CITY COUNCIL M I N U T E S

Council Chamber, City Hall, Halifax, N. S., July 14, 1960, 8:10 P. M.

A. macton

A meeting of the City Council was held on the above date.

After the meeting was called to order by the Chairman, the members of Council attending, led by the City Clerk, joined in repeating the Lord's Prayer.

There were present His Worship the Mayor, Chairman; Aldermen DeWolf, Abbott, Dunlop, Lane, Macdonald, Fox, Ferguson, Trainor, Lloyd, Wyman and Greenwood.

Also present were Messrs. A. A. DeBard, Jr., R. H. Stoddard, W. J. Clancey, H. K. Randall, T. C. Doyle, J. F. Thomson, L.M. Romkey, V. W. Mitchell, G. F. West, K. Munnich and Dr. A. R. Morton.

MINUTES

MOVED by Alderman DeWolf, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that the minutes of Council: May 2, Special; May 2, Adjourned; May 5, Special; May 5, Adjourned; May 12, Regular; and May 26, Regular, be approved. Motion passed.

RESIGNATION - CITY MANAGER

His Worship the Mayor: "I am in receipt of the resignation of Mr. DeBard who has accepted the position of City Manager in Peoria, Illinois. I think in the first place, the Manager has requested that the usual leave period be granted to him, such as he has earned up until this time. In so doing, I appreciate the fact that this is the policy that he has established with respect to other employees. At the same time, though, I think that we have, in the past, given special consideration; and certainly, I think that we should in this case, give Mr. DeBard his full annual leave for this year. That being the case, I would recommend that his resignation be accepted as of August 11th at which time he would proceed with his annual leave to August 31st.

"May I speak for the Council and say that we regret that Mr. DeBard is going to Peoria. I think he had a difficult position here in Halifax in that he had to start off the new system of administration. I think you will all agree, and the citizens, generally, will agree with me, in that he filled this role, I think, with distinction and, certainly, he is a man of great integrity.

R 13 81 "

"He has started the system on such a footing that it now can be carried on successfully by any person who comes behind him. I think that you will all agree with me that he has done a wonderful job for the City and the citizens of Halifax. We wish him well in his new appointment; we would like to hear from him from time to time and we wish him all the best."

Alderman Lloyd: "I would like to ask, before moving the acceptance of this, if Mr. DeBard's decision on the matter is irrevocable?"

City Manager: "Yes, I have given my word to Peoria."

Alderman Lloyd: "There's no opportunity for us to discuss any matter of renegotiation with you. I ask that question because I'm sure that if there was such an opportunity, that we would certainly want to do so. If the decision is irrevocable, then we have no choice in the matter."

Alderman Wyman: "I will move the acceptance of Mr. DeBard's resignation and in doing so, I would like to add to the sentiments that you have expressed with regard to the services of Mr. DeBard as City Manager of Halifax."

The motion was seconded by Alderman Abbott.

His Worship the Mayor: "Yesterday morning I was rather annoyed by a representative of the local Press who called me at my home respecting Mr. DeBard's retirement and suggested that the City Council was going to discontinue the City Manager post. I have never heard any member of this Council, "in recent years, discuss the thought of doing away with the position. It is firmly established by legislation, and it will continue, I think. Some points have been made about differences of opinions sometimes but I think that those persons who read the Press and follow the minutes of the Council, and the Committees of Council over the last eight years, would have recognized a familiar cry at these meetings, and this was: "What does the Manager recommend?" The number of times the Manager's recommendations were accepted without question number in the hundreds. The only time the headlines carry any notice of this is when we differ with him. This has to be in a democratic government. While we may have differed with Mr. DeBard on principle sometimes, I think for the most part, he will agree with me that he had wonderful support insofar as the administrative side is concerned. With that, I think we will try to kill these rumors that seem to be

- 803 -

flying around that we are going to discontinue the system. At least, to my knowledge, no one has expressed it."

Alderman Abbott: "In seconding the motion of Alderman Wyman, I would like to say a few words in connection with this. I was in the City Council when Mr. DeBard was hired eight years ago. I can honestly say that, in my opinion, Mr. DeBard has been an excellent City Manager and I certainly concur in your remarks. I think the City of Halifax was very fortunate indeed to have a man like Mr. DeBard to start off our City Manager system. It is certainly going to be a great loss to the citizens of Halifax when Mr. DeBard goes. Certainly, I hope, for one, that the City Manager system must certainly be continued."

His Worship the Mayor: "There's no question about it, Alderman."

Alderman Abbott: "I'm sure the City Manager system will be continued. It was a great disappointment to me to learn that Mr. DeBard was leaving because I feel that he was an excellent City Manager and has done an excellent job for the City of Halifax."

Alderman Macdonald: "Your Worship, I think you have expressed the sentiments of the Council very well in your remarks, but I would like to say that I regret very much the City Manager's decision to take a position elsewhere. I think he has done a very admirable job for the City of Halifax and I think in leaving this position, he has left a more efficient organization than possibly what existed here before. I think he has done much in the interest of the citizens of Halifax during his term of years here. I happen to be one, too, who was here when Mr. DeBard came; and I have had the greatest co-operation from him. Many things possibly that I have gone to him about and asked to be done, he didn't agree with me. In every case, I think he was probably right. I hope that he will be happy in his new position, enjoy it and be successful."

The motion was then put and passed.

Alderman Lloyd: "I would like to add a few words of appreciation for the very excellent services of Mr. DeBard as our administrator, occupying the position of City Manager for the City of Halifax for the past eight years. I

- 804 -

wish to reiterate what you have said that he was the first City Manager coming to the City and to a system which was, on many occasions, referred to as experimental by some. That indicated there was a job of convincing Council, by good work, that the system of government which we adopted commended itself as the best to operate under. As you have said, I think the outstanding eminence of the excellence of his work is the fact that the City Council, as I understand it, will now proceed to agree on the specifications and qualifications for another City Manager to take the place of Mr. DeBard. It's not going to be easy to find a man with the high qualifications as he holds. I presume that the Council is going to proceed immediately to find another person to take his place. While you have said that this is so, Your Worship, I think it would be rather nice tonight if we were to take a positive action, giving directions to the Finance and Executive Committee to prepare the recommended qualifications for the post that we are seeking, and proceed forthwith to take the steps to find a replacement. I think that, in itself, would be one of the greatest compliments we could pay to Mr. DeBard for his service to this City, to prove that we have, in fact, been convinced substantially from his services to the City, that the Manager system was a system we preferred to work under and would commend to any other municipal body."

MOVED by Alderman Lløyd, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the Finance and Executive Committee proceed to recommend to Council the qualifications required of applicants and the procedure to be adopted to secure a replacement for Mr. DeBard. Motion passed.

Alderman Lloyd felt a special meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee should be held within two weeks for this purpose.

Alderman Lloyd: "There is a statement from the Manager which refers to this matter. I presume the Manager wishes this to be part of the official record."

Alderman Dunlop: "I would object to that memorandum becoming part of the official record of this Council. There are some things in that, I think, would be better left unsaid. I don't know whether all the members of Council

- 805 -

had time to read it. My own opinion is: The Manager is going; and if he has made his decision, and his resignation is accepted, that's the end of it. If we are going to discuss this report, there are some things I would like to say about it."

His Worship the Mayor: "I haven't read it yet."

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that this matter be referred to the Finance and Executive Committee for consideration.

Alderman Lane: "I'm going to rise to one point in support of Alderman Dunlop on this. This may go to the Finance and Executive Committee, but it becomes public property tonight. The Press will have it. There's one statement here which I think should be amplified before the Manager leaves. In pointing out the ratios between Mayors' and Managers' salaries in the United States, he makes the comment: 'If the Halifax ratios between Mayors' and Managers' salaries are correct, there are 75 or more cities in the United States which are wrong'. He goes on to say: 'The higher Aldermanic salaries are, the more chance there is of attracting imcompetents who can be elected'. I must admit I take exception to that."

His Worship the Mayor: "I would, too."

Alderman Dunlop: "I think it is gratuitous advice coming at the present time, which is going to be circulated, as Alderman Lane says, tomorrow by the Press. I take objection. I don't think I'm incompetent at all. I think I'm quite competent. If this is a report, I suggest it be not received at all."

Alderman Lloyd: "Unfortunately, there are other matters which are of interest to us, apart from the one that has been mentioned. I think it is a matter, perhaps, that might best be either dealt with tonight and disposed of or certainly sent to the Committee. I think we should deal with it; I don't think we should just allow this matter to go uncommented on."

The motion was then put and passed.

GROUP LIFE INSURANCE - FIRE DEPARTMENT

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7,

1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising that the Fire Department Group Life Insurance Policy is up for renewal. In previous years, the premium amounted to \$8,487.00; but this year, because of a reduction of 20% in the premium, adjustments and refunds, it is reduced to \$4,384.57. The present protection amounts to \$5,000.00 in case of death which, on a per capita basis, costs \$19.66.

For an additional \$7.20 on a per capita basis, the Company will give further protection of \$1,000.00 for the employee's wife in case of death and \$500.00 for each dependent child from the age of 14 days to the 19th birthday.

The employees of the Fire Alarm and Fire Departments have been paying \$3.00 per month towards this insurance during past years, and the City has been paying whatever balance was necessary to pay the premium. Under these new rates, which include the additional protection, the contribution of \$3.00 per month from the employees would be more than adequate to pay the premium. After conferring with the City Manager and the Fire Chief, it has been decided to set up a special account in the General Ledger crediting the employees' contributions and the premium will be charged to the account.

If the premium remains on the same basis as at present, there will be a balance accumulated after a period of years in favour of the employees which will have to be distributed at a future date.

It is understood that in the event of the premium becoming higher than the amount of the contributions made by the employees, the difference would be paid by the City, as on previous occasions.

Your Committee recommends that the policy be renewed on the understanding that any surplus in the account will be expended before further contributions from the City are required.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman Fox, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

RETIREMENT - MESSRS. MARK ASHE AND DANIEL P. MACDONALD

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July ?, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising of the following retirements:

NAME	DEPARTMENT	DATE OF RETIREMENT	AMOUNT OF PENSION UNDER PLAN	SUPPLE- MENTARY GRANT	TOTAL ANNUAL <u>PENSION</u>
Mark Ashe Daniel P. MacDonald		September 1/60 September 1/60	\$1,710.80 99.90	\$798.22	\$2,509.02 99.90

The supplementary grant payable in the amount of \$798.22 to Mr. Ashe is provided under the authority of Section 316 "C" of the City Charter.

Your Committee recommends that this amount be provided under the above authority.

- 807 -

MOVED by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

RETIREMENT - SGT. JOHN BOWSER

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising that Sergeant John A. Bowser has been retired as of October 1, 1960, at an annual allowance of \$1,621.10 and is entitled to receive a supplementary grant in the amount of \$1,072.31, making a total pension of \$2,693.41.

Provision has been made in the Current Estimates to pay a grant of \$1,072.31 and your Committee recommends that this amount be paid.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

WIDOW'S ALLOWANCE - MRS. LINDA SHEPPARD

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising that a request has been received from Mrs. Linda Sheppard, widow of the late fireman, George A. Sheppard, for financial assistance.

According to Section 310-H-2 of the City Charter, Mrs. Sheppard may receive one-half the amount of her late husband's pension (\$937.46) which would give her an annual allowance of \$468.73 effective as of January 1, 1960.

Your Committee recommends that the allowance be paid under the authority of Section 316 "C" of the City Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Fox, seconded by Alderman Dunlop, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

SALE OF LAND TO COUNTY FOR SCHOOL PURPOSES

Being processed in Committee by His Worship the Mayor.

- 808 -

ACCOUNTS OVER \$500.00

TO: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and Members of the City Council

FROM: A. A. DeBard, Jr., City Manager

DATE: July 12, 1960

SUBJECT: Accounts over \$500.00

In accordance with Section 119-F of the City Charter, the following accounts are submitted for Council's approval. These accounts have been certified and audited.

DEPARTMENT	VENDOR	PURPOSE	AMOUNT
Finance	Alfred J. Bell	Insurance Specialists	\$ 4,173.42
	Halcraft Printing	Poll Tax Receipts	555.00
	Hillis & Sons Ltd.	Manhole Covers & Frames	960.00
	R. K. Kelley Co.	Insurance Premium	7,386.36
	Province of N. S.	Share of Costs - Halifax County Vocational	97,528.88
Police	R. R. Power Ltd.	Stop Signs	520.00
Works	Province of N. S.	Plowing Dutch Village Road	508.79
	James R. Kearney	Pipes	3,000.00
	Minnesota Mining & Mfg.	Heat Lamp vacuum applicator	1,119.88
	N. S. Light & Power	Removing & installing light fixtures	1,815.65
	Public Service Comm.	Installing water service - New Incinerator	10,119.26
Health Centre	Parke, Davis Company	Tuberculin	2,527.20
			\$130,214.44

A. A. DEBARD, JR., CITY MANAGER.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Greenwood, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

CLOSING PORTION OF RECTOR STREET BETWEEN GOTTINGEN & LEAMAN STREETS

It was agreed to defer this item until the end of the agenda.

- 809 -

REFUSE COLLECTION

This item was withdrawn.

PREFAB SURPLUS

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July ?, 1960, a report was submitted from the City Manager advising that during the last two years the Prefabricated Housing Surplus has been earmarked for the extinguishing of the capital advanced and losses sustained in the Emergency Housing.

He further advised that the bank balance as of May 31, 1960, was \$95,556.10 and the mortgages receivable - \$547,541.52, making a total of approximately \$650,000.00.

He recommended that these funds be used insofar as possible, for housing, specifically for the Westwood Park Project which is the nearest to acceptance and construction.

Your Committee concurs in the recommendation of the City Manager.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Fox, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that the report be

approved. Motion passed.

UNEXPENDED BALANCES FOR SCHOOL PURPOSES

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Finance advising that the following balances which are no longer required for capital school purposes, have been merged into a fund called "Items Available for Other School Purposes", in accordance with Section 31 of the City Charter:

ACCOUNT NUMBER	FUNDED	EXPENDED	BALANCE AVAIL ABLE FOR SCHOO PURPOSES
 53-2 REHABILITATION PRESENT BUILDINGS 53=15 ST. FRANCIS SCHOOL 53-23 ST. PATRICK'S JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL 53-8 SPRINKLER SYSTEMS 53-10 PANIC HARDWARE 53-13 LEMARCHANT STREET SCHOOL 53-18 ADDITION ALEXANDRA SCHOOL 53-19 BAYERS ROAD SCHOOL 53-22 TOWER ROAD SCHOOL 	\$222,000.00 641,324.54 4,000.00 187,500.00 22,000.00 339,000.00 70,350.00 350,000.00 82.500.00	\$202,809.93 595,501.00 3,997.83 187,402.22 21,997.23 338,850.56 69,005.38 348,123.86 78,060.88	\$19,190.07 45,823.54 2.17 97.78 2.77 149.44 1,344.62 1,876.14 4.439.12
	\$1 018 674 54\$	1 845 748 89	\$72,925.65

\$1,918,674.54\$1,845,748.89 \$72,925.0

He recommended that the amount of \$72,925.65 be applied to the credit of the Queen Elizabeth High School Account.

Your Committee concurs in this recommendation.

Respectfully submitted.

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the

report be approved. Motion passed.

CITY PRISON WATER SUPPLY

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Public Health and Welfare Committee, held on July 7, 1960, a report was submitted from the Commissioner of Health advising that the water pressure at the City Prison has been very poor because of corroded pipes.

He further advised that the Public Service Commission have suggested that there should be a 6-inch main installed from their main to the hydrant into the basement of the Prison. He also advised that 200 feet of $l\frac{1}{2}$ inch galvanized iron pipe in the basement has to be replaced as well.

The price submitted covering both installations is approximately \$652.00. He requested that this work be done immediately.

Your Committee recommends that the request be granted, funds to be provided by the Current Estimates; and any balance necessary at the end of the year, under the authority of Section 316 $^{\circ}C^{\circ}$ of the City Charter.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

REZONING LAND - OLIVET STREET FROM INDUSTRIAL ZONE TO R-3 ZONE

Referred to the Town Planning Board for consideration and report.

REZONING DAVIS STREET - R-2 ZONE TO R-3 ZONE - DATE FOR HEARING - AUGUST 25th

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Rezoning - Davis Street (R-2 - R-3).

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered the matter of rezoning Davis Street from R-2 to R-3.

- 811 -

This matter was referred back from City Council to the Town Planning Board.

On motion of Alderman Connolly, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Board approved the request to rezone Davis Street from R-2 zone to R-3 zone and recommended to City Council that a date be set for a public hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the report be approved and that Council fix August 25, 1960, at 8:00 P. M. in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Halifax, Nova Scotia, as the time and place for a Public Hearing on this matter. Motion passed.

REZONING - #16-18 LONDON STREET - R-2 ZONE TO R-3 ZONE

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Rezoning - #16-18 London Street (R-2 - R-3)

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending against an application to rezone civic no. 16-18 London Street from R-2 to R-3.

On motion of Alderman Macdonald, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

MODIFICATION OF LOT FRONTAGE, LOT AREA AND SIDEYARD - #534-536 ROBIE STREET

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Modification of Lot Frontage, Lot Area and Sideyard - #534-536 Robie Street

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending against a request for modification of lot frontage, lot area and sideyard at civic no. 534-536 Robie Street.

On motion of Alderman Connolly, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

> Respectfully submitted, K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

- 812 -

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

APPEAL RE: CONVERSION OF GARAGE TO HOUSE PONY - NUMBER 11 OAKLAND ROAD

11 Oakland Road, June 20, 1960

Sir:

With reference to your recent decision regarding my application to convert my garage at 11 Oakland Road for use as a stable for one pony, I respectfully request that I may have permission to appeal the decision before a meeting of the City Council, if possible, at the session scheduled for the 14th July, 1960.

My reasons for making this request are that I feel the keeping of a pony for my children would be quite suitable in the area and I am quite sure that it would cause no nuisance.

Yours truly,

C. G. PRATT.

The Clerk of the Council, City of Halifax.

Mr. West: "We refused the Occupancy Permit. We did ask the people in the immediate area for their opinions on it. We refused the permit but the applicant has the privilege of appealing."

His Worship the Mayor: "None of the persons who objected in the original census have been notified that the appeal was being heard tonight. I think the persons who objected in the first instance might be made aware of the fact that they have a right to appeal here."

Alderman Greenwood: "Could we not hear the appeal and have a Public Hearing later?"

His Worship the Mayor: "The Building Inspector refused a permit to permit the conversion of a garage into a stable."

Alderman Wyman: "I think that we should have before us the fact that an application was made, when it was made, what was done with it, and when the appeal was entered, and how, so that we know what the sequence is up until this present point."

City Solicitor: "If the Building Inspector refuses to grant a permit, there is no provision for an appeal under the City Charter. The Building Inspector may issue a permit for such stable or may refuse to do so."

His Worship the Mayor: "There's no appeal?"

City Solicitor: "If that is what he refused to do."

His Worship the Mayor: "Let's have the facts that have been asked by the Deputy Mayor."

Alderman Greenwood: "Is there any other section covering the review of this decision?"

City Solicitor: "No. That's the section of the Charter regarding stables. When you get into an occupancy, you're into a different category altogether. There is an appeal from an occupancy. There is no appeal for byres or stables."

Mr. West: "We have refused the permit under that section as we have on other occasions. Mr. Pratt also applied for an Occupancy Permit which we turned down. He's appealing on the Occupancy Permit. I don't know whether that is permitted or not under Section 739-A."

City Solicitor: "If you refuse a permit for a stable, that is the end of it. Occupancy has nothing to do with it."

Alderman Wyman: "My agenda reads: 'Appeal Re: Conversion of Garage to House a Pony'. I take it that that has been refused and that which is being appealed is an application for a Building Permit to convert a garage, so that it can be used for that. The Occupancy Permit that goes along with it would appear to me to be the thing that concerns a stable."

His Worship the Mayor: "Mr. Pratt, in writing to the City Clerk, advises as follows: 'With reference to your recent decision regarding my application to convert my garage at #11 Oakland Road for use as a stable for one pony'.

Alderman Wyman: "It's a little complicated because the refusal of the Building Permit is subject to appeal, but the refusal of the use of it as a stable is something else again."

His Worship the Mayor: "No, it's the refusal of a permit to use a building as a stable."

"As a result, we wrote to the applicant and we told him we did not feel it was suitable for the area. According to the Section of the City Charter, mentioned by the City Solicitor, it is true there is no appeal from that particular decision."

His Worship the Mayor: "In refusing, did you quote this Section of the Charter as your authority?"

Mr. West: "No, Section 739-A was not quoted but we did say that we would not issue an Occupancy Permit. We said we did not think it was suitable for the area. According to Section 739, he writes to the City Clerk appealing, actually, the Occupancy Permit; and it is just a question whether Council wants to hear his appeal even though the decision to issue a permit for a stable has been refused."

City Solicitor: "How can Council grant an Occupancy Permit for a stable for which you have refused to issue a permit?"

Alderman Lloyd: "I think it is possible for us to hear any taxpayer on a matter or a decision if we wish to."

His Worship the Mayor: "I don't think so. This doesn't naturally follow by law, does it? If you grant to an Official a certain right to do something, and no appeal is specifically laid down in the Charter, there is no appeal."

Alderman Lloyd: "In exercising his judgment, there may have been certain factors which brought the matter to a conclusion. The applicant can re-apply for an Occupancy Permit and have his case re-considered."

His Worship the Mayor: "In other sections of the Charter, we have the power to overrule."

Alderman Lloyd: "But not in this case."

His Worship the Mayor: "No, we have not."

Alderman Ferguson: "This application was before the Health Committee. I remember questions being raised. I don't know what the decision was."

Dr. Morton: "It was referred to the Building Inspector."

His Worship the Mayor: "Was any work proceeded with?"

Mr. Wests "No.. There was no permit granted for any work."

- 815 -

Mr. West: "An application for a Building Permit to alter a garage at #11 Oakland Road for the proposed use as a stable for a pony was made on March 28, 1960, and an Occupancy Permit was also applied for at that time. On April 1st, the applicant was advised: 'Your application to convert your garage at the above property into a stable, has been given considerable thought. We wish to advise that this matter cannot be processed further until people in the area are notified of your intentions by means of a notice for two consecutive days in both morning and afternoon editions of the local paper".

"We received a reply on April 13th from the applicant and he said: 'Please be informed that the notice you require was published in both the morning and afternoon papers on the 12th and 13th of April'. The wording was the same as was suggested in the letter of April 1st. 'It is intended to forward my application to the City Council. May I please be informed of the date so that I can speak to my Alderman and arrange to attend the meeting myself. Thank you for your assistance in this matter'.

"As a result of the advertisement placed in the newspaper by the applicant, we received comments from the people in the immediate area. We received comments from the persons on both sides, in the rear and generally in the immediate area. The applicant is a Navy man by title. He was in our office on several occasions, and because of his work, he would have to go to sea periodically. As a result, this took a little longer to settle than normally. Before we decided, as a result of the advertisement and the comments by the people, we were requested by the applicant if we would give him an opportunity to discuss the application with his immediate neighbours in case there was any misunderstanding or undue concern by these people as the result of this stable. We complied with his request, out of courtesy, and after that was done within a reasonable time, we considered the application again. We took into consideration the comments we received. We felt that those who were in favour of it, generally the next door neighbour on either side signified that they would be in favour of it but attached conditions to it so that we felt if we were going to allow a stable there, I don't think we could allow it just for Mr. Jones or Commander Pratt and not for the next resident who may move in the next week."

- 816 -

His Worship the ^Mayor: "We refused a permit to convert the building." Mr. West: "When they apply for a Building Permit, they also must apply for an Occupancy Permit. If you are going to refuse an Occupancy Permit, you automatically refuse a Building Permit."

His Worship the Mayor: "All we can do is to advise the applicant to ask the Commissioner of Works to reconsider."

This suggestion was agreed to by Council.

MODIFICATION OF LOT COVERAGE AND SIDEYARD - #42 SOUTH PARK STREET

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Modification of Lot Coverage and Sideyard - 42 South Park Street

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending in favor of a modification of sideyard at civic No. 42 South Park Street.

On motion of Alderman Macdonald, seconded by Alderman Connolly, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Lane, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

MODIFICATION OF SIDEYARD - #71 SEYMOUR STREET

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Modification of Sideyard - #71 Seymour Street

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending in favor of a modification of sideyard at civic no. 71 Seymour Street.

On motion of Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, 817 - CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Macdonald, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

MODIFICATION OF SIDEYARD - #15 PARKER STREET

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Modification of Sideyard - #15 Parker Street

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the Director of Planning recommending in favor of a modification of sideyard at civic no. 15 Parker Street, subject to any new addition to the building conforming with the sideyard provisions of the Zoning By-Law.

On motion of Alderman Butler, seconded by Alderman Connolly, the Board approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the report be approved.

Alderman Ferguson: "I was approached by the party and they tell me that the plan they originally asked for and the plan that was recommended, went through the Committee and comes here tonight, is a bit different. If they wanted to put an addition on, it would continue back directly from the house. The Town Planner has recommended an indentation of 6 feet from the line."

His Worship the Mayor: "This is to conform to the sideyard requirements."

Alderman Ferguson: "Yes. They would like to continue back the present way, the argument being, that keeping in this distance, disrupts the whole plan which they originally had. I don't suppose Council could do anything more with it than sending it back for reconsideration to the Town Planning Board."

His Worship the Mayor: "This is not new because there have been three or four instances within the last couple meetings of the Town Planning Board, when we have done just exactly that and we have adhered firmly to the recommendation of the Town Planner when he said that any addition to this building should conform to the sideyard requirements of the lot. This has been done in the case of Westmount Street."

- 818 -

Alderman Trainor: "One up on Sullivan Street, I remember in that particular case I know I basically was for the applicant there to permit him the sideyard clearance, yet again, if I voted against the thing, he would have received completely nothing. I think that possibly Mr. Munnich, on items like this, should give a lot more thought to them because, even considering the value of town planning today, it may destroy the proper use these additions may be put to. I never saw the plans of this particular one or the one that I referred to on Sullivan Street. This is certainly cutting down on the usable area in the house that the property can be put to."

Alderman Ferguson: "It's not what was asked for."

His Worship the Mayor: "No."

MOVED IN AMENDMENT by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that this matter be referred back to the Town Planning Board for further consideration. Amendment passed.

MODIFICATION OF SIDEYARD - #26 ARMCRESCENT WEST

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Town Planning Board

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Modification of Sideyard - #26 Armcrescent West

The Town Planning Board at a meeting held on the above date, considered the matter of a request for a modification of sideyard at civic no. 26 Armcrescent West.

This matter was referred back from City Council to the Town Planning Board.

On motion of Alderman Butler, seconded by Alderman Abbott, the Board refused the request for modification of sideyard at civic no. 26 Armcrescent West and recommended the same to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman Wyman, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

ENCROACHMENT - GARRICK AND DAVISON STREETS

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Committee on Works

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Encroachment - Garrick and Davison Streets - 819 -

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the City Manager and Commissioner of Works recommending that an encroachment be permitted in accordance with Section 538 of the City Charter at the corner of Garrick and Davison Streets and that an annual rental fee of \$20,00 for Garrick Street and \$8.00 for Davison Street be charged.

On motion of Alderman Connolly, seconded by Alderman Abbott, the Committee approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Lane, seconded by Alderman Abbott, that the report

be approved. Motion passed with Alderman Trainor abstaining from voting.

ADDITIONS TO SIDEWALK SCHEDULE

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Committee on Works

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Additions to Sidewalk Schedule

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the Commissioner of Works recommending that four streets be added to the sidewalk program.

On motion of Alderman Macdonald, seconded by Alderman Butler, the Committee approved the report and recommended it to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the

report be approved. Motion passed.

USE OF LANDING - QUINPOOL ROAD - HALIFAX WATER TOURIST SERVICE

TO: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

FROM: Committee on Works

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Use of Landing - Quinpool Road - Halifax Water Tourist Service

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date, considered a request from the Halifax Water Tourist Service for permission to use the landing on Quinpool Road.

On motion of Alderman Butler, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, the Committee granted permission to the Halifax Water Tourist Service for use of the landing on Quinpool Road at the usual fee of \$10.00 a year and recommended the same to City Council.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, - 820 - CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Lane, that the report be approved. Motion passed.

His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

CERTIFICATE #2 - SEWERS - MULGRAVE PARK

TOS

OUR COMMETTER PERSONNENCE LAST THE DY LEW

10%

FROM: Committee on Works

DATE: July 5, 1960

SUBJECT: Certificate #2 - Sewers - Mulgrave Park

The Committee on Works at a meeting held on the above date, considered a report from the Commissioner of Works recommending payment of Certificate #2 for the installation of sewers at the Mulgrave Park Housing Development.

On motion of Alderman Macdonald, seconded by Alderman Abbott, the Committee recommended to City Council payment of Certificate #2 to Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation, for the installation of sewers at the Mulgrave Park Housing Development, in the amount of \$6,937.88.

Respectfully submitted,

K. C. MANTIN, CLERK OF WORKS.

MOVED by Alderman Trainor, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the

report be approved. Motion passed.

TAG DAY - HALIFAX COLORED CITIZENS IMPROVEMENT LEAGUE - JULY 23rd

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, an application was submitted from the Halifax Colored Citizens' Improvement League requesting permission to hold a Tag Day on July 23rd, 1960, as their originally scheduled day was rained out.

Your Committee recommends that the request be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

MOVED by Alderman Fox, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

RENTAL CONTROL BY-LAW - FIRST READING

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7,

1960, "A By-Law Respecting Rentals", as prepared by the City Solicitor, was submitted, pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 8 of the Acts of Nova Scotia, 1959, and amendments thereto.

Your Committee recommends that the By-Law be read and passed a first time.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

A BY-LAW RESPECTING RENTALS

Made by the City Council of the City of Halifax pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 8 of the Acts of Nova Scotia, 1959, and amendments thereto.

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

Appointment of Rental Authority

 (a) A Rental Authority is hereby established under the provisions of Chapter 8 of the Acts of 1959, an Act Respecting Rentals, and amendments thereto.

(b) The Council may from time to time appoint a person or persons to be the Rental Authority in and for the geographical area described in Section 2 hereof, who shall hold office during the pleasure of the Council and who shall be paid such salary as the Council from time to time determines.

(c) The Council may from time to time, in addition to appointing the Rental Authority as hereinbefore provided, appoint such persons as may in its opinion be necessary for the administration and enforcement of this By-Law and may fix the remuneration to be paid to such persons, and such persons shall hold office during the pleasure of the Council.

Geographical Area

2. This By-Law shall apply to the geographical area as described in Section 6 of the Halifax City Charter.

Exemptions from By-Law

3. The provisions of this By-Law shall not apply to,

(a) Any lease of any housing accommodation under which lease the City of Halifax is the landlord;

(b) Any living or sleeping room in any education, religious, philanthropil charitable, scientific, artistic, professional, social or sporting institution, or in any hospital or convalescent or nursing home, or in any clubhouse;

(c) Any real property let (with or without a place of dwelling) solely for the purpose of husbandry, agriculture or horticulture;

(d) Any living or sleeping room in a seasonal boarding house which, for the purposes of this clause, means a boarding house which caters chiefly to persons who are on vacation or holidays and in which sleeping accommodation and three meals per day are made available to the guests by the operator, and includes a group of buildings so operated by the same operator in some of which sleeping accommodation is supplied to the guests and in one or more of which three meals per day are made available by him to such guests;

(e) Any housing accommodation created by original construction after the 30th day of April, A. D., 1957;

(f) Any housing accommodation under the control and management of the Halifax Housing Authority;

(g) Any vacant land.

Alderman Dunlop: "I think it should be emphasized to the public that the delay is not caused by any of our staff or the action of Council. We sent the By-Law to the Minister of Municipal Affairs; they have considered it, and rejected it. We have to start over."

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that the report be approved and the By-Law read and passed a first time. Motion passed.

AMENDMENTS - ORDINANCE #34 - "CURFEW LAW" - FIRST READING

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, a redraft of Ordinance #34, "Respecting Children under Sixteen Years of Age Being on the Streets of the City at Night", as prepared by the City Solicitor, was submitted.

Your Committee recommends that the Ordinance be read and passed a first time.

Respectfully submitted, R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

 Ordinance Number 34, Respecting Children under Sixteen Years of Age Being on the Streets of the City at Night, is hereby repealed and the following substituted therefor:

1. Except as hereinafter provided, no person under the age of sixteen years shall be in or upon the public streets, highways, roads, alleys, parks, playgrounds, wharves, docks or other public grounds, public places and public buildings, places of amusement and entertainment, vacant lots or other unsupervised places in the City of Halifax between the hours of half-past nine o'clock in the afternoon and six o'clock in the following morning.

- 823 -

2. The provisions of Section 1 of this Ordinance shall not apply to any person under the age of sixteen years, or to the parents, guardian or persons with whom such person resides, who is in or upon any public streets, highways, roads, alleys, parks, playgrounds, wharves, docks or other public grounds, public places and public buildings, places of amusement and entertainment, vacant lots or other unsupervised places in the said City of Halifax between the hours aforesaid and who is accompanied by a person over eighteen years of age in charge of such person, or to any such person under the age of sixteen years who between the hours aforesaid is returning directly to his place of residence, having left such place of residence prior to the hour of half-past nine o'clock in the afternoon.

3. The Chief of Police shall issue to any person under the age of sixteen years who is engaged in the employment of messenger boy, errand boy, clerk or similar occupation with the consent of his parents or guardians, a certificate setting forth such employment and consent, and such person shall be exempt from the operation of this ordinance. Such certificate must be produced and shown to any Police Officer when the same is requested.

4. It shall be lawful for any Police Officer of the City of Halifax to take into custody any person under the age of sixteen years who is found in or upon the public streets, highways, roads, alleys, parks, playgrounds, wharves, docks, or other public grounds, public places and public buildings, places of amusement and entertainment, vacant lots or other unsupervised places in the said City of Halifax on any day between the hours mentioned in the first section of this Ordinance.

5. The parents, guardians or persons having the legal custody or control of any person under the age of sixteen years shall prevent such person from contravening the provisions of this Ordinance.

6. Every parent, guardian or person having the legal custody or control of any person under the age of sixteen years who contravenes or fails to comply with the provisions of this Ordinance shall, for every offence upon summary conviction, be liable to a penalty not exceeding Twenty-five Dollars and in default of payment to imprisonment for a term not exceeding twelve days.

- 824 -

7. The times mentioned in this Ordinance shall be either Atlantic Standard Time or Daylight Saving Time, whichever is in force at the time by virtue of any enactment or of any resolution of the Council of the City of Halifax then in force.

8. This Ordinance shall be known as Ordinance Number 34.

9. Ordinance Number 34 as heretofore enacted and the amendments thereto, are hereby repealed.

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the report be approved and the amendments read and passed a first time. Motion passed. <u>AMENDMENTS - ORDINANCE #28 - "THE WEIGHING OF COAL AND COKE" - FIRST READING</u>

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, amendments to Ordinance #28, "The Weighing of Coal and Coke", as prepared by the City Solicitor, was submitted.

Your Committee recommends that the amendments be read and passed a first time.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

 Clause (c) of Section 2 of Ordinance Number 28, The Weighing of Coal and Coke, is amended by striking out the word "Mayor" in the first line thereof and substituting therefor the words "City Clerk".

2. Clause (d) of Section 2 of said Ordinance Number 28 is amended by inserting after the word "Mayor" in the third line thereof the words "or City Clerk".

3. Section 3 of said Ordinance Number 28 is amended by striking out the word "Mayor" in the seventh line thereof and substituting therefor the words "City Clerk".

4. Section 10 of said Ordinance Number 28 is amended by striking out the word "Mayor" in the fourth line thereof and substituting therefor the words "City Clerk".

- 825 -

MOVED by Alderman Greenwood, seconded by Alderman DeWolf, that the report be approved and the amendments read and passed a first time. Motion passed.

AMENDMENT - ORDINANCE #13 - "TAXI INSURANCE" - SECOND READING

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, an amendment to Ordinance #13 respecting the "Regulations of Vehicles Transporting Passengers for Hire", which was read and passed a first time at the meeting of City Council held on June 16, 1960, was again considered.

Your Committee recommends that the amendment be read and passed a second time and referred to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

1. Section 6 of Ordinance Number 13, respecting the Regulations of Vehicles Transporting Passengers for Hire, is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

6. The owner of every motor-drawn vehicle to be licensed hereunder, hereinafter called a "licensed vehicle" shall with his application for a license therefore deposit with the Chief of Police an automobile insurance policy providing public liability and passenger hazard insurance in the sum of \$20,000.00 for loss or damage resulting from bodily injury to or the death of any one person and subject to such limit for any one person so injured or killed, the sum of \$40,000.00 for loss or damage resulting from bodily injury to or to the death of two or more persons in any one accident, plus the sum of \$5,000.00 for loss or damage to property resulting from any one accident.

MOVED by Alderman Abbott, seconded by Alderman Macdonald, that the report be approved and the amendment read and passed a second time and referred to the Minister of Municipal Affairs. Motion passed.

- 826 -

AMENDMENT - ORDINANCE #14 - "TRUCKS" - SECOND READING

July 14, 1960

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, an amendment to Ordinance #14, "The Regulations of Vehicles Used for Transporting Goods for Hire", which was read and passed a first time at a meeting of the City Council held on June 16, 1960, was again considered.

Your Committee recommends that the amendment be read and passed a second time and referred to the Minister of Municipal Affairs for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. STODDARD, CITY CLERK.

BE IT ENACTED by the Mayor and City Council of the City of Halifax as follows:

 Section 4 of Ordinance Number 14, The Regulations of Vehicles Used for Transporting Goods for Hire, is repealed and the following substituted therefor:

4. The fees payable for licenses in respect of vehicles engaged in transporting goods for hire, shall be as follows:

Horse Drawn Vehicles:-

1 horse.....\$10.00
2 horses or more.....\$15.00
Motor Vehicles.....\$25.00

MOVED by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman Fox, that the report be approved and the amendment read and passed a second time and referred to the Minister of Municipal Affairs. Motion passed.

TAX CONCESSION - INDUSTRIAL CONTAINERS LIMITED

<u>WHEREAS</u> Industrial Containers Limited (formerly Maritime Paper Products Limited), a body corporate, with Head Office at Halifax in the County of Halifax, is engaged in the manufacture of paper and cardboard containers for industrial use;

<u>AND WHEREAS</u> the said Company has proposed to expand its present facilities by the construction of an addition to its plant situate on Almon Street in the City of Halifax;

AND WHEREAS the said Company applied to the City for a tax concession

- 827 -

De marton

on both its present plant and the proposed addition thereto, such tax concession to consist of exemption from payment of business occupancy tax only, for a period of ten years commencing on January 1, 1960;

<u>AND WHEREAS</u> by Section 42 of Chapter 64 of the Acts of Nova Scotia for the year 1960, the City is authorized to grant to the said Company such tax exemptions as Council may by resolution determine, and to attach to such exemption any terms and conditions deemed necessary;

<u>NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED</u> that for a period of ten (10) years commencing on January 1, 1960, the said Industrial Containers Limited shall be assessed on its present plant located on Almon Street in the City of Halifax and on any addition thereto for business realty only, and shall be exempt from business occupancy tax for the said period; <u>PROVIDED HOWEVER</u>, that the aforementioned exemption shall only apply if the said Company complies with the following terms and conditions, that is to say:

1. That the said Company will make the proposed addition or additions to its present building and plant at approximately a cost of \$125,000.00 and that such addition will be commenced during the year 1960 and completed within twelve months from the date of such commencement.

2. That the said Company will not, without the consent of the City Council, change to any other type of manufacturing which is in competition with any industry presently in the City.

3. That the said Company will not sell or lease the lands and buildings or any part thereof without the consent of the City Council.

4. That the said building will be used only for the manufacturing of paper and cardboard containers for industrial use, and any part of the building used as a warehouse will be used only in connection with the said business.

Should the said Company default in complying with all or any of the aforementioned terms and conditions, then the aforesaid tax exemption shall cease and determine, and the said Company shall, from the date of such default, be liable for business realty and business occupancy tax at the then prevailing rate.

Alderman Lloyd: "It binds them to the use for which the concession is granted?"

His Worship the Mayor: "That is right. It contains all the items we discussed earlier in the Finance and Executive Committee."

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Wyman, that the resolution be approved. Motion passed.

PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION - NORTH WEST ARM BRIDGE

The matter of the selection of a site for the Halifax terminus of the proposed North West Arm Bridge was considered, having been deferred at the meeting of City Council held on May 26, 1960 at which time a public hearing was conducted.

<u>MOVED</u> by Alderman Dunlop, seconded by Alderman Lane, that Council approve of the Robie Street site for the proposed North West Arm Bridge as shown in the report of Whitman, Benn and Associates dated March 28, 1960; and that His Worship the Mayor be authorized to inform the Provincial Government of the Council's decision, and to further negotiate with that body.

Alderman Lloyd: "The thing that bothers me is that in our discussions with them there was great emphasis placed on the need for exhaustive traffic studies, movement of traffic into and out from the City. The whole problem would have to be analyzed to come up with constructive proposals. It is true that we already have their report on the bridge; but, still, I see no harm done in getting a complete report before the other matter is dealt with. I just wonder if it isn't in conflict with the purpose."

His Worship the Mayor: "The reason why I mentioned that is because in the attached report or resolution tonight it appears on Page two of the Halifax approach to the North West Arm Bridge — (A) The estimated volume of traffic on the bridge will be analyzed for direction and amount of each turning movement to and from the bridge in 1963 and projected to 1980; (B) Analysis of traffic operations, present and future, within the immediate area of influence of bridge traffic and recommend traffic engineering treatments to insure optimum operation.'

"Now, I don't know what he could do by way of report to us if he had been given two sites or possibly three for a bridge, as suggested. I think in giving the consultants directions to proceed with their study they should be working at least on this basis here — crossing the Arm on something by way of a concrete nature as they have recommended.

"Now, one point was raised by those who were present here the other evening at the public hearing on the Bridge across the Arm and I asked Mr. Benn to

- 829 -

write to Wilbur S. Smith because the point was made by a solicitor representing some people in the area that Wilbur S. Smith's name doesn't appear on the document. Therefore, I will ask the City Clerk to read a copy of the letter addressed to Mr. Philip Benn."

The City Clerk read the following letter: WILBUR SMITH AND ASSOCIATES

> 495 Orange Street, New Haven, Conn., July 8, 1960.

Mr. Philippe A. Benn Whitman, Benn & Associates Consulting Engineers 22 Blower Street Halifax, Nova Scotia

Dear Mr. Benn:

I just completed a reading of your report entitled "Feasibility Study for a Proposed Northwest Arm Bridge - Halifax, Nova Scotia." We are, of course, pleased to see that you used the traffic information which was developed in collaboration with our firm and that the estimates of traffic and earnings for the proposed bridge follow our findings. I think that you have done a very fine job in putting together a sound report relative to this proposed highway project. We were pleased to be associated with you in the work and to have had responsibility for the development of the traffic information used in the report.

Please keep us informed of actions on the report, and let us know if there is any way we can assist in having the recommendations accepted.

Best regards.

Yours very truly,

Wilbur S. Smith.

The motion was put and passed with Alderman Fox and Lloyd voting against the motion, Alderman Lloyd contending that the whole study of entrances to the City should be completed before a decision on the North West Arm Bridge site is made.

SURVEY - WHITMAN, BENN AND ASSOCIATES - \$30,000,00 - 316 "C"

July 14, 1960.

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of the City Council.

At a meeting of the Finance and Executive Committee, held on July 7, 1960, Mr. P. A. Benn of Whitman, Benn and Associates, addressed the Committee in connection with a study of all approaches to the City of Halifax.

After hearing from Mr. Benn on this matter, your Committee makes the following recommendations:

1. That the proposal as outlined in a submission from Whitman, Benn and Associates, dated April 21, 1960, covering a traffic study of all entrances to the City of Halifax, including the Halifax approaches to the Angus L. Macdonald Bridge, already approved by Council, at a total cost of \$30,000.00, be approved under the authority of Section 316 ^MC^M of the City Charter;

2. That the firm will make arrangements for Mr. Alfred Howard of the City -Engineering Staff to work with them as an observer in anticipation of his future appointment as Traffic Engineer for the City of Halifax.

Respectfully submitted,

R. H. Stoddard, CITY CLERK.

RESOLUTION

<u>W H E R E A S</u> by resolution of City Council of the City of Halifax dated the 30th day of June, 1960, the City agreed to employ Whitman, Benn and Associates for the purpose of a traffic study of the approach to the Angus L. Macdonald Bridge, for the fee of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00);

<u>AND WHEREAS</u> the Council now wishes to enlarge the scope of traffic study to include all highway entrances and approaches serving the City.

<u>NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED</u> that the City do employ Whitman, Benn and Associates to conduct a study of all highway entrances to the City of Halifax, including the Halifax approach to the Angus L. Macdonald Bridge, at a total cost of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00) according to the attached proposal.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Whitman, Benn and Associates do make arrangements with the City for the employment of Mr. Alfred Howard of the City Engineer's staff, to work with them as an observer;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the necessary funds be obtained under the authority of Section 316C of the City Charter.

His Worship the Mayor: "If the firm of Whitman, Benn and Associates bring forward a recommendation to construct some devices in the Halifax end of the Bicentennial Highway and if agreed upon by the Province, I believe it is quite likely that the Province will agree to the inclusion of this cost of the survey in the capital cost of the project. It will agree, I am sure, to a sharing of the cost. That being the case, this 316 "C" item will change over to a Capital cost before the end of the year."

MOVED by Alderman Wyman, seconded by Alderman Lloyd, that the report and resolution be approved. Motion passed.

- 831 -

Halv 14, 1960

TAX COLLECTIONS - MONTH OF JUNE, 1960

CIVIC YEAR	RESERVES		NEW ACCOUNTS	JUNE 1960 COLLECTIONS	0/S BALANCE JUNE, 1960
1958 1959 196 0	\$ 90,492.34 107,271.42 66,984.19	\$ 201,814.67 DR 519,455.67 DR 4,062,956.06 CR	\$ 41.55 451.49 2,672.64	\$ 20,519.57 56,471.20 2,281,582.40	\$ 181,336.65 463,435.96 1,778,701.02
-		\$4,784,226.40 CR	\$2,179.60	\$2,358,573.17	2,423,473.63
TAX YI	EARS PRIOR TO	1958 (COVERED BY RES	SERVES)	<u>6,105,52</u> 2,364,678,69	
POLL 7	TAXES			e dae sisting foot	
1954 1958 1959 1960	Considered The Pe Cantiers we	9,770.70 5,009.51 DR 33,946.71 DR 164,084.78 DR	7.05 49.55 1.416.01	97.65 288.82 610.04 69,997.39	9,673.05 4,727.74 33,386.22 95,503.40
POLL T		AN LISTED ABOVE	laint require form: L'opened by fi	70,993.90 <u>455.61</u> 71,449.51	
TOTAL	COLLECTIONS I	N JUNE, 1960		2,436,128.20	
TOTAL COLLECTIONS IN JUNE, 1959			2,385,221.00		
CURREN	NT TAXES COLLE	CTED JAN. 1st to JUN	NE 30, 1960	7,350,985.63	
CORRES	SPONDING PERIO	D, 1959			7,032,212.35
TAX AR	REARS COLLECT	ED JAN. 1st to JUNE	30, 1960	549,744.23	
CORRES	SPONDING PERIO	D, 1959			502,346.86
POLL 1	TAX COLLECTION	S JAN. 1st to JUNE 3	30, 1960	203,177.88	
CORRES	SPONDING PERIO	D, 1959			141,560.43
				8,103,907.74	7,676,119.64

Alderman Trainar subsi- with the Dubils has find	- 76		
TAX LEVY, 1960	9,057,011.22	7,350,985.63	81.16
TAX LEVY, 1959	8,692,401.33	7,032,212.35	80.90
TAX ARREARS, JANUARY 1st, 1960	1,311,545.43	549,744.23	41.92
TAX ARREARS, JANUARY 1st, 1959	1,232,763.37	502,346.86	40.75
TOTAL COLLECTIONS TO JUNE 30, 1960	and effect watters	7,900,729.86	87.23
TOTAL COLLECTIONS TO JUNE 30, 1959		7,534,559.21	86.68

1

Respectfully submitted,

J. R. BURBRIDGE, - 832 - DEPUTY CHIEF ACCOUNTANT.

Filed.

TENDERS FOR CONCESSION - WANDERERS' GROUNDS

To: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and Members of City Council.

From: L. M. Romkey, Acting City Manager.

Date: July 7, 1960.

Subject: Tenders for Concession - Wanderers' Grounds.

We have received one tender from Mr. W. D. Hartlin stating that he would pay \$500.00 for the season to be paid in four installments of: \$125.00 -July 31, \$125.00 - August 31, \$125.00 - September 30, and \$125.00 - October 31. In addition he will pay \$30.00 per game for senior football, \$10.00 per game for local baseball and \$10.00 per game for school events. Cardinals to be considered local baseball.

The Recreation Commission has approved by polling their members and the canteen was opened for business on Saturday, July 9, 1960.

Ratification by City Council is required and the City Solicitor has been instructed to prepare the agreement.

As directed, the tender was opened by the Chairman and Director of the Recreation Commission and the Acting City Manager.

> L. M. Romkey, ACTING CITY MANAGER.

MOVED by Alderman Ferguson, seconded by Alderman Trainor, that the report

be approved. Motion passed.

REPORTS - REDEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

No reports were submitted at this time.

RECEPTION OF PETITIONS AND DELEGATIONS

No petitions were submitted and no delegations appeared.

LETTER RE: JUBILEE BOAT CLUB

Alderman Trainor submitted a letter which he received in the mail dealing with the Jubilee Boat Club and requested permission to read same.

His Worship the Mayor felt the letter could not be dealt with at this

time.

Alderman Trainor: "There are problems of policing, and others around the Jubilee Boat Club. The individual, who wrote this letter, is very disturbed with the police protection and other matters. I would say that with respect to the other matters, they will be dealt with in the Supreme Court in August of this year."

His Worship the Mayor: "Reports cannot be accepted as questions as a rule in Council. However, if you want to ask any question arising out of the letter,

- 833 -

you may do so."

Alderman Trainor: "I'll leave the letter with the City Clerk, and request that it be considered at the Safety Committee meeting."

MOTION BY ALDERMAN LLOYD TO RESCIND RESOLUTION OF COUNCIL MARCH 17 AND APRIL 14, 1960, APPROVING OF COVENANT NO. 2 IN DEED TO HILLCREST MOTORS LIMITED RESPECTING THE FOLLOWING AREAS OF LAND AND PRICE THEREFOR AT THE EXHIBITION GROUNDS: 38,131.5 SQAURE FEET AT \$29,361.25; AND 37,945 SQUARE FEET AT \$29,217.65

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman Ferguson, that the resolutions of Council, March 17 and April 14, 1960, approving of Covenant No. 2 in Deed to Hillcrest Motors Limited respecting the following areas of land and price therefor at the Exhibition Grounds: 38,131.5 square feet at \$29,361.25; and 37,945 square feet at \$29,217.65 be rescinded. Motion passed.

> MOTION BY ALDERMAN LLOYD TO RESCIND RESOLUTION OF COUNCIL JUNE 16, 1960, APPROVING OF INCREASED BOUNDARIES OF THE SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF PROPERTY AS A SITE FOR A PRIVATE HOUSING PROJECT

MOVED by Alderman Lloyd, seconded by Alderman O'Brien, that the resolution of Council, June 16, 1960, approving of increased boundaries of the School for the Deaf Property as a site for a private housing project be rescinded. Motion passed.

INCINERATOR - REPORT ON \$8,400.00 CONTRACT CHANGE

To: His Worship, C. A. Vaughan, and Members of the City Council.

From: A. A. DeBard, Jr., City Manager.

Date: July 8, 1960.

Subject: Incinerator - Report on \$8,400.00 Contract Change.

Council will recall a report was required on the necessity for this change and the added cost. I cannot say there is a 100% certainty in all phases including the engineering theory or practice. Metcalf and Eddy and Dumaresq Associates state no error was made, but agree that the Hankin suggestion was a good one.

The various engineers have informed me they cannot be categoric about the distribution of load stress, plates or encasing such as was done being two means of spreading load. Encasing the columns increased the safety factor by 100%.

Mr. Doyle's opinion is conditioned by the engineering fact and he sums it up as follows:

^NI am informed by Mr. West that Metcalf and Eddy and the local Architect, say that these columns were adequate, but that with a small added cost it was better assurance to have them encased with cement. If such is the case then it would appear to me that this would be only an extra in the contract.^N

It is the writer's opinion that we have a better incinerator because of