch, was available to answer questions from Ald. Rodgers about the building that will be erected on site, along with the tower, and about fencing around the perimeter of the tower. A building would be moved to the site and erected on a slab base. There would not be an area requiring clearing for these purposes.

After the Mayor had called three times for speakers in favour, she called for speakers opposed.

Mr. Roger Gallant of 105 Mount Edward Road, addressed Council briefly, stating the concerns of residents living near him about a limitation of recreational facilities to those already there and no more. Residents are afraid there might be further recreational uses without any opportunity for public input first.

Also heard by Council, was Ms. Carol MacKay, concerned about other towers at this same location, since it is such an ideal site for the purpose. It was noted by the Mayor that this could only happen with Council's agreement and approval.

Members of Council received copies of a letter of objection, received from Linda Robinson of 62 Guysborough Ave., and referred to by Ald. Pye in points later made by him about conservation and environmental protection.

When there were no further speakers, the public hearing was declared to be closed, on motion of Ald. Walton and Pye.

BY-LAW C-707

Proposed By-law C-707 was before Council, to amend the Land Use By-law, and Council proceeded with first reading.

MOTION: That leave be given to introduce the said By-law C-707 and that it now be read a first time.

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Woods
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

MOTION: That By-law C-707 be read
a second time.

Moved: Ald. Woods
Second: Ald. Sarto

AMENDMENT: That sub-section (d) be
deleted from the by-law.
This sub-section refers to
'public outdoor recreational
activities'.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Hawley

Ald. Sarto preferred to see only the existing recreational sites designated, in order to address the concerns of area residents about potential 'outdoor recreational' encroachment at any time in the future. Ald. Rodgers said the designation of existing facilities, 'to right a wrong', should be a separate application. He and most other members did not have any problem with the tower or that aspect of the Land Use By-law amendment. Ald. Pye and May stated their opposition to By-law C-707 entirely, however. Ald. Pye said it is not in keeping with recommendations of the MPS Environmental Sub-Committee, and he would not support it on conservation and environmental grounds. Ald. May said that Council should be dealing with the specifics of a conservation zone, after uses have been looked at more carefully, rather than the general terms.

Given the need to comply with an advertised amendment, Council could not find an acceptable compromise that would permit the tower, but restrict recreational uses to the extent that members wanted. The amendment presented by Ald. Sarto did not appear to accomplish what members wanted. Ald. Rodgers said that if necessary, the by-law proposed, should be defeated, and a whole new process started again, separating out the uses, as suggested. Ald. Hawley stated his concerns about an opportunity for the input of Ward 6 residents, or he could not support uses for the C-Zone.

Ald. Cunningham supported the by-law on the basis that there is an adequate 'safety net', as noted several times in the references by the Solicitor and Development Services staff to City ownership of C Zone lands.

Asked about the separation of uses and specific designations for the recreational facilities and the triangular piece of land required for the tower and building, Ms. Spencer again pointed out that the City is 'the owner of the land', with the degree of land control that implies. She referred to the political implications involved in decisions affecting the conservation zone and permitted uses, and said that some time would be required for the department to carry out a study and determine specific recommendations, along the lines suggested in debate. A new amendment, based on study, would therefore take some time.

The vote was taken on the amendment to second reading.

In Favour: Three members
Against: All other members
Amendment Defeated

The vote followed, on second reading.

In Favour: Ald. Walton, Cunningham
Thompson, Woods
Against: Remaining five members
Motion Defeated

Ald. Thompson asked what can be done to accommodate the request of Maritime Tel & Tel Mobile Inc. By this point, however, the vote on second reading had been defeated and the by-law could not be dealt with further.

Ald. Walton asked that a letter be sent to Dartmouth General Hospital, commending the fact that they are the first hospital to receive four years of accreditation with distinction.

Ald. Cunningham extended thanks to the Mayor for the hosting of Queen Elizabeth, during her recent Nova Scotian visit. Other members acknowledged his comments with similar appreciation.

2.0 REPORTS

2.1 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

2.1.1 TENDER, CONTRACT 94-16, STEEL RESERVOIR PAINTING: WRIGHT AVE.

AWARD TENDER:
CONTRACT 94-16

Report from Acting CAO, S. Hood (R. Fougere, E. Purdy) on tenders received for repainting of the exterior of the Wright Ave. Reservoir, Contract 94-16, with recommendations to Council.

MOTION: To adopt the recommendations of the Acting CAO, S. Hood:

- 1) That priority item 9 of the 1994/95 Water Utility Capital Budget, in the amount of \$200,000., for exterior painting of Wright Ave. Reservoir, be approved, as recommended.
- 2) That the tender for Contract 94-16 Steel Reservoir Painting, Wright Ave., be awarded to the lowest bidder, MacDonald Applicators Ltd., with a tender price of \$143,968.50; completion time: 25 workdays.

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Rodgers
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.2 TENDER, CONTRACT 94-17, RICHARDS DRIVE: CURB & OVERLAY PAVING

AWARD TENDER: Report from the Acting CAO, S. Hood (R. Fougere, E.J. Behan)
CONTRACT 94-17 on tenders received for Contract 94-17, Richards Drive, curb & overlay paving, recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$94,497.05; completion time: 25 workdays.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract 94-17 to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$94,497.05, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Hawley
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.3 TENDER, CONTRACT 94-19, DOROTHEA DR., SPIKENARD STREET, WINDWARD AVE.: SIDEWALK, CURB, OVERLAY PAVING

AWARD TENDER: Report from Mr. Corrigan (E. Purdy, G.M. Boyd) on
CONTRACT 94-19 tenders received for Contract 94-19, sidewalk, curb, overlay paving (Dorothea Dr., Spikenard St., Windward Ave.), recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$85,512.80; completion time: 25 workdays.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract 94-19 to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$85,512.80, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Walton
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.4 TENDER, CONTRACT 94-20, GRAHAM STREET, CONCRETE SIDEWALK

AWARD TENDER:
CONTRACT 94-20

Report from Mr. Corrigan (E. Purdy, G.M. Boyd) on tenders received for Contract 94-20, Graham Street, concrete sidewalk, recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$29,265.57; completion time: 20 workdays.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract 94-20 to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$29,265.57, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Rodgers
Second: Ald. Hawley
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

3.0 WATER QUALITY STATUS REPORT

WATER QUALITY
STATUS REPORT

The Mayor commented briefly on the current water situation in the City, suggesting that consideration is going to have to be given by Council to a water treatment plant, as the ultimate solution.

The Operations Manager for the Water Utility, Conrad Sarty, and Ed Purdy, Engineering Dept., were present for this item. Using overhead slides, Mr. Sarty provided Council with a verbal information report on recent water sampling results, from the on-going testing by the Dept. of Health at various locations throughout the City. These locations were identified on a map, and the positive counts at each location were indicated to Council. There are some locations with no coliform counts. Mr. Sarty explained what is being done (chlorine treatment, flushing hydrants, etc.) to try and deal with the coliform problem, and members of Council were able to ask any questions they had about treatment procedures and sources of the water problem. Mr. Sarty stated, during his presentation, that the situation would be improved with cooler temperatures and higher water levels in the watershed lakes.

Asked by Ald. May about the draft report from Porter Dillon on phase two of a regional water system, Mr. Sarty said it should be ready for presentation by Mr. Fougere, sometime in mid-September. Ald. May suggested that the funds allocated for harbour clean-up should be diverted to a regional system or a treatment plant.

Mr. Corrigan said Council should not plan to spend the harbour clean-up funds on a water treatment plant, since they will be needed for the purpose intended.

Ald. May felt that the Chairman, the Vice-Chairman of the Water Utility Committee, and the Mayor, should meet with our local M.P. to discuss what approach is to be taken toward water treatment for the City, or a regional system.

Ald. Pye was concerned that the Water Utility Committee has not met for a long time, and not at all during the recent water problem. Also, that funds were taken from the Water Utility at budget time, when they should have been designated solely for water purposes. Ald. Rodgers said he wished to clarify this statement: the City loaned money to the Water Utility and the dividend declared by the Utility was used to re-pay that loan back to the City. Ald. Woods considered that the Water Utility has been completely mismanaged for years, resulting in the problems we are now seeing with the system.

Because of the concerns expressed about the Water Utility Committee not meeting since the current water situation developed, the Mayor said a meeting will be scheduled immediately. A meeting was set for 7:00 a.m. on August 18th, this date later changed to August 19th at noon.

Several members asked if more information could be given out regularly to the public, and the Mayor asked Mr. Sarty if it would be possible to have regular information reports put on the Leisure Line, now used for Recreation Dept. program info. Mr. Sarty agreed to look into this possibility and members felt it would be a good idea.

Another concern, raised by Ald. Cunningham, was the problem of water discoloration, which has caused problems when clothes are being washed, including problems for laundromats. Mr. Sarty said this inconvenience is also being dealt with to the extent possible.

During the course of the water quality item, Council agreed to continue meeting beyond 11:00 p.m., on motion of Ald. Pye and Walton.

Each member of Council had the opportunity to ask as many questions as they wanted to about any aspect of the water problem, including the boil notice and alternative (adding two or three drops of chlorine bleach to 1 gallon of water). Mr. Sarty provided all of the information he was able to in each case.

Ald. Woods said he would like to see a report from Mr. Corrigan on what we have learned from all of this. Ald. May said the terms of reference for the Water Utility Committee should be amended, to require at least quarterly meetings. He stated his concerns that the Committee has not met and about their lack of action on this present situation and other items.

Meeting adjourned at 11:30 p.m.



V. Carmichael,
Asst. City Clerk.

ITEMS:

- 1.0 Public Hearings, page 1.
 - i) Amendment to Land Use By-law, 242/244 Waverley Rd., pg.1 to 3.
By-law C-706, page 2.
 - ii) Amendment to Land Use By-law C Zone, page 3 to 7.
By-law C-707, page 5.
 - 2.0 Reports, page 7.
 - 2.1 Chief Administrative Officer, page 7.
 - 2.1.1 Tender, Contract 94-16, Reservoir painting, Wright Ave., pg. 7
 - 2.1.2 Tender, Contract 94-17, Richards Dr., page 8.
 - 2.1.3 Tender, Contract 94-19, Dorothea Dr., Spikenard St., pg. 8.
 - 2.1.4 Tender, Contract 94-20, Graham Street, page 9.
 - 3.0 Water quality status report, page 9 to 11.
-

Lib. Ref Dept.

**DARTMOUTH CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA**

**TUES., AUG. 23, 1994
7:30 P.M.
COUNCIL CHAMBER
IN CAMERA SESSION
10:00 P.M.**

PROCLAMATION - CHILD CARE AWARENESS DAYS AUG 23 - SEPT 10

INVOCATION

1. **APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE MEETINGS:** July 5 & 12, 1994.
2. **BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**
3. **DELEGATIONS & HEARINGS OF PROTEST**
4. **ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION**
5. **PRESENTATION**

i) Regional Policing - William Hayward

6. **PETITION**

7. **REPORTS**

7.1 **CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

- 7.1.1 Small Equipment and Fleet Consolidation
- 7.1.2 1994/95 Debt Issue
- 7.1.3 Application, Retail Video Outlet, Night Magic
- 7.1.4 Extension of Water Service - CFAO Bedford
- 7.1.5 Extension of Water Service - Heritage Hills Phase 3
- 7.1.6 Tender, Underground Storage Tanks, Turner Dr.

7.2 **CITY SOLICITOR**

- 7.2.1 Public Transportation Advisory Committee and Taxi Commission

7.3 **RETURNING OFFICER**

- 7.3.1 City of Dartmouth Municipal Election, Advance Polls,
October 6 & 11

8. **MOTION**

9. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

10. **CONCERNS OF COUNCIL MEMBERS OR QUESTIONS**

IN CAMERA SESSION 10:00 P.M.

1. Land Matter.

LOCATION: CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TIME: 7:30 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: MAYOR MCCLUSKEY
ALDERMEN SARTO, THOMPSON
MACFARLANE, CUNNINGHAM
LEVANDIER, MAY, PYE
WOODS, MACDONALD, RODGERS
HAWLEY, GREENOUGH
WALTON, HETHERINGTON

C/A OFFICER: L. CORRIGAN
CITY SOLICITOR: M. MOREASH
ASST. CITY CLERK: V. CARMICHAEL
DEPARTMENT HEADS & ASSISTANTS

INVOCATION

Mayor McCluskey opened the meeting with the Invocation.

PROCLAMATION

A Proclamation was read by the Mayor for Child Care Awareness Days, from August 23rd to Sept. 10th.

Ald. Thompson rose to extend congratulations to Andrew Haley, who recently was awarded a Gold Medal at the Commonwealth Games, in Victoria, B.C. The Mayor said a letter of congratulations from the City has gone to him.

Rising on a point of order, Ald. Levandier objected to the fact that his notice of motion, given on July 5th, does not appear on the agenda for this meeting. Mr. Corrigan said that since the motion proposed would have been contrary to action already taken by Council, he consulted with the City Clerk, and a decision was taken to withdraw the notice of motion. Ald. Levandier said that any such decision should only be made by Council, and he asked to have the notice back on next week's agenda.

Ald. May felt that Council should have received a communication, indicating why the item was withdrawn, and it was his opinion that it is up to Council to make such determinations, in the first place. Mr. Corrigan said that such a memo has already been prepared for Council, and members will have it as soon as the opinion from the Solicitor can be included.

1.0 APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION: To approve the minutes of meetings held on July 5th and 12th, 1994.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington
Second: Ald. Sarto
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

Reference page 9 of the July 12th minutes, Ald. Rodgers asked if Mrs. Robertson (crossing guards) has been contacted, as called for in the motion recorded. Mr. Corrigan said that several attempts have been made to contact her, but she is on a five-week vacation and away from the City during that period.

3.0 DELEGATIONS & HEARINGS OF PROTEST

4.0 ORIGINAL COMMUNICATIONS

5.0 PRESENTATION

i) REGIONAL POLICING - WM. HAYWARD

REGIONAL POLICING Present for the next item were Mark Gilbert, Dept. of Municipal Affairs, and Bill Hayward, consultant for the Regional Policing study., being carried out for the Municipal Affairs Dept.

Members of Council received several hand-outs, containing the information presented by Mr. Hayward in a series of overheads. In his opening remarks, Mr. Hayward outlined the discussion and consultation process that has been taking place, involving the Mayors, CAO's, Police Chiefs, and Police Commission Chairs, for the municipalities of Dartmouth, Halifax, and Bedford. Recent meetings have also been attended by union representatives for the police forces in the three municipalities.

Mr. Hayward said this group has been attempting to arrive at a consensus with respect to effective, practical and economic methods of policing for the three municipal units. If a consensus cannot be reached, Mr. Hayward will submit his own independent report to the Minister.

After reviewing with Council, a number of responses to questions put to the Minister of Municipal Affairs, Mr. Hayward proceeded to his explanation of the four options for regional policing that have been under consideration. Particular note was made, during the later discussion with Council, to the response of the Minister to question #5: Is the "status quo" an option if the three units conclude that the other options are not beneficial? Response: The "status quo" is not an option. The Mayor later suggested that the public will make the decision on this question.

Of the four options outlined to Council, Option #1, with a single police force and a single Regional Police Commission, was the only one considered to be viable, if in fact, regional policing is a desirable change in the first place (ie. opinions of Council members, not Mr. Hayward).

With reference to funding decisions, associated with regional policing, Mr. Hayward noted that at present, \$34 million dollars is being spent on police services, among the three municipal units. A series of cost-sharing formulas would have to be worked out, based on such guidelines as uniform assessment, cost per sworn officer, per capita, dwelling units, etc. Initially, for a period of time, the main commitment of each unit would be what they are spending now; any financial impact, therefore, would be in the future. Mr. Hayward made the point that savings would be in the areas of administration and support. These savings could either be realized by the units as financial savings, or could be diverted to operations for those purposes.

A part of Mr. Hayward's presentation was taken up with policing concepts, in relation to the functions of a regional force and the current thinking with regard to police functions. The emphasis is on a 'neighborhood police presence', with community involvement and services provided accordingly. Also required, are the specialized services on which municipal units are now cooperating already, such as the Task Force on Drugs and the Task Force on Prostitution.

Ald. May asked about the involvement of the Dept. of Justice in the regional policing considerations. He felt the Justice Department should have initiated these discussions and should be playing the major part in them, and not the Dept. of Municipal Affairs. He made this point several times with Mr. Hayward and to Council, in the discussion following the presentation. He later requested a review of the Marshall recommendations, in conjunction with this study, particularly in the areas of police training, promotion and advancement of police officers. This same point was made by Comm. Johnson of the Board of Police Commissioners in her comments to Council and questions for Mr. Hayward.

There were many questions directed to Mr. Hayward, following his presentation. Ald. Cunningham asked when he will be reporting to the Minister; Mr. Hayward said his deadline for reporting is Sept. 30th. The public input and consultation process has to take place in the interim, and there were quite a few concerns on the part of members as to how citizens will be able to respond in any meaningful way, without first having

indicated what services they are prepared to accept, and without the kind of financial information it was felt that both Council and the public would require before trying to give any informed opinions. Asked by Ald. Cunningham about an implementation time-frame, Mr. Hayward said the fall of 1995 is being proposed, given that the G7 meeting takes place prior to that time, involving all of the police forces in security arrangements.

Comm. Johnson, in her remarks to Council, had concerns about public participation in September, when people are busy with their children and the opening of school. She felt they will not have the time to give to this important issue.

Following, is a summary of points of concern and opinions of Council, in response to the regional policing presentation:

- 1) Ultimately, this is likely to end up being more expensive than the present policing structure. Area Police Chiefs would probably be required, since there would be more work and responsibility than one Police Chief alone could handle. Not in favour of any of the options presented. (Ald. Levandier)
- 2) Change, just for the sake of change, is not a good enough reason for going to a regional force. Why is the "status quo" not an option if it is what people want? (Ald. Walton)
- 3) Concern about haste in implementing a new structure, and the danger of complication in police services offered. (Ald. MacFarlane)
- 4) Concerns about collective agreements and the potential for discrepancies when you have unions representing police in three municipal units - differences in wages, overtime, vacations, etc. (Ald. Rodgers)
- 5) Concern about a lack of identification on the part of citizens, under a regional system (Ald. Greenough)
- 6) Why aren't people living in the boundary areas (Westphal, Cole Harbour, Eastern Passage, etc.) being included for purposes of regional police services? (Ald. Hetherington)
Mr. Hayward said there would be a future capability of expanding services, once a regional system has been successfully established.

- 7) Concern about a lack of real control over budgets, by the municipalities. (Ald. Hawley) Mr. Hayward pointed out that the municipal representatives on the Regional Commission would be determining the funding for police services. Ald. Hawley felt, like several other members, that without some financial specifics, it is not possible to come to a consensus of opinion as to whether this is a good thing for Dartmouth, or not.
- 8) The objective of the consultation process has not been met, without first knowing what services the community wants and expects in the way of police services. It may be that a regional force will work, but it isn't possible to know for certain, without some indication from the people as to what they want. Does the police service need to be improved and will it be improved under a new structure? (Ald. May)
- 9) Ald. Thompson asked that the Dartmouth Police Commission be provided with a staff breakdown, for comparative purposes (number of inspectors and other staff, by municipality). Mr. Hayward agreed to make this information available.

Members of Council indicating a preference for Option #1, as a regional policing structure (given that a consensus is reached in favour and it comes about) were Ald. MacDonald, Cunningham, Hetherington and Thompson.

Comm. Johnson had an opportunity to give her opinions and ask questions, some of which are noted already in these minutes. She had concerns in particular about representation on a Regional Commission, and whether it would be chosen from Council or by Council. She also referred to the amalgamation of the armed forces as an example of a previous experience along these same lines. She said she questioned the value of doing this at all, and whether any good results will come of it.

Ald. Woods had several comments about community policing, which he felt has not been successful in Dartmouth's north end. He said he would look forward to a presentation the Mayor, Mr. Corrigan and the Police Chief will put together for Council, once more information can be gathered in response to some of the concerns that members have raised. The Mayor thanked Mr. Hayward for his presentation to Council and for discussion with Council.

- 6.0 PETITION
- 7.0 REPORTS
- 7.1 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER
- 7.1.1 SMALL EQUIPMENT & FLEET CONSOLIDATION

FLEET
CONSOLIDATION

A report has been submitted from Mr. Corrigan (B. Smith, H. George, R. MacDonald) on a proposed consolidation of all fleet and equipment operation, to be reassigned to Corporate Services, as outlined in the management plan attached to the report.

Savings targeted in the budget, as a result of this inventory & consolidation, total \$25,000., plus another \$10,000. objective for the School Bus operation, to be considered in a separate report, still to come to Council.

The report concludes with the recommendation that Council approve the establishment of a Fleet Services Division, under the management and control of Corporate Services, to include the City Garage operation and Small Equipment maintenance and repair operations.

MOTION: To adopt the recommendation:

That Council approve the establishment of a Fleet Services Division, under the management and control of Corporate Services, to include the City Garage operation and Small Equipment maintenance and repair operations.

Moved: Ald. MacFarlane
Second: Ald. Rodgers

Mr. Smith was present to answer questions from members; Ald. Hawley and May had a number of them. Further comments were made by Mr. Corrigan, and he noted that this will be a significant move toward costing out resources to jobs, information that has been sought on several occasions, during debate at Council and in Committee.

In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

7.1.2 1994/95 DEBT ISSUE

1994/95 DEBT ISSUE Report from Mr. Corrigan (B. Smith, D. McBain) on financing requirements for 1994/95 (long-term debt), as per the list of items, totalling \$3,356,900., recommending that Council authorize staff to proceed with funding arrangements with the Municipal Finance Corp. for long-term debt in this amount, relating to General Fund projects.

MOTION: To adopt the recommendation:

That Council authorize staff to proceed with funding arrangements with the Municipal Finance Corporation, for long-term debt totalling \$3,356,900., relating to General Fund projects.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington

Second: Ald. Greenough

In Favour: All

Against: None

Motion Carried

7.1.3 APPLICATION, RETAIL VIDEO OUTLET, NIGHT MAGIC

APPLICATION:
VIDEO OUTLET
NIGHT MAGIC

Council was asked to indicate any objection members may have to an application for a video outlet at 121 Portland Street (Night Magic Fashions).

MOTION: To indicate no objection to the retail video application for Night Magic Fashions, 121 Portland St.

Moved: Ald. Sarto

Second: Ald. Hetherington

In Favour: All

Against: None

Motion Carried

7.1.4 EXTENSION OF WATER SERVICE - CFAD Bedford

7.1.5 EXTENSION OF WATER SERVICE - HERITAGE HILLS PHASE 3

WATER
EXTENSIONS

Two requests for water extensions were dealt with together and approved with one common motion.

Reports from Mr. Corrigan (R. Fougere, C. Sarty) on water extension requests from (a) CFAD Bedford (the ammunition storage area off Magazine Hill Road), and (b) from Anahid Investments Ltd., for Heritage Hills Phase 3, Eastern Passage. Approval has been recommended in both cases, subject to technical approval of design drawings.

MOTION: To approve both water extensions, as recommended: (a) CFAD Bedford, and (b) Heritage Hills Phase 3, Eastern Passage.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington

Second: Ald. Pye

Ald. Greenough noted concerns that have been mentioned to him about further water extensions, considering the recent problems we have had with our water supply. Ald. Rodgers said he also had his own concerns about new water extensions, since they could lead to additional water demands in the future, if there is development off the DND property. Ald. Hetherington acknowledged the concern about extended water mains leading to other future lateral connections.

In Favour: All members except
Against: Ald. Rodgers
Motion Carried

7.1.6 TENDER, UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS, TURNER DR.

AWARD TENDER:
 UNDERGROUND TANKS

Report from Mr. Corrigan (R. Fougere, D. Rix) on tenders received for the removal of two steel underground gas and diesel tanks, and the supply and installation of two new storage tanks, at the Operations Centre, Turner, recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Redden Petroleum Ent. Ltd., for the price of \$40,752.93.

MOTION: To award the tender for underground storage tanks, Turner Drive, to the lowest bidder, Redden Petroleum Ent. Ltd. for the price of \$40,752.93.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

7.2 CITY SOLICITOR

7.2.1. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE & TAXI COMMISSION

P.T.A. COMM.:
 TAXI COMMISSION

Proposed By-law T-505, which has the effect of making the Public Transportation Committee the Taxi Commission as well, has been prepared and recommended to Council by the City Solicitor. Also recommended are revised Terms of Reference for the Public Transportation Advisory Committee. Council proceeded with three readings of the by-law, as recommended.

BY-LAW T-505

MOTION: That leave be given to introduce the said By-law T-505 and that it now be read a first time.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Levandier
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

MOTION: That By-law T-505 be read
a second time.

Moved: Ald. Greenough
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

Unanimous consent was given by Council for third
reading of the by-law.

MOTION: That By-law T-505 be read a
third time and that the Mayor
and the City Clerk be authorized
to sign and seal the said by-law
on behalf of the City.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

MOTION: To approve the Terms of Reference
for the Public Transportation
Advisory Committee, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Hawley
Second: Ald. Sarto
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

7.3 RETURNING OFFICER

7.3.1 DARTMOUTH MUNICIPAL ELECTION - ADVANCE POLLS

ADVANCE POLLS

A report from the Returning Officer, Mr. Smith, was
before Council, recommending Council's approval of
Resolution 94-23, which sets the date of Thursday,
October 6, 1994 as the second advance polling date
for the 1994 City of Dartmouth Municipal Election.
The date for the first advance poll is October 11/94.

RESOLUTION 94-23

MOTION: To approve Resolution 94-23, setting Thurs., October 6, 1994, as the second advance polling date for the 1994 City of Dartmouth Municipal Election, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. MacFarlane
Second: Ald. Greenough
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

There were several questions from members, relating to an information report from the Returning Officer on items pertinent to election candidates.

- 1) Ald. May asked about candidates' signs in locations near to polling places, including advance polls. The procedure followed in warning candidates about such violations, was explained to Ald. May, and it was noted that signs are checked and removed if candidates do not comply. Mr. Corrigan said he would bring the concern to Mr. Smith's attention, although he was certain that signs are being removed.
- 2) Ald. Woods asked for clarification of a point raised by him about campaign posters that are put up on government property.
- 3) Ald. Rodgers suggested that section E of the report should be clarified, to state that the 200-foot distance is from the door of the polling place.

8.0 MOTION

9.0 CONCERNS OF COUNCIL MEMBERS OR QUESTIONS

Two concerns were permitted, since a motion to go in camera was already on the floor.

Ald. Hetherington requested another letter from Mr. Corrigan re 11 Rodney Road.

Ald. MacDonald asked if the Mountain Ash Court item is to be discussed by Council. Mr. Corrigan said it will be discussed.

Ald. MacDonald also asked about regular financial statements Council was to have received. Mr. Corrigan said a new format is being worked out for them, but if it is not ready, he will request a statement using the old format.

Council went in camera at 10:55 p.m., on motion of Ald. Thompson and Sarto. When Council reconvened in open meeting, the action taken in camera was ratified.

MOTION: To ratify the action taken while meeting in camera on this date (land matter).

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Sarto
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

The meeting adjourned at 11:30 p.m.

V. Carmichael

V. Carmichael,
Asst. City Clerk.

ITEMS:

- Invocation, page 1.
 - Proclamation, page 1.
 - 1.0 Approval of minutes, page 1.
 - 2.0 Business Arising from the Minutes, page 2.
 - 3.0 Delegations & Hearings of Protest, page 2.
 - 4.0 Original Communications, page 2.
 - 5.0 Presentation, page 2.
 - i) Regional Policing, Wm. Hayward, page 1 to 5 incl.
 - 6.0 Petition, page 6.
 - 7.0 Reports, page 6.
 - 7.1 Chief Administrative Officer, page 6.
 - 7.1.1 Small Equipment & Fleet Consolidation, page 6.
 - 7.1.2 1994/95 Debt Issue, page 6.
 - 7.1.3 Application, Retail Video Outlet, Night Magic, page 7.
 - 7.1.4 Extension of water service, CFAD Bedford, page 7.
 - 7.1.5 Extension of water service, Heritage Hills Phase 3, pg. 7.
 - 7.1.6 Tender, underground storage tanks, Turner Dr., page 8.
 - 7.2 City Solicitor, page 8.
 - 7.2.1 PTAC Committee & Taxi Commission, page 8.
 - By-law T-505, page 8.
 - 7.3 Returning Officer, page 9.
 - 7.3.1 Dartmouth Municipal Election, Advance Polls, page 9.
 - Resolution 94-23, page 10.
 - 8.0 Motion, page 10.
 - 9.0 Notices of Motion, page 10.
 - 10.0 Concerns of Council members, page 10.
-

Lib. Ref. Dept.

**DARTMOUTH CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA**

**TUES., AUG. 30/94
7:30 P.M.
COUNCIL CHAMBER
IN CAMERA SESSION
10:00 P.M.**

CONTINUATION OF COUNCIL AGENDA OF AUG. 23/94

1. NOTICES OF MOTION

COUNCIL AGENDA OF AUG. 30/94

2. REPORTS

2.1 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

- 2.1.1 Tender 94-05 Traffic Improvements - Nantucket Avenue and School Street
- 2.1.2 Tender Contract 94-21, Maybank Sports Field, Ball Hockey and Basketball Courts
- 2.1.3 Tender Contract PR94-05 Landscaping Improvements - Highway 111
- 2.1.4 Harbourview School Traffic Concerns
- 2.1.5 Amendment to MPS Land Use By-law C (Conservation) Zone - Set date for public hearing
- 2.1.6 Crossing Guards
- 2.1.7 1994/95 Capital Budget Amendment

3. CONCERNS OF COUNCIL MEMBERS OR QUESTIONS

IN CAMERA SESSION 10:00 P.M.

- 1. Land Matter.
- 2. Legal Matter.

LOCATION: CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS
TIME: 7:30 P.M.

MEMBERS PRESENT: MAYOR MCCLUSKEY
ALDERMEN SARTO, THOMPSON
MACFARLANE, CUNNINGHAM
MAY, LEVANDIER, PYE
WOODS, RODGERS, MACDONALD
HAWLEY, GREENOUGH
WALTON, HETHERINGTON

C/A OFFICER: L. CORRIGAN
CITY SOLICITOR: S. HOOD
ASST. CITY CLERK: V. CARMICHAEL
DEPARTMENT HEADS & ASSISTANTS

1.0 NOTICES OF MOTION

The following Notice of Motion was given for the next regular Council meeting:

Ald. Thompson

WHEREAS because of merging traffic at the corner of Oakwood Ave. and Valleyfield Road, traffic congestion is such that potential exists to cause accidents, due to reduced safety factors at this location;

BE IT RESOLVED that a three-way Stop sign be approved to be placed at the location of Oakwood Ave. and Valleyfield Road to deal with these problems.

2.0 REPORTS

2.1 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

2.1.1 TENDER 94-05 TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENTS - NANTUCKET AVE. & SCHOOL ST

AWARD TENDER:
CONTRACT 94-05

Report from Mr. Corrigan on tenders received for Contract 94-05, traffic improvements: Nantucket Ave. and School Street, recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Dexter Construction Co. Ltd., with a bid price of \$80,347.37; completion time: 30 workdays.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract 94-05 to the lowest bidder, Dexter Construction Co. Ltd., with a bid price of \$80,347.37, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Thompson
Second: Ald. Cunningham

Ald. Cunningham asked why the bids for this contract came in so much higher than the budget estimate. Mr. Purdy said a couple of items were missed in preparing the estimates, and there is more curb needing to be replaced on School Street than expected.

Ald. May asked that traffic signs at this location designate more clearly to drivers, the direction to #7 Highway and the Eastern Shore in particular, and that direction to MicMac Mall, for truckers, is required also. Mr. Purdy agreed to look at this request.

In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.2 TENDER, CONTRACT 94-21, MAYBANK SPORTS FIELD, BALL HOCKEY & BASKETBALL COURTS

AWARD TENDER:
 CONTRACT 94-21

Report from Mr. Corrigan on tenders received for Contract 94-21, Maybank Sports Field (ball hockey and basketball courts), recommending that the tender be awarded to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$42,586.00; completion time: 20 workdays.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract 94-21 to the lowest bidder, Ocean Contractors Ltd., with a bid price of \$42,586.00, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Rodgers
Second: Ald. MacFarlane
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.3 TENDER, CONTRACT PR94-05, LANDSCAPING IMPROVEMENTS, HIGHWAY 111

AWARD TENDER:
 CONTRACT PR94-05

Report from Mr. Corrigan (W. Stevens, C. Macomber, H. George) on tenders received for Contract PR 94-05, landscaping improvements, Highway 111, recommending that the tender be awarded to the low bidder, Elmsdale Landscaping, for a total tendered price of \$98,953.60.

MOTION: To award the tender for Contract PR94-05 to the low bidder, Elmsdale Landscaping, for a total tendered price of \$98,953.60, as recommended.

Moved: Ald. Rodgers
Second: Ald. MacFarlane
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

2.1.4 HARBOURVIEW SCHOOL TRAFFIC CONCERNS

TRAFFIC CONCERNS: As Secretary to the Dartmouth Board of Police Commissioners, HARBOURVIEW SCHOOL Mr. Corrigan has prepared a report for Council on the subject of parking problems at Harbourview School, an item dealt with at the July 21st Commission meeting. The report explains the nature of the complaints and problems, and outlines a proposal for dealing with them, submitted by Mr. Purdy, the Traffic Authority.

Ald. Pye said that basically, these same measures were already taken last fall, and the same problems continued to exist; he did not feel the proposal before Council will alleviate the situation. It was noted that this new plan provides for school bus parking on the school grounds, a change from the former arrangement. Ald. Greenough felt that the new proposal should at least be tried for a period of time, to see how it works, but that the time allowed for dropping off/picking up children, should be reduced from a half-hour to quarter-hour time limit. (Noted by Mr. Purdy.)

MOTION: To endorse the proposal presented,
on a trial basis.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington

Second: Ald. Greenough

Ald. Pye continued to feel that problems will not be addressed as they should be, but other members agreed with Ald. Greenough that the proposal has to be at least tried first before rejecting it. Ald. Woods asked that notification be given to the snow clearing people, to give this area particular attention during winter conditions.

In Favour: All

Against: None

Motion Carried

2.1.5 AMENDMENT TO MPS/LAND USE BY-LAW - C ZONE - SET DATE FORPUBLIC HEARING

SET DATE:
AMENDMENT
C ZONE

A report was before Council from Mr. Corrigan (V. Spencer, John Lukan) on proposed MPS and Land Use By-law amendments, to permit consideration of communications facilities on City property at the Mount Edward Road reservoir site, and to permit facilities required for the City's water supply operations in the C (Conservation) Zone. It is recommended that September 27/94 be set for public hearing, and that Council direct staff to proceed with a public information meeting at the earliest opportunity.

MOTION: To set Sept. 27/94 as the date for public hearing of MPS/Land Use By-law amendments (Mt. Edward reservoir site and Conservation Zone), as recommended, and to direct staff to proceed with a public information meeting at the earliest opportunity.

Moved: Ald. Cunningham

Second: Ald. Walton

In Favour: All

Against: None

Motion Carried

2.1.6 CROSSING GUARDS

CROSSING GUARDS

Mr. Corrigan has submitted a report to Council on crosswalk guards, an item for which a \$100,000. saving was projected in the budget, resulting in the possible elimination of 27 crossing guards from the program. The report makes three recommendations:

- 1) that one crosswalk location for each ward be eliminated immediately, to effect a savings of \$25,600. for this budget year.
- 2) Aldermen should jointly select the crosswalk locations to be eliminated, in conjunction with Police Services.
- 3) that an overexpenditure of \$74,400. be approved for the remainder of the budget year.

Mr. Corrigan reviewed his report with Council, and members agreed to deal with each recommendation separately.

MOTION: To adopt recommendation #1:

That one crosswalk location for each ward be eliminated immediately, to effect a savings of \$25,600. for this budget year.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington

Second: Ald. Thompson

Ald. MacDonald began the debate by stating his objection to any cuts in this service. Ald. Cunningham felt that the only determination for eliminating crosswalk locations should be safety, an opinion shared by several other members who spoke on the motion. Mr. Corrigan noted the indication of the Acting Deputy Police Chief, that 'it is possible to eliminate one crosswalk from each ward, without affecting the safety of the students'. However, 'Wards 1, 3 and 7 could not have more than one crosswalk eliminated' without a safety risk.

Aldermen willing to go along with recommendation #1, were: Ald. Hetherington, Walton, Sarto, Hawley. Those opposed were: Ald. Rodgers, Pye and MacDonald. Ald. Greenough said he could only accept approval in principle, with a determination of crosswalks to be eliminated after school has opened and student crossing patterns have been established. Ald. Rodgers and MacFarlane stressed the need for criteria on which to base crosswalk decisions; they felt that present problems arise from this lack of criteria in the past. Ald. Hawley agreed.

Ald. Hetherington said he was prepared to name the location for elimination in Ward 7 - Clyde Street & Johnstone Ave. Ald. Sarto said that based on his survey, it would be possible to determine seven locations, but not fourteen. Ald. May's suggestion was to have police officers on duty at 8:00 a.m. and at the other times required for crosswalk duties. The Mayor referred to the cost of having police officer tied up with these duties.

In Favour: All members except
Against: Ald. Pye, Rodgers, May, MacDonald
Motion Carried

MOTION: To adopt recommendation #2:

Aldermen should jointly select the crosswalk locations to be eliminated, in conjunction with Police Services.

Moved: Ald. Hetherington
Second: Ald. Walton

Debate on this motion centered mainly around whose responsibility it is to make this kind of decision. Ald. Rodgers said that if the motion is approved, he would not recommend the elimination of any crosswalk locations in Ward 4. Ald. Pye would not support the elimination of any locations in Ward 5.

In Favour: Minority of Council
Against: Majority of Council
Motion Defeated

Ald. Greenough then proposed a crosswalk selection motion, as follows:

MOTION: That Police Services select crosswalk locations to be eliminated, and notify the Aldermen in the wards, prior to making recommendations to Council.

Moved: Ald. Greenough
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All members except
Against: Ald. May, Levandier, Rodgers,
Pye, MacDonald
Motion Carried

MOTION: To adopt recommendation #3:

FIRST APPROVAL:
CROSSWALK SERVICES

To give first approval to an over-expenditure in the amount of \$74,400. to cover the cost of crosswalk guard services.

Moved: Ald. Sarto
Second: Ald. Hetherington

Ald. Levandier and Rodgers questioned a commitment to an over-expenditure, when Council has not yet received any financial statements showing the status of budgeted items to date.

In Favour: All members
Against: None
Motion Carried

NOTICE OF
RECONSIDERATION

At a later point in the meeting, Ald. MacDonald gave notice of reconsideration, seconded by Ald. May.

Second approval for the over-expenditure of \$74,400. is required at the next regular Council meeting.

2.1.7 1994/95 CAPITAL BUDGET AMENDMENT

CAPITAL BUDGET
AMENDMENT

Mr. Corrigan has reported to Council on a requested reallocation of Capital Budget funds for Ward 3, from the item Land Development, Downtown, for capital projects at Eastwood Manor and Nantucket Place, as described in the memo of request from Ald. May and Ald. Levandier.

It is Mr. Corrigan's opinion that such an allocation would be a significant departure in funding practice' for the reasons stated in his report. He has therefore recommended that the City's Capital Budget continue to be directed towards capital projects of the municipality.

MOTION: To adopt the recommendation:

That the City's Capital Budget continue to be directed towards capital projects of the municipality.

Moved: Ald. Hawley
Second: Ald. Thompson

Ald. May opposed the motion and the recommendation. He felt the reallocation is in order, and noted that the City would get a \$10,000. credit in the 1995/96 budget, from the Provincial Dept. of Housing, and payment for any costs in excess of \$50,000.

Generally, members were not willing to make this change in capital spending policy, by diverting funds from municipal projects, and it was felt that the Mayor should have further discussion with the Housing Minister, to see if there is some way the Eastwood and Nantucket projects can be funded this year. It was suggested that some arrangement, similar to the one for the Akerley Blvd. extension, might be negotiated in this case as well.

MOTION: To defer the item for two weeks, for a report from the Mayor and Mr. Corrigan, after discussions with the Housing Minister, to see what arrangements are possible.

Moved: Ald. Levandier
Second: Ald. Hetherington
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

Best wishes were extended by Council to Mayor and Mr. McCluskey, and to their son Mike who is being married on the west coast.

Council went in camera at 9:30 p.m., on motion of Ald. Greenough and Hetherington. Council later reconvened in open meeting, to ratify the action taken while meeting in camera.

MOTION: To ratify the action taken while meeting in camera on this date (land matter and legal matter).

Moved: Ald. Hawley
Second: Ald. Thompson
In Favour: All
Against: None
Motion Carried

Meeting adjourned at 11:00 p.m.

V. Carmichael

V. Carmichael,
Asst. City Clerk.

ITEMS:

- 1.0 Notices of Motion, page 1.
 - 2.0 Reports, page 1.
 - 2.1 Chief Administrative Officer, page 1.
 - 2.1.1 Tender 94-05, Traffic Improvements, Nantucket/School St., pg.1
 - 2.1.2 Tender, Contract 94-11, Maybank Sports Field, page 2.
 - 2.1.3 Tender, Contract PR94-05, Landscaping, Highway 111, page 2.
 - 2.1.4 Harbourview School traffic concerns, page 3.
 - 2.1.5 Amendment to MPS/Land Use By-law, C Zone, Set date, pg. 3.
 - 2.1.6 Crossing Guards, page 4 to 6 incl.
Notice of Reconsideration, page 6.
 - 2.1.7 1994/95 Capital Budget Amendment, page 6 & 7.
-