

1860

July 18<sup>th</sup> this Committee. The Council will perceive that the Estimate exceeds by Four Thousand pounds the amount allowed by law to be assessed upon the City except by consent of the Governor and Council. They would therefore recommend in order to keep the credit of the City unimpaired that application be made to the Governor and Council for leave to assess for Two Thousand pounds additional and that an account be opened with one of the Banks for the other Two Thousand pounds to make up the required amount.

The Council will perceive that only three of the Committee were present to consider the foregoing Estimate, being unable to get a quorum for that purpose they therefore thought it best to proceed with the business at once as the permission of the Governor and Council has yet to be obtained before the Assessment can be levied which will cause further delay and funds are immediately required to meet the demands against the City,

All of which is respectfully Submitted

Sig<sup>n</sup> Philip Thompson Chairman

John A Bell

John D Nash

Approved M. H. Pickey

Alderman Evans gives notice of Reconsideration

The following resolution is next introduced

Resolved that the Report of the Committee of Public Accounts having been received and adopted that application be made to the Lieutenant Governor and Council to assess the City for £2000 pursuant to law and in accordance with the recommendation contained in said report.

Moved by Alderman Twining seconded by Alderman Bell.

Alderman Evans introduced an amendment which not being seconded. The original resolution is put and carried 11 voting for the same and 1 against it.

Read report of Committee of Common  
relative to Settlement of suit with Major General Trolope.

Report

The Committee of Common having given their serious consideration to the recent proposal of the Major General in reference to the differences between the corporation and the War Department now Report.

That they still hold the views they formerly held with regard to the rights of the citizens respecting the Common, but the arguments upon which their conclusion

Read & rel to application  
to Governor & Council for  
leave to Assess £2000  
additional

Report Committee  
Common relative to  
Settlement of Suit  
of Common

1860

July 18  
Continued

121

were founded having been fully set forth in their previous reports, it is not necessary to repeat them here. The Committee believe that the views they then entertained were entirely consistent both with the facts of the case, and with the law, fairly construed, but viewing the question in its present aspect and upon the suggestion of the judges of the Supreme Court a majority of the Committee are disposed to compromise the matter in dispute, provided an amicable arrangement can be made without too great a sacrifice on the part of the City and provided also, that sufficient guarantees can be given that the arrangement so made, shall be a permanent one.

The Committee confess that they were disappointed in the results of the law suit, which by the way they beg to remind the Council was not sought by them but in a manner forced upon them by the General and his legal advisers as will appear from the correspondence. Considering the trouble and pains the Committee took to collect information and put it into shape, in order that the public might understand the question, considering also that the case on behalf of the City was really a very strong one, and ably presented to the Court by the lawyer acting for the corporation - the result of the trial was extremely unsatisfactory and not such as to induce the Committee to look forward to a new trial with any great degree of hopefulness. It must be remembered that an adverse decision would place the citizens completely in the power of the War Department, as regards the North Boundary, and at the late trial, the City narrowly escaped that undesirable state of affairs, the cause having been dismissed, in consequence of the jury being equally divided. Further than this, the Committee did certainly expect to have received support from the press whereas with the exception of an occasional anonymous notice, the Newspapers were either entirely indifferent, or seemed to be under an impression that the Committee had been rash and precipitate and ought not to have allowed matters to go so far. A similar indifference the Committee regret to say pervaded the great mass of the citizens. Nor must it be forgotten, that the omission of a few words accidental or otherwise, when the Act of Incorporation was revised in 1851 has given the War Department an advantage so great, that had it not been for that most unfortunate omission, the probability is, the present controversy never would have arisen.

The Committee feel that they would be bound to uphold the rights of the citizens under all and every discouragement so long as they deemed there was a prospect of a favourable issue to the City. But they are equally bound to exercise a wise discretion, and therf think it would be better under existing circumstances to make some sacrifice, for the purpose of securing the rights that remain, rather than to risk a new trial with the possibility of making our position worse instead of better.

It has been objected to the

1860

July 18<sup>th</sup>  
Continued

Major Generals proposition, that it requires the City to make not a compromise, but a surrender of all its rights. The Committee do not so regard the Generals proposition. In Mr Ritches letter of 5<sup>th</sup> May 1858, he states that "he has been urged by the Major General Commanding the Troops, to take the necessary legal steps to have all existing erections on the Northern part of the Common removed without delay, and to prevent further encroachments". By the northern part of the Common here is referred to the Committee understand the General to mean the whole of the Common north of Spring Garden Road, and that such was his meaning is evident from Mr Ritches next letter of 9<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>n</sup> in which he says that the General will not at present interfere with certain enclosures within the limits referred to but will only require the removal of all the fences to the North of the Burial Ground. The General here plainly intimates that the whole of the enclosed fields may be required for military exercises, and it is as well for the Council to remember that the law as it presents stands, actually gives him the power to level the fences of all the cultivated fields on the Common.

The proposal now made by the Major General is that the Corporation shall leave open and free of fences or other obstructions, the whole of the Common north of the Bell Road, so called, including the part which it was in contemplation to lease to the Cricket Club, and also the space surrounding the property of the War Department on Camp Hill. In return the General waives his claim to the remaining enclosures on the Common, in other words he relinquishes all claim to the whole of the Common south of the Bell Road, excepting the space known as Camp Hill. In effect, the Major General abandons the larger part of his claim, while on the other hand as the exclusive right of the City Council over the South Common is unquestionable, and the right of the War Department to the use of the North Eastern Section has not been disputed, the question simply resolves itself into this, whether the City Council shall continue the law suit for the purpose of asserting the right to restore the fence around the north triangular field, and the right to erect fences around the proposed Cricket Ground and Camp Hill.

It is to be observed that the citizens are not required to relinquish any other claim or right. The fee simple of the whole Common is vested in the citizens and cannot be alienated from them; the control of the whole Common remains with the City Council as before. The right of the citizens to the Common as a place of pasture or recreation, remains intact, nothing is relinquished except the privilege of erecting fences or other buildings north of those already in existence, and such being the case, the Committee cannot think they are failing in their duty to their constituents, in recommending that a vexatious and irritating dispute shall be terminated if possible, by a compromise on the basis suggested.

As the matter is one of considerable moment, and it

125

1860  
July 18<sup>th</sup>  
Continued

was upon the recommendation of this Committee that the rights of the City were defended in the Supreme Court. the Committee trust that the reasons they now give for adopting another course will be understood and approved by the City Council and the citizens generally,

All of which is submitted

Sig<sup>d</sup> John A Bell

Chairman

Matthew Lowndes

Jos Jennings

Charles Twining

Committee

W. C. Moir

Protest of Aldm<sup>r</sup>  
Cogswell against  
Settlement of the  
Suit of Common

Proposal of W.  
Ritchie Esq<sup>r</sup> rel<sup>t</sup> to  
Commons

Read Protest of Alderman Cogswell  
(See file)

Read proposal of I W Ritchie  
Esqr Attorney of Major General Trollope relative to the  
settlement of dispute.

Halifax July 4<sup>th</sup> 1860 —

Sir I have been authorized by Major General Trollope  
to make the following proposals for the settlement of  
the questions involved in the suit between the City of  
Halifax and the Military viz

All that part of the Common  
lying to the North of the triangular field to remain open  
unenclosed and unobstructed for exercising and encamping  
the troops. The other fields at present enclosed lying between  
the Horticultural Society's Gardens and Bell Street to remain  
enclosed as at present and not to be interfered with by the  
Military unless in the opinion of the Commander of the  
Forces it should become indispensably necessary for  
the public defense. Nothing herein to affect the rights  
of the citizens to the use of any part of the Common for  
pasturage and recreation, subject only to the right  
of the military to exercise and encamp on the north portion  
as hereinbefore described and nothing herein to affect the title  
of the Military to the sever and a half acres on Camp Hill  
owned by the British Government.

Your obedient Servant

Sig<sup>d</sup> I. W. Ritchie

on same subject.

Read proposals of Committee of Common

Halifax G.G In the Supreme Court 1860 —

Cause

The City of Halifax  
vs

Richard S Nelson *Deft*

In order to settle the differences which

1860

July 18<sup>th</sup> have arisen between the War Department and the City relative  
Continued to the public Common and the occupation thereof.

It is hereby agreed that the above suit be discontinued each  
party paying their own Costs, and that hereafter all the Common  
which lies to the North of the Bell Road so called - shall remain  
and be kept unenclosed and open - and free from any obstruc-  
tion to the exercising and encamping the troops thereon,  
and that it be kept in order at the expense of the War Depart-  
ment who shall be authorized to level the same and make  
such improvements thereon as may from time to time be  
necessary for Military purposes as above mentioned.

That the fields lying to the South of the said  
Road and between it and the Horticultural Gardens shall  
remain enclosed as at present with wooden fences so long  
as the City may require them, unless the said fences should  
have to be removed for the purpose of the defense of the Citadel  
pursuant to the third Section of the act relative to the Common,

Nothing herein contained to affect the rights of the City  
or of the City Council to make or improve any roads across  
the Common pursuant to Law or to the use of the Common  
by the citizens for pasture of cattle or for recreation  
subject nevertheless to the right of the Military to exercise  
or encamp on the North portion thereof as hereinbefore des-  
cribed or to affect the title of the War Department to Seven  
and one half acres on the Camp Hill,

When following resolution is next  
introduced

~~Res. authorising  
Committee of Common  
to Settle the Suit.~~

Resolved that the Committee of  
Common be authorised to settle the Suit relative to Common  
upon the terms specified in the Report submitted by the  
Chairman the Committee taking care to have the agreement  
sanctioned by the ~~xxxxxx~~ War Department so far as the  
same may be deemed necessary and that the Recorder  
be associated with the Committee in effecting such settle-  
ment Moved by Alderman Twining seconded by Alderman  
Thompson to which the following amendment is intro-  
duced

Resolved that the Report of the  
Committee of Common relative to a compromise of the Law  
suit with the War Department and the protest to the said  
Report be published in ~~one~~ of the City Papers Moved by Alder-  
man Cogswell seconded by Alderman James Duggan which  
amendment on being put is lost 3 Voting for the same  
and 8 against it and the names being called for appeared  
thus-

For the Amendment  
Alderman John Duggan  
James Duggan  
Cogswell

Against it  
Ald. Thos. Jennings  
Barnstead Evans  
Lowndes Twining  
Thompson Bell

1860  
July 18<sup>th</sup>  
Continued secd

The following amendment is next introduced.

Resolved that the subject of the compromise of the law suit respecting the Common be over to the next meeting. Moved by Alderman Cogswell seconded by Alderman Thompson, which on being put is passed 10 Voting for the same and 1 against it.

The order of the day is next suspended to take up report of Committee of Tenders of the 18<sup>th</sup> inst submitted this day -

Committee Room

18 July 1860

Committee of Tenders met this day Present Aldermen Conway Barnstead Duggan and the Chairman,

Report Committee  
Tenders July 18<sup>th</sup>  
1860 rel to the  
Building Market  
Slip

The following Tenders for erection of building on the Market Slip were opened

No 1	Christopher Park	\$2834.0.0
2	Robert Malcolm	2416.0.0
3	John Gillard & Morris	2364.1.6
4	Davis & Barton	2540.0.0
5	George Lang	2551.11.0
6	Rufus Foster	2840.0.0
7	Thomas Sanders	<u>2010.0.0</u>

The Tender of Thomas Sanders being the lowest the Committee recommend that it be accepted on his furnishing the requisite security for due performance of the work

Sig<sup>n</sup>. Mathew H. Richey

Chairman

On Motion said Report is received and adopted 9 Voting for the same and 2 against it,

The order of the day is again suspended to allow Alderman Evans to introduce a resolution of Voting for it and 1 against it when following resolution is introduced.

Resolved that this Council do reconsider the vote passed at its last meeting against Horse Racing on the Common and adhere to their previous vote giving the citizens permission for the same. Providing it do not occupy more than one day, and do not take place on the day or days of the other sports on the Common. Moved by Alderman Evans seconded by Ald. John Duggan which on being put is lost 3 Voting for the same and 7 against it

1860

July 18<sup>th</sup>

Continued to allow Alderman Cogswell to introduce a resolution when following resolution is introduced,

Resol. ref. to Committee

City Prison being a Prison be empowered and required to provide the inmates of that institution with a suitable dinner on the occasion of the visit of H.R.H. the Prince of Wales. Moved by Alderman Cogswell seconded by Alderman James Duggan which on being put is passed.

Resol. ref. to Commissioners of Poor providing a dinner for Inmates on the visits of H.R.H. Prince of Wales,

The following resolution is next introduced

Resolved that this Council meet in a body to attend the funeral of the late Chief Justice Sir Brenton Halliburton to take place tomorrow Thursday at 4 o'clock. Moved by Alderman Cogswell seconded by Alderman Mois I and passed.

The following resolution is next introduced

Resolved that in the event of the Commissioners of the Poor deciding to give the inmates of that establishment a dinner on the visit of H.R.H. the Prince of Wales the City Council will give the sum of Twenty pounds towards defraying the expense of the same.

Moved by Alderman Jennings seconded by Alderman James Duggan (which on being put is passed,) to which the following amendment is introduced

Resolved that as this Council have to contribute their share toward the maintenance of the Poor Asylum out of the City Funds. Resolved that the Resolution before the Council is uncalled for. Moved by Alderman Evans seconded by Alderman Thompson which amendment on being put there appeared for the same & against it 6 amendment lost.

The original resolution is then put and carried 6 Voting for the same and 4 against it

Alderman Evans gives notice of reconsideration -

On Motion

The Council adjourn

1860

July 30<sup>th</sup>

At a Special Meeting of City Council held this day Present His Worship the Mayor Aldermen Pugsley. Cogswell. Duggan Lowndes. Barnstead. Nash. Twining. Thompson James Duggan. Moir. Trenamain. Events Leahy. Conway. Roome Bell. Richer and Jennings,

The City Council were summoned to Present the Address to His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales at the Dockyard,

Council proceed to the Dock-  
yard when on the landing of His Royal Highness  
the following address was read by His Honor  
the Recorder,

To His Royal Highness Albert  
Edward, Prince of Wales, Prince of  
the United Kingdom, Duke of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha,  
Prince of Saxe Coburg and Gotha, &  
Duke of Cornwall and Rothesay,  
Earl of Dublin, Chester and Lancast.  
Baron of Renfrew, Lord of the Isles,  
Great Steward of Scotland, Knight  
of the Garter Ye Ye Ye.

May it Please your Royal Highness.

We the Mayor and Aldermen  
of the City of Halifax in Nova Scotia, in the name  
of the Citizens, do most cordially welcome your  
Royal Highness to our shores.

We rejoice that our City should  
be thus highly honored by the presence of the  
son of our revered and beloved Queen, the grand-  
son of that illustrious Duke whose memory is  
gratefully cherished as the warm and constant  
friend of Nova Scotia, and the Heir apparent to  
the powerful and glorious Empire over which  
Her Majesty has, for so many years, so wisely  
and so beneficially ruled,

We venture

on being put it passed last night for it and 12  
against it and the names being called for they appeared  
thus

For the Amendment  
Alderman Leahy  
Events

Against it  
Ald. Pugsley. Conway. Moir  
Trenamain. Lowndes. Twining  
John Duggan. Nash. Barnstead  
James Duggan. Cogswell. Thompson

125

1860  
 July 18<sup>th</sup>  
 Continued to allow  
 Res'd. re'l to Committee  
 City Prison being a Prison  
 empowered to provide that in  
 dinner for City Prisoners the Kiss  
 owners  
 Dogs were  
 being p  
 introd  
 in a b  
 Sir Bre  
 at 4 o  
 by A  
 introd  
 Res'd. re'l to Commis  
 sioners of Poor providing  
 a dinner for Inmates that es  
 on the visit of Her  
 H. Prince of Wales,  
 of Wale  
 Spouse  
 More  
 James  
 the fo  
 Res'd. in amend  
 ment of above.  
 have to  
 the Poor  
 the Re  
 by Al  
 which  
 same s  
 put an  
 reconcio

We venture to approach your Royal Highness with the expression of an earnest hope, that your sojourn in this City and on this side the Atlantic may be attended with much pleasure.

We are fondly persuaded that the reception which awaits Your Royal Highness in every section of Her Majesty's North American dominions will not only impress you with the conviction that devotion to the British Throne and attachment to British institutions, form abiding elements in the minds of the inhabitants, but that the lustre which has been shed on the Crown by the Christian and domestic virtues of our Most Gracious Sovereign, is justly and gratefully appreciated by all her Subjects.

We earnestly implore the favor of all Good to guard and protect you, to restore you in safety to the parent land, and to that illustrious family circle of which we regard you as the ornament and the pride, and that you may be graciously pleased long to spare Your Royal Highness to fulfill those distinguished destinies to which your high position points,

(Signed) Samuel Caldwell, Mayor  
 William Sutherland Recorder  
 John L Clegg City Clerk  
 Henry D. Duggsby  
 Charles Gossell } Mnd 1  
 John Duggan  
 Matthew Lounds  
 Charles Barnstead } Mnd 2  
 John P. Nash  
 Charles Twining  
 Philip Thompson } Mnd 3  
 James Duggan  
 W. C. Moir  
 J Hartshorne City Treas'

His Royal Highness was  
 graciously pleased to reply to this address as  
 follows:

J

Gentlemen

I have been led to expect that the loyalty and attachment to the British Crown which exists among the Inhabitants of Halifax would insure to me a kind reception in your City, but the scene which I have witnessed this morning proves that my expectations are more than realized.

For your welcome to myself, I feel, I assure you sincere gratitude, but it is still more satisfactory to me, as a Son and as an Englishman, to witness your affectionate attachment to the Queen, and to the Laws and Institutions of our common Country.

Your allusion to my illustrious Grandfather is also most grateful to my feelings and I rejoice to find that his memory is cherished amongst you.

In your noble Harbour the Navies of Britain can ride in safety, whilst you prosecute that commercial activity, which under their protection would seem destined to make Halifax one of the most important Cities of the Western World, and to raise her Inhabitants to a high position of wealth and prosperity. That such may be the fate reserved for it by Providence is my earnest hope.

I request you to convey to the Citizens of whom you are representatives, my cordial thanks for the greeting they have given me,

After reading the above reply the Council proceeded to Government House with the procession where they adjourned,

on being put it passed lost 2 voting for it and 12 against it and the names being called for they appeared thus

For the Amendment  
Alderman Leahy  
Covens

Against it  
Ald. Pugsley. Conway. Mois  
Tunndown. Lownds. Twining  
John Duggan. Nash. Barnstead  
James Duggan. Cogswell. Thompson

1860  
July 18<sup>th</sup>  
continued to allow

Resol. ref. to Committee  
City Prison being a Prison  
empowered to provide that in  
dinner for City Prisoners the Kiss  
owners

Cogswell  
being p  
introd

in a b  
Sir Br  
at 4 o  
by a

Resol. ref. to Commis-  
sioners of Poor providing  
a dinner for Inmates that a  
on the visit of Her  
H. Prince of Wales,  
of War  
house  
More  
James  
the fo.

Resol. in amend-  
ment of above -  
have t.  
the Po  
the R  
by A  
which  
same.

put as

reconsi

J

1860  
July 23<sup>rd</sup>

At a Special Meeting of City Council held this day present His Worship the Mayor, Aldermen Cogswell John Duggan, Lownds, Nash, Twining, Thompson, Mois Finnamin, Evans, Leahy and Conway,

1860

Read over proceedings of 18<sup>th</sup> July

The City Council were summoned to take up Alderman Evans notice of reconsideration relative to Estimate for the present year and the transaction of other business.

Alderman Conway in absence of Chairman submits report of Committee of Tenders received

The City Council next take up Alderman Evans notice of reconsideration relative to report and Estimate of Committee of Public Accounts.

Read Report and Estimate of Committee of Public Accounts as submitted last meeting.

A motion is made that the Report and Estimate of the Committee of Public Accounts be reconsidered To which the following amendment is introduced that the Report and Estimate be adopted, - which amendment on being put is passed.

The following resolution is next introduced

The report of the Committee of Public Accounts having been received and adopted, Resolved that the application be made to the Lieutenant Governor and Council to assess the Citizens for £2,000 pursuant to Law, and in accordance with the recommendation contained in said report Moved by Alderman Twining seconded by Alderman Nash. To which the following amendment is introduced

Whereas the Estimate for the present year, has not been regularly passed by the Committee of Public Accounts as provided by the Charter of Incorporation before being brought to this Council for their concurrence

Resolved that the Report and Estimate for the present year be laid on the table of this Council for the inspection of Members. Moved by Alderman Evans seconded by Alderman Leahy which amendment on being put is passed lost 2 voting for it and 12 against it and the names being called for they appeared thus

For the Amendment  
Alderman Leahy  
Evans

Against it  
Ald. Pugsley, Conway, Mois  
Finnamin, Lownds, Twining  
John Duggan, Nash, Barnstead  
James Duggan, Cogswell, Thompson