Deloitte.



The future of productivity An eight-step game plan for Canada

Halifax Regional Municipality June 14, 2012

Canada now faces a significant and growing productivity gap relative to the U.S., which will threaten our long-term prosperity

Over the past 30 years, productivity growth has taken divergent trajectories in Canada and the United States

GDP per worker, indexed to 1981 baseline

The period from 2001 to present has been most challenging, as Canadian productivity growth has trailed most OECD nations

Labour productivity CAGR, 2001-2009





Sources: Centre for the Study of Living Standards, OECD

Within Canada, Nova Scotia helps drive productivity growth but lags in its overall level of labour productivity

Provincial Productivity Level, Productivity Growth, and GDP



Observations

• Atlantic Canada has the strongest productivity growth, led by Newfoundland, despite representing a small shares of Canadian GDP

Deloitte believes that low productivity is, and will continue to be, the most significant threat to Canada's standard of living



Sources: OECD, Statistics Canada

We have identified six issues that Canada should focus on addressing in order to close the productivity gap



4 The future of productivity

© Deloitte & Touche LLP and affiliated entities.

Although some differences exist, Canadian and American executives identify themselves as having very similar levels of risk tolerance



How firms characterize their risk tolerance levels

Source: Deloitte Research

5 The future of productivity

However, when measured against Deloitte's Executive Risk Behavior Index, we see that, in practice, Canadians are much less willing to take risks



The Deloitte Executive Risk Behaviour Index

Observations

- Based on a survey of 900 Canadian and American business leaders, Canada scored a 47.4 on the index vs. the American score of 57.7 (maximum score of 100)
- The Deloitte risk behaviour index was constructed based on a wide array of factors representing a firm's actions, including a firm's risk evaluation practices, involvement in research, development and innovation, and dependence on government support
- The index has been adjusted to account for national differences in the current/future state views on macro-economic conditions

Source: Deloitte Research

6 The future of productivity



GreaterHalifax

*Note: Universities with satellite campuses in Quebec City and Victoria were excluded, as the data did not allow for separation from the main campuses in Montreal and Vancouver.

ECONOMIC SIZE

Real Gross Domestic Product, 2002 \$mil Source: The Conference Board of Canada





GDP PER PERSON

Real Gross Domestic Product per capita, 2006-2011

Source: The Conference Board of Canada, Statistics Canada



GreaterHalifax

HALIFAX INTERNATIONALLY COMPETITIVE

GreaterHalifax



Cost-of-living and quality-of-living indices Source: fDi intelligence

■ Cost ■ Quality

A GROWING ARTS AND CULTURE SECTOR

Employment in arts, culture and recreation, 000s

GreaterHalifax



Source: Statistics Canada

This is illustrated by behaviours like Canada's lower R&D participation rates, a phenomenon that is largely driven by Canadian "risk avoiders"

The overall rate of R&D participation of surveyed firms in Canada is lower than in the United States

Risk avoiding Canadian firms are less likely to conduct **R&D** than their American counterparts



R&D participation rate

Observations

• While overall participation in R&D is lower in Canada than in the United States, the difference is most pronounced among firms with "risk avoiding" business models

Source: Deloitte Research

12 The future of productivity

Canadian firms also exhibited a far greater reliance on government incentives than on market factors to induce productivity-boosting behaviours like R&D

Government factors

Firms "Somewhat Likely" or "Very Likely" to increase expenditure on R&D if offered tax credits to do so



 Canadian firms are less averse to tax credit-induced R&D expenditure than U.S. firms

Firms "Very Likely" to increase R&D expenditure if offered increased government grants



• Canadian firms are more likely to boost R&D spending if incentivized with increased government grants

Source: Deloitte Research

13 The future of productivity

Market factors

Firms "Somewhat Likely" or "Very Likely" to increase R&D expenditure due to improved intellectual property protections



 U.S. firms are more likely to expand R&D investment as a result of better IP protection

Firms "Somewhat Likely" or "Very Likely" to increase R&D expenditure if there were increased availability of risk capital



• U.S. firms are more likely to increase R&D spending as a result of increased access to risk capital

We believe that to address the issue of productivity businesses, academia and government must act in a deliberate and collaborative fashion



Deloitte has put forward eight recommendations we believe are necessary to improve Canada's productivity in the near-term, and to foster ongoing productivity growth

In order to achieve meaningful impacts on Canadian productivity, businesses, academia and government will need to act in a deliberate and collaborative manner across eight key recommendations:



Each recommendation enables the success of others creating a self-reinforcing system for driving improvement in Canada's productivity

Co-locate: Create a national clustering strategy

Deloitte believes that a national clustering strategy is required in order to increase productivity and drive innovation in key fields.

A national strategy will enable Canada to realize the benefits of clustering

Cluster development must be led by local businesses, governments and academia...

... their strategies must be supported by Federal and Provincial governments



 Clustering supports the growth of high productivity, high innovation areas where related firms can actively collaborate

- Waterloo
- Local stakeholders must collaborate to develop strategies to support the emergence or growth of clusters



• Federal and provincial governments must provide the necessary resources and infrastructure to support local cluster strategies

Key Considerations

- Cluster development requires a long term vision, with the growth of world class clusters measured in decades, not years
- There must be a strong rationale for each cluster that builds on existing talents, industries and or natural advantages (e.g. geography)

Deloitte has worked with the leading hubs in Canada to determine their economic impact and the complexities of their clusters



 MaRS accommodates companies across Information and Communication Technology, Clean Tech, Life Science and Social Innovation

Source: Deloitte analysis

© Deloitte & TERER PELOPITAN TO MARKED BUILDE

more support is needed to grow these firms over the long term

Why Canada Needs to Support High Growth Firms



Waterloo has experienced significant economic traction as a result of their technology cluster

Regional Growth Comparison, 1996-2011



PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD MEDIAN INCOME



TOTAL LABOUR FORCE



that are made possible as a result of value creating invisible activities conducted by Communitech

Communitech Incubation Process



Successful hubs leverage available resources and the existing local environment to address specific market demands



Direct city-level support for incubators is shaped by the powers of municipal government, but there are indirect mechanisms to facilitate success

M	unicipal government has the ability to support hubs, both directly and indirectly
Infrastructure	 Cities can play a role in ensuring that there is adequate local infrastructure and favourable policy; including but not limited to: public transportation, zoning restrictions, and bylaws
	 E.g., Waterloo is developing a rapid transit system that will better connect the three major urban centres of Cambridge, Kitchener, and Waterloo
	 Waterloo has recently added GO Train service between Waterloo and Toronto to facilitate inbound commuting to the Waterloo region
	 In some situations, when the city owns land on which the hub would like to locate, the city can provide a
	subsidized lease, resulting in a direct financial impact
Financial	 E.g., the city of Waterloo provided Communitech with a \$500,000 grant towards the developmen of the Hub as well as zoning support to allow Communitech to repurpose the existing building
	 Municipal governments can also provide property tax incentives to eligible organizations to encourage locating in a given region
	Cities can appour ago the development of the community surrounding a bub by providing incentives or
	 Cities can encourage the development of the community surrounding a hub by providing incentives or enforcing developmental policy
Culture & Community Development	 E.g., Boston challenged developers and architects to experiment with new designs to invent a 2 century district that meets the needs of the innovators who live and work in Boston
	 Cities, like Boston, have focused on attracting talent by developing a strong culture that motivates entrepreneurs to live, work and play in the region

Deloitte.

There is a significant opportunity for Halifax to develop a clustering strategy; the initiative must stem from the business community



Deloitte.