

## **Chapter 9. Governance and Implementation**

**INTRODUCTION** HRM seeks to engage citizens in an ongoing dialogue through education, promotion and public debate on regional and local issues. Participation should be inclusive and accessible to all. Effective communication among Council, staff, residents, businesses, public agencies and other levels of government is key to achieving the regional vision.

Strategic planning is a process of defining a strategy or direction, and taking actions and allocating resources to pursue this Plan. In order to determine the direction of the organization, it is necessary to understand its current position and the possible avenues through which it can pursue a particular course of action. Monitoring and periodic reviews are needed to evaluate the success of actions taken and changes of direction warranted by new or evolving circumstances.

The success of this Plan will also depend on aligning all policies and programs throughout HRM to achieve the vision and objectives of this Plan.

## **OBJECTIVES**

- 1. Engage citizens in the development of policies, programs and services as the basis for building healthy, strong and inclusive communities;
- 2. Monitor the effectiveness of policies and programs of this Plan;
- 3. Undertake periodic reviews of this Plan to assess whether changes are needed; and
- 4. Ensure that HRM policies and programs are aligned to achieve the vision and objectives of this Plan.

PROPOSED POLICY	COMMUNITY FEEDBACK	STAFF RESPONSE (Where no response	CDAC Direction
CHANGE		indicated means Staff believe the proposed	
		Regional Plan addresses this adequately or	
		previously answered )	
Measuring Success	Defined targets and timelines for initiatives (Greenbelting, BMBCL		
	Regional Park)		
	Implement RMPS and abide by it for decision-making		
	Objectives need specific targets and outcomes	Plan measurements are being aligned with	
		objectives in Appendix A.	
	What is the business case for the Regional Plan? Cost?		
	Can we afford what's purposed?		
	Lack of timelines/no timeframes established	Priority Plans will include deliverables, timelines and targets.	



	Keep targets of 25/50/25, but don't get fixed on them. People will		
	choose where to live; you can't force them.		
	Tie building permits to 25/50/25 target up to 10 years. Benefit: met		
	target – save \$670 million		
	No development in urban reserves at all in the life of this plan. Benefit:		
	clarity, help us reach 25/50/25 target.		
	evaluation – don't wait 5 yrs – SMART		
	get rid our by-right development	Public consultation should ocurr at the time the	
		plan is being developed so that development	
		roles are clear and predictable.	
	don't measure km of road, measure active transportation use		
	have robust, reliable measurement parameter that indicate quality of		
	like not just		
	direct \$\$		
	New 9.1 Objective: Define RP deliverables and create an		
	implementation timeline/road map.		
	include community enviro. targets not just corporate HRM targets		
	HRM will conduct/do storm water treatment		
	More money for resources		
	More planning staff		
	More trail staff		
	Ground water testing		
	Remove By right		
	More targets that are measureable		
	Measure progress in 5 year targets		
Discretionary Approvals	Policy G-16 (former IM-18) enabling Urban Settlement Designation to	Policy G-16 enables flexibility and accounts for	
,	extend into Urban Reserve Designation not desired. Should be limited	GFLUM developments that are large in scale.	
	to Policy G-16(d) condition only.	Specific properties boundary isolated assessed	
		on a case by case basis	
		Removal of Policy G-16 would result in site	
		specific amendments to the Regional Plan.	
		Staff propose to add text clarifying the use of	
		Policy G-16 only where any amendment	
		proposed is small in scale.	



## Phase III Response to Public Input. Page | 3

	Down with wiggle room	
Community Engagement	How do we measure public engagement?	
	Good measurement not mushy	
	Timelines and deliverables, hard targets	
	On-line so public can see daily	
	Have more effective planning process – by planners NOT by developers	